

Survey of Participants in the 2010 Census Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) Program

The U.S. Census Bureau has contracted with Avar Consulting, Inc. to obtain the views of governments regarding the LUCA Program for the 2010 Census. This information will help the Census Bureau make improvements to similar programs in the future.

Avar will report final results of the survey in summary fashion.

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 13 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Respondents are not required to respond to any information collection unless it displays a valid approval number from the Office of Management and Budget. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to: Paperwork Project 0607-0760, U.S. Census Bureau, 4600 Silver Hill Road, Room 3K138, Washington, DC 20233. You may e-mail comments to Paperwork@census.gov; use "Paperwork Project 0607-0760" as the subject.

[Start](#)

U S C E N S U S B U R E A U
Helping You Make Informed Decisions

Powered by Apian SurveyPro Survey Software

Survey of Participants in the 2010 Census Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) Program

Completed 

INSTRUCTIONS

ABOUT THE PROGRAM

The Census Address List Improvement Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-430) strengthened the Census Bureau's partnership capabilities with tribal, state, and local governments by expanding the methods the Census Bureau could use to exchange address information. Designed to improve the accuracy of the Census Bureau's address list, the Act authorized the Census Bureau to provide individual addresses to officials of tribal, state, and local governments who agreed to conditions of confidentiality. Census 2000 marked the first decennial census for which the Census Bureau could provide its address list for review to governments that signed the required confidentiality agreement.

ABOUT THE SURVEY

Please complete this questionnaire to communicate your government's views about:

- Opportunities to review the U.S. Census Bureau's addresses and maps,
- Your government's participation in the LUCA Program,
- Communications with the Census Bureau staff related to the LUCA Program, and
- Future interest in programs designed to update the Census Bureau's address information.

WHO SHOULD COMPLETE THIS SURVEY

- The ideal respondent is the person most knowledgeable about the LUCA Program, usually the person designated by your government as the LUCA Program point of contact.
- If you are not the person most knowledgeable concerning the LUCA Program, please forward this questionnaire to the most appropriate person.



USCENSUSBUREAU
Helping You Make Informed Decisions

Powered by Apian SurveyPro Survey Software

Survey of Participants in the 2010 Census Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) Program

Completed 

OMB Clearance Number: 0607-0760
Expiration Date: February 28, 2014

1. The Census Bureau sent notification of the 2010 Census LUCA Program six months in advance of registration to allow governments to consider issues such as budget and staffing needs, confidentiality and security requirements, participation option choices, and LUCA liaison designation. Based on your experience, how much advance notice time did you need before the LUCA program registration?
(Please indicate the number of months)

2. The Census Bureau allowed 120 calendar days to review the 2010 Census LUCA INITIAL review materials. Based on your experience, how much time did you need for the review of these materials? (Please indicate the number of calendar days)

3. Did any of the following factors listed below influence your decision to participate in the LUCA Program?

	Did not do this			This was the MOST important factor. Mark ONE box only
	Yes	No	this	
Reading the program information in the advance mailing	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Attending a Promotional Workshop	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Reading the program information in the registration mailing	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Attending a Technical Training Workshop	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Attending a Census Bureau presentation at a professional conference(s)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Receiving encouragement from other levels of government or professional groups	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Receiving resources such as staff or funding provided by other levels of government or other organizations	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Participating in Census related activities as part of my day-to-day responsibilities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Reading information from the LUCA website	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Other, please describe:

4. The 2010 Census LUCA Program offered three participation options:

- Option 1-Title 13 Full Address List Review
- Option 2-Title 13 Local Address List Submission
- Option 3-Non-Title 13 Local Address List Submission

Did any of the following activities help you to understand the differences among the three participation options available to you?

	Did not do this			This was the MOST helpful activity. Mark ONE box only
	Yes	No	this	
Reading the program information in the advance mailing	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Attending a Promotional Workshop	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Reading the program information in the registration mailing	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Attending a Technical Training Workshop	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Contacting a Regional Census Center	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Contacting the Technical Help Desk	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Reading information from the LUCA website	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

5. At the time of your registration for the 2010 Census LUCA Program, did you understand all three participation options?

- Yes, we understood all three.
- No, we did not understand all three.

6. To what extent did the option you selected meet your needs? (Please choose one)

- To a great extent
- To a good extent
- To a moderate extent
- To a minimal extent
- Not at all

7. What changes to any of the three options would you recommend? (Please describe)



USCENSUSBUREAU
Helping You Make Informed Decisions

Powered by Apian SurveyPro Survey Software

Survey of Participants in the 2010 Census Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) Program

Completed

8. Were any of the following resources helpful in understanding the INITIAL LUCA materials and procedures?

	Did not do this			This was the MOST helpful resource. Mark ONE box only
	Yes	No		
Attending a Technical Workshop	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Reading the Users Guide	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Contacting a Regional Census Center	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Contacting the Technical Help Desk	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Reading information from the LUCA website	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

9. Were you aware that you could limit the INITIAL LUCA review to selected areas within your jurisdiction?

- Yes, we were aware that the review could be limited.
- No, we were not aware that the review could be limited.

