# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY - FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY RIVERINE STRUCTURES FORM

O.M.B No. 1660-0016 Expires: 12/31/201<u>30</u>

## PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT

Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 7 hours per response. The burden estimate includes the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the needed data, and completing, reviewing, and submitting the form. You are not required to respond to this collection of information unless a valid OMB control number appears in the upper right corner of this form. Send comments regarding the accuracy of the burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing this burden to: Information Collections Management, U.S. Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street, SW, Washington DC 20472, Paperwork Reduction Project (1660-0016). Submission of the form is required to obtain or retain benefits under the National Flood Insurance Program. **Please do not send your completed survey to the above address.** 

Flooding Source: Note: Fill out one form for each flooding source studied

A. GENERAL

Com	plete and submit the app	ropriate section(s) for each Struct	ure listed below:		
	Channelization Bridge/Culvert Dam/Basin Levee/Floodwall	complete Section B complete Section C complete Section D			
Desc	ription Of Modeled Struc	cture			
1.	Name of Structure:				
	Type (check one):	Channelization	Bridge/Culvert	Levee/Floodwall	Dam/Basin
	Location of Structure:				
	Downstream Limit/Cros	ss Section:			
	Upstream Limit/Cross	Section:			
2.	Name of Structure:				
	Type (check one):	Channelization	Bridge/Culvert	Levee/Floodwall	Dam/Basin
	Location of Structure:				
	Downstream Limit/Cros	ss Section:			
	Upstream Limit/Cross	Section:			
3.	Name of Structure:				
	Type (check one)	Channelization	Bridge/Culvert	Levee/Floodwall	Dam/Basin
	Location of Structure:				
	Downstream Limit/Cros	ss Section:			
	Upstream Limit/Cross	Section:			
NOT	E: For more structu	res, attach additional pages	as needed.		

Floo	ding Source:				
Nam	e of Structure:				
1.	Hydraulic Considerations				
	The channel was designed to carry	(cfs) and/or the	-year flood.		
	The design structure in the showed in the				
	The design elevation in the channel is ba	<u>ised on (check one):</u>			
	Subcritical flow	Critical flow	Su	percritical flow	Energy grade line
	If there is the potential for a hydraulic jun is controlled without affecting the stability		ations, check all th	nat apply and attach an	explanation of how the hydraulic jump
Acce	Inlet to channel Outlet of chan Other locations (specify): Contended of the second of	nnel 🗌 At Drop St	ructures At 7	<u>Fransitions</u>	
	The channelization includes (check one)	÷			
	Levees [Attach Section E (Levee/Fl	oodwall)]		Drop structur	<del>es -</del>
	Superelevated sections				n cross sectional geometry –
	Debris basin/detention basin	Attach Section E	) (Dam/Basin)]		
	<del>Other (Describe):</del>				
2.	Drawing ChecklistChannel Design Plans				
	Attach the plans of the channelization ce	rtified by a registered	professional engi	neer, as described in th	ne instructions.
3.	Accessory Structures				
	The channelization includes (check one)				
	Levees [Attach Section E (Levee/FI	oodwall)]		Drop structur	<u>es</u>
	Superelevated sections			Transitions in	cross sectional geometry
	Debris basin/detention basin	[Attach Section D	) (Dam/Basin)]		Energy dissipator
Hvdi	Weir aulic Considerations			— Other (De	escribe):
	The channel was designed to carry	(cfs) and/or the	-year flood.		
	 _ The design elevation in the channel is ba	esed on (check one):			
	Suboritical flow			percritical flow	
	Subcritical flow	Critical flow			Energy grade line
	If there is the potential for a hydraulic jun is controlled without affecting the stability		ations, check all th	nat apply and attach an	explanation of how the hydraulic jump-
	Inlet to channel Outlet of char	nel At Drop St	ructures At 7	Fransitions—	
	Other locations (specify):				
4.	Sediment Transport Considerations				
	Are the hydraulics of the channel affected	by sediment transpor	t? Yes	No	
	_ If yes, then fill out Section F (Sediment Tra	ansport) of Form 3. If	No, then attach a	n <del>your explanation. for</del>	why sediment transport was not
	idered. sediment transport considered? Yes If No, then attach your explanation for wi			F (Sediment Transport	<del>t).</del>

C. BRIDGE/CULVERT

Flooding Source:

