Form Approved OMB No. 0935-XXXX Exp. Date XX/XX/20XX

#### **SURVEY OF VALUE GRANTEES**

The purpose of this survey is to gain a better understanding of your experience as an R01 grantee for the AHRQ-sponsored Funding Opportunity Announcement, Demonstrating the Value of Health Information Technology RFA (HS-04-012). The primary purpose of this RFA was to fund projects that would increase the knowledge and understanding of the value of health information technology (IT) to improve patient safety and quality of care.

The RFA specified four major areas of value:

- Clinical, including medical errors, effectiveness, and CDS systems.
- Organizational, including access to health care and coordination of care.
- Financial, including costs and productivity.
- Other, including patient satisfaction, transparency, readiness for health IT adoption, etc., and the five long-term goals of the THQIT initiative listed in the Introduction.

This survey is about your participation in and results of the **value grant** described in the final report located here: [Click for Final Report].

### The survey contains eight brief sections, as follows:

Section I: Project Partnership Section II: Project Findings

Section III: Lessons Learned and Dissemination of Findings

Section IV: Adoption or Changes in Use of Health IT among Participating Organizations

Section V: Focus On AHRQ Priority Populations

Section VI: Continuation of Health IT Work/Partnerships

Section VII: Sustainability/Expansion of Health IT

Section VIII: Other Benefits

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, the estimated time required to complete the survey. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to: AHRQ Reports Clearance Officer Attention: PRA, Paperwork Reduction Project (0935-XXXX) AHRQ, 540 Gaither Road, Room # 5036, Rockville, MD 20850.

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, the estimated time required to complete the survey. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to: AHRQ Reports Clearance Officer Attention: PRA, Paperwork Reduction Project (0935-XXXX) AHRQ, 540 Gaither Road, Room # 5036, Rockville, MD 20850.

## **SECTION I: PROJECT PARTNERSHIP**

| 1. | What was your role in the Transforming Healthcare Quality through Information Technology (THQIT) value grant?   |
|----|---|
|    | Check all that apply.   |
|    | Principal Investigator Project Director or Project Coordinator Other individual directly involved with the value grant An administrator at a participating organization during the grant period A provider at a participating organization during the grant period I did not work at a participating organization during the grant period Other (please specify): |
| 2. | Our records indicate that you partnered with (collaborated with) the following organizations for this study:  |
|    | [org1] [org2] [org3] [org4] [orgX]  |
| 3. | Please indicate any corrections to the partnered organizations here:  |
|    |   |

4. Below is a list of types of organizations that <u>focus on the delivery of patient care.</u>

In **Column A**, please indicate the types of partner organizations that were actively involved throughout the course of this project.

In **Column B**, for partner organization types that were involved in the THQIT value grant please indicate the total number of unique organizations involved.

If involved organizations have multiple sites, please count the sites that were part of the health IT project that was being studied. For example, suppose a physician group with 9 small-to-medium practice sites partnered with a hospital system with 2 hospitals and a university. If the value study was focused on health IT that would affect both hospitals and all 9 sites, count them all below. If the value study was focused on a subset of the practice sites and/or one of the two hospitals, count the ones on which it was focused.

|            | oe of Organizations                                     | Par<br>T | lumn A<br>etner for<br>HQIT<br>Value<br>Grant | Column B Number of Organizations Involved |
|------------|---|----------|---|---|
| <b>—</b> ` | ganizations Focused on Delivering Patient Care          |          | 1   | 1   |
| a.         | Critical access hospitals                               |          |   |   |
| b.         | Other rural hospitals with <100 beds                    |          | 1   |   |
| d.         | Other non-rural hospitals with <100 beds                |          | 1   |   |
|            | Rural hospitals with 100 or more beds                   |          | 1   |   |
| e.         | Non-rural hospitals with 100 or more beds               |          |   |   |
| f.         | Private physician practices with <5 physicians          |          | 1   |   |
| g.         | Private physician practices with 5-24 physicians        |          | 1   |   |
| h.         | Private physician practices with 25 or more physicians  |          | 1   |   |
| i.         | Long-term care organizations                            |          |   |   |
| j.         | Home health care organizations                          |          |   |   |
| k.         | Pharmacies  |          | 1   |   |
| l.         | Emergency medical service agencies                      |          | 1   |   |
| m.         | Mental Health Centers or Behavioral Health Facilities   |          | 1   |   |
| n.         | Federally Qualified Health Centers                      |          | 1   |   |
| 0.         | Other safety net clinics                                |          | 1   |   |
| Oth        | er point-of-care organizations* (please indicate below) |          |   |   |
| p.         |   |          | 1   |   |
| q.         |   |          | 1   |   |

<sup>\*</sup>By point-of-care organizations, we mean organizations that focus primarily on patient care delivery.

