Community Development Financial Institutions Fund, Department of the Treasury

Supporting Statement for Community Development Financial Institutions Program Native American CDFI Assistance (NACA) Program Application (CDFI Form number: CDFI-0009)

A. Justification

1. Circumstances necessitating collection of information

The 4th Continuing Resolution (Pub. L. No. 110-5) authorizes the CDFI Fund to provide financial assistance and technical assistance, training and outreach programs to benefit Native American, Alaska Native and Native Hawaiian communities (hereafter referred to as "Native American Communities"), with such benefit being provided primarily through qualified community development lender organizations with experience and expertise in community development banking and lending in Indian country, Native American organizations, Tribes, tribal entities, and other suitable providers. Form CDFI 0009 (attached) was be used by applicants to apply for this assistance through the Native American CDFI Assistance (NACA) Program. The information collected was used to select awardees, based on a merit-based selection process. The information is required by the respective Notice of Funds Availability (NOFA) as published in the Federal Register.

2. Method of collection and use of data

The CDFI Fund will collect data once per funding round by means of an application. Applicants must complete and upload the application and all attachments (including legal certifications, eligibility forms, narrative answers, and financial information) via Grants.gov or through their myCDFIFund Account – the CDFI Fund's database maintained for every applicant. All Application materials use Microsoft (MS) Word or Microsoft Excel templates, which will allow greater ease for collecting and compiling data into a database. The CDFI Fund uses the data collected to select eligible applicants that are the most highly qualified and to determine the applicable award amounts. All Application materials use MS Word, MS Excel templates or PDF.

3. Use of Information Technology

The CDFI Fund intends to make the NACA Program application available on the CDFI Fund's website for downloading and viewing. The CDFI Fund will also provide the application through the Grants.gov portal, which will reduce applicant burden by allowing them to enter data directly into the requested format. This Internet-based application will reduce burden by eliminating document production and mailing. Paper applications are no longer accepted.

4. Efforts to identify duplication

The CDFI Fund requests information from applicants that they are likely to have readily available and in a convenient form. The CDFI Fund has eliminated areas of duplication, for example, by eliminating the requirement that applicants report on their past compliance with CDFI Fund Assistance Agreements if the applicant has previously received funding from the CDFI Fund. The CDFI Fund now has this information available in a database. In addition, the CDFI Fund has consulted with the federal financial regulatory agencies to determine what information is available to the CDFI Fund from these sources. Thus, the CDFI Fund is able to avoid requesting information from applicants that is otherwise available from other federal sources.

5. Impact on small entities

This collection of information is not expected to have significant impact on small entities. The CDFI Fund reviewed this application to determine where questions and charts could be eliminated or consolidated. Finally, the CDFI Fund considered input from the public in developing the application. The result is an application that gathers only the information needed by the CDFI Fund to make a prudent funding decision, thereby minimizing any potential burden on small entities.

6. Consequences of less frequent collection and obstacles to burden reduction

The CDFI Fund cannot make funding decisions without the data received from applicants as presented in the application.

7. Circumstances requiring special information collection

Any confidential business information submitted by applicants is safeguarded through the CDFI Fund's records management procedures and information technology security protocols.

Community Development Financial Institutions Fund, Department of the Treasury

The CDFI Fund requires Applicants to submit information on their Target Market through the Community Investment Mapping System (CIMS). This system allows the Applicant to enter decennial census data into CIMS, which helps determine if the communities they serve or intend to serve are qualified census tracts under the CDFI Program. This effort was in place with prior applications and does not change with this application.

8. Solicitation of comments on information collection

Comments were solicited through the *Federal Register* (75 FR 11634) on March 8, 2010, a copy of which is attached. The comments were due to the CDFI Fund on May 10, 2010 and the CDFI Fund and the following a list of the comments from the public.

