SAMHSA'S Multiplier Surveys Supporting Statement

Attachment B:

Online Survey Introductory Email
Online Survey Protocol

SAMHSA's Multiplier Surveys Online Survey Introductory Email

Policy Research Associates, Inc. is conducting a study on behalf of the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) to learn what broader impacts programs may have and what happens to programs after Federal funding ends. SAMHSA wants to identify factors and characteristics associated with sustainability and grant impacts on local or state service delivery, systems change or infrastructure development. The findings of this study will inform the structure and implementation of future SAMHSA funding.

To do this, we are studying a number of SAMHSA-funded grants that have ended in the last several years. Please note that we are asking about programs where Federal funding ended by September 30, 2008, including any no-cost extensions. You have been identified by SAMHSA as a project director or other contact for the following program, which is included in our study:

[NAME OF GRANT] program, Announcement Number Award number Dates of award

If you believe you have been selected in error or if you feel that you are not familiar enough with this program, please contact Margaret Lassiter at mlassiter@prainc.com so we may identify a more appropriate respondent.

The survey can be accessed here: [LINK TO SURVEY]. Please answer the survey questions with respect only to the grant identified above. All responses will be reported in the aggregate. Responding to this survey will not in any way affect applications for any current or future SAMHSA grants. We anticipate that this survey will take about 45 minutes to complete. Your participation is greatly appreciated. We would appreciate your response by [DATE DETERMINED AFTER OMB CLEARANCE].

Thank you very much for your participation. If you have any questions about this study, please contact the SAMHSA project officer for this study, Susan Becker, at sue.becker@samhsa.hhs.gov. If you have any questions about the survey instrument, please contact Margaret Lassiter at mlassiter@prainc.com.

OMB Number: 0930-XXXX Expiration Date: MM/DD/YYYY

SAMHSA Program Sustainability and Impact Questionnaire

Grantee On-Line Survey

Public Burden Statement

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control number for this project is 0930-xxxx. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 45 minutes per respondent per year, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to SAMHSA Reports Clearance Officer, 1 Choke Cherry Road, Room 7-1044, Rockville, Maryland, 20857.

Introduction

Thank you for agreeing to complete this survey. Your responses will assist SAMHSA in understanding the broader impacts of their funded programs and what happens to individual projects after Federal funding ends. In particular, they are interested in post-grant impacts on local or state service delivery, systems change or infrastructure development.

Responses will be reported in the aggregate only. Responding to this survey will not in any way affect applications for any current or future SAMHSA grants.

PLEASE NOTE: We are asking about grants that have ended by September 30, 2008, including any no-cost extensions. Please respond <u>only</u> with respect to the specific grant program identified in the e-mail you received directing you to this survey. If you have any questions about responding to this survey, please contact Margaret Lassiter (mlassiter@prainc.com). Thank you for your time.

1. Please check the grant program below about which you are responding. **Refer to email you received about this survey.** CHECK ONLY ONE.

Center for Mental Health Services Center for Substance Abuse Prevention con't ☐ Alternatives to Restraint & Seclusion (RFA: SM ☐ Methamphetamine, Ecstasy, Inhalants and/or Other 04-007; funding ended 2007) Club Drugs (RFA: SP 03-007; funding ended 2004) ☐ Child Mental Health Initiative (CMHI) (RFA: SM ☐ Methamphetamine, Ecstasy, Inhalants and/or Other 02-002; funding ended 2008) Club Drugs (RFA: SP 04-004; funding ended 2007) ☐ Targeted Capacity Expansion Grants for Jail ☐ Minority Substance Abuse & HIV Prevention (RFA: Diversion (RFA: SM 02-010; funding ended 2005) SP 02-005 (Cohort 3), funding ended 2005) Targeted Capacity Expansion Grants for Jail ☐ Minority Substance Abuse & HIV Prevention (RFA: Diversion (RFA: SM 05-011; funding ended 2008) SP 03-005 (Cohort 4), funding ended 2008) ☐ Targeted Capacity Expansion Grants for Older ☐ Minority Substance Abuse & HIV Prevention (RFA: Adults SP 03-005 (Cohort 5), funding ended 2008) **Center for Substance Abuse Prevention Center for Substance Abuse Treatment** ☐ Drug Free Community (RFA: SP-06-003; funding ☐ Access to Recovery (ATR) (RFA: TI 04-009; funding ended 2008) ended 2007) ☐ CSAP State Incentive Grant (RFA: SP 01-002; ☐ Recovery Community Services Program (RCSP) funding ended 2004) (RFA: TI 02-002, funding ended 2008) ☐ CSAP State Incentive Grant (RFA: SP 02-003; ☐ Recovery Community Services Program (RCSP) funding ended 2005) (RFA: TI 03-005 (II); funding ended 2007) ☐ CSAP State Incentive Grant (RFA: SP 03-004; ☐ Recovery Community Services Program (RCSP) (RFA: TI 04-008(III); funding ended 2008) funding ended 2006) ☐ Methamphetamine, Ecstasy, Inhalants and/or Other ☐ Screening, Brief Intervention, Referral & Treatment Club Drugs (RFA: SP 02-001; funding ended 2003) (SBIRT) (RFA: TI 03-009; funding ended 2008) ☐ Methamphetamine, Ecstasy, Inhalants and/or Other ☐ Strengthening Treatment Access & Retention Club Drugs (RFA: SP 02-002; funding ended 2003) (STAR) (RFA: TI 03-006; funding ended 2006) ☐ Methamphetamine, Ecstasy, Inhalants and/or Other Club Drugs (RFA: SP 03-006; funding ended 2006)

If you were not the director for one of the programs listed above, or if you are not familiar with the program identified in the e-mail directing you to this survey, please stop here and contact Margaret Lassiter at mlassiter@prainc.com.