5. Below is a list of types of organizations that <u>DO NOT</u> deliver patient care or that the delivery of patient care is <u>NOT</u> their main focus.

In **Column A**, please indicate the types of partner organizations that were actively involved throughout the course of this project.

In **Column B**, for partner organization types that were involved in the THQIT value grant please indicate the total number of unique organizations involved.

|     | Type of Organizations   | Par<br>THQ | tne | nn A<br>er for<br>Value<br>nnt | Column B Number Of Organizations Involved |
|-----|---|------------|-----|--------------------------------|---|
| a.  | Universities (units that do not provide patient care)           |            |     | ]1                             |   |
| b.  | Other research-focused organizations                            |            |     | ]1                             |   |
| c.  | Social service agencies   |            |     | 1                              |   |
| d.  | Schools   |            |     | 1                              |   |
| e.  | Health departments  |            |     | ]1                             |   |
| f.  | Health IT consulting firms                                      |            |     | ]1                             |   |
| g.  | Other consulting firms  |            |     | ]1                             |   |
| h.  | Health IT vendors   |            |     | ]1                             |   |
| i.  | Professional associations                                       |            |     | ]1                             |   |
| Oth | er organizations that do not deliver patient care (please indic | cate)      |     |                                |   |
| j.  |   |            |     | 1                              |   |
| k.  |   |            |     | 1                              |   |

Programmer note: If Q5h=1, go to Q6. Else, Q7.

| 6. | You indicated that other consulting firms were partners on the THQIT value grant. It specify the type of consulting firms in the space provided.   | Please |
|----|--|--------|
|    |  |        |
| 7. | After the value grant ended, to what extent did partner organizations work together to pa new health care improvement or research activity (which may or may not have focus health IT to improve quality)? |        |
|    | All organizations continued to work together  Some organizations continued to work together  No organizations continued to work together  I don't know if any organizations continued to work together     |        |

Programmer Note: If Q above=0 or -1, go to Q10.

|   | ing about the new activity that partner organizations pursued, did it include an ation component?   |
|---|---|
|   | Yes<br>No <b>─► Go to programmer note before Q10.</b>   |
|   | ing about evaluation component, did it include internal researchers, external rehers, or both?  |
| 1<br>2<br>3   | Internal researchers External researchers Both internal and external researchers  |
| gram  | mer note: If Q7=2 or 0, then 10. Else go to Q12.  |
| What  | type(s) of partner organizations stopped working together after the grant project?  |
| Check   | all that apply.   |
| $ \begin{array}{c c}  & 1 \\ \hline  & 2 \\ \hline  & 0 \end{array} $ | Patient care delivery organization(s) Research organization(s) Other type   |
| gram  | mer note: If Q10 includes 1, go to Q11. Else go to Q12.   |
|   | e indicate why some or all point-of-care partner organizations did not continue to work her after the value grant ended.  |
| Check   | all reasons that apply to one or more of the partner organizations.   |
| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Financial constraints of a partner organization Lack of senior leadership endorsement (support) for health IT at a partner organization Lack of clinicians' endorsement (support) at a partner organization Differences in readiness to use health IT among partner organizations Competition for patients and/or revenue among partner organizations Divergence in the types of health IT, health IT vendor, or functions used across partners   |
| 7<br>8<br>9   | Disagreements on the implementation plan Issues with data sharing or data use agreements Other (please specify):  |
|   | evaluation of the check of the |

| 12. The F | ebruary 2009 passage of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA)/             |
|-----------|--|
| Health    | Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health (HITECH) may have              |
| change    | ed the ways organizations work together. Did the extent to which you and your partners |
| worke     | d together change because of ARRA/HITECH?  |
|           |  |
| HITEC     | CH is Title XIII of ARRA, also known as "The Stimulus Law" (ARRA Public Law 111-       |
| 5). An    | nong other things, it contains incentives designed to accelerate the adoption of       |
| ,         | onic health records, beginning in 2011.  |
|           |  |
| 1         | We collaborated <u>more</u> after ARRA/HITECH  |
| 2         | We collaborated less after ARRA/HITECH   |
| =-        | We collaborated at about the same level before and after ARRA/HITECH                   |
|           | Don't know   |
|           |  |

### **SECTION II: PROJECT FINDINGS**

13. The following is a list of outcomes that you may have measured to examine the results of the grant project.

In **Column A**, please indicate if the outcome was measured **by** the end of the project.