NACA Program – OMB PRA Number [1559-0025]			
	Positive Comments from the Public for PRA Renewal		
Responder	Comment:	Notes:	
Native CDFI Network	Debriefings	Responder Explanation: The Fund began an application "debriefing" on NACA applications in 2009 and that should continue as it helped many groups understand the strengths and weaknesses of their applications, especially in meeting the minimum prudent standards requirements. CDFI Fund Response: This issue is not related to the application but to the program. It is a CDFI Fund policy decision as to whether application debriefings should be continued or not.	

CDFI Program – OMB PRA Number 1559-0025		
Negative Comments From the Public for PRA Renewal		
Responder:	Comment:	Notes:
Native CDFI Network	Self-Sufficiency Ratio	Responder Explanation: Decrease the self-sufficiency ratio requirements. Some of the strongest and best performing Native CDFIs in the country are challenged in meeting the self-sufficiency ratio. They are serving high-poverty low-income communities that often require patient capital and step-lending development. The lack of resources and partners in the rural areas require the Native CDFIs to incur the cost for the development services that are critical to building human capital and economic justice.
		CDFI Fund Response: This issue is not related to the application but to the program. It is a CDFI Fund policy decision as to self-sufficiency ratio requirements are changed.
Native CDFI Network	Expense Reporting	Responder Explanation: Allow separate reporting of IDA matched savings expenses. For all Native CDFIs currently running a Native IDA Program, allow all IDA matched saving expenses to be reported as "other expenses" instead of being included in a Native CDFI's operating expenses. The way in which these expenses are currently reported create a misleading self-sufficiency ratio that is further exacerbated by the unique factors affecting Native communities.
		CDFI Fund Response: This issue is not related to the application but to the program. It is a CDFI Fund policy decision as to how Individual Development Accounts should be considered.

CDFI Program – OMB PRA Number 1559-0025 Neutral Comments From the Public for PRA Renewal		
Responder:	Comment:	Notes:
Native CDFI	Matching Funds	Responder Explanation: Remove the term "form and" from the statute. A
Network	Requirement	broader set of sources of funds should be eligible as matching funds. The form of the award should be at the discretion of the awardees to enable them to use the award to increase their capital.

CDFI Program – OMB PRA Number 1559-0025 Neutral Comments From the Public for PRA Renewal			
	Neutral Comments From the Public for PRA Renewal		
		Reducing the match requirement by 50 percent is more appropriate to Native CDFIs economic landscapes where there is little or no other investment occurring due to the lack of financial institutions, the limited number of foundation sources available and in general, low state and local resources deployed in Native communities.	
		Lastly, the language should be expanded to give the Fund greater authority to waive the match in those circumstances the Fund deems a waiver is required. We believe the Fund Director, rather than Congress, should be able to make a judgment to waive or alter matching funds requirements for Native CDFIs to further the goals and growth of Native CDFIs serving Native populations.	
		CDFI Fund Response: This issue is not related to the application but to the program. Matching funds is a CDFI Fund statutory requirement, implemented via CDFI Fund policies.	
Native CDFI Network	Making Native Initiatives permanent	Responder Explanation: Yes, the Native American CDFI Assistance (NACA) should be permanent. The Native Initiatives Program has been critical in increasing access to capital in Native communities, and fueling Native-driven economic and community development. Such a successful program should be given permanence within the authorizing statutes, especially considering the plight of Native communities across the United States.	
		CDFI Fund Response : This issue is not related to the application but to the program. The responder's request requires a statutory solution.	
Lumbee Regional Development	Making Native Initiatives permanent	Responder Explanation : The Native Initiatives Program should be made permanent.	
Association		CDFI Fund Response : This issue is not related to the application but to the program. The responder's request requires a statutory solution.	
Native CDFI Network	Additional Services for Native communities	Responder Explanation: Provide Training and Technical Assistance (TTA) for established/mature CDFIs. It has become increasingly important for TTA to also address the training and assistance needs of mature CDFIs. Many Native CDFIs are on the frontier of the CDFI movement in their communities and TTA is critical to successfully positioning organizations for continued growth and will contribute to the synthesis of new Native "best practices" for younger CDFIs to eventually adopt.	
		CDFI Fund Response : This issue is not related to the application but to the program. It is a CDFI Fund policy decision as to how training and technical assistance should be provided.	
Lumbee Regional Development	Additional Services for Native communities	Responder Explanation: Additional training on the applications process, as well as more workshops for the applicants to attend in person.	
Association		CDFI Fund Response : This issue is not related to the application but to the program. It is a CDFI Fund policy decision as to how application training should be provided.	
Lumbee	Additional Services for	Responder Explanation: More fairness in the awarding of funds. The	

