


Attachment H - Sample Reports

Part 1 - Summary of evaluator needs

Number of evaluators responding :

Overall level of experience in program evaluation




General knowledge assessment

	Not at all	General knowledge	Used or could use	Taught or could teach	Priority for training
Guiding principles	1	2	5	0	
Joint Committee Program Standards	2	3	3	0	
CDC Framework	0	4	3	1	
Multiple approaches	1	5	1	1	

Common areas identified for requesting technical assistance

Technical assistance requested for	Percent requesting	Respondents that can teach this
Designing evaluations using mixed methods	88%	
Collecting observational data	75%	
Using logic models to guide questions	75%	
Budgeting for evaluation	75%	
Resolving conflicts	75%	
Synthesizing evaluation findings	62%	
Developing action plans	62%	(respondent id)
Tailoring evaluation findings for community groups	62%	

Common Organizational supports for evaluation




List of states with evaluators in strongly supportive organizations (>7 agree or strongly agree responses)

[respondents]


List of states with evaluators in non-supportive organizations

Organizational Availability of data and use of information




Part 2 - Assessment of NACP Evaluation Technical Assistance

Level of interaction with ETA over past year:



Satisfaction with level of interaction:

Which of the following statements best represents your views regarding the level of interaction you have typically had with your ETA?




Ideal level of interaction: narrative responses compiled and reviewed for common themes.

Topics addressed and satisfaction with advice or resources provided:

	Adequate Advice/ Resources Provided	Inadequate Advice/ Resources Provided	Not Applicable (N/A)	Response Count
a. Evaluation Planning	100.0% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3
b. Evaluation Implementation	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	100.0% (3)	3
c. General Problem Solving	100.0% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3
d. Applying Evaluation Standards	33.3% (1)	33.3% (1)	33.3% (1)	3
e. Engaging Stakeholders	66.7% (2)	33.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	3
f. Describing the Program	33.3% (1)	33.3% (1)	33.3% (1)	3
g. Focusing the Design	66.7% (2)	0.0% (0)	33.3% (1)	3
h. Gathering Credible Evidence	66.7% (2)	0.0% (0)	33.3% (1)	3
i. Interpreting Findings/Justifying Conclusions	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	100.0% (3)	3
j. Ensuring Use/Disseminating Findings	33.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	66.7% (2)	3


Overall quality of interaction with ETA:

Please rate the general quality of the interactions you have had with your assigned ETA.




How ETA has helped:

In general, my ETA has been able to:



Use of resources:



How can we improve evaluation TA? This is a narrative response and we will analyze for common themes, utilizing atlas-ti software, as appropriate. Atlas-ti is a commonly used software package used to for qualitative data analyses.