Overall comment:

- In general, the differences in tense and wording of questions make it very confusing whether the questions refer to current activity, planned future activity or past activity.
- Instead of designing questions/check boxes based on the type of answers that have been given in the past, OCC should look at what information they want/need and what type of question/check boxes would give that information.
- Definitions are needed for all terms in order to be able to accurately aggregate data from states.
- Suggest using "Yes" and "No" boxes instead of single checkbox to ensure each question is answered.
- Wherever possible, separate checkbox responses into single points rather than statements containing multiple or conditional points.
- Suggest making it clear which questions are tied to quality measures for OMB.

Part 1 Comments

- 1.2.1 (p. 4) asks for an estimate of the amounts of State Match and MOE funds; 1.2.2 asks for a listing of the source of the MOE/Match. We recommend combining these questions by adding a sub question to the State funds amounts that also requires the breakdown of the amounts based on the sources of MOE/Match.
- 1.3.2 (p. 6) In many States there are differences between child care eligibility for welfare families (that is not TANF direct spending) and those who have never been on welfare. I would ask all these questions twice (create two sets) because a lot of states have two separate systems. Need to say, do you have two different systems, fill out second repeat questions, if not, just do first set.
- 1.4. CCDF Program Integrity and Accountability
 - There seems to be some duplication. There should be a way to streamline this section and get at all issues related to program integrity and accountability. Especially items 1.4.1, 1.4.2, 1.4.3, and 14.6. Consider compiling one list of known practices regarding program integrity and accountability and asking states to check which are applicable and add others as necessary.
 - 1.4.3 We suggest adding definitions of "Administrative Error" and "Fraud" so that states will consistently answer this question.
 - 1.4.3 We suggest including training front line staff on policies, and audit of the agencies (private and public implementing direct services).
- 1.5 Consultation in the Development of the CCDF Plan and 1.6 coordination of Activities to Support the Implementation of CCDF Services.
 - 1.5.1 Add columns requiring the specific name of the agency consulted, and the means by which the consultation took place (e.g. "strategic planning efforts prior to the State Plan", "public comment", "participation in writing process", "verbal input", "written review", "participation in internal meeting(s) specific to review of State Plan" and/or other specific items from previous pre-prints.)
 - 1.5.1 child care licensing, where subsidy agency is not also the licensing agency should be included in this list (sometimes licensed is under health and other times under human services). Special needs is listed under Education, but early intervention is sometimes under health.
 - 1.5.2 We suggest adding a question about the role of other entities in the review/approval the plan before submission. For instance in some States the finance agency/department can make changes to the plan developed by the Lead Agency.
- 1.6.1 Phrase in the present tense to avoid confusion. Separate each agency/entity category into individual rows (e.g. separate row for local human services, education and public health) to get more specific information.

Add column requiring the specific name of the agency that was coordinated with. Ask if the resulting coordination was local or statewide.

- 1.6.2 and 1.6.3 formal plan and entity responsible for coordination. One question is asking about early childhood and in the other is asking about early childhood and school-age. We suggest that one term is used consistently because in many States there are multiple coordinating bodies and they work on separate parts of the system (e.g. one for school age and one for early childhood services).
 - It is entirely possible that a state could have a formal coordination plan for both (joint plan) OR a formal plan for each (separate plans).
 - It is also possible that there is a designated entity responsible for both OR one or the other.
 - Suggest combining the questions and adding a series of checkboxes to capture each type (SAC, other council, public/private partnership, task force, commission, other, none) and for each type the scope (early ed, school age, both/combined, neither) and related responsibilities (statewide strategic plan, policy implementation, policy decision-making authority)
 - It would be good to include a definition of a formal "early childhood (and school age?) coordination plan".

