
OMB Number: 3320-0010 Approval Expiry Date:

### **Facilitation Participant Evaluation**

Evaluation of Progress Re: Collaborative Intervention

The U.S. Institute for Environmental Conflict Resolution requests your assistance in evaluating this process. As a part of this evaluation we ask the various participants who have been involved in this project to provide us with information about their experience. The data compiled will be used to improve future mediation and facilitation processes. The average estimated reporting burden for this questionnaire is 12 minutes. This estimate includes time for reviewing the instructions, gathering the data needed, completing, and reviewing the questionnaire. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Institute. This questionnaire has an identifying number so that we can track who has responded. The Institute will not report information from this evaluation in a way that respondents or their organizations can be identified. Moreover, the identity of individual respondents will be kept confidential and will not be disclosed. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) number that is displayed on the cover is currently valid and authorizes this collection of information.



# 1. Using the scale above, please rate the following questions about the participants and resources? Rating

 a. The participants, as a group, represented all affected concerns.	
 b. The participants continued to be engaged so long as their involvement was necessary	ary.
 c. The participants had sufficient authority to make commitments on behalf of their organizations.	
 d. I had the resources (e.g., time, money) needed to participate effectively in the production	cess.
 e. I had sufficient access to relevant information I needed in order to participate effecthis collaborative process.	ctively in
 f. Relevant information was effectively integrated into the process (e.g., a project we was used to share information, spatial analysis and decision support tools were use	

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Do n	ot agree				Moderately	,			Com	pletely
at all					agree					agree

## 2. Using the scale above, please rate the following for each facilitator involved in this process: Please identify each facilitator by placing their initials in the space provided, and then rate each statement for

each facilitator. Please use the margins to rate additional facilitators if needed.

<u>Initial</u>	s of Facilit	ator(s)	
1	2	3	Facilitator Skills and Practices
	Ratings		
			a. The facilitator kept us on track and helped us find ways to move forward constructively.
			b. The facilitator dealt with all participants in a fair and unbiased manner, and made sure that no one dominated the process.
			c. The facilitator helped us manage technical discussions efficiently.
			d. The facilitator helped the participants test the practicality of the options under discussion.
			e. The facilitator was helpful in documenting our work.


#### 3. Using the scale above, please rate the following statements about the process:


Rating

 a.	The process helped you gain a better understanding of the other participants' views and perspectives.
 b.	The process helped you identify and focus on the key issues that had to be addressed.
 c.	The process helped the participants, as a group, to explore options or resolutions that meet the common needs of all participants.

4. Please indicate the extent to which progress was made:

Check only one





5. Using the scale above, please rate the extent to which the participants were able to work together cooperatively when the process began and as a result of the process.

	Before the process began Rating	As a result of the process  Rating
The participants were able to work together cooperatively.		

6. Using the scale above, please rate the following:

Rating

I would recommend this type of process to colleagues in a similar situation.
--

EASE WRITE "NC	ONE" IF YOU FEEL THIS PROCESS	COULD NOT HAVE BEEN IMPROVED.
EASE RANK THE	ective, what will be the effect MOST IMPORTANT EFFECTS (E.C EFLY DESCRIBE THE EFFECTS.	t (e.g., impacts or benefits) of the progress is $3^{\rm ND}$ most important, $2^{\rm ND}$ most important
Rank all that apply	Briefly describe the effects	of the progress made:
	Natural resources and environmental conditions	
	Historic and cultural resources	
	Community and social conditions	
	Economic conditions	
	Recreational uses	
	Other	

9.	If an assisted collaborative process had not been used, what would have been the most likely
	approach for the issues to be addressed or resolved?

Check		
<u>only</u> one		
	a.	Unassisted negotiation
	b.	Judicial settlement conference
	c.	Litigation
	d.	Lobbying or working to achieve legislative action
	e.	Rulemaking
	f.	Arbitration
	g.	Administrative proceeding (e.g., agency appeals process, agency order)
	h.	Wait for a better time to take action.
	i.	Don't know
	j.	Other (PLEASE SPECIFY)

#### 10. Overall, what did this collaborative process accomplish?

Check the Most Important	Check the Second Most Important		
		a.	A potentially costly or divisive dispute was likely avoided.
		b.	An impasse (stalemate) was broken.
		c.	A crisis was likely averted.
		d.	Conflict didn't escalate.
		e.	Costly or protracted litigation was likely avoided.
		f.	Relationships among parties in this process were improved.
		g.	The process resulted in timely decisions and outcomes.
		h.	Nothing was accomplished.
		i.	The process made the issues or dispute worse.

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Do n	ot agree				Moderately	,			Com	pletely
at all	[				agree					agree

#### 11. Using the scale above, please rate the following final questions:

a. On reflection, this was the right facilitator(s) to guide this process.
b. I was involved in selecting the facilitator(s).

	a. Federal Government
	b. State Government
	c. Local/County/Regional Government
	d. Tribal Government
	e. Environmental/Conservation
	f. Recreational
	g. Industrial/Resource Extraction
	h. Business/Commercial
	i. Community or Private Citizen (e.g., neighborhood association, local resident
	j. Special Advocacy Interests (Please specify):
	k. Other (Please specify):
Please us	se the space below for any additional comments you would like to make.

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your assistance in providing this information is *very* much appreciated.