## DRAFT SUPPORTING STATEMENT FOR PROPOSED RULE 10 CFR PART 52 U.S. ADVANCED BOILING WATER REACTOR AIRCRAFT IMPACT DESIGN CERTIFICATION AMENDMENT (3150-0151) REVISION

# **Description of the Information Collection**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) proposes to amend its regulations to certify an amendment to the U.S. Advanced Boiling Water Reactor (ABWR) standard plant design to comply with the Title 10 of the *Code* of *Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) 50.150, "Aircraft Impact Assessment." This action will allow applicants or licensees intending to construct and operate a U.S. ABWR to comply with 10 CFR 50.150 by referencing the amended design certification rule (DCR). The applicant for certification of the amendment to the ABWR design is STP Nuclear Operating Company (STPNOC).

# A. JUSTIFICATION

Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations*, (10 CFR) Part 52 "Licenses, Certifications, and Approvals for Nuclear Power Plants", Subpart B, sets forth the process for obtaining standard design certifications. Section 52.63, "Finality of standard design certifications," provides criteria for determining when the Commission may amend the certification information for a previously certified standard design in response to a request for amendment from any person. On June 30, 2009, STPNOC tendered its application with the NRC for amendment of the U.S. ABWR standard plant design certification to comply with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.150, "Aircraft impact assessment" (ADAMS Accession Number ML092040048). STPNOC submitted this application in accordance with 10 CFR 52.63. The NRC formally accepted the application as a docketed application for amendment to the U.S. ABWR design certification (Docket No. 52-001) on December 1, 2009 (74 FR 62829).

On June 12, 2009, the NRC amended its regulations to require applicants for new nuclear power reactor designs to perform a design-specific assessment of the effects of the impact of a large, commercial aircraft (74 FR 28112). These new provisions in 10 CFR 50.150 (the aircraft impact assessment (AIA) rule) require applicants to use realistic analyses to identify and incorporate design features and functional capabilities to ensure, with reduced use of operator actions, that either the reactor core remains cooled or the containment remains intact, and either spent fuel cooling or spent fuel pool integrity is maintained. These requirements apply to various categories of applicants, including applicants for combined licenses that reference a standard design certification issued before the effective date of the rule which has not been amended to comply with the rule. Such combined license applicants have two methods by which they can comply with 10 CFR 50.150. They can either request an amendment to the certified design or they can address the requirements of 10 CFR 50.150 directly in their combined license application. STPNOC submitted an application for a combined license on September 20, 2007. STPNOC has requested this amendment to the U.S. ABWR certified design to address the requirements of the AIA rule.

The NRC is seeking clearance with respect to the proposed changes to 10 CFR Part 52 related to changes to the DCR for the U.S. ABWR. The new provisions would bring the U.S. ABWR certified design into compliance with the AIA rule (10 CFR 50.150).

#### 1. <u>Need for and Practical Utility of the Collection of Information</u>

The reasons for the proposed reporting and recordkeeping requirements are to ensure that the NRC has sufficient information to review and make a determination on a license application that references the U.S. ABWR design and to ensure that the applicant for the amendment to the U.S. ABWR design, and any license applicants or holders that reference this amendment to the U.S. ABWR design, maintain records associated with the assessment required by 10 CFR 50.150 and any changes to key AIA design features described in the associated Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR), commonly referred to as the Design Control Document (DCD).

<u>10 CFR Part 52, Appendix A.</u> Appendix A, "Design Certification Rule for the U.S. Advanced Boiling Water Reactor" to 10 CFR Part 52 constitutes the standard design certification for the U.S. ABWR design, in accordance with 10 CFR Part 52, Subpart B, "Standard Design Certifications." Subpart B sets forth the requirements and procedures applicable to Commission issuance of rules granting standard design certifications for nuclear power facilities separate from the filing of an application for a combined license for such a facility. Any person may seek a standard design certification for an essentially complete nuclear power plant design.

<u>10 CFR Part 52, Appendix A, Paragraph IV.A.4.</u> Proposed paragraph A.4.a of Section IV, "Additional Requirements and Restrictions," states that an applicant for a combined license that wishes to reference Appendix A must include, as part of its application, a demonstration that an entity other than GE Nuclear Energy is qualified to supply the ABWR certified design unless GE Nuclear Energy supplies the design for the applicant's use.

