DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY COASTAL ANALYSIS FORM

O.M.B. NO. 1660-0016 Expires December 31, 2010

PAPERWORK BURDEN DISCLOSURE NOTICE Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 1 hour per response. The burden estimate includes the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the needed data, and completing, reviewing, and submitting the form. You are not required to respond to this collection of information unless a valid OMB control number appears in the upper right corner of this form. Send comments regarding the accuracy of the burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing this burden to: Information Collections Management, Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street, SW, Washington, DC, 20472, Paperwork Reduction Project (1660-0016). Submission of the form is required to obtain or retain benefits under the National Flood Insurance Program. Please do not send your completed survey to the above address.			
Flooding Source:			
Note: Fill out one form for each flooding source studied.			
A. COASTLINE TO BE REVISED			
Describe limits of study area:			
	B. EFFECTIVE FIS		
The area being revised in the effective FIS was studied by detailed methods using (check all that apply):			
Storm surge modeling	Wave setup computations		
Wave height computations	Wave runup computations		
Wave overtopping computations	Dune erosion computations		
Primary Frontal Dune Assessment	Primary Frontal Dune Assessment N/A (area not studied by detailed methods)		
C. REVISED ANALYSIS			
 Number of transects in revised analyses:	hy): WWWave overtopping assessmer More detailed topographic info Shore protection structures (a Form 5) Primary frontal dune assessm Other, attach basis of revision Other, attach basis of revision	nt (complete items 4 and 5) ormation (complete Section E) ttach completed Coastal Structures Form - ent (complete item 5) request with an explanation d analysis)	
 ☐ Storm surge analysis ☐ Other (describe):			
DHS/FEMA Form 086-0-27C, AUG 2010	PREVIOUSLY FF81-89C	MT-2 Form 4 Page 1 of 2	

C. REVISED AN	ALYSIS (continued)		
4. Revised Analysis (i.e., erosion, wave height, wave runup, primary frontal dune, and wave overtopping)			
If DHS-FEMA procedures were utilized to perform the revision, attach a detailed description of differences between the current and the revised analysis, and why the revised analysis should replace the current analysis.			
If DHS-FEMA procedures were not utilized to perform the revision, provide full documentation on methodology and/or models used; including operational program, and detailed difference between methodology and/or models utilized and FEMA's methodology and/or models. Also, attach an explanation of why new methodology and/or models should replace current methodology and/or models.			
If revision reflects more detailed topographic information and fill has been/will be placed in a V-Zone, and is not protected from erosion by a shore protection structure, provide a detailed description of how the fill has been treated in the revised analysis.			
5. Wave Runup, Wave Height, an Wave Overtopping Analysis			
Wave height analysis along a transect are greatly affected by starting wave conditions that propagate inland. Wave runup and overtopping analysis are typically considered when wave heights and/or wave runup are close to or greater than the creat of shore protection structures or natural land forms.			
a. Was an analysis performed to determine starting wave height and period for input into WHAFIS?			
Yes No			
b. Was wave setup included in wave height analysis and removed for erosion and wave runup analysis?			
Yes No			
c. Was an overtoping analysis performed for any coastal shore protection structures or natural land forms that may be overtopped?			
If Yes, attach an explanation of the methodology utilized and describe in detail the results of the analysis. If evertepping was not analyzed, attach an explanation for why these analysis were not performed.			
D. RESULTS			
1. Stillwater storm surge elevation; feetDatum 9. As a result of the revised analysis; the V-Zone location has shifted a maximum offeet			
2. Wave setup:feet	landward of its existing position.		
 Starting deep-water significant wave condition: height period 	10. Does this revision reflect the location of the primary frontal dune?: Yes No		
	11. The Base Flood Elevations have		
 Maximum wave runup height elevation:	Increased decreased		
5. Maximum wave runup elevation:feet	a. What was the greatest increase?feet		
Estimated amount of maximum overtopping:cfs/feet	b. What was the greatest decrease?feet		
Has this revision changed the Limit of Moderate Wave Action (LiMWA)?	increased decreased both		
Yes No N/A	Attach a description where it has increased or decreased		
8. The areas designated as coastal high hazard areas (V Zones) have:			
increased decreased both			
Attach a description where they have increased and/or decreased			
E. MAPPING REQUIREMENTS			
A certified topographic map must be submitted showing the following information (where applicable): effective, existing conditions, and proposed conditions 1%-annual-chance floodplain boundaries, revised shoreline due to either erosion or accretion, location and alignment of all transects, correct location and alignment of any structures, current community easements and boundaries, boundary of the requester's property, certification of a professional engineer registered in the subject State, location and description of reference marks, and the referenced vertical datum (NGVD, NAVD, etc.).			
Note that the existing or proposed conditions floodplain boundaries to be shown on the revised FIRM must tie-in with the effective floodplain boundaries. Please attach a copy of the current FIRM annotated to show the revised 1%-annual-chance floodplain boundaries that tie-in with effective 1%-annual-chance floodplain boundaries along the entire extent of the area of revision.			