In **Column B**, please indicate if the outcome was measured **after** the end of the project.

In **Column C**, for the most recent measurement of each outcome, please indicate if it was improved, unchanged, or worsened.

| Outcomes  | outc<br>meas<br>by th | this<br>come<br>sured<br>e end<br>the | Was<br>meas | ured a | n B<br>utcome<br>fter the<br>oroject? | Most Re  |           | Column C<br>ntly Measured Outcome was |               |  |  |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|--------|---------------------------------------|----------|-----------|---------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|
|   | Yes                   | No                                    | Yes         | No     | Don't<br>Know                         | Improved | Unchanged | Worsened                              | Don't<br>Know |  |  |
| a. Medical errors   | 1                     | 0                                     |             | 0      | -1                                    | 1        | 2         | 3                                     | -1            |  |  |
| b. Other patient safety outcomes                              | 1                     | 0                                     | 1           | 0      | -1                                    | 1        | 2         | 3                                     | -1            |  |  |
| c. Clinical outcomes for patients                             | 1                     | 0                                     | 1           | 0      | -1                                    | 1        | 2         | 3                                     | -1            |  |  |
| d. Population level outcomes                                  | 1                     | 0                                     | 1           | 0      | -1                                    | 1        | 2         | 3                                     | -1            |  |  |
| e. Timeliness of care   | 1                     | 0                                     |             | 0      | -1                                    | 1        | 2         | 3                                     | -1            |  |  |
| f. Access to care   | 1                     | 0                                     | 1           | 0      | -1                                    | 1        | 2         | 3                                     | -1            |  |  |
| g. Patient<br>satisfaction<br>with care                       | 1                     | 0                                     | 1           | 0      | -1                                    | 1        | 2         | 3                                     | -1            |  |  |
| h. Costs or other<br>economic<br>outcomes                     |                       | 0                                     | 1           | 0      | -1                                    | 1        | 2         | 3                                     | -1            |  |  |
| <ul><li>i. Efficiency of health care processes</li></ul>      | 1                     | 0                                     | 1           | 0      | -1                                    | 1        | 2         | 3                                     | -1            |  |  |
| <ul><li>j. Quality of<br/>health care<br/>processes</li></ul> | 1                     | 0                                     | 1           | 0      | -1                                    | 1        | 2         | 3                                     | -1            |  |  |
| Other (please specify   | y below)              | )                                     |             |        |                                       |          |           | 1                                     |               |  |  |
|   | 1                     | 0                                     | 1           | 0      | -1                                    | 1        | 2         | 3                                     | -1            |  |  |

|                                |   |                                | 0                | 1              | 0                  | -1                   | 1                            | 2   | 3                                 | -1      |  |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---------|--|
| meas                           | <ul> <li>14. Are you confident in your knowledge of whether your organization's value grant partners measured project outcomes after the grant period?</li> <li></li></ul>  |                                |                  |                |                    |                      |                              |   |                                   |         |  |
|                                |   |                                | _                |                |                    |                      | es of the pro                |   |                                   |         |  |
| 0                              | ☐¹ Yes ☐⁰ No — ► <b>Go to Question 17.</b> 16. Which outcomes will be further measured?   |                                |                  |                |                    |                      |                              |   |                                   |         |  |
|                                |   |                                | 1001             | ururer         | incus              | arca.                |                              |   |                                   |         |  |
| 1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 | Check all that apply    Medical errors     Other patient safety outcomes     Clinical outcomes for patients     Population level outcomes     Timeliness of care     Access to care     Patient satisfaction with care     Costs or other economic outcomes     Efficiency of health care processes     Other (please specify): |                                |                  |                |                    |                      |                              |   |                                   |         |  |
| 17. When                       | e you al  | ole to ex                      | xecute           | e the ai       | nalyti             | cal plan             | specified in                 | your grant pr                                       | oposal?                           |         |  |
| 2                              | Implem<br>Implem<br>Unable  | ented ii                       | n part           | <b></b>        |                    |                      | stion 19.<br>stion 19.       |   |                                   |         |  |
|                                | 18. Which of the following factors impeded you from executing the analytical plan specified in your grant proposal?   |                                |                  |                |                    |                      |                              |   |                                   |         |  |
| Selec                          | t all tha   | t apply.                       |                  |                |                    |                      |                              |   |                                   |         |  |
| the                            | Implem<br>su<br>re  | entation<br>pport o<br>search. | n tool<br>f an a | longe<br>dequa | er thai<br>tely tr | n expect<br>ained ev | ed and there<br>valuation ex | ran out for co<br>were insuffic<br>pert (e.g., bios | cient funds to<br>statistician) t | provide |  |

