

1600 Research Boulevard Rockville, MD 20850-3129

tel: 301-251-1500 fax: 301-294-2040 www.westat.com

DATE: March 16, 2010

TO: Kerry Grace Morrissey

Project Director

FROM: Kerry Levin

Chair, Institutional Review Board

SUBJECT: IRB Continuing Review and Approval

Multi-disciplinary Investigations of Nutrition and Cancer

Kerry Levin

Project #: 8472 FWA 5551

On March 9, 2010, the Westat Institutional Review Board conducted its continuing review of the following: Multi-disciplinary Investigations of Nutrition and Cancer, Project #: 8472, including:

8472.01.01 The NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study 8472.02.01 The India Diet and Health Study

Pursuant to 45 CFR pt 46.109(e), continuing review of research studies occurs at intervals appropriate to the degree of risk but not less frequently than once a year.

In accordance with 45 CFR pt 46, the Board approved the continuation of this study. The next continuing review will be due on or before March 31, 2011. In the interim, you are responsible for notifying the Institutional Review Board (IRB) Office as soon as possible if there are any injuries to the subjects, problems with the study, or changes to study design that relate to human subjects

cc: Institutional Review Board - Sharon Zack

CLINICAL RESEARCH PROTOCOL	PROTOCOL NO.	PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR (NIII Employee News 1 17
CONTINUING REVIEW APPLICATION	08-C-N152	PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR (NIH Employee Name, Inst/Br, Address, Telephone and emai
PROTOCOL TITLE:		Arthur Schatzkin,MD, Dr.P.H. NEB/DCEG/NCI, 6120 Executive Blvd. Rockville, MD 20852;301-594-2931;schatzka@mail.nih.gov
Feasibility study of a web-based autom	ated self-administered 24	have that
Feasibility study of a web-based autom PROTOCOL STATUS: Renew -Recruitment of participants has no -Participants are currently being re -No longer recruiting or enrolling pro-Participants have completed study closed. Participants have complete study closed. Participants have condate analysis complete. SUMMARY OF PROTOCOL ENROLLMENT (Agg coordinating site, provide totals and enrollment table for on NIH Site Other Sites Total 15,000 0 15,000 Acc 251 251 No 2562 362 Agg Are you currently recruiting healthy volunteers? Will the protocol involve adults unable to give information as required? No Yes (answer analyses been reported? No (explain b. Have significant differences been found? No (explain b. Have significant differences details and enrollement table for on the found of the f	at yet begun. cruited or enrolled. articipants, subject follow-up only. y; study and data analyses ongoin ment of participants suspended. completed study. Recruitment and gregate): Only when the NIH is the ther site. crual ceiling by IRB ew subjects accrued since last CR ggregate total accrued No Yes med consent? No Yes en conducted for Phase 3 Clinical and b) N/A n in narrative) Yes No Yes	g.
Have any non-NIH Investigators or sites been added since the last review? ☑ No		} □ No
Yes (Identify the persons or sites and describe the collaboration in the		Yes (Describe briefly in the attached narrative.)
summary report) WITH THIS REVIEW, I AM REQUESTING A CHANGE TO THE FOLLOWING: *Include Name, Inst/Branch, Telephone, Address, e-mail. Check box if an NIH Employee and initial line. Attach sheet if necessary.		Have there been any changes in the informed consent process or documentation since the last review? No Yes (Describe in Summary report)
PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR:		Have there been any changes in the subject population, recruitment or selection criteria
Delete:		since the last review?
Add*:		No Yes (Explain changes in the attached narrative.)
EXTRAMURAL ADJUNCT PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR:		•
Delete:		Have any unexpected complications or side effects been noted since the last review?
Add:		☐ Yes (Identify and explain in the attached narrative.)
MEDICAL ADVISORY INVESTIGATOR:		Have any subjects withdrawn from this study since the last IRB approval?
Delete:		■ No □ Yes (Discuss in the attached narrative.)
Delete:		Has any information appeared in the literature, or evolved from this or similar research, that might affect the IRB's evaluation of the risk/benefit analysis of human subjects involved in this protocol?
Add*:		■ No □ Yes (Discuss in the attached narrative.)
RESEARCH CONTACT: Delete:		Has the NIH IRP COI Guide been distributed to new NIH investigators?
Add*: □		⊔ No □ Yes ⊠ N/A
ASSOCIATE INVESTIGATOR(S):		Has the NIH IRP COI Guide been distributed to new Non-NIH investigators? ☐ No ☐ Yes ☑ N/A
Delete:		CONFLICTS OF INTEREST REVIEW?
Add*: -		Date submitted to IC DEC: 1/4/10 Date cleared by IC DEC: 2/4/10
IGNATURE _ ATTO SCOOT	b Arthur	Sendick In Date 1-5-1-0 Send to Accountable Investigator
ECOMMENDATION Accountable investigator	PrintType Nan PrintType Nan Rubi Ki	The Schatch Date 1-5-18 Send to Branch Chief, or CC Dept. Head of Accountable Investigator
PPROVALS Clinical Division Cpeir, FoNInstitutional Review Board	Will'S PrintType Nam NOW 16	Date 3/16/0 Send to Chair, Institutional Review Board Date 3/16/0 Send to Office of Protocol Services.
OMPLETION Pretocol Specialist	Date	Propool & Consent through IRB Protocol Coordinator Approved Effective
		Clinical Research Protocol Continuing Povious Application

CLINICAL RESEARCH PROTOCOL