	PROJECT INTENT AND DESIGN				
2.		ras the impetus for applying for this grant? (Check all that apply.)			
		Fund a new program for intended population			
		Expand an existing program for intended population			
		Restructure agency to better serve intended population			
		Respond to community concern about given issue			
		Better serve intended population			
		Other. Please describe			
3.	Who ch	nampioned this effort? (Check all that apply.)			
		Advocacy group(s)			
		State agency			
		Community-based organizations			
		Community leaders			
		Clients/consumers			
		Family members			
		Other. Please describe			
	_				
4.		as the intended population(s) to be served by your grant? (Check all that apply.)			
		People involved with the criminal justice system			
		People who use/abuse substances			
		Older adults			
		Children with mental illness			
		Minorities. Please identify the specific minority group(s)			
		Those with HIV and co-occurring substance use			
		Specific age group(s). Please specify			
		Other. Please describe			
5	Had vo	ur organization ever received a SAMSHA grant before?			
٥.		Yes			
		No			
		Don't know			
	٠	DOIL FUILOW			
6.	If yes, f	from what Center(s)? (Check all that apply.)			
	اً ت	Center for Mental Health Services (CMHS)			
		Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP)			
		Center for Substance Abuse Treatment (CSAT)			
		Don't know			

7.	Some SAMHSA grants do not fund direct services to individuals. Instead, their focus is on infrastructure development, meaning service delivery or systems change. Did this grant fund? [Check one.]				
	Check	Service delivery to individuals only			
		Services or systems change only			
		Both			
		Don't know			
PR	OJECT I	MPLEMENTATION			
8.	Was the	e project implemented as planned? Yes			
		Pretty much			
		No			
		Don't know			
Ω	M/bat c	hallenges did the project face? (Check all that apply.)			
Э.	vviiat C	Staff issues (turnover, needed more, not available)			
		Services (needed to be changed, needed more/less, ineffective)			
		Difficulties implementing computer systems, reporting systems, etc.			
		Delays in awarding subcontracts			
		Difficulties coordinating with related agencies/organizations			
		Lack of community support			
		No challenges were encountered			
		Other. Please describe			
10	. What n	nodifications did you make to address project challenges? (Check all that apply.)			
		Changed population(s) or geographic area served			
		Changed types or models of service(s) delivered or infrastructure activities implemented			
		Altered the number of participants			
		Modified staff structure (added more/changed roles)			
		Sought involvement of other community agencies			
		Chose different subcontractors			
		Other. Please describe No modifications were made			
	J	No modifications were made			
11		grant provide for a specific planning period?			
	_	Yes			
		No (Go to Q. 13)			
		Don't know (Go to Q. 14)			
12		t extent do you think the planning component contributed to sustainability and impacts of			
	the proj				
		Somewhat A little or not at all			
		Don't know (Go to Q. 14)			
		Doi: 1 111011 (00 to Q. 17)			

helpful	_	o what extent do you think a planning component would have been ability and impact of the project?	
		ssistance were available to you from SAMHSA? (Check all that apply.) tually use or receive? (Check all that apply.)	
Availal	ble Received/Use	ed	
		SAMHSA-sponsored technical assistance center Evaluation technical assistance Formal evidence-based practice (EBP) training or materials On-site visit(s) with GPO or expert consultants Phone consultation Contact (phone or in-person) with other grantees SAMHSA-sponsored website SAMHSA-sponsored listserv Other. Please describe. None (Go to Q. 16)	
PROJECT I	Імраст		
15. How in	nportant was the te Very important Somewhat impor Not at all importa Don't know		
16. Was an evaluation of the project conducted during the grant period? Yes No (Go to Q. 19) Don't know (Go to Q. 19)			
17. Was it	a Formative or pro- Outcome evaluati Both Don't know		

_ _	A lot Some A little or not at all Don't know
_ _	t extent did GPRA or NOMS measures contribute to the impact of the project? A lot Some A little or not at all Don't know
20. What s	State policy changes Local policy changes Other programs started statewide Expanded geographic service area Changes in other agency programs that serve same population Increased use of evidence-based practices Improved collaboration among community agencies Planning groups established Other. Please describe
PROJECT S	GUSTAINABILITY
	e project continued after Federal funding ended? Yes, pretty much as originally funded Yes, in part No (go to Q. 25) Don't know (go to end of survey)
	vpes of changes were made? (Check all that apply.) Population served Services delivered Program design Agency delivering services Staffing Other. Please describe No changes were made

23. After Federal funding ended how was the project funded? (Check all that apply.)				
	State agency			
	Local agency			
	Medicaid			
	Private			
	Blended			
	Other. Please describe			

24. How important were the following to the project's continuation? (Indicate whether each is very, somewhat or not at all important. Then rank the top 3 for importance to project continuation.)

Importance (Check one)				
Ver y	Some- what	Not at All	Rank	
				Planning process
				Availability of on-going EBP training or materials
				Administrative or fiscal technical assistance
				Evaluation technical assistance
				Evaluation findings or outcomes
				Fit into mission of organization
				Served intended population more effectively
				Having widespread community support
				Having a champion
				Other. Please describe.

25. How important were the following factors to the project's discontinuation? Please indicate which was the most important. (Indicate whether each is very, somewhat or not at all important. Then rank the most importance to the project's discontinuation.)

Importance (Check one)				
Very	Some- what	Not at All	Rank	
				Lack of leadership
				Problem was not significant in the community
				Staff turnover
				People busy with other tasks
				Not a priority
				Additional funding wasn't found
				Another agency is providing services to the intended population
				The program is being continued in a reduced/more targeted
				form
				Other. Please describe.

CONCLUSION

Thank you for completing this survey.