| 4 | Other (please specify): |  |
|---|-------------------------|--|
|   |                         |  |

**Programmer note: Go to Question 24.** 

| 19. | VVIIIC | in analytical method(s) did you employ to evaluate your project:  |
|-----|--------|---|
|     | 2      | Quantitative analyses only  Qualitative analyses only  Combination of quantitative and qualitative analyses   |
| 20. |        | our quantitative analytical plan and subsequent analysis, did you consider sample size, and minimum detectable difference?  |
|     | =-     | Yes No Don't know  • G  |
| 21. |        | your sample size, power, and minimum detectable difference sufficient to reasonably the effects of interest with 80 percent power?  |
|     | 0      | Yes<br>No<br>Don't know   |
| 22. |        | there sufficient time between implementation of the health IT and observation to nably observe effects of interest?   |
|     | 0      | Yes<br>No<br>Don't know   |
| 23. |        | d elements of the pre-implementation (planning) stage and/or the implementation stage s health IT study have been strengthened and thereby contributed to better outcomes of udy? |
|     | 0      | Yes<br>No<br>Don't know   |
|     |        |   |

24. The following is a list of planning and implementation issues that could impact the success of the project and subsequent improvement in outcomes.

In the table below, in **Column A**, please indicate if each of the following was a strength or weakness or had no impact with regard to your project outcomes.

In **Column B**, for each aspect that was a weakness, please indicate the impact of the weakness.

While some items may have had both pros and cons for the project, please decide on balance whether the item was a strength or weakness (choose one response only from column A).

| Implementation / Planning Aspect |  |    |  |    | nn       | ı A |   | Column B   |           |                    |
|----------------------------------|--|----|--|----|----------|-----|---|--|-----------|--------------------|
|                                  |  |    |  |    | Strength |     |   | Weakness   | No Impact | Impact of Weakness |
| a.                               | Continuity of leadership   |    |  | 1  |          | 2   | 0 | Drop down Prevented implementation or forced discontinuation Limited project benefits Did not limit project benefits |           |                    |
| b.                               | Continuity of project staff (do not include AHRQ staff)            | [  |  | ]1 |          | 2   | 0 |  |           |                    |
| c.                               | Level of trust among partners                                      |    |  | 1  |          | 2   | 0 |  |           |                    |
| d.                               | Level of participation across partners                             |    |  | 1  |          | 2   | 0 |  |           |                    |
| e.                               | Physician champions  |    |  | 1  |          | 2   | 0 |  |           |                    |
| f.                               | Enthusiasm for the project among physicians                        | [  |  | ]1 |          | 2   | 0 |  |           |                    |
| g.                               | Administrative leadership support                                  |    |  | 1  |          | 2   | 0 |  |           |                    |
| h.                               | Thorough selection process for the health IT                       | [  |  | 1  |          | 2   | 0 |  |           |                    |
| i.                               | Planned budget for health IT                                       |    |  | 1  |          | 2   | 0 |  |           |                    |
| j.                               | Plans for data privacy and security                                |    |  | 1  |          | 2   | 0 |  |           |                    |
| k.                               | Fit of health IT with workflows                                    | Ī  |  | 1  |          | 2   | 0 |  |           |                    |
| l.                               | Reliability of the software  | ĦÌ |  | 1  |          | 2   | 0 |  |           |                    |
| m.                               | Adequacy of hardware and system capacity to run software optimally | [  |  | 1  |          | 2   | 0 |  |           |                    |
| n.                               | Fit of new health IT with pre-existing system                      | [  |  | 1  |          | 2   | 0 |  |           |                    |
| 0.                               | Availability of knowledgeable health IT staff                      | [  |  | 1  |          | 2   | 0 |  |           |                    |
| p.                               | Health IT vendor support   |    |  | 1  |          | 2   | 0 |  |           |                    |
| q.                               | Usability of the health IT   |    |  | 1  |          | 2   | 0 |  |           |                    |
| r.                               | Usefulness of the health IT to patient care                        |    |  | ]1 |          | 2   | 0 |  |           |                    |
| S.                               | Business case for the health IT and availability of funds          | [  |  | ]1 |          | 2   | 0 |  |           |                    |
| t.                               | Cost of ongoing maintenance  |    |  | 1  |          | 2   | 0 |  |           |                    |
| u.                               | Interoperability with other providers'                             | П  |  | 1  | Γ        | 2   | 0 |  |           |                    |