CDFI Program – OMB PRA Number 1559-0025			
	Neutral Comments From the Public for PRA Renewal		
Regional Development Association	Native communities	same organizations win all the funding each award cycle. Instead of awarding 1-8 organizations the whole 12 million, share it equally with those that do apply. CDFI Fund Response: This issue is not related to the application but to	
		the program. Awards are made according to the Notice of Funding Availability.	
Native CDFI Network	IDA Initiative	Responder Explanation: Reinstate the Native IDA Initiative. The Native IDA Initiative was very successful, and was only beginning to take hold when the funding was halted. The Native IDA Initiative was successful in seeding program development and would continue to be beneficial for Native community development.	
		CDFI Fund Response: This issue is not related to the application but to the program. It is a CDFI Fund policy decision as to whether the Native IDA initiative gets reinstated.	
Native CDFI Network	Increase Appropriated Funds	Responder Explanation: Allocate 10% of appropriated funds to the NACA Program for FA and TA. Increasing the availability of these awards will greatly impact emerging and certified Native CDFIs, allowing them to build their capacity and delivery of greatly needed services.	
		CDFI Fund Response: This issue is not related to the application but to the program. It is a CDFI Fund policy decision as to how program funding is allocated.	
Native CDFI Network	Native American Lending Study	Responder Explanation: Update the Native American Lending Study. Reliable and current data would give federal agencies, Native organizations, tribal governments, and Congressional leaders the opportunity to understand both the positive impact CDFIs have had on increasing access to capital for Native communities, and at the same time identify critical areas that still need attention from policymakers.	
		CDFI Fund Response: This issue is not related to the application but to the program. It is a CDFI Fund policy decision as when the Native American Lending Study gets updated.	
Veronica Stanford Consulting	Native American Lending Study	Responder Explanation: Update the Native American Lending Study to quantify unmet financing demand and lack of access to capital on a national basis. An update would inform potential funding sources, including the CDFI Fund, of the unmet need in Native Communities. This study could also highlight Native CDFIs that are successfully addressing challenges in their communities, thus providing additional support for future investment in Native CDFIs from a range of funding sources including foundations, financial institutions and other private sector investors.	
		CDFI Fund Response: This issue is not related to the application but to the program. It is a CDFI Fund policy decision as when the Native American Lending Study gets updated.	
Native CDFI Network	NMTC Program	Responder Explanation: Allocate 5% of New Markets Tax Credit Program for Native lands. This 5% allocation would be for investment on Native restricted fee lands, American Indian reservations, Alaska Native lands established under the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act of 1971, and Native Hawaiian home lands as established by the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act of 1921.	

