### SUPPORTING STATEMENT

### 1. <u>CIRCUMSTANCES NECESSITATING COLLECTION OF INFORMATION</u>

The collection of information is necessary to substantiate or support the tax treatment and reporting of certain redemptions by a corporation of stock from a spouse or former spouse. This information is required to establish the intent of the spouse or former spouses concerning the tax consequences of the transaction. The divorce or separation instrument, or a written agreement between the spouses or former spouses, are types of support which must be retained by the parties in order to obtain the tax treatment afforded in the regulations.

### 2. <u>USE OF DATA</u>

This information will be used to enable the IRS to determine whether the tax treatment by the spouses or former spouses of certain redemptions of stock is correct.

#### 3. USE OF IMPROVED INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY TO REDUCE BURDEN

IRS Publications, Regulations, Notices, and Letters are to be electronically enabled on an as practicable basis in accordance with the IRS Reform and Restructuring Act of 1998.

## 4. EFFORTS TO IDENTIFY DUPLICATION

We have attempted to eliminate duplication within the agency wherever possible.

## 5. <u>METHODS TO MINIMIZE BURDEN ON SMALL BUSINESSES OR OTHER</u> <u>SMALL ENTITIES</u>

Not applicable.

## 6. <u>CONSEQUENCES OF LESS FREQUENT COLLECTION ON FEDERAL PROGRAMS</u> <u>OR POLICY ACTIVITIES</u>

Not applicable.

## 7. <u>SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES REQUIRING DATA COLLECTION TO BE</u> <u>INCONSISTENT WITH GUIDELINES IN 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2)</u>

Not applicable.

# 8. <u>CONSULTATION WITH INDIVIDUALS OUTSIDE OF THE AGENCY ON</u> <u>AVAILABILITY OF DATA, FREQUENCY OF COLLECTION, CLARITY</u> <u>OF INSTRUCTIONS AND FORMS, AND DATA ELEMENTS</u>

The notice of proposed rulemaking was published in the Federal Register on August 3, 2001, (66 FR 40659). A public hearing was scheduled for December 14, 2001. Because no requests to speak were timely received, the public hearing was cancelled. The final regulations were published in the Federal Register on January 13, 2004, (68 FR 1534).

We received no comments during the comment period in response to the Federal Register notice (75 FR 38185), dated July 1, 2010.

## 9. <u>EXPLANATION OF DECISION TO PROVIDE ANY PAYMENT OR GIFT TO</u> <u>RESPONDENTS</u>

Not applicable.

### 10. ASSURANCE OF CONFIDENTIALITY OF RESPONSES

Generally, tax returns and tax return information are confidential as required by 26 USC 6103.

#### 11. JUSTIFICATION OF SENSITIVE QUESTIONS

Not applicable.

#### 12. ESTIMATED BURDEN OF INFORMATION COLLECTION

Section 1.1041-2(c) permits spouses or former spouses to treat redemption of stock of one spouse (the first spouse) as a transfer of that stock to the other spouse (the second spouse) in exchange for the redemption proceeds and a redemption of the stock from the second spouse in exchange for the redemption proceeds if they reflect their intent to do so in a written agreement or if a divorce or separation agreement requires such treatment. This information must be retained and is required for the spouses or former spouses to report properly the tax consequences of the redemption. The estimated number of such transactions per year is 1,000. The estimated average annual burden per applicant to provide information required in section 1.1041-2(c) of the proposed regulations is ½ hour, and the total annual reporting and/or recordkeeping burden is 500 hours  $(1/2 \times 1,000)$ . Estimates of the annualized cost to respondents for the hour burdens shown are not available at this time.

### 13. ESTIMATED TOTAL ANNUAL COST BURDEN TO RESPONDENTS

As suggested by OMB, our Federal Register notice dated July 1, 2010, requested public comments on estimates of cost burden that are not captured in the estimates of burden hours, i.e., estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information. However, we did not receive any response from taxpayers on this subject. As a result, estimates of the cost burdens are not available at this time.

## 14. ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED COST TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

Not applicable.

### 15. <u>REASONS FOR CHANGE IN BURDEN</u>

There are no changes in the paperwork burden previously approved by OMB. We are making this submission to renew the OMB approval.

#### 16. PLANS FOR TABULATION, STATISTICAL ANALYSIS AND PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

## 17. <u>REASONS WHY DISPLAYING THE OMB EXPIRATION DATE IS</u> <u>INAPPROPRIATE</u>

We believe that displaying the OMB expiration date is inappropriate because it could cause confusion by leading taxpayers to believe the regulations sunset as of the expiration date. Taxpayers are not likely to be aware that the Service intends to request renewal of the OMB approval and obtain a new expiration date before the old one expires.

## 18. EXCEPTIONS TO THE CERTIFICATION STATEMENT ON OMB FORM 83-I

Not applicable.

## <u>Note:</u> The following paragraph applies to all of the collections

### of information in this submission:

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a valid OMB control number. Books or records relating to a collection of information must be retained as long as their contents may become material in the administration of any internal revenue law. Generally, tax returns and tax return information are confidential, as required by 26 U.S.C. 6103.

\*If emergency approval is needed, please give reason.