Name of Structure:

1.	This revision reflects (check one):
	Bridge/culvert not modeled in the FIS
	Modified bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS
	Revised analysis of bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS
2	P. Hydraulic model used to analyze the structure (e.g., HEC-2 with special bridge routine, WSPRO, HY8): If different than hydraulic analysis for the flooding source, justify why the hydraulic analysis used for the flooding source could not analyze the structures. Attach justification.
3.	Attach plans of the structures certified by a registered professional engineer. The plan detail and information should include the following (check the information that has been provided):
	Dimensions (height, width, span, radius, length)
	Shape (culverts only)
	Material Top of Road Elevations – Upstream and Downstream
	Beveling or Rounding Structure Invert Elevations – Upstream and Downstream
	Wing Wall Angle Stream Invert Elevations – Upstream and Downstream
	Skew Angle Cross-Section Locations
	Distances Between Cross Sections
4.	Sediment Transport Considerations
	Was sedimentAre the -hydraulics of the structure affected by sediment transport? Yes No
	If yes, then fill out Section F (Sediment Transport) of Form 3. If No, then attach an explanation.  ansport considered? Yes Over the fill out Section F (Sediment Transport)  If Yes, then fill out Section F (Sediment Transport)  If No, then attach your explanation for why sediment transport was not considered.

		D. DAM/BASIN
	Flo	oding Source:
	Nai	me of Structure:
	1.	This request is for (check one): Existing dam/basin New dam/basin Modification of existing dam/basin
	2.	The dam/basin was designed by (check one): Federal agency State agency Local government agency Private organization
		Name of the agency or organization:
	3.	The Dam was permitted as (check one):
		a. Federal Dam State Dam
		Provide the permit or identification number (ID) for the dam and the appropriate permitting agency or organization
		Permit or ID number Permitting Agency or Organization
		b. Local Government Dam Private Dam
		Provided related drawings, specification and supporting design information.
	4.	Does the project involve revised hydrology? Yes No
		If Yes, complete the Riverine Hydrology & Hydraulics Form (Form 2).
I		Was the dam/basin designed using critical duration storm? (must account for the maximum volume of runoff)
I		
		Yes, provide supporting documentation with your completed Form 2.
		No, provide a written explanation and justification for not using the critical duration storm.
	5.	Does the submittal include debris/sediment yield analysis? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No
		If yes, then fill out Section F (Sediment Transport). If No, then attach your explanation for why debris/sediment analysis was not considered.
	6.	Does the Base Flood Elevation behind the dam/basin or downstream of the dam/basin change?
		Yes No If Yes, complete the Riverine Hydrology & Hydraulics Form (Form 2) and complete the table below.
		Stillwater Elevation Behind the Dam/Basin
		EREQUENCY (% annual chance) EIS REVISED

	FREQUENCE (% annual chance)	FIS	REVISED
	10-year (10%) 50-year (2%) 100-year (1%) 500-year (0.2%) Normal Pool Elevation		
7.	Please attach a copy of the formal Operation	n and Maintenance Plan	

1.	<u>Sy</u>	stem Elements				
	a.	This Levee/Floodwall analysis is based on (check one):				
		<ul> <li>upgrading of an existing levee/floodwall system</li> <li>a newly constructed levee/floodwall system</li> <li>reanalysis of an existing levee/floodwall system</li> </ul>				
	b.	Levee elements and locations are (check one):				
		structural floodwall	Station Station Station	to to to		
	c.	Structural Type (check one):				
		<ul> <li>monolithic cast-in place reinforced concrete</li> <li>reinforced concrete masonry block</li> <li>sheet piling</li> <li>Other (describe):</li> </ul>				
	d.	Has this levee/floodwall system been certified by a Federal agency	y to provide	protection from the base flood?		
		Yes No				
		If Yes, by which agency?				
	e.	Attach certified drawings containing the following information (indica	ate drawing	sheet numbers):		
		1. Plan of the levee embankment and floodwall structures.	Sheet N	lumbers:		
		<ol> <li>A profile of the levee/floodwall system showing the Base Flood Elevation (BFE), levee and/or wall crest and foundation, and closure locations for the total levee system.</li> </ol>	Sheet N	Numbers:		
		<ol> <li>A profile of the BFE, closure opening outlet and inlet invert elevations, type and size of opening, and kind of closure.</li> </ol>	Sheet N	Numbers:		
		4. A layout detail for the embankment protection measures.	Sheet N	Numbers:		
		<ol> <li>Location, layout, and size and shape of the levee embankment features, foundation treatment, floodwall structure, closure structures, and pump stations.</li> </ol>	Sheet N	Numbers:		
2.	Er	eeboard				
	a.	The minimum freeboard provided above the BFE is:				
		Riverine				
		<ul><li>3.0 feet or more at the downstream end and throughout</li><li>3.5 feet or more at the upstream end</li><li>4.0 feet within 100 feet upstream of all structures and/or constriction</li></ul>	ons		Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
		Coastal				
		1.0 foot above the height of the one percent wave associated with stillwater surge elevation or maximum wave runup (whichever is g		ual-chance	Yes	No
		2.0 feet above the 1%-annual-chance stillwater surge elevation			Yes	🗌 No

