## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY COASTAL STRUCTURES FORM

O.M.B. NO. 1660-0016 Expires December 31, 2010

## PAPERWORK BURDEN DISCLOSURE NOTICE

Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 1 hour per response. The burden estimate includes the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the needed data, and completing, reviewing, and submitting the form. You are not required to respond to this collection of information unless a valid OMB control number appears in the upper right corner of this form. Send comments regarding the accuracy of the burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing this burden to: Information Collections Management, Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street, SW, Washington, DC, 20472, Paperwork Reduction Project (1660-0016). Submission of the form is required to obtain or retain benefits under the National Flood Insurance Program. Please do not send your completed survey to the above address.

	looding Source:				
0	ote: Fill out one form for each flooding s	ource studied.			
		A. BACK	GROUND		
,	Name of structures (if applicable):				
	Charactura la pationa				
	Structure location.				
3.	Type of structure:				
	Levee/Floodwail*	Anchored Bulkhead	Revetment	Gravity Seawall	
	Breakwater	Pile supported seawall	Other		
	*Note: If the coastal structure is a lides not need to be completed.		E of Form 3 (Riverine S	Structures Form). The remainder of this form	
١.	Material structure is composed of (chec	ck all that apply):			
	Stone Earth	en fill Concrete	Steel	Sand	
	Other				
	_		_		
	The structure is (check one):				
	New or proposed Existin	ng Modification of	existing structure		
	Replacement structure of the sa	ame size and design as what was	previously at the site.		
	Describe in detail the existing st	ructure and/or modifications being	make to the structure	and the purpose of the modifications.	
	If aviation, places include data of				
	If existing, please include date of c	onstruction:			
	copies of certified "as-built"plans	are are not attached.	Attach all design and	alysis that apply.	
	If "as-built" plans are not available for s slop, height, length, depth, and toe elev	submittal, please explain why and svation referenced to the appropriat	submit a sketch with g te datum (e.g., NGVD	eneral structure dimensions including: face 1929, NAVD 1988, etc.)	
	Has a Federal agency with responsibility for the design of coastal flood protection structures designed or certified that the structures have been adequately designed and constructed to provide protection against the 1%-annual-chance event?				
	Has a Federal agency with responsibility been adequately designed and constructions.	y for the design of coastal flood procted to provide protection against t	he 1%-annual-chance	event?	

B. DESIGN CRITERIA (CONTINUED)						
1.	Design Parameters					
	<ul> <li>a. Were physical parameters representing the 1%-annual-chance event or greater used to design the coastal flood protection structure?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul>					
	b. The number of design water levels that were evaluated (number) range from the mean low water elevation of					
	feet to the 1%-annual-chance stillwater surge elevation of feet. The critical water level is feet. The datum that these					
	elevations are referenced to is e.g., NGVD 1929, NAVD 1988, etc.)					
	Attach an explanation specifying which water levels and associated wave heights and periods were analyzed.					
	c. Were breaking wave forces used to design the structure? Yes No If No, attach an explanation why they were not used for design.					
2.	Settlement					
	What is the expected settlement rate at the site of the structure?  Please attach a settlement analysis.					
3. <u>Freeboard</u>						
	a. Does this structure have 1 foot of freeboard above the height of the 1%-annual-chance wave-height elevation or maximum wave runup (whichever is greater)? Yes No					
	b. Does the structure have freeboard of at least 2 feet above the 1%-annual-chance stillwater surge elevation? Yes No					
4. Toe Protection						
	Specify the type of toe protection:					
	If no toe protection is provided, provide analysis of scour potential and attach an elevation of structural stability performed with potential scour at the toe.					
5.	Backfill Protection					
	Will the structure be overtopped during the 1%-annual-chance event? Yes No					
	If the structure will be overtopped, attach an explanation of what measures are used to prevent the loss of backfill from rundown over the structure, drainage landward, under or laterally around the ends of the structure, or through seams and drainage openings in the structure.					
6.	Structural Stability - Minimum Water Level					
	a. For coastal revetments, was a geotechnical analysis of potential failure in the landward direction by rotational gravity slip performed for maximum loads associated with minimum seaward water level, no wave action, saturated soil conditions behind the structure, and Maximum toe scour? Yes No					
	b. For gravity and pile-supported seawalls, were engineering analysis of landward sliding, landward overturning, and of foundation adequacy using maximum pressures developed in the sliding and overturning calculations performed?					
	Yes No					
	c. For anchored bulkheads, were engineering analysis performed for shear failure, moment failure, and adequacy of tiebacks and deadmen to resist loading under low-water conditions?					
	Yes No					
7.	Structural Stability - Critical Water level (Note: All structures must be designed to resist the maximum loads associated with the critical water level to be credited as providing protection from the 1%-annual-chance event.					
	a. For coastal revetments, were geotechnical analysis performed investigating the potential failure in the seaward direction by rotational gravity slip or foundation failure due to inadequate bearing strength?					
	Yes No					

B. DESIGN CRITERIA (Continued)
b. For revetments, were engineering analysis of rock, riprap, or armor block's stability under wave action or uplift forces on the rock,
riprap or armor blocks performed?  Yes No
c. Are the rocks graded? Yes No
d. Are soil or geotextile filters being used in the design? Yes No
e. For gravity and pile supported seawalls, were engineering analysis of landward sliding, landward overturning, and foundation
adequacy performed? Yes No
f. For anchored bulkheads, were engineering analysis of shear and moment failure performed using "shock" pressures?
Yes No
For all analysis marked "No" above for the appropriate type of structure, please attach an explanation for why the analysis were not performed.
8. Material Adequacy
The design life of the structure given the existing conditions at the structure site is years.
9. Ice and Impact Alignment
a. Will the structure be subject to ice forces? Yes No If Yes, attach impact analysis and design for details for such forces.
b. Will the structure be subjected to impact forces from boats, ships, or large debris?  Yes No
If Yes, attach impact analysis.
10. Structure Plan Alignment
The structure is (check one): Solated Part of a continuos structure redundant return walls at frequent intervals.
Please provide a map showing the location of the structure and any natural land features that shelter the structure from wave actions,
C. ADVERSE IMPACT EVALUATION
If the structure is new, proposed, or modified, will the structure impact flooding and erosion for areas adjacent to the structure?
Yes No If Yes, attach an explanation
D. COMMUNITY AND/OR STATE REVIEW
Has the design, maintenance, and impact of the structure been reviewed and approved by the community, and any Federal, State, or local agencies having jurisdiction over flood control and coastal construction activities in the area the structure impacts?
If Yes, attach a list of agencies who have reviewed and approved the project.
If No, attach an explanation why review and approval by the appropriate community or agency has not been obtained.
E. CERTIFICATION
As a Professional Engineer, I certify that the above structures will withstand all hydraulic and wave forces associated with the 1%-annual-chance flood without significant structural degradation. All documents submitted in support of this request are correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that any false statement may be punishable by fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1001.
Certifier's Name License No. Expiration Date
Company Name Telephone No Fax No
Signature Date
Seal (optional)