| Implementation / Planning Aspect  | Colun             | nn A               |                   | Column B                         |  |  |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
|   | Strength          | Weakness           | No Impact         | Impact of Weakness               |  |  |
| health IT systems   |                   |                    |                   |                                  |  |  |
| v. Tradition of teamwork prior to implementation  | 1                 | 2                  | 0                 |                                  |  |  |
| w. Degree of clinicians' or staff's comfort with computers prior to implementation                      | 1                 | 2                  | 0                 |                                  |  |  |
| x. Geographic distance between partners   | 1                 | 2                  | 0                 |                                  |  |  |
| y. Sufficiency of personnel with neede knowledge, skills, abilities                                     | $d \bigsqcup_{1}$ | 2                  | 0                 |                                  |  |  |
| z. Infrastructure foundation for health IT  | 1                 | 2                  | 0                 |                                  |  |  |
| Other strengths or weaknesses (please specify   | y below)          |                    |                   |                                  |  |  |
| aa.   | 1                 | 2                  | 0                 |                                  |  |  |
| bb.   | 1                 | 2                  | 0                 |                                  |  |  |
| confidentiality?  Check all that apply.   |                   |                    |                   |                                  |  |  |
| SECTION III: LESSONS LEARNED A  | AND DIS           | SEMI               | NATIO             | ON OF FINDINGS                   |  |  |
| 27. Which two sources best identify where and implementation?  Please choose up to two.                 | you diss          | semina             | ted you           | e lessons learned about planning |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Peer-reviewed manuscript</li> <li>In-house documents</li> <li>Other grey literature</li> </ul> |                   | Final re<br>Work u | eport<br>Inder re | view                             |  |  |

|                     | how the source that best illustrates lessons that a (provide web link, if available)? | were informed by this project |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Click here if yo    | u do not know: [ ] (Go to Question 28a)   |                               |
| Programmer note     | : If Q28 is answered, go to Q29.  |                               |
| 28a. Who would be   | the key contact to identify how key sources can                                       | be accessed?                  |
| 9                   |   |                               |
| -                   |   | •                             |
|                     | contact who understands lessons learned with ilable documents?                        | greater insight than what is  |
|                     | Go to Question 32.  |                               |
| 30. Are you the key | contact who could articulate the "lessons learne                                      | ed"?                          |
| 1 Yes —— No         | Go to Ouestion 32.  |                               |
| 31. Who would be    | the key contact for lessons learned?  |                               |
| •                   |   |                               |
|                     |   |                               |
| Email:              |   | •                             |

# SECTION IV: ADOPTION OR CHANGES IN USE OF HEALTH IT AMONG PARTICIPATING ORGANIZATIONS

32. In **Column A**, for each of the following types of partner organizations, please indicate if new health IT **was purchased** as a result of participating in the value study.