Proposed paragraph A.4.b. states that an applicant referencing the STPNOC certified design option, must include, as part of its application, a demonstration that an entity other than STPNOC and TANE acting together is qualified to supply the STPNOC certified design option, unless STPNOC and TANE acting together supply the design option for the applicant's use.

<u>10 CFR Part 52, Appendix A, Paragraph VIII.B.5.d.</u> Proposed paragraph B.5.d of Section VIII, "Processes for Changes and Departures," states that, if an applicant or licensee proposes to depart from the information required by 10 CFR 52.47(a) (28) to be included in the FSAR for the standard design certification, then the applicant or licensee shall consider the effect of the changed feature or capability on the original assessment required by 10 CFR 50.150(a). The

applicant or licensee must also document how the modified design features and functional capabilities continue to meet the assessment requirements in 10 CFR 50.150(a)(1), in accordance with Section X of Appendix A.

<u>10 CFR Part 52, Appendix A, Paragraph X.A.4.</u> Proposed paragraph A.4.a of Section X, "Records and Reporting," states that the applicant for the amendment to the U.S. ABWR design to address the requirements in 10 CFR 50.150 must maintain a copy of the assessment performed to comply with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.150(a) for the term of the certification (including any period of renewal).

Proposed paragraph A.4.b of Section X states that an applicant or licensee who references Appendix A, to include both the GE DCD and the STPNOC DCD, must maintain a copy of the assessment performed to comply with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.150(a) throughout the pendency of the application and for the term of the license (including any period of renewal).

#### 2. <u>Agency Use of the Information</u>

The reasons for these proposed reporting and recordkeeping requirements are to ensure that the NRC has sufficient information to review and make a determination on a license application that references the U.S. ABWR design and to ensure that the applicant for the amendment to the U.S. ABWR design, and any license applicants or holders that reference the amendment to U.S. ABWR design, maintain appropriate AIA records.

The NRC is proposing to add a new paragraph IV.A.4 to indicate requirements that must be met in cases where the combined license applicant is not using the entity that was the original applicant for the design certification, or amendment, to supply the design for the applicant's use. Proposed paragraph IV.A.4.a would require that a combined license applicant referencing Appendix A include, as part of its application, a demonstration that an entity other than GE Nuclear Energy is qualified to supply the U.S. ABWR certified design unless GE Nuclear Energy supplies the design for the applicant's use. Proposed paragraph IV.A.4.b would require that a combined license applicant referencing the STPNOC certified design option include, as part of its application, a demonstration that an entity other than STPNOC and TANE acting together is gualified to supply the STPNOC certified design option, unless STPNOC and TANE acting together supply the design option for the applicant's use. In cases where a combined license applicant is not using GE Nuclear Energy to supply the U.S. ABWR certified design, or is not using STPNOC and TANE acting together to supply the STPNOC certified design option, this information would be used to support any NRC finding under 10 CFR 52.73(a) that an entity other than the one originally sponsoring the design certification or design certification amendment is gualified to supply the certified design or certified design option.

Proposed paragraph VIII.B.5.d would require an applicant referencing the U.S. ABWR DCR, who proposed to depart from the information required by 10 CFR

52.47(a)(28) to be included in the FSAR for the standard design certification, to consider the effect of the changed AIA feature or capability on the original 10 CFR 50.150(a) assessment. The FSAR information required by the AIA rule which is subject to this change control requirement are the descriptions of the design features and functional capabilities incorporated into the final design of the nuclear power facility and the description of how the identified design features and functional capabilities meet the assessment requirements in 10 CFR 50.150(a)(1). The objective of the change controls is to determine whether the design of the facility, as changed or modified, is shown to withstand the effects of the aircraft impact with reduced use of operator actions. In other words, the applicant or licensee must continue to show, with the modified design, that the acceptance criteria in 10 CFR 50.150(a)(1) are met with reduced use of operator actions. The applicant or licensee would also be required under proposed paragraph VIII.B.5.d to document how the modified design features and functional capabilities continue to meet the assessment requirements in 10 CFR 50.150(a)(1) in accordance with Section X of Appendix A. The proposed addition of these provisions to Appendix A is consistent with the NRC's intent when it issued the AIA rule in 2009, as noted in the Statements of Consideration for that rule (June 12, 2009; 74 FR 28112, at 28122 (third column)).