			E. LEV	EE/FLOODWA	ALL (CONTI	NUED)			
2.	Freeboard (continued)								
	Please note, occasiona addressing Paragraph				ard requireme	nt. If an excep	otion is request	ted, attach do	cumentation
	If No is answered to an	ly of the above, ple	ease attach a	n explanation.					
	b. Is there an indicati	on from historical ı	ecords that i	ce-jamming can a	affect the BFE	?	Yes 🗌 No		
	If Yes, provide ice-	jam analysis profil	e and eviden	ce that the minim	num freeboard	discussed ab	ove still exists.		
3.	<u>Closures</u>								
	a. Openings through	the levee system (	(check one):	ex	kists 🗌 doe	es not exist			
	If opening exists, li	st all closures:							
Cha	annel Station	Left or Righ	t Bank	Opening	Туре		levation for ng Invert	Type of 0	Closure Device
(Ex	tend table on an adde	d sheet as need	ed and refe	rence)					
Not	e: Geotechnical and g	geologic data							
	In addition to the re design analysis for Corps of Engineers	the following sys	stem feature	es should be su	ined during fi Ibmitted in a	ield and labo tabulated su	ratory investi mmary form.	igations and (Reference	used in the U.S. Army
4.	Embankment Prot	ection							
	a. The maximum le	vee slope lands	ide is:						
	b. The maximum le	vee slope floods	side is:						
	c. The range of vel	ocities along the	levee durin	ng the base floo	od is: (I	min.) to	(max.)		
	d. Embankment ma	aterial is protecte	ed by (descr	ribe what kind):					
	e. Riprap Design P		k one):	□ \	/elocity	Tractive	e stress		
	Attach reference	S							
			Flow		Curve or		Stone Ripra	ıp	Depth of
	Reach	Sideslope	Depth	Velocity	Straight	D <sub>100</sub>	D <sub>50</sub>	Thickness	Toedown
Sta	to								
Sta	to								
Sta	to								
Sta	to								
Sta	to								
Sta	to								
(Ex	tend table on an adde	d sheet as need	ed and refe	rence each enti	ry)		·		

