OMB Number: 3320-0010 Approval Expiry Date:

Facilitation <u>Practitioner</u> Questionnaire

The U.S. Institute for Environmental Conflict Resolution evaluates all of its projects and cases. You have served as a mediator or facilitator in one of these projects/cases, and the Institute requests your assistance with this evaluation. Your responses will be part of the Institute's ongoing evaluation effort, and the data compiled will provide much-needed information that will be used to improve our programs and services. The average estimated reporting burden for this questionnaire is 20 minutes. This estimate includes time for reviewing the instructions, gathering the data needed, completing, and reviewing the questionnaire. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Institute. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) number that is displayed on the cover is currently valid and authorizes this collection of information.

1. What was the application of the collaborative process in this case or project?

OUT OF THE FOLLOWING LIST, PLEASE CHECK THE MOST APPROPRIATE BOX.

Check only one	
	a. Policy development
	b. Planning
	c. Siting and construction
	d. Rulemaking
	e. License and permit issuance
	f. Compliance and enforcement action
	g. Implementation/monitoring agreements
	h. Other (please specify):

2.	Ple	ase ic	dentify	the g	geograp	hic lo	ocation	n(s) f	or this	case	: (Chec	k all	that ap	ply)			
			AL		DE		IN		MA		NE		NC		RI		VT
			AK		DC		IA		MI		NV		ND		SC		VA
			AZ		FL		KS		MN		NH		ОН		SD		WA
			AR		GA		KY		MS		NJ		OK		TN		WV
			CA		HI		LA		MO		NM		OR		TX		WI
			CO		ID		ME		MT		NY		PA		UT		WY
			CT		IL		MD		Region	nal		Nati	onal			Intern	ational
3.	Ple		Coasta Ecosys Endang Energy Forest Land U Mining Native Parks a Recrea Solid of Transp Waters Water	lture ality blogy I Zon tem I gered and T Jse ar Ame tional or Haz ortati shed/F Quali Suppl	or Histore or Manager Species Timber Mand Urbar rican, A efuges I Use an exardous on River Ba ty	oric Prorine Monent and/o Manage n Deve	eservation anager of Criticon ement colopment Native,	ion nent al Ha	bitat			: (Ch	eck al	l that	t appl	y)	
			Wildlif	fe Ma	nageme	nt											

4.		many s particul		(including conferess?	ence calls and r	neetings) were	e held in co	onjunc	tion with
			Num	ber of sessions					
5.		nate the		er of hours you <u>ar</u>	nd the other med	diators/facilita	ators devot	ed to 1	this
			_ To	tal hours					
6.		t was th		value of the contr	act for <u>all</u> medi	ator/facilitato	r services	devote	d to this
		\$		Total contract va	ılue				
7.	case/	project		number of month				orking	
		0 - 6 mo	onths	7 - 12 months	1 - 2 years	3 - 5 years		ears	
				R	ating Scale		_		_
	0 Not a		2	3 4	5 Moderately difficult	6 7	8	9	10 Extremely difficult
8.	Usin	g the sca	ale abov	ve, please rate the	following:				
		Rating							
			pro	e difficulty of <u>deve</u> ocess for this case on niliar or have been	or project compa	_			

9.	Please	characterize	the	particin	oants by	doing	the	following:
-	I ICUSC	CHALACTER IEC		Petr Cres	TOTAL OF THE PARTY	~~~		

Please indicate <u>THE NUMBER</u> of participants that were included in the process <u>within each of the given categories</u> (e.g., if 2 separate participants represented the state, place a '2' in the box for 'State Government').

		Number of participants included in the process
a. :	Federal Government	
b.	State Government	
c.	Local/Regional Government	
d.	Tribal Government	
e. :	Environmental/Conservation	
f.	Recreational	
g.	Industrial/Resource Extraction	
h. :	Business/Commercial	
	Community or Private Citizen (e.g., neighborhood association, local resident)	
j.	Special Advocacy Interests (Please specify):	
k.	Other (Please specify):	

Rating Scale


0	1	$\overset{\perp}{2}$	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	t agree				Moderately				Co	mpletely
at all					agree					agree

11. Using the above scale, please rate your agreement with the following statements:

IF THE QUESTION DOES NOT PERTAIN TO THE CASE/PROJECT, PLEASE CHECK N/A ("NOT APPLICABLE").

Check if N/A	Rating	
		a. On reflection, were you the right mediator/facilitator to guide this process.
		b. If needed, resources were available to obtain the relevant expertise/information for this case or project
		c. Experts were used to educate participants in the collaborative process on the relevant issues
		d. In general, the relevant information was understood by the participants
		e. Participants worked to ensure agreement on the meaning of the relevant information

Rating Scale



12. Using the scale above, please rate the following:

Extent to which	
the following was	
<u>problematic</u>	
	a. Some participants lacked the skills required for participating effectively in the collaborative process
	b. Some participants lacked the time required for participating effectively in the collaborative process
	c. Some participants lacked the financial resources required for participating effectively in the collaborative process
	d. Some participants did not have access to the information required for participating effectively in the collaborative process
	e. Some organizations or interests that should have been included were absent from the collaborative process

13. Please indicate the extent to which progress was made:

CHECK THE MOST APPROPRIATE BOX \underline{AND} PLEASE USE THE SPACE PROVIDED TO EXPAND ON YOUR ANSWER.

CHECK		
ONLY ONE		
	Progress made on <u>all</u> key issues	Use the space below if you would like to elaborate on your response:
	Progress made on most key issues	
	Progress made on some key issues	
	We ended the process without making much progress at all	

14. Reflecting on the case or project, are there any lessons that should be recorded? WE ARE

Afficial distance dis
Affected interest/concerned interest represented:
Representative at the table:
Address:
Phone: Email:
LY ALREADY HAVE THIS INFORMATION IN A TABLE OR SPREADSHEET, AND YOU
TO ATTACH THAT INFORMATION TO THIS SURVEY OR EMAIL IT TO ORR@ECR.O