In **Column B**, for partner organizations where new health IT was purchased, please indicate the total number of organizations where this occurred.

|          | oe of Organizations  | Column A Purchase occurred (by any) |   |    | Column B Number of Organizations where Purchase Occurred |  |  |
|----------|--|-------------------------------------|---|----|--|--|--|
|          | ganizations Focused on Delivering Patient Care                 |                                     |   | 1  |  |  |  |
| a.<br>b. | Critical access hospitals Other rural hospitals with <100 beds |                                     |   | 1  |  |  |  |
| C.       | Other non-rural hospitals with <100 beds                       |                                     | + | 1  |  |  |  |
| d.       | Rural hospitals with 100 or more beds                          |                                     | H | 1  |  |  |  |
| e.       | Non-rural hospitals with 100 or more beds                      |                                     |   | 1  |  |  |  |
| f.       | Private physician practices with <5 physicians                 |                                     | T | 1  |  |  |  |
| g.       | Private physician practices with 5-24 physicians               |                                     |   | 1  |  |  |  |
| h.       | Private physician practices with 25 or more physicians         |                                     |   | 1  |  |  |  |
| i.       | Long-term care organizations                                   |                                     |   | 1  |  |  |  |
| j.       | Home health care organizations                                 |                                     |   | 1  |  |  |  |
| k.       | Emergency medical service agencies                             |                                     |   | 1  |  |  |  |
| l.       | Mental Health Centers or Behavioral Health Facilities          |                                     |   | 1  |  |  |  |
| m.       | Federally Qualified Health Centers                             |                                     |   | 1  |  |  |  |
| n.       | Other safety net clinics                                       |                                     |   | 1  |  |  |  |
| Oth      | er (please specify below)                                      |                                     |   | ٦, |  |  |  |
| 0.       |  |                                     |   | ]1 |  |  |  |
| p.       |  |                                     |   | 1  |  |  |  |

33. In **Column A**, for each of the following types of partner organizations, please indicate if **increased use of existing health IT** was a result of participating in the value study.

In **Column B**, for partner organizations where there was increased use of health IT, please indicate the total number of organizations where this occurred.

| Тур | oe of Organizations                                    | Inc | lumn A<br>reased<br>Use<br>y any) | Column B Number of Organizations with Increased Use of Health IT |
|-----|--|-----|-----------------------------------|--|
| Or  | ganizations Focused on Delivering Patient Care         |     |                                   |  |
| a.  | Critical access hospitals                              |     | 1                                 |  |
| b.  | Other rural hospitals with <100 beds                   |     | 1                                 |  |
| c.  | Other non-rural hospitals with <100 beds               |     | 1                                 |  |
| d.  | Rural hospitals with 100 or more beds                  |     | 1                                 |  |
| e.  | Non-rural hospitals with 100 or more beds              |     | 1                                 |  |
| f.  | Private physician practices with <5 physicians         |     | 1                                 |  |
| g.  | Private physician practices with 5-24 physicians       |     | 1                                 |  |
| h.  | Private physician practices with 25 or more physicians |     | 1                                 |  |
| i.  | Long-term care organizations                           |     | 1                                 |  |
| j.  | Home health care organizations                         |     | 1                                 |  |
| k.  | Emergency medical service agencies                     |     | 1                                 |  |
| l.  | Mental Health Centers or Behavioral Health Facilities  |     | 1                                 |  |
| m.  | Federally Qualified Health Centers                     |     | 1                                 |  |
| n.  | Other safety net clinics                               |     | 1                                 |  |
| Oth | er (please specify below)                              |     |                                   | 1  |
| 0.  |  |     | 1                                 |  |
| p.  |  |     | 1                                 |  |

# SECTION V: FOCUS ON AHRQ PRIORITY POPULATIONS

| died?<br>rity<br>rds,   |
|-------------------------|
| to<br>cus<br>to<br>rity |
|                         |
|                         |
|                         |
| · (                     |

36. What if any impact do you believe the health IT you studied had on the quality of care (including access) of the following AHRQ priority populations served by the partner organizations?

|   | Positive<br>Impact | Likely<br>No | Negative<br>Impact | Not a focus of |  |  |
|---|--------------------|--------------|--------------------|----------------|--|--|
|   |                    |              | project            |                |  |  |
| a. Low income populations                     | 1                  | 2            | 3                  | -1             |  |  |
| b. Minority populations                       | 1                  | 2            | 3                  | -1             |  |  |
| c. Women                                      | 1                  | 2            | 3                  | -1             |  |  |
| d. Children                                   | 1                  | 2            | 3                  | -1             |  |  |
| e. The elderly                                | 1                  | 2            | 3                  | -1             |  |  |
| f. Individuals with special health care needs | 1                  | 2            | 3                  | -1             |  |  |
| g. Individuals needing end-of-life care       | 1                  | 2            | 3                  | -1             |  |  |
| h. Rural populations                          | 1                  | 2            | 3                  | -1             |  |  |
| i. Inner city populations                     | 1                  | 2            | 3                  | -1             |  |  |