Proposed new paragraph X.A.4.a would require STPNOC to maintain a copy of the aircraft impact assessment performed to comply with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.150(a) for the term of the certification (including any period of renewal). This proposed provision will facilitate any NRC inspections of the assessment that the NRC decides to conduct. As noted in the Statements of Consideration for the AIA rule, the aircraft impact assessment will be subject to inspection by the NRC and, therefore, must be maintained by the applicant along with the rest of the information that forms the basis for the relevant application (June 12, 2009; 74 FR 28112, at 28120 (first column)).

Proposed new paragraph X.A.4.b would require an applicant or licensee who references the STPNOC certified design option to maintain a copy of the aircraft impact assessment performed to comply with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.150(a) throughout the pendency of the application and for the term of the license (including any period of renewal). For all applicants and licensees, the supporting documentation retained onsite should describe the methodology used in performing the assessment, including the identification of potential design features and functional capabilities to show that the acceptance criteria in 10 CFR 50.150(a)(1) will be met. The addition of these paragraphs is consistent with the NRC's intent when it issued the AIA rule in 2009, as noted in the Statements of Consideration for that rule (June 12, 2009; 74 FR 28112, at 28121 (second column)).

#### 3. <u>Reduction of Burden Through Information Technology</u>

There are no legal obstacles to reducing the burden associated with this information collection. The NRC encourages respondents to use new automated information technology when it could be beneficial to them. NRC issued a regulation on October 10, 2003 (68 FR 58792), consistent with the Government Paperwork Elimination Act, which allows its licensees, vendors, applicants, and members of the public the option to make submissions electronically via CD-ROM, email, special Web-based interface, or other means. It is estimated that 100 percent of the applications will be submitted electronically.

### 4. Effort to Identify Duplication and Use of Similar Information

There is no duplication of requirements and this information is not available from any source other than the applicants involved. The information required by the NRC in applications, reports, or records concerning the licensing of nuclear power plants does not duplicate other Federal information collection requirements. The NRC has in place an ongoing program to examine all information collections with the goal of eliminating all duplication and/or unnecessary information collections.

## 5. Effort to Reduce Small Business Burden

The information collection required by this regulation will not be a burden on small business because only large companies have the technical and financial resources to support the large capital investment required to design and construct these nuclear power plants. Therefore, small businesses will not be seeking a design certification, combined license, or manufacturing license made available by 10 CFR Part 52. No small entities are expected to be impacted by the final rule.

### 6. <u>Consequences to Federal Program Activities if the Collection is Not Conducted</u> <u>or is Conducted Less Frequently</u>

This information that would be required by the proposed revisions to 10 CFR Part 52, Appendix A, is collected once from a single applicant. This information is required only when licensing action is sought on a combined license application referencing the U.S. ABWR design. Without this one-time collection of information, the NRC will not have a sufficient technical basis for evaluating whether a combined license applicant referencing the U.S. ABWR design is using an entity that is qualified to supply the design for the combined license applicant's use in constructing the facility for which it is seeking a license. In addition, without the proposed recordkeeping requirements, the NRC would not have sufficient information to: (1) evaluate the effects of changes made to key AIA design features to determine the facility's resulting ability to withstand the effects of the impact of a large, commercial aircraft and (2) support NRC inspections of the applicant or licensee's compliance with 10 CFR 50.150. The NRC cannot

collect the information any less frequently than provided in this rule or it will compromise its ability to (1) make appropriate regulatory or licensing decisions, and (2) determine whether nuclear power plant designers have performed a rigorous assessment of the design, or have adequately evaluated changes to the original assessment, to identify design features and functional capabilities that could provide additional inherent protection to withstand the effects of an aircraft impact.