10. Were you aware that you could coordinate with other LEVELS of government or regional agencies to review Census Bureau address materials and prepare a joint or regional LUCA submission?

- Yes, we were aware that the review could be coordinated.
- No, we were not aware that the review could be coordinated.



USCENSUSBUREAU
Helping You Make Informed Decisions

Powered by Apian SurveyPro Survey Software

Survey of Participants in the 2010 Census Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) Program

Completed 

10a. Did you coordinate with any other levels of government?

- Yes, another level reviewed for us.
- Yes, we reviewed for other levels.
- No, we did not coordinate with other levels or regional agencies.



USCENSUSBUREAU
Helping You Make Informed Decisions

Powered by Apian SurveyPro Survey Software

Survey of Participants in the 2010 Census Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) Program

Completed 

10b. Would you have participated in LUCA without this assistance?

- Yes
- No

10c. Were you satisfied with the review by a different level of government?

- Yes
- No



USCENSUSBUREAU
Helping You Make Informed Decisions

Powered by Apian SurveyPro Survey Software

Survey of Participants in the 2010 Census Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) Program

Completed 

11. During the 120-day INITIAL review period, the Census Bureau sent reminder letters approximately 45 days and 90 days after your receipt of the materials. What number of reminder letters would have best met your needs?

- One
- Two
- Three

12. The Census Bureau provided participants with a LUCA Computer Based Training CD-ROM. How helpful do you think the training was in your participation?

- Very helpful
- Somewhat helpful
- Not helpful
- Did not view the training CD-ROM

13. The Census Bureau provided two ways for participants to obtain assistance and ask questions on how to prepare and conduct a local review of addresses, features, and legal boundaries: a) a Technical Help Desk and b) your Regional Census Center. Please tell us which of these you used, if any, and whether the response you received helped you prepare and ship your LUCA submission.

	Did you use this assistance?		Was the response helpful or not?	
	Yes	No	Helpful	Not helpful
Technical Help Desk	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Your Regional Census Center (RCC)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

14. For the 2010 Census LUCA Program the Census Bureau structured the work in such a way that a governmental unit could submit changes or updates to the legal boundary for their jurisdiction as part of LUCA by collaborating with the Census Bureau's Boundary and Annexation Survey (BAS) contact.

Did you submit any legal boundary changes or updates as part of the LUCA program?

- Yes, we submitted legal boundary changes or updates.
- No, we did not submit legal boundary changes or updates.



U.S. CENSUS BUREAU
Helping You Make Informed Decisions

Powered by Apian SurveyPro Survey Software

Survey of Participants in the 2010 Census Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) Program

Completed 

14a. When you submitted legal boundary updates, please tell us whether any of the following were true for you or your jurisdiction in 2010. (Choose all that apply)

Advantages of collaboration with BAS contact

- Collaboration enabled us to save staff time or resources for consultants by preparing and returning one submission for two programs.
- Collaboration made the process easier since the LUCA liaison and the BAS contact were the same individual.
- Collaboration helped in another way. (Please describe)

Disadvantages of collaboration with BAS contact

- Collaboration complicated both submissions because the LUCA liaison and the BAS contact were different individuals.
- Collaboration complicated the process because of time issues, such as getting both the LUCA liaison and the BAS contact to meet the same deadlines.
- Collaboration caused another problem. (Please describe)



U S C E N S U S B U R E A U
Helping You Make Informed Decisions

Powered by Apian SurveyPro Survey Software

Survey of Participants in the 2010 Census Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) Program

Completed 

15. During the INITIAL review phase of the 2010 Census LUCA Program, how useful was the Address Count List?

- Very useful
- Somewhat useful
- Not very useful
- Not useful at all
- We did not consult or did not try to use the Address Count List



USCENSUSBUREAU
Helping You Make Informed Decisions

Powered by Apian SurveyPro Survey Software

Survey of Participants in the 2010 Census Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) Program

16. Please list any suggestions you have regarding a future LUCA program.

17. As you may know, your LUCA submission was verified during the Census Bureau's Address Canvassing Operation. The Census Bureau provided you with the results during the LUCA FEEDBACK phase. In the future, if the Census Bureau shared its address list information for you to update on an annual basis, do you think this would eliminate the need for a 100 percent address canvassing operation in the year prior to the 2020 Decennial Census?

- Yes
- No



USCENSUSBUREAU
Helping You Make Informed Decisions

Powered by Apian SurveyPro Survey Software

***Survey of Participants in the 2010 Census Local Update of
Census Addresses (LUCA) Program***

**Thank you for participating in the Survey of Participants in the 2010 Census
Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) Program.**

USCENSUSBUREAU
Helping You Make Informed Decisions

Powered by Apian SurveyPro Survey Software