CDFI Program – OMB PRA Number 1559-0025			
	Neutral Comments From the Public for PRA Renewal		
		Additionally, because these land areas face unique legal, political and regulatory complexities that make investment and development challenging, Native lands should automatically be eligible for NMTC investment, whether or not these areas are located in a low-income Census track.	
		CDFI Fund Response: This issue is not related to the application but to the program. It is a CDFI Fund policy decision as how program funds get allocated.	
Native CDFI Network	Limit of TA grants received	Responder Explanation: There should be no limit on TA grants. Experience indicates that Native CDFIs will often take five to six years to become operational, which is marked in contrast to mainstream CDFIs, which often only take two years, on average, to achieve a similar level of development. We foresee continuing improvement and refinement to Native CDFI business models and, as such, there will be an ongoing need for support to achieve their benchmarks and goals.	
		CDFI Fund Response: This issue is not related to the application but to the program. It is a CDFI Fund policy decision as how program funds get allocated.	
Lumbee Regional Development	Limit of TA grants received	Responder Explanation: There should be a limit of 10 TA grants. No more than 10 awards would be sufficient.	
Association		CDFI Fund Response: This issue is not related to the application but to the program. It is a CDFI Fund policy decision as how program funds get allocated.	
Veronica Stanford Consulting	Limit of TA grants received	Responder Explanation: Should the CDFI Fund determine a limit on technical assistance grants, the threshold for this determination should not be based on the number of technical assistance awards already received. Instead an appropriate consideration may require the applicant to demonstrate a lack of access to other resources, as well as efforts to secure other resources.	
		CDFI Fund Response: This issue is not related to the application but to the program. It is a CDFI Fund policy decision as how program funds get allocated.	
Native CDFI Network	Seed Financing for Non- certified CDFIs	Responder Explanation: The CDFI Fund should provide seed financing to non-certified Native CDFIs.	
		CDFI Fund Response: This issue is not related to the application but to the program. This request requires a statutory consideration.	
Lumbee Regional Development Association	Seed Financing for Non- certified CDFIs	Responder Explanation: A seed investment for emerging Native CDFIs would allow them to begin their operations and lending activity, and begin to demonstrate performance in the target market.	
		CDFI Fund Response: This issue is not related to the application but to the program. This request requires a statutory consideration.	
Veronica Stanford Consulting	Seed Financing for Non- certified CDFIs	Responder Explanation: Awards of seed funding should be based on a competitive assessment of the Native CDFI's comprehensive business plan in order to facilitate investment in emerging Native CDFIs that have the organizational and program elements in place to ensure effect business plan implementation. Seed funding could be based on a	

CDFI Program – OMB PRA Number 1559-0025			
	Neutral Comments From the Public for PRA Renewal		
		percentage set-aside such as 10% of annual funding availability under the NACA program and should be limited to a one-time grant of up to, for example, \$100,000. However, such a limit on initial seed funding would be insufficient for residential purchase loans in high cost areas and therefore may need to be further evaluated.	
		CDFI Fund Response: This issue is not related to the application but to the program. This request requires a statutory consideration.	
Lumbee Regional Development Association	Graduation of NACA Program	Responder Explanation: A Native CDFI should graduate to the CDFI Program after 10 years. CDFI Fund Response: This issue is not related to the application but to	
		the program. This is a CDFI Fund policy decision as to who is eligible for funding under a program.	
Native CDFI Network	Graduation of NACA Program	Responder Explanation: There should be no limit to the amount of NACA awards received. The CDFI Fund's existing programs create a natural transition for Native CDFIs once they expand the capacity of their loan funds and have greater need and demand for financial assistance. These cases are very few and far between, but we've actually seen one or two Native CDFIs "graduate" themselves as they stabilized operations and succeeded with the initial NACA rounds.	
		CDFI Fund Response: This issue is not related to the application but to the program. This is a CDFI Fund policy decision as to who is eligible for funding under a program.	
Veronica Stanford Consulting	Graduation of NACA Program	Responder Explanation: A Native CDFI should be considered sufficiently established to compete on a national level for funding from the CDFI Fund and other sources. Guidelines could be based in part on those established for a SECA award under the CDFI Program which limits applicants to \$5 million in total assets or prior financial assistance awards of \$500,000. However, given significantly limited resources for Native Communities and the lack of access to financing, a more reasoned approach would reflect these considerations by providing alternative benchmarks for graduation from the NACA program such as the following criteria: 1. Total assets of \$5 million or more; 2. Prior financial assistance awards of \$1 million to \$2 million (to be determined based on further evaluation by the CDFI Fund); and 3. At least five to seven years of lending operations (also to be determined by the CDFI Fund). A Native CDFI would graduate from the NACA program only when all three criteria have been met. The larger total of financial assistance awards, as compared to SECA awards, recognizes the significant resource limitations of many Native Communities. The five to seven years of lending operations would allow the Native CDFI to establish a strong track record in achieving significant community impacts, managing its loan portfolio and demonstrating financial stability. By combining all three criteria, the CDFI Fund could ensure that Native CDFIs are only deemed to graduate from the NACA Program when they are sufficiently well established (1) to compete against CORE applicants for the CDFI Fund program, and (2) to secure funding and capital investments from other sources.	