### 7. <u>Circumstances Which Justify Variation from OMB Guidelines</u>

The NRC is proposing to require that STPNOC be required to retain the assessment required by 10 CFR 50.150(a) for the term of the certification (including any period of renewal). In addition, the NRC is proposing to require that an applicant or licensee who references the STPNOC certified design option maintain a copy of the assessment performed to comply with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.150(a) throughout the pendency of the application and for the term of the license (including any period of renewal). These requirements are consistent with the NRC's intent when it issued the final AIA rule (June 12, 2009; 74 FR 28112, at 28121). Applicants and licensees will be required to retain the assessment until the Commission terminates the facility because it supports the basis for the facility design.

8. <u>Consultation Outside the NRC</u>

Opportunity for public comment on the information collection requirements for this clearance package has been published in the Federal Register.

9. <u>Payments or Gifts to Respondents</u>

Not applicable.

### 10. Confidentiality of Information

Information identified as proprietary or confidential will be handled and protected in accordance with NRC regulations at 10 CFR 9.17(a) and 10 CFR 2.390(b). If the applicant includes any sensitive information in its reports, it will only be available to those NRC staff that are authorized and have a need-to-know. Certain information designated as Safeguards Information (SGI) is prohibited from public disclosure in accordance with the provisions of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, Chapter 12, Section 147, or designated as classified National Security Information, in accordance with Executive Order 12958.

11. Justification for Sensitive Questions

Not applicable.

#### 12. Estimate of Annualized Burden and Burden Hour Cost

The proposed rule would increase the reporting burden for combined license applicants not using the entity that was the original applicant for the U.S. ABWR design certification, or for this amendment, to supply the design for the applicant's use (Paragraph IV.A.4). The NRC expects zero new combined license applicants to reference the U.S. ABWR original design certification during this OMB clearance period. The NRC expects one combined license applicant to reference this amendment to the U.S. ABWR during this OMB clearance period, but that combined license applicant is expected to use the original applicant for this design certification amendment to supply the design for the combined license applicant's use. Therefore, the NRC expects zero combined license applicants to reference this amendment to the U.S. ABWR design that will not use the original amendment applicant to supply the design. The NRC expects no burden increase related to this proposed provision during this OMB clearance period. If such an application were submitted during a future OMB clearance period, the NRC estimates that the annualized reporting burden will be 120 hours for a combined license applicant not using the entity that was the original applicant for the U.S. ABWR design certification and 40 hours for a combined license applicant not using the entity that was the original applicant for this amendment to the U.S. ABWR design certification.

The proposed rule would increase the recordkeeping burden for combined license applicants or holders that reference the STPNOC certified design option and choose to depart from the key AIA design features identified in the STPNOC DCD (Paragraph VIII.B.5.d). The NRC expects zero combined license applicants or holders to reference the STPNOC certified design option that will depart from the key AIA design features identified in the STPNOC DCD. Therefore, the NRC expects no burden increase related to this proposed provision during this OMB clearance period. If a combined license applicant or holder were to reference the STPNOC certified design option and depart from key AIA design features, the NRC estimates that the annualized one-time recordkeeping burden would be 20 hours.

This proposed rule would increase the recordkeeping burden for the applicant for this amendment to the U.S. ABWR design (Paragraph X.A.4.a). The NRC estimates the annual recordkeeping burden to maintain a copy of the aircraft impact assessment performed to comply with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.150(a) for the term of the certification (including any period of renewal) would be 3 hours.

This proposed rule would increase the recordkeeping burden for the combined license applicants and holders referencing the STPNOC certified design option (Paragraph X.A.4.b). The NRC estimates the annual recordkeeping burden to maintain a copy of the aircraft impact assessment performed to comply with the

requirements of 10 CFR 50.150(a) throughout the pendency of the application and for the term of the license (including any period of renewal) would be 3 hours.

# Total Burden and Burden Hour Cost

The burden for the annualized reporting burden is given in Table 1. The burden for the annualized recordkeeping burden is given in Tables 2 and 3. The total annualized burden for all information collections is 6 hours, broken down as follows:

- 0 hours for annual reporting burden
- 0 hours for one-time recordkeeping burden
- 6 hours for annual recordkeeping burden

The annual estimated cost for the collection is \$1542 (6 hours x \$257/hr).