4. <u>Emba</u>	nkment Protection (continued)		
f. Is	a bedding/filter analysis and design attached?	Yes No	
g. D	escribe the analysis used for other kinds of pro	tection used (include copies of the design analysis):	
A	Attach engineering analysis to support construc	tion plans.	
	nkment And Foundation Stability		
a. I	dentify locations and describe the basis for sele	ection of critical location for analysis:	
	Overall height: Sta.; height ft.		
	Limiting foundation soil strength:		
	Sta. , depth to		
	strength $\phi$ = degrees, c = psf		
	slope: SS = (h) to (v)		
	(Repeat as needed on an added sheet for a	additional locations)	
b. S	Specify the embankment stability analysis meth	nodology used (e.g., circular arc, sliding block, infinite slope	, etc.):
c. S	Summary of stability analysis results:		
Case	Loading Conditions	Critical Safety Factor	Criteria (Min.)
Case I	Loading Conditions End of construction	Critical Safety Factor	Criteria (Min.) 1.3
	-	Critical Safety Factor	
I	End of construction	Critical Safety Factor	1.3
	End of construction Sudden drawdown	Critical Safety Factor	1.3
    	End of construction Sudden drawdown Critical flood stage	Critical Safety Factor	1.3 1.0 1.4
         V  V	End of construction         Sudden drawdown         Critical flood stage         Steady seepage at flood stage	Critical Safety Factor	1.3 1.0 1.4 1.4
I II IV VI (Reference:	End of construction         Sudden drawdown         Critical flood stage         Steady seepage at flood stage         Earthquake (Case I)		1.3 1.0 1.4 1.4
I II IV VI (Reference: d. W	End of construction         Sudden drawdown         Critical flood stage         Steady seepage at flood stage         Earthquake (Case I)         USACE EM-1110-2-1913 Table 6-1)		1.3 1.0 1.4 1.4
I II IV VI (Reference: d. W If	End of construction         Sudden drawdown         Critical flood stage         Steady seepage at flood stage         Earthquake (Case I)         USACE EM-1110-2-1913 Table 6-1)         //as a seepage analysis for the embankment per	erformed?	1.3 1.0 1.4 1.4
I II IV VI (Reference: d. W If e. W	End of construction         Sudden drawdown         Critical flood stage         Steady seepage at flood stage         Earthquake (Case I)         USACE EM-1110-2-1913 Table 6-1)         /as a seepage analysis for the embankment per         Yes, describe methodology used:	erformed?	1.3 1.0 1.4 1.4
I II IV VI (Reference: d. W If e. W f. W	End of construction         Sudden drawdown         Critical flood stage         Steady seepage at flood stage         Earthquake (Case I)         USACE EM-1110-2-1913 Table 6-1)         //as a seepage analysis for the embankment per Yes, describe methodology used:         //as a seepage analysis for the foundation performance	erformed?YesNo prmed?YesNo de toe checked?YesNo	1.3 1.0 1.4 1.4
I II IV VI (Reference: d. W If e. W f. W g. W	End of construction         Sudden drawdown         Critical flood stage         Steady seepage at flood stage         Earthquake (Case I)         USACE EM-1110-2-1913 Table 6-1)         /as a seepage analysis for the embankment per Yes, describe methodology used:         /as a seepage analysis for the foundation performed by the embankment landside         //ere uplift pressures at the embankment landside	erformed?  Yes No ormed? Yes No de toe checked? Yes No potential? Yes No	1.3 1.0 1.4 1.4
I II IV VI (Reference: d. W (Reference: d. W f. W f. W g. W h. TI	End of construction         Sudden drawdown         Critical flood stage         Steady seepage at flood stage         Earthquake (Case I)         USACE EM-1110-2-1913 Table 6-1)         /as a seepage analysis for the embankment per         Yes, describe methodology used:         /as a seepage analysis for the foundation performance         /ere uplift pressures at the embankment landsid         /ere seepage exit gradients checked for piping	erformed? Yes No ormed? Yes No de toe checked? Yes No potential? Yes No st the embankment is hours.	1.3 1.0 1.4 1.4
I II IV VI (Reference: d. W (Reference: d. W f. W f. W g. W h. TI	End of construction         Sudden drawdown         Critical flood stage         Steady seepage at flood stage         Earthquake (Case I)         USACE EM-1110-2-1913 Table 6-1)         //as a seepage analysis for the embankment per Yes, describe methodology used:         //as a seepage analysis for the foundation performed by the embankment landsid for the embankment landsid for seepage exit gradients checked for piping the duration of the base flood hydrograph again	erformed? Yes No ormed? Yes No de toe checked? Yes No potential? Yes No st the embankment is hours.	1.3 1.0 1.4 1.4

E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL (CONTINUED)

