**Supporting Statement for Paperwork Reduction Act Submission**

**Title: Transformation Initiative: Homeless Families Small Grant Research Demonstration Program**

OMB Control #:

1. **Justification**
2. **Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary. Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection. Attach a copy of the appropriate section of each statute and regulation mandating or authorizing the collection of information.**

In Fiscal Year (FY) 2010, approximately $150,000 has been made available to carry out this effort by the Department of Housing and Urban Development Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2010 (Pub. L. 111-117 approved December 16, 2009). This program is approved by HUD’s authority and administered under the Transformation Initiative (TI) account. The purpose of this effort is to enhance the demonstration project that serves Homeless Families by providing a vehicle for conducting a number of small research projects aimed at collecting additional/supplemental information and analyses. These funds will be made available in the form of cooperative agreements.

Grantees are selected through a competition process, announced through a Notice of Public Intent. Applicants are required to submit certain information as part of their application for assistance. Grantees are required to prepare a quarterly status report so that HUD can monitor their progress in completion of their research.

**2. Indicate how, by whom and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection.**

The information is collected during the application process. Collection of this data will serve two purposes:

a) It will enable HUD to select grantee under a competitive selection process. Without this information, it would be impossible to determine which applicants to select.

b) It will enable the Government Technical Representative (GTR) to monitor each grantee's performance. It is the only way to determine that funds are being spent in accordance with the goals of the program.

1. **Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision for adopting this means of collection. Also describe any consideration of using information technology to reduce burden.**

Respondents may apply through Grants.gov unless they get a waiver for the electronic application process.

**4. Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described in Item 2 above.**

This program does not duplicate any existing government program. No similar information is available.

**5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities (Item 5 of OMB Form 83-I) describe any methods used to minimize burden.**

This program does not involve small businesses.

**6. Describe the consequence to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently, as well as any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.**

 If information were not collected pursuant to submitting applications, HUD would have no qualitative way to select applicants to award among the various applicantions submit. If information were not collected for monitoring purposes, HUD would not be able to ensure that Federal dollars were being spent wisely and appropriately.

Information collected pursuant to submitting applications is requested only once per application cycle, the minimum amount of time possible. Information collected for monitoring purposes is submitted quarterly during the grant period. To require less frequent submission would mean that no action could be taken to correct administrative problems before the end of the grant period.

**7. Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:**

1. **requiring respondents to report information to the agency more than quarterly;**
2. **requiring respondents to prepare a written response to a collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it;**
3. **requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any document;**
4. **requiring respondents to retain records other than health, medical, government contract, grant-in-aid, or tax records for more than three years;**
5. **in connection with a statistical survey, that is not designed to produce valid and reliable results than can be generalized to the universe of study;**
6. **requiring the use of a statistical data classification that has not been reviewed and approved by OMB;**
7. **that includes a pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation, that is not supported by disclosure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or which unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies for compatible confidential use; or**
8. **requiring respondents to submit proprietary trade secret, or other confidential information unless the agency can demonstrate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information's confidentiality to the extent permitted by law.**

None

**8. If applicable, provide a copy and identify the date and page number of publication in the Federal Register of the agency's notice, required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB. Summarize public comments received in response to that notice and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments. Specifically address comments received on cost and hour burden.**

1. **Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instructions and recordkeeping disclosure, or reporting format (if any) and the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.**
2. **Consultation with representatives of those from whom information is to be obtained or those who must compile records should occur at least once every 3 years -- even if the collection of information activity is the same as in prior periods. There may be circumstances that preclude consultation in a specific situation. These circumstances should be explained.**

A notice was not published. An emergency review was requested due to the time constraints presented, the type of research being conducted and the funding source supporting this effort.

**9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than re-enumeration of contractors or grantees.**

None

**10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for assurance in statute, regulation or agency policy.**

 None

**11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual**

**behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered**

**private. This justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions**

**necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to**

**persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their**

**consent.**

None

**12. Provide estimates of the hour burden of the collection of information. The statement should:**

1. **Indicate the number of respondents, frequency of response, annual hour burden, and an explanation of how the burden was estimated. Unless directed to do so, agencies should not conduct special surveys to obtain information on which to base hour burden estimates. Consultation with a sample (fewer than 10) of potential respondents is desirable. If the hour burden on respondents is expected to vary widely because of differences in activity, size, or complexity, show the range of estimated hour burden, and explain the reasons for the variance. Generally estimates should not include burden hours for customary and usual business practices;**
2. **If this request covers more than one form, provide separate hour burden estimates for each form and aggregate the hour burdens in Item 13 of OMB Form 83-I; and**
3. **Provide estimates of annualized cost to respondents for the hour burdens for collections of information, identifying and using appropriate wage rate categories. The cost of contracting out or paying outside parties for information collection activities should not be included here. Instead this cost should be included in Item 13.**

1) Pre-Award

HUD estimates that each applicant spends approximately 7 person-hours to complete the pre-application phase. Almost all of this time is invested by a researcher, expert and/or analyst. HUD estimates the mean hourly rate at $30. For 15 applications, the computation is as follows: 15 applications X 7 hours X $30 per hours= $3,150.