CDFI Program – OMB PRA Number 1559-0025		
Neutral Comments From the Public for PRA Renewal		
	CDFI Fund Response: This issue is not related to the application but to the program. This is a CDFI Fund policy decision as to who is eligible for funding under a program.	

9. Provision of payment to respondents

No payments or gifts will be made to respondents.

10. Assurance of confidentiality

The CDFI Fund is subject to all applicable Federal regulations with respect to confidentiality of information supplied in the application process. No specific assurance of confidentiality has been provided to applicants.

11. Justification of sensitive questions.

No questions of a sensitive nature are asked in the application form.

12. Estimate of the hour burden of information collection.

The total hour burden of this information collection is estimated at 5,200 hours.

Application for Native American CDFI Assistance Program (Form 0009):80 respondents x 1 response annually x 100 hours per respondent = 8,000 hours

The CDFI Fund estimates the number of respondents based on the number of applicants under the 2010 Native American CDFI Assistance (NACA) program that would be eligible to apply to the NACA Program, the growth in the number of Native CDFIs, increased appropriated funding, and the results of a vigorous and successful outreach program.

The hour estimate is based on the information collected from the CDFI Fund from 2010 applicants on the estimated time to complete the application.

13. Estimate of total annual cost burden to respondents

It is not expected that this information collection will have a cost burden to respondents other than the hour burden described in item number 12. No purchases of equipment or services will need to be made by respondents for the sole purpose of completing the application.

14. Estimate of annualized cost to the Government

The primary costs to the Government are the formatting of the application format and the time needed to review submitted applications.

15. Any program changes or adjustments

Information previously collected in narrative form (such as financial and performance metrics) will be gathered in tables to insure consistency across applications. The remaining narrative will be streamlined. The increase in burden is due to the growth in the number of certified Native CDFIs, increased appropriated funding, and the results of a vigorous and successful outreach program conducted in FY 2010.

The number of certified CDFIs in FY 2001 was 14; the number at the end of 2010 was 59, which is a 400% increase in nine years. In FY 2009, the NACA program was appropriated about \$15 million for Recovery Act and appropriations. In FY 2008, the appropriation was \$3.5 million. In addition, in the summer of 2010, the CDFI Fund and the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, Seattle Branch, co-sponsored a series of workshops in five cities across the nation. The one-day workshops attracted more than 400 participants. Forty percent of the FY 2011 NACA applicant pool attended one of the workshops.

The burden per Native CDFI has increased because they have become more complicated in the past few years. They have introduced new products and services, developed their strategic approaches to the target market, and implemented community development impact tracking systems. This additional knowledge requires more time to compile the information and describe in the application's narrative.

Community Development Financial Institutions Fund, Department of the Treasury

The 5,400 hour increase is attributed to:

- 2,600 hours due to the increase of the number of responses from 40 to 80 (adjustment), and
- 2,800 hours due to the additional reporting requirements (program change), resulting in an increase burden to respondent from 65 hours to 100 hours.

Previous approval of 2,600 hours + 5,400 hours requested = 8,000.

16. Plans for information tabulation and publication

The information collected through this application form will not be published.

17. Reasons for not displaying expiration date of OMB approval

The display of the OMB expiration date would cause confusion by respondents due to the limit duration of the application period. It is requested not to display the expiration date.

18. Explanation of exceptions to certification statement Not applicable.

B. Collections of Information Employing Statistical Methods Not applicable.