E. LEVEE/FLOODWALI	L (CONTINUED)
--------------------	---------------

6. <u>Floodwall And Found</u>	ation Stability					
a. Describe analysis	s submittal based	on Code (check	< one):			
UBC (1988)	or	Other (specify):				
b. Stability analysis	submitted provid	es for:				
Overturning	Sliding	If not, explain:	:			
c. Loading included	l in the analyses v	were:				
Lateral earth	@ P <sub>A</sub> = psf	f; P <sub>p</sub> = ps	sf			
Surcharge-Sl	lope @ , [	surface	psf			
$\Box$ Wind @ P <sub>w</sub> =	psf					
🗌 Seepage (Up	olift);	Earth	quake @ P <sub>eq</sub> = 0	%g		
🗌 1%-annual-ci	hance significant	wave height:	ft.			
🗌 1%-annual-ch	nance significant v	vave period:	sec.			
d. Summary of Sta	bility Analysis Re	sults: Factors o	f Safety.			
Itemize for each	range in site layc	out dimension ar	nd loading condition lin	nitation for each respe	ctive reach.	
	1					
		(a. a) (b)				
Loading Condition	Criteria		Sta	То	Sta	То
-	Overturn	Sliding	Sta Overturn	To Sliding	Sta Overturn	To Sliding
Loading Condition Dead & Wind						
-	Overturn	Sliding				
Dead & Wind	Overturn 1.5	Sliding 1.5				
Dead & Wind Dead & Soil Dead, Soil, Flood, &	Overturn 1.5 1.5	Sliding 1.5 1.5				
Dead & Wind Dead & Soil Dead, Soil, Flood, & Impact Dead, Soil, & Seismic (Ref: F	Overturn           1.5           1.5           1.5           1.3           FEMA 114 Sept 1	Sliding 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.3 986; USACE EM	Overturn	Sliding		
Dead & Wind Dead & Soil Dead, Soil, Flood, & Impact Dead, Soil, & Seismic (Ref: F (Note:	Overturn           1.5           1.5           1.5           1.3           FEMA 114 Sept 1	Sliding           1.5           1.5           1.5           1.3           986; USACE EN an added sheet of the sh	Overturn 	Sliding		
Dead & Wind Dead & Soil Dead, Soil, Flood, & Impact Dead, Soil, & Seismic (Ref: F (Note: e. Foundation beau	Overturn 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.3 FEMA 114 Sept 1 Extend table on a	Sliding           1.5           1.5           1.5           1.3           986; USACE EN an added sheet of the sh	Overturn 	Sliding	Overturn	
Dead & Wind Dead & Soil Dead, Soil, Flood, & Impact Dead, Soil, & Seismic (Ref: F (Note: e. Foundation beau	Overturn 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.3 FEMA 114 Sept 1 Extend table on a ring strength for e g Pressure	Sliding           1.5           1.5           1.5           1.3           986; USACE EN an added sheet of the sh	Overturn A 1110-2-2502) as needed and referer	Sliding	Overturn	Sliding
Dead & Wind Dead & Soil Dead, Soil, Flood, & Impact Dead, Soil, & Seismic (Ref: F (Note: e. Foundation bear Bearin	Overturn 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.3 FEMA 114 Sept 1 Extend table on a ring strength for e g Pressure	Sliding           1.5           1.5           1.5           1.3           986; USACE EN an added sheet of the sh	Overturn A 1110-2-2502) as needed and referer	Sliding	Overturn	Sliding

7.	<u>Set</u>	ttlement
	a.	Has anticipated potential settlement been determined and incorporated into the specified construction elevations to maintain the established freeboard margin?
	b.	The computed range of settlement is ft. to ft.
	c.	Settlement of the levee crest is determined to be primarily from :
		<ul> <li>Foundation consolidation</li> <li>Embankment compression</li> <li>Other (Describe):</li> </ul>
	d.	Differential settlement of floodwalls 🗌 has 🗌 has not been accommodated in the structural design and construction.
		Attach engineering analysis to support construction plans.
8.	Inte	erior Drainage
	a.	Specify size of each interior watershed:
		Draining to pressure conduit: acres Draining to ponding area: acres
	b.	Relationships Established
		Ponding elevation vs. storageYesNoPonding elevation vs. gravity flowYesNoDifferential head vs. gravity flowYesNo
	c.	The river flow duration curve is enclosed:
	d.	Specify the discharge capacity of the head pressure conduit: cfs
	e.	Which flooding conditions were analyzed?
		<ul> <li>Gravity flow (Interior Watershed)</li> <li>Common storm (River Watershed)</li> <li>Historical ponding probability</li> <li>Coastal wave overtopping</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul>
		If No for any of the above, attach explanation.
	f.	Interior drainage has been analyzed based on joint probability of interior and exterior flooding and the capacities of pumping and outlet facilities to provide the established level of flood protection. Yes No
		If No, attach explanation.
	g.	The rate of seepage through the levee system for the base flood is cfs
	h.	The length of levee system used to drive this seepage rate in item g: ft.