Part 2 Comments

- 2.1.1- 2.1.10 (p.14-17) -- need to distinguish the answers in this whole section as to whether these are parents who are on welfare or who have left welfare/working poor. A lot of states have two totally different systems. It might be good to give states option of telling us they have two different systems. We suggest that question 2.1. 10 (p. 17) be the first question is this section. In some the States that serve primarily TANF families and their children (e.g. CA, TN) the process described in this section may not apply to the majority of the children being served if they are describing the process for non-TANF families.
- 2.1.3 This question may not provide the level of detail desired. Suggest deleting this question here and gathering more specific information regarding parent/consumer engagement and quality assessment by type of provider through Part 3.
- **2.1.6 (p. 16)** first line re: job search, add: If yes, for how long?
- **2.2 (p.18)** We suggest changing the language in the paragraph "in order to be eligible....if the child is physically or mentally disabled" to "if the child a physical or mental disability". Similarly, we suggest changing the language in the question **2.2.2 b** to "who have physical or mental disability and are unable to self-care"
- **2.2.1** (p. 18) The part about "residing with" seems to be redundant with question 2.2.3.
- **2.2.5 d and f (p.20 -21)** -- We suggest clarifying the difference in data source for Territories.
- 2.2.5 e (p. 21) -- In addition to asking about tiered eligibility for entry and exit, we suggest that a separate question be asked regarding any differences in eligibility income level that are based on other criteria (e.g. children with special needs, TANF families).
- **2.3.6** (p. 23) add "federal" before poverty level.
- 2.4.1 Suggest broadening question to "How does the agency prioritize child care services?" and including additional checkboxes that would include waitlist data from 2.4.3, and more detailed options for 2.4.4 regarding other populations such as homeless children, children with open child welfare cases, foster children, etc. Could also just replace "very low incomes" with "at or below the federal poverty level" to avoid confusion.
- **2.5.6 (p.27)** We suggest separating out how substantiated complaints are kept for licensed care and for license exempt programs receiving subsidy. This may be a completely separate process for States.
- **2.6.5** (p. 28)- Suggest rephrasing to understand what % of all slots are funded at or above the 75th percentile based on the last MRS, and at various points below that (50th, 25th), with required total=100%.

■ 2.6.6 (p.29) - We suggest clarifying in this section as to whether only rates are being discussed or whether you are really talking about bonuses or premiums outside of the rate structure. Some states it is built into the rate, other places it is stacked on top of rate. Could also combine and/or connect this question with 2.5.1 if desire is to understand more about how payments are made and for what purpose.

Part 3 Overall Comments

- All of NCCIC's comments are based on data collection, review of State activities in response to Federal and State requests, and direct work with States.
- The use of terms such as benchmarks, goals, performance indicators, and outcomes are not clear. A glossary would be helpful to distinguish among them and ensure the most useful, comparable and consistent responses.
- We suggest including a clear definition of the word alignment because that can be interpreted by grantees very differently and could lead to inconsistency in the reported data.

Section 3.1 Comments

Licensing Data Source

Overall comment is that much of the data that the Preprint collects about licensing is already compiled in the Child Care Licensing Study and need not be duplicated here. Some reasons for this include:

- Licensing and subsidy functions are only in the same agency and division in 26 States and only **five States** have a CCDF Administrator who is also the director of child care licensing. In the remaining States these two functions are overseen by different people in different agencies. While the CCDF Administrator in those States may answer the questions, the answers will not be from a direct source, and their reliability is therefore less than other surveys that require direct responses from the licensing entity.
- The Child Care Licensing Study provides more reliable data from direct sources with information and knowledge about States' licensing systems reading the States' regulations posted on the NRC Web site and a survey of licensing agencies conducted by the National Association for Regulatory Administration (NARA). The regulations are the primary source for understanding States' requirements for providers; licensing administrators are the most knowledgeable about their States' systems and have access to data that other agencies may not. In addition, this information is updated frequently and published and reviewed [annually??].
- The removal of these questions from the preprint could allow for the addition of other questions regarding license-exempt providers (e.g. the processes, requirements, and enforcement methods States have developed for assuring these providers are in compliance with health and safety and other requirements). This information is not collected in any systematic way.

<u>Definition of child care settings</u>

Provide specific and consistent terms and definitions of each type of care to increase the reliability of the data. The States use a variety of terms for how they define and the different child care setting/arrangements.

- Requirements for in-home care may differ based on whether the in-home provider is a relative or not. In some States the requirements vary based on four categories of license exempt for this group: in-home relative, in-home non-relative, out of home relative, out of home non relative.
- The preprint restricts the answer based on four categories of care and in some cases these don't align well with categories established by the States for the purpose of establishing health and safety requirements. In previous years States have provided information in the in-home care about their requirements for license-exempt out of the home care because they interpret this question as home-based care (e.g. license exempt FCC, relative care in the relative's home). Even with the definition is provided there is still a lot of confusion between in-home and home-based.