### SECTION VI: CONTINUATION OF HEALTH IT WORK/PARTNERSHIPS

| 37 | . Did the | value   | study   | lead | to the | e study | team    | and   | partic | ipating | organ  | izations/ | sites | pursuing |
|----|-----------|---------|---------|------|--------|---------|---------|-------|--------|---------|--------|-----------|-------|----------|
|    | funding   | opport  | unities | for  | additi | onal r  | esearch | or or | health | IT pro  | ojects | (include  | any   | proposed |
|    | projects  | that we | ere not | fund | ed)?   |         |         |       |        |         |        |           |       |          |

| 1 | Yes  |                  |                 |
|---|------|------------------|-----------------|
| 0 | No → | Go to Question 4 | <del>1</del> 0. |

38. How many additional research or health IT projects were pursued? [dropdown from 1 to 5 or more]

health IT project name and funding source(s) (include any proposed projects that were not funded). If the project was funded, please include the name of the PI as well as the grant/contract number, if known. Include research projects or other health IT projects. For grant proposals sponsored by Department of Health and Human Services, please indicate Principal Investigator's name and grant/contract number. Research of Health IT Project Name: Funding source pursued: Check all that apply. <sup>1</sup> Internal funding <sup>2</sup> AHRQ funding Funding from the Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology Funding from the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) <sup>5</sup> Other federal funding (please specify):\_\_\_\_\_ <sup>6</sup> State or local public funding <sup>7</sup> Private foundation(s) <sup>8</sup> Other funding (please specify): Was this project funded? Yes <sup>0</sup> No <sup>-1</sup> Don't know

39. Thinking about the first health IT project pursued after the THQIT grant, please list the

Programmer note: Loop through above based on reply to Q38. Max loop=5.

Principal Investigator's name:

Grant/contract number:

## SECTION VI: SUSTAINABILITY/EXPANSION OF HEALTH IT

|                         | what extent is the health IT implemented during the project (or its successor product) g used currently?  |
|-------------------------|---|
| Che                     | eck all that apply.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5        | More health IT functions have been added More organizations are using the health IT More clinicians or staff within the original partner organizations are using the health IT Use during grant period has been sustained (neither increased nor decreased) Decreased use Not currently used  |
| Prograi                 | mmer note:  |
| If Q40 i                | includes 1, 2, 3, or 4, go to Q42.<br>includes 6, go to Q41 and then Q43 (skip Q42).<br>to Q43.   |
| whe                     | following are some reasons that organizations may not use health IT. Please indicate ther any of these are reasons why the organizations you studied are not <u>currently</u> using health IT intervention studied in the project.  |
| Che                     | ck all that apply.  |
| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | High cost of health IT product Concerns about the legality of donating a system to an associated physician practice Disruption in clinical care during implementation Concern about lack of future health IT vendor support Other (please specify):   |
|                         | ase indicate the reasons for sustained use of the types of health IT technologies studied in project?   |
| Che                     | ck all that apply.  |
| 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 | No reason to terminate Adequate clinician support Resulted in demonstrated benefits Resources to support health IT are provided by project partner organizations Resources to support health IT are provided from new partner organizations Subsequent grant or contract funding received Revenue from usage fee for use of health IT Productive relationship with health IT vendor Other (please specify): |

| 43. Did the findings from this project lead to or influence implementation or expansion of health IT to organizations <u>not involved in the study</u> ?   |
|--|
| □ Yes □ No □ No □ Don't know Go to Question 45.  |
| 44. To what extent did the findings from this project lead to or influence implementation or expansion of health IT to organizations <u>not involved in the study</u> ?  |
| In <b>Column A</b> , for each of the following type of organizations, please indicate if implementation or expansion of health IT occurred <b>as a likely result of findings from the value study</b> .                                  |
| In <b>Column B</b> , for organizations where new implementation or expansion of health IT occurred <b>as a likely result of the findings of the value study</b> , please indicate the total number of organizations where this occurred. |