E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL (CONTINUED)
--------------------------------

8. Interior Drainage (continued)					
	i.	Will pumping plants be used for interior drainage?			
		If Yes, include the number of pumping For each pumping plant, list:	plants:		
			Plant #1	Plant #2	
The	numl	ber of pumps			
The	pond	ling storage capacity			
The	maxi	mum pumping rate			
The	maxi	mum pumping head			
The	pum	ping starting elevation			
The	pum	ping stopping elevation			
Is the	e dis	charge facility protected?			
Is the	ere a	I flood warning plan?			
How and		h time is available between warning ing?			
Will t	the o	peration be automatic?	Yes	No	
If the	e pun	nps are electric, are there backup power	sources? Yes	No	
(Refe	erend	ce: USACE EM-1110-2-3101, 3102, 310	03, 3104, and 3105)		
		copy of supporting documentation of da atersheds that result in flooding.	ta and analysis. Provide a map showing the flood	ed area and maximum ponding elevations for all	
9.	<u>Oth</u>	ner Design Criteria			
	a.	The following items have been address	ed as stated:		
		Liquefaction is is is not a problem Hydrocompaction is is is not a pro Heave differential movement due to so	n oblem jils of high shrink/swell 🗌 is 🗌 is not a problem		
	b.	For each of these problems, state the b	asic facts and corrective action taken:		
		Attach supporting documentation			
	C.	c. If the levee/floodwall is new or enlarged, will the structure adversely impact flood levels and/or flow velocities floodside of the structure?			
		Attach supporting documentation			
	d.	d. Sediment Transport Considerations:			
	Was sediment transport considered? Yes No If Yes, then fill out Section F (Sediment Transport). If No, then attach your explanation for why sediment transport was not considered.				

F			(CONTINUED)
с.	LEVEE/FL	.OODWALL	

10.	<u>Ope</u>	Operational Plan And Criteria		
	a.	Are the planned/installed works in full compliance with Part 65.10 of the NFIP Regulations?		
	b. Does the operation plan incorporate all the provisions for closure devices as required in Paragraph 65.10(c)(1) of the NFIP regulation Yes No			
	C.	Does the operation plan incorporate all the provisions for interior drainage as required in Paragraph 65.10(c)(2) of the NFIP regulations?		
		If the answer is No to any of the above, please attach supporting documentation.		
11.	<u>Mai</u>	<u>ntenance Plan</u> Please attach a copy of the fomal maintenance plan for the levee/floodwall		
	a.	Are the planned/installed works in full compliance with Part 65.10 of the NFIP Regulations?  Yes No If No, please attach supporting documentation.		
12.	<u>Ope</u>	erations and Maintenance Plan		
		Please attach a copy of the formal Operations and Maintenance Plan for the levee/floodwall.		

# CERTIFICATION OF THE LEVEE DOCUMENTION

#### **CERTIFICATION BY REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER**

This certification is to be signed and sealed by a licensed registered professional engineer authorized by law to certify elevation information data, hydrologic and hydraulic analysis, and any other supporting information as per NFIP regulations paragraph 65.10(e) and as described in the MT-2 Forms Instructions. All documents submitted in support of this request are correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that any false statement may be punishable by fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1001.

Certifier's Name:	License No.:	Expiration Date:
Company Name:	Telephone No.:	Fax No.:
Signature:	Date:	E-Mail Address:

## F. SEDIMENT TRANSPORT

Flooding S	Source:
------------	---------

Name of Structure:

If there is any indication from historical records that sediment transport (including scour and deposition) can affect the Base Flood Elevation (BFE); and/or based on the stream morphology, vegetative cover, development of the watershed and bank conditions, there is a potential for debris and sediment transport (including scour and deposition) to affect the BFEs, then provide the following information along with the supporting documentation:

Sediment load associated with	the base flood discharge:	Volume	acre-feet
Debris load associated with the	e base flood discharge:	Volume	acre-feet
Sediment transport rate	(percent concentration by v	olume)	

Method used to estimate sediment transport:

Most sediment transport formulas are intended for a range of hydraulic conditions and sediment sizes; attach a detailed explanation for using the selected method.

Method used to estimate scour and/or deposition:

Method used to revise hydraulic or hydrologic analysis (model) to account for sediment transport: Please note that bulked flows are used to evaluate the performance of a structure during the base flood; however, FEMA does not map BFEs based on bulked flows. If a sediment analysis has not been performed, an explanation as to why sediment transport (including scour and deposition) will not affect the BFEs or structures must be provided..