Suggest using the following categories (in combination or alone) and providing specific definitions of each:

- 1. Relative (in child's home)
- 2. Relative (in provider's home)
- 3. Nonrelative (in child's home)
- 4. License-exempt family child care (nonrelative in provider's home)
- 5. Licensed family child care
- 6. Child Care Center

The following are comments on some of the draft Preprint questions:

3.1.1 Compliance with Applicable State/Territory and Local Regulatory Requirements on Licensing

3.1.1b - Child Care Centers (p. 31- 32)

Suggest adding "Centers operated on military installations or operated by the Department of Defense" as a category of exemptions.

3.1.1b - Family Child Care Homes (page 32)

 Suggest adding questions about the type of process that States/Territories have for family child care homes that are exempt from licensing, but are receiving CCDF payments.

Does your State/Territory require license-exempt family child care home providers participate in a regulatory process in order to receive CCDF payments? ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, what is this process called?
□ Registration
□ Certification
Other. Describe:
If Yes, what does this process entail? (check all that apply)
☐ Provider attends an orientation meeting prior to applying
☐ Provider submits an application
□ Provider submits references
☐ Provider's home is inspected
☐ Provider completes a self-certification form/checklist to show compliance with health and safety
requirements
Other. Describe:

(Other common parts of the process are background checks, health statements – but those questions are asked in another section)

■ 3.1.1b - In-Home Providers (page 33)

• There are no States/Territories where in-home providers are subject to licensing. Suggest adding questions to gather information about the type of process that States/Territories have for in-home providers receiving CCDF payments. In previous years States have provided information in this section about their requirements for license-exempt out of the home care because they interpret this question as home-based care (e.g. license exempt FCC, relative care in the relative's home). Even with the definition presented there is still a lot of confusion between in-home and home-based.

CCDF payments? Yes No
If Yes, what is this process called?
Registration
Certification
Other. Describe:
If Yes, what does this process entail? (check all that apply) Provider attends an orientation meeting prior to applying Provider submits an application Provider submits references Parent's home is inspected (care is provided in child's home) Provider and parent complete a self-certification form/checklist to show compliance with health and safety requirements Other. Describe: Other. Describe:
(Other common parts of the process are background checks, health statements – but those questions are

. .

3.1.2 Enforcement of Licensing Requirements

asked in another section)

- Data about States'/Territories' use and frequency of announced and unannounced visits to enforce licensing regulations and background checks are collected in the Child Care Licensing Study. These data are collected from the survey of licensing agencies conducted by NARA.
- Suggest that this section have these same questions (pp. 33-37), but have the focus be on whether providers receiving CCDF payment that are **exempt** from licensing are subject to inspections and background checks. That information is not collected anywhere else.
- In addition, the NARA survey for the Child Care Licensing Study includes questions about whether states have posted licensing information on the Internet for parents and the public.
- If data on licensed programs is collected in this section, we suggest including a final category of other and ask them to describe, because for example, CA is longer than once every two years. This section seems to have cut off the line of Other. Describe.

3.1.3 Compliance with Applicable State/Territory and Local Regulatory Requirements on Health and Safety

3.1.3a - Prevention and Control of Infectious Disease (pp. 37-38)

All of this information for licensed providers is collected in the Child Care Licensing Study. The data are
compiled from the regulations posted on the NRC Web site. Suggest that this question focus on the
requirements for providers receiving CCDF payments that are exempt from licensing regulations and are
subject to other standards set by the State/Territory. That information is not collected anywhere else.

■ 3.1.3b - Building and Physical Premises (pp. 38-39)

All of this information for licensed providers is collected in the Child Care Licensing Study. The data are
compiled about health and fire inspections from the NARA survey; the remaining data are compiled from the
regulations posted on the NRC Web site. Suggest that this question focus on the requirements for providers

receiving CCDF payments that are exempt from licensing regulations and are subject to other standards set by the State/Territory. That information is not collected anywhere else.