 HUD estimates that each applicant that makes it through the pre-application phase will spend approximately 42 person-hours to complete a full application. HUD estimates the mean hourly rate at $30. For 10 applications, the computation is as follows: 10 applications X 42 hours X $30 per hours= $12,600.

2) Post-Award

HUD estimates that each grantee will spend approximately 6 hours a year maintaining records. HUD also estimates that each grantee will spend approximately 4 hours a year preparing monitoring reports. Clerical staff and faculty/supervisory staff will share this burden. HUD estimates the applicable hourly rate at $15. The computation is as follow: 2 grantees x 10 hours x $15 an hour = $300.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Description of Information Collection | Number of Respondents | Responses per Year | Total Annual Responses | Hrs per Response | Total Hours |
| SF 424 | 15 | 1 | 15 | 0.75 |  11.25 |
| Pre-application stage | 15 | 1 | 15 |  7 |  105 |
| SF424 Supplement | 10 | 1 | 10 | 0.08 |  .8 |
| HUD 424CB | 10 | 1 | 10 |  3 |  30 |
| SFLLL | 10 | 1 | 10 |  0.17 | 1.7 |
| HUD 2880 (2510-0011) | 10 | 1 | 10 |  0 |  0 |
| HUD 96010 (2535-0114) | 10 | 1 | 10 |  3 |  30 |
| Rating factor 1 | 10 | 1 | 10 |  7 |  70 |
| Rating factor 2 | 10 | 1 | 10 |  7 |  70 |
| Rating factor 3 | 10 | 1 | 10 |  7 |  70 |
| Rating factor 4 | 10 | 1 | 10 |  7 |  70 |
| Rating factor 5 | 10 | 1 | 10 |  7 |  70 |
| Subtotal (Application) | 10 | 1 | 10 |  49 |  528.75  |
| Quarterly Reports | 2 | 4 | 8 |  6  |  48 |
| Record keeping | 2 |  | 2 |  4  |  8 |
| Total | **10** |  | **10** | **Varies** |  **584.75** |

**13. Provide an estimate of the total annual cost burden to respondents or record keepers resulting from the collection of information (do not include the cost of any hour burden shown in Items 12 and 14).**

1. **The cost estimate should be split into two components: (a) a total capital and start-up cost component (annualized over its expected useful life); and (b) a total operation and maintenance purchase of services component. The estimates should take into account costs associated with generating, maintaining, and disclosing or providing the information. Include descriptions of methods used to estimate major cost factors including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life of capital equipment, the discount rate(s) and the time period over which costs will be incurred. Capital and start-up costs include, among other items, preparations for collecting information such as purchasing computers and software; monitoring, sampling, drilling and testing equipment; and record storage facilities;**
2. **If cost estimates are expected to vary widely, agencies should present ranges of cost burdens and explain the reasons for the variance. The cost of purchasing or contracting out information collection services should be a part of this cost burden estimate. In developing cost burden estimates, agencies may consult with a sample of respondents (fewer than 10) utilize the 60-day pre-OMB submission public comment process and use existing economic or regulatory impact analysis associated with the rulemaking containing the information collection, as appropriate.**
3. **Generally, estimates should not include purchases of equipment or services, or portions thereof made: (1) prior to October 1, 1995, (2) to achieve regulatory compliance with requirements not associated with the information collection, (3) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the government, or (4) as part of customary and usual business or private practices.**

None

**14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government. Also, provide a description of the method used to estimate cost, which should include quantification of hours, operational expenses (such as equipment, overhead, printing, and support staff), and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information. Agencies also may aggregate cost estimates from Items 12, 13, and 14 in a single table.**

1) Pre-Award.

Approximately 25 applications are expected to be received. Each application goes through a threshold review which takes one hour to conduct. This review is conducted by two persons, having an average grade of GS-14 at an hourly rate of $45.00. The computation is as follows: 25 applications x 2 persons x 1 hours x $45.00 = $2,250.

Approximately 20 of those applications will go to the next phase pre-application stage. This review is conducted by three persons, having an average grade of GS-14 at an hourly rate of $45.00. This computation is as follows: 20 applications X 3 persons x 2 hours x $45.00 = $5,400.

Of the 20 applications reviewed during the pre-application stage, approximately 15 applications are expected to go to the final review phase. This stage of review is conducted by three persons, having an average grade of GS-14 at an hourly rate of $45.00. The computation is as follows: 15 applications x 3 persons x 4 hours x $45.00 = $8,100.

2) Post-Award

HUD will award approximately 2 grants. With annual reporting, HUD would receive 8 quarterly progress reports for each funding cycle, requiring a total review time of one hour per grant per report. Assuming a GS-14 conducts the reviews, the cost is computed as follows: 1 hour x 16 reports x $45.00= $720.

**15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments reported in Items 13 and 14 of the OMB Form 83-I.**

None

**16. For collection of information whose results will be published, outline plans for tabulation and publication. Address any complex analytical techniques that will be used. Provide the time schedule for the entire project, including beginning and ending dates of the collection of information, completion of report, publication dates, and other actions.**

Not applicable

**17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the**

**information collection, explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate.**

No such approval is sought

**18. Explain each exception to the certification statement identified in item 19.**

None requested.

**B. Collections of Information Employing Statistical Methods**

None