| Tyr  | oe of Organizations                                    |   |     | Colu<br>creas<br>(a) | se | d U | Column B<br>Number<br>of<br>Organizations |   |
|------|--|---|-----|----------------------|----|-----|---|---|
| - 71 | or or organizations                                    | Y | Yes |                      | No |     | on't<br>now                               | Increasing Use Likely Due to Study Findings |
| Org  | ganizations Focused on Delivering Patient Care         |   | _   |                      |    |     |   |   |
| a.   | Critical access hospitals                              |   | 1   |                      | )  |     | -1  |   |
| b.   | Other rural hospitals with <100 beds                   |   | 1   | 0                    | )  |     | -1  |   |
| c.   | Other non-rural hospitals with <100 beds               |   | 1   |                      | )  |     | -1  |   |
| d.   | Rural hospitals with 100 or more beds                  |   | 1   |                      | )  |     | -1  |   |
| e.   | Non-rural hospitals with 100 or more beds              |   | 1   |                      | )  |     | -1  |   |
| f.   | Private physician practices with <5 physicians         |   | 1   |                      | )  |     | -1  |   |
| g.   | Private physician practices with 5-24 physicians       |   | 1   |                      |    |     | -1  |   |
| h.   | Private physician practices with 25 or more physicians |   | 1   |                      |    |     | -1  |   |
| i.   | Long-term care organizations                           |   | 1   |                      | )  |     | -1  |   |
| j.   | Home health care organizations                         |   | 1   |                      | )  |     | -1  |   |
| k.   | Emergency medical service agencies                     |   | 1   |                      | )  |     | -1  |   |
| l.   | Mental Health Centers or Behavioral Health Facilities  |   | 1   |                      | )  |     | -1  |   |
| m.   | Federally Qualified Health Centers                     |   | 1   |                      | )  |     | -1  |   |
| n.   | Other safety net clinics                               |   | 1   |                      | )  |     | -1  |   |
| Oth  | er (please specify below )                             |   |     |                      |    |     |   |   |
| 0.   |  |   | 1   |                      |    |     | -1  |   |
| p.   |  |   | 1   |                      |    |     | -1  |   |

# **SECTION VII: OTHER BENEFITS**

| 45. |                                  |   |                      |             |           | for the or our final st |             |             |             | patients tha<br>tions?                     |
|-----|----------------------------------|---|----------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|
|     | 0 I                              | Yes<br>No<br>Don't k                                    | now                  | → Got       | o Questi  | on 47.                  |             |             |             |  |
| 46. | Please                           | descril   | oe those             | benefits in | the spac  | e provided              |             |             |             |  |
| 47. | a proj<br>simila                 | ect per<br>r value                                      | iod up t<br>projects | o three ye  | ars and a | n maximun               | n of \$1,5  | 00,000. If  | AHRQ '      | ) per year fowere to fund<br>unt and study |
|     | Fundi                            | ng Amo  | unt Rec              | ommended    | <b>:</b>  |                         |             |             |             |  |
|     | 2 \$1<br>3 \$1<br>4 \$2          | 500,000<br>1,000,00<br>1,500,00<br>2,000,00<br>ther (pl | 00<br>00<br>00       | icate):     |           |                         |             |             |             |  |
|     | Recon                            | ımende  | d Projec             | t Period:   |           |                         |             |             |             |  |
|     | <sup>2</sup> 2 <sup>4</sup> 3 36 | 2 month<br>4 month<br>5 month<br>3 month<br>ther (pl    | IS<br>IS             | icate):     |           |                         |             |             |             |  |
| 48. | being                            | able to   | pursue               | a planning  | grant (e. |                         | ar, \$200,0 | 000) as a m | neans to    | d researchers<br>prepare then              |
|     | Not v<br>impo                    | very<br>ortant<br>2                                     | 3                    | 4           | 5         | 6                       | 7           | 8           | Very<br>imp | y<br>ortant<br>10                          |
|     |                                  |   |                      |             |           |                         |             |             |             |  |

| 49. In your opinion, how likely is it that a planning grant project involving these partners    |
|---|
| and research topics would have had a significant positive impact on the success of this         |
| project to achieve its desired aims in the initial project period (up to three years) provided? |
| □¹ Very likely  |
| Somewhat likely   |
| <sup>3</sup> Somewhat unlikely  |
| ☐⁴ Very unlikely  |
| 5 Don't know  |
|   |
| 50. If you would like to add comments to AHRQ about the THQIT program, please do so in the      |
| space provided.   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |

THANK YOU FOR COMPLETING THE SURVEY OF VALUE GRANTEES!