### 13. <u>Estimate of Other Additional Cost</u>

NRC has determined that the records storage cost is roughly proportional to the recordkeeping burden cost. Based on a typical clearance, the recordkeeping storage cost has been estimated to be equal to .0004 percent of the recordkeeping burden. Therefore, the annual recordkeeping storage cost for this collection is estimated to be \$0.62 (6 recordkeeping hours x \$257 x .0004).

### 14. Estimated Annualized Cost to the Federal Government

This section calculates the estimated cost to the government over the 3-year OMB clearance period covered by this analysis. The NRC will incur costs to review the required information for applications referencing the U.S. ABWR design but not using the entity that was the original applicant for the U.S. ABWR design certification, or for this amendment, to supply the design for the applicant's use. The NRC expects zero new combined license applicants to reference the U.S. ABWR original design certification during this OMB clearance period and zero combined license applicants to reference this amendment to the U.S. ABWR design that will not use the original amendment applicant to supply the design. The NRC expects no NRC costs related to this proposed provision during this OMB clearance period. If such an application were submitted during a future OMB clearance period, the NRC estimates that the NRC cost will be 30 hours to review and process the information required for a combined license applicant not using the entity that was the original applicant for the U.S. ABWR design certification and 10 hours to review and process the information required for a combined license applicant not using the entity that was the original applicant for this amendment to the U.S. ABWR design certification.

## 15. <u>Reasons for Change in Burden or Cost</u>

The overall burden for this proposed rule will increase by 6 hours from 204,075 hours to 204,081 hours because of the following: (1) requiring combined license applicants to report information demonstrating that an entity other than the original applicant is gualified to supply the U.S. ABWR certified design, or this amendment to the U.S. ABWR certified design, unless the original applicant supplies the design for the combined license applicant's use; (2) an applicant referencing the U.S. ABWR design, that proposes to depart from the information required by 10 CFR 52.47(a)(28) to be included in the FSAR, to consider the effect of the changed AIA feature or capability on the original 10 CFR 50.150(a) assessment and to document how the modified design features and functional capabilities continue to meet the assessment requirements in 10 CFR 50.150(a) (1); and (3) the applicant for this amendment to the U.S. ABWR design, and any applicant of licensee referencing this amendment, to maintain a copy of the aircraft impact assessment performed to comply with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.150(a) for the term of the certification or license (including any period of renewal).

16. <u>Publication for Statistical Use</u>

The collected information is not published for statistical use.

17. <u>Reason for Not Displaying the Expiration Date</u>

The requirement will be contained in a regulation. Amending the *Code of Federal Regulations* to display information that, in an annual publication, could become obsolete would be unduly burdensome and too difficult to keep current.

18. <u>Exceptions to the Certification Statement</u>

None.

# A. COLLECTION OF INFORMATION EMPLOYING STATISTICAL METHODS

Not applicable. Statistical methods are not used in this collection of information.

# <u>1TABLE 1</u> <u>1</u> ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN, 10 CFR PART 52, APPENDIX A ABWR DESIGN CERTIFICATION RULE

Section	No. Of Respondents	Responses per Respondent	Total No. of Responses	Burden Hours per Respons e	Total Annual Reporting Burden (Hrs)
IV.A.4.a	0	1	0	120	0
IV.A.4.b	0	1	0	40	0
TOTAL	0		0		0

#### TABLE 2 ANNUAL RECORDKEEPING BURDEN, 10 CFR PART 52, APPENDIX A ABWR DESIGN CERTIFICATION RULE

	-		-	
Section	No. of	No. of Records	Hours per	Total Annual
	Recordkeepers	per	Record	Burden
	•	Recordkeeper		
X.A.4.a	1	1	3	3
X.A.4.b	1	1	3	3
TOTAL	2			6

# TABLE 3 ONE-TIME RECORDKEEPING BURDEN, 10 CFR PART 52, APPENDIX A (ANNUALIZED) ABWR DESIGN CERTIFICATION RULE

Section	No. of Recordkeepers	Annualized One- Time Burden per Respondent	Total Annual Reporting Burden (Hrs)
VIII.B.5.d	0	20	0
TOTAL	0		0

TOTAL BURDEN HOURS:		
TOTAL BURDEN HOUR COST:		
ANNUAL RESPONDENTS:		
RESPONSES:		

6 hours \$1542 (6 hours x \$257/hr) 2 respondents 2 responses