U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY - FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY COASTAL STRUCTURES FORM

O.M.B No. 1660-0016 Expires: 12/31/20130

PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT

Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 1 hour per response. The burden estimate includes the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the needed data, and completing, reviewing, and submitting the form. You are not required to respond to this collection of information unless a valid OMB control number appears in the upper right corner of this form. Send comments regarding the accuracy of the burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing this burden to: Information Collections Management, U.S. Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street, SW, Washington DC 20472, Paperwork Reduction Project (1660-0016). Submission of the form is required to obtain or retain benefits under the National Flood Insurance Program. **Please do not send your completed survey to the above address.**

Flooding Source:
Note: Fill out one form for each flooding source studied

	A. BACKGROUND					
1.	Name of structure (if applicable):					
2.	Structure location:					
3.	Type of structure (check one):					
	Levee/Floodwall*	Anchored Bulkhead	Revetment	Gravity Seawall		
	Breakwater	Pile supported seawall	Other:			
	*Note: If the coastal structure is a The remainder of this form	a levee/floodwall, complete Sector does not need to be completed		uctures Form).		
4.	Material structure is composed of (cl	heck all that apply):				
	Stone	Earthen fill	Concrete	Steel		
	Sand	Other				
5.	The structure is (check one):					
	New or proposed	Existing	Modification of existing struc	ture		
	Replacement structure of the	same size and design as what w	vas previously at the site			
	Describe in detail the existing stru	ucture and/or modifications bein	g made to the structure and th	ne purpose of the modifications:		
	If existing, please include date of	construction:				
6.	Copies of certified "as-built" plans	are are not attached.	Attach all design analyses that	t apply.		
	If "as-built" plans are not available height, length, depth, and toe eleva			neral structure dimensions including: face slope, NAVD 1988, etc.).		
7.	Has a Federal agency with responsadequately designed and construc	,	•	signed or certified that the structures have been to?		
	☐ Yes ☐ No					
	If Yes, specify the name of the agency and dates of project completion and certification.					
	If Yes, then no other sections	s of this form need to be comp	pleted.			
8.	Has aAn Operation & Mainter explanation.)	nance Plan <mark>has</mark> been provided. <mark>(</mark> r	required for all coastal structur	res)? Yes No (If no, please provide an		

DHS - F	EMA Form	81-89D.	DECMAR	10-07

B. DESIGN CRITERIA

1.	Des	sign Parameters		
	a.	Were physical parameters representing the 1%-annual-chance event or greater used to design the coastal flood protection structure?		
		☐ Yes ☐ No		
	b.	The number of design water levels that were evaluated (number) range from the mean low water elevation of feet to the 1%-annual-chance stillwater surge elevation of feet. The critical water level is feet. The datum that these elevations are referenced to is (e.g.: NGVD 1929, NAVD 1988, etc.).		
		Attach an explanation specifying which water levels and associated wave heights and periods were analyzed.		
	C.	Were breaking wave forces used to design the structure?		
		Yes No If No, attach an explanation why they were not used for design.		
2.	Settle	<u>ement</u>		
	a.	What is the expected settlement rate at the site of the structure?		
		Please attach a settlement analysis.		
3.	Freeb	ooard .		
	a.	Does the structure have 1 foot of freeboard above the height of the 1%-annual-chance wave-height elevation or maximum wave runup (whichever is greater)?		
		Yes No		
	b.	Does the structure have freeboard of at least 2 feet above the 1% annual chance stillwater surge elevation?		
		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	Toe F	Protection		
	Spe	cify the type of toe protection:		
		no toe protection is provided, provide analysis of scour potential and attach an evaluation of structural stability performed with potential scour the toe.		
5.	<u>Back</u> 1	ill Protection		
	Will the structure be overtopped during the 1%-annual-chance event?			
		e structure will be overtopped, attach an explanation of what measures are used to prevent the loss of backfill from rundown over the cture, drainage landward, under or laterally around the ends of the structure, or through seams and drainage openings in the structure.		
6.	Struc	t <u>ural Stability</u> - Minimum Water Level		
	a.	For coastal revetments, was a geotechnical analysis of potential failure in the landward direction by rotational gravity slip performed for maximum loads associated with minimum seaward water level, no wave action, saturated soil conditions behind the structure, and maximum toe scour?		
		Yes No		
	b.	For gravity and pile-supported seawalls, were engineering analyses of landward sliding, landward overturning, and of foundation adequacy using maximum pressures developed in the sliding and overturning calculations performed?		
		Yes No		
	C.	For anchored bulkheads, were engineering analyses performed for shear failure, moment failure, and adequacy of tiebacks and deadmen to resist loading under low-water conditions?		
		☐ Yes ☐ No		

B. DESIGN CRITERIA (CONTINUED)

7. <u>S</u>	7. <u>Structural Stability</u> - Critical Water Level (Note: All structures must be designed to resist the maximum loads associated with the critical water level to be credited as providing protection from the 1% annual chance event.)				
ć		For coastal revetments were geotechnical analyses performed investigating the potential failure in the seaward direction by rotational gravity slip or foundation failure due to inadequate bearing strength?			
		Yes No			
		For revetments, were engineering analyses of rock, riprap, or armor blocks' stability under wave action or uplift forces on the rock, riprap, or armor blocks performed?			
		☐ Yes ☐ No			
	C.	Are the rocks graded?			
		Yes No			
	d.	Are soil or geotextile filters being used in the design?			
		Yes No			
		For gravity and pile supported seawalls, were engineering analyses of landward sliding, landward overturning, and foundation adequacy performed?			
		Yes No			
	f.	For anchored bulkheads, were engineering analyses of shear and moment failure performed using "shock" pressures?			
		Yes No			
		For all analyses marked "No" above for the appropriate type of structure, please attach an explanation why the analyses were not performed.			
8. <u>N</u>	/lateri	al Adequacy			
	The	e design life of the structure given the existing conditions at the structure site is years.			
9. <u>l</u> d	ce an	d Impact Alignment			
	a.	Will the structure be subjected to ice forces?			
		☐ Yes ☐ No			
		If Yes, attach impact analysis and design details for such forces.			
	b.	Will the structure be subjected to impact forces from boats, ships, or large debris?			
		Yes No			
		If Yes, attach impact analysis.			
10.	Struc	ture Plan Alignment			
	The	e structure is (check one):			
		Solated Part of a continuous structure with redundant return walls at frequent intervals.			
		ase provide a map showing the location of the structure and any natural land features that shelter the acture from wave actions.			

C. ADVERSE IMPACT EVALUATION

C. ADVERSE IMPACT EVALUATION					
If the structure is new, proposed, or modified, will the structure impact flooding and erosion for areas adjacent to the structure? Yes No If Yes, attach an explanation.					
D. COMMUNITY AND/OR STATE REVIEW					
Has the design, maintenance, and impact of the structure been reviewed and approved by the community, and any Federal, State, or local agencies having jurisdiction over flood control and coastal construction activities in the area the structure impacts? Yes No If Yes, attach a list of agencies who have reviewed and approved the project. If No, attach an explanation why review and approval by the appropriate community or agency has not been obtained.					
E. CERTIFICATION					
As a Professional Engineer, I certify that the above structures will withstand all hydraulic and wave forces associated with the 1% annual chance flood without significant structural degradation. All documents submitted in support of this request are correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that any false statement may be punishable by fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1001. Certifier's Name:					
License No.: Exp. Date:					
Company Name:					
Telephone No.: Fax. No.:					
Signature: Date:	Seal (optional)				