

Case Studies of Selected Communities and States Funded under Community Activities under the Communities Putting Prevention to Work (CPPW) Initiative
RTI Recommendations for Site Selection
October 1, 2010

Intensive case studies will be conducted with 21 CPPW sites (six states and 15 communities) and three Affordable Care Act communities. In selecting candidate sites for CDC consideration, RTI aimed to reflect a mix of awardee characteristics related to several key dimensions:

- Program focus
- Experience with similar policy, systems, and environmental change efforts
- Award type
- Geographic region
- Participation in the Biometric Supplement

The cases selected should represent the following:

CPPW Communities = 16

CPPW States = 6 (Minnesota, South Carolina, Wisconsin, Oregon, New York, Mississippi)

Affordable Care Act Communities = 3

Based upon a review of awardees' distribution across these selection criteria, RTI presents the following CPPW communities as recommendations for consideration for inclusion in the CPPW Case Study Evaluation.

CPPW States and Related Communities

Six States were suggested for inclusion by OSH and DNPAO. Those states are included in Table 1. We suggest including one CPPW community in each selected state; this will enable us to examine synergies between state and community efforts. We would like the CPPW Team at CDC to provide guidance on which communities should be selected for Minnesota, South Carolina, and Wisconsin. Oregon and New York currently have one CPPW community in each state and Mississippi does not have a CPPW community. If this approach is utilized, five of our 16 CPPW community case study sites will be selected from this subset.

Table 1 also includes 12 additional candidate communities. These were selected to capture the full range of key characteristics listed above. Including communities that are undertaking biometric studies will create opportunities to interpret those findings in the context of the overall case study findings.

Table 1: CPPW States and Corresponding Communities Suggested for Consideration

Candidate community	State Selected for Case Study (state listed if yes)	Biometric Supplement Site (yes if included)	Program Focus (Obesity, Tobacco, or Dual)	Award Type	Geographic Region	Experience 0= little 1= Some 2=more	Recommend for Inclusion (Mark X)	Notes
Minneapolis OR Olmstead Co., MN	Minnesota		Obesity	Small City/Rural	Midwest	1 and 1 respectively		
Florence Co. OR Horry Co., SC	South Carolina		Tobacco	Small City/Rural	South	0 and 0 respectively		
LaCrosse Co. OR Wood Co., WI	Wisconsin		Obesity	Small City/Rural	Midwest	1 and 0 respectively		
Multnomah Co., OR	Oregon		Tobacco	Small City/Rural	West	1		
New York City, NY	New York		Dual	Large City	Northeast	2		
N/A	Mississippi			Large City	South			
Los Angeles, CA		Yes	Dual	Large City	West	2		
Philadelphia, PA		Yes	Dual	Large City	Northeast	2		
San Diego, CA		Yes	Obesity	Large City	West	0		
Mid Ohio Valley, WV		Yes	Obesity	Small City/Rural	South	2		
Pueblo of Jemez, NM			Obesity	Tribe	West	0		
Kauai OR Maui, HI			Obesity	Small City/Rural	South	1 and 1 respectively		
Portland OR Healthy Lakes, ME			Obesity	Small City/Rural	Northeast	0 and 0 respectively		
Austin/Travis Co., TX			Tobacco	Urban Area	South	1		
Providence, RI			Tobacco	Small City/Rural	Northeast	1		
Douglas Co., NB			Tobacco	Large City	Midwest	1		

Affordable Care Act Sites (Pick up to 3)

Up to three Affordable Care Act sites can be selected for inclusion in the case study. When considering North Carolina and Arkansas, note that all four sites are presented but only one from each state should be selected for inclusion in the case study.

Table 2: Affordable Care Act Sites

Candidate community	Program focus (Obesity, Tobacco, or Dual)	Award Type	Geographic Region	Experience 0= little 1= Some 2=more	Recommend for inclusion (Mark X)	Notes
Pinellas Co., FL	Obesity	Urban Area	South	1		
Mobile Co., AL	Tobacco	Small City/Rural	South	0		
DeKalb Co., GA	Tobacco	Urban Area	South	2		
Southern Nevada Health District, NV	Dual	Large City	West	0		
Appalachian District HD OR Pitt Co., NC	Obesity	Small City/Rural	South	0 and 0 respectively		
City of N. Little Rock OR Independence Co., AR	Obesity	Small City/Rural	South	0 and 0 respectively		