Suggest adding a category to this question about emergency plans.

3.1.3c - Health and Safety Training (pp. 39-41)

- All of this information for licensed providers is collected in the Child Care Licensing Study. The data are
 compiled from the regulations posted on the NRC Web site. Suggest that this question focus on the
 requirements for providers receiving CCDF payments that are exempt from licensing regulations and are
 subject to other standards set by the State/Territory. That information is not collected anywhere else.
- 3.1.3c (p. 39) "pre-service" needs to be defined if it means prior to employment or people will include the orientation that is more commonly offered within the first days/week of employment
- 3.1.4 (p. 41, 2nd box) 3.1.4 (p. 41, 2nd box) States would not necessarily know the number of exempt programs. Could be clarified to say "legally exempt receiving CCDF subsidy funding"? Similarly, question 1.2.5 (p.63) asks about how many legally exempt providers were brought into licensing. Need to clarify how a state would quantify this if they are not aware of the provider's prior operating status. Given the ambiguity, it may not be worth tracking until further information is provided regarding State's knowledge of providers operating on a license-exempt basis.
- 3.1.4 (p. 41, 4th box) Injuries should be separated from fatalities. Both good statistics but very different in severity.

Section 3.2 Comments

3.2.5	and 3.2.6 (page 43) - Combine questions 3.2.5 and 3.2.6 and focus on how standard	s are used to
comp	ement other standards.	

Are early learning guidelines aligned with other standards and used in the following ways:

To define the content of training required to meet licensing requirements.
To define the content of training required for program quality improvement standards (e.g., QRIS standards).
To require programs in licensing standards to develop curriculum/learning activities based on the ELGs.
To require programs in quality improvement standards to develop curriculum/learning activities based on the ELGs.
To develop State approved curricula.
To define the content of training required for the career lattice or credential.
Crosswalked to Head Start Outcomes Framework
Crosswalked to K-12 content standards
Crosswalked or used as State Pre-K standards
Other. Describe:

Section 3.3 Comments

■ **3.3.1** Do your State/Territory's quality improvement standards (expectations for quality *above licensing standards*) include indicators that cover the following areas?

Yes	No, covered adequately in Licensing	No, not seen as a priority at this time	No, for other reasons (describe below)	Does the answer to the left apply to all setting and age groups
d care				
t 🗆				
ovement standards s quality standards quisite for participa tier of the quality I se is a "rated" licer lity improvement s	slink to State/Tellation evels nse standards include	rritory licensii	ng requirements	?
	ons" response in the ovement standards quality standards tier of the quality less is a "rated" licer lity improvement standards the formula tier of the quality less is a "rated" licer lity improvement standards the formula tier of the quality less is a "rated" licer lity improvement standards the formula tier of the quality less is a "rated" licer lity improvement standards the formula tier of the quality less is a "rated" licer lity improvement standards the formula tier of the quality less is a "rated" licer lity improvement standards the formula tier of the quality less is a "rated" licer lity improvement standards the formula tier of the quality less is a "rated" licer lity improvement standards the formula tier in the formula tier	adequately in Licensing	adequately in Licensing priority at this time	adequately in Licensing line i

	improvement standards are ali owing ways:	gned with other State,	Territory or National	program standards in
	Programs that meet State/Ter quality improvement standard reciprocal agreement betwee	ds (for example, conte	nt of the standards are	e the same, or there is a
	Programs that meet Federal F quality improvement standard reciprocal agreement betwee	ds (for example, conte	nt of the standards are	e the same, or there is a
	Programs that meet national a improvement standards (for e pathway to meeting the standards)	example, content of the		
	Other. Please describe other of program standards.		ovement standards ar	e aligned with other
	2 – Non-Monetary Supports erritory uses the following m	ethods to support c	hild care programs i	
Areas of Suppo	ort	Information or Written Materials	Training	On-Site Consultation
Attaining and n	naintaining licensing			
	naintaining quality tandards above licensing			
Attaining and n	naintaining accreditation			
Providing targeted TA in specialized content areas:				
Health				
Infant/too	ddler care			
School-ag	e care			
Inclusion				
Mental he	ealth			
Business ı	management practices			
Other (De	scribe)			
b) Methods are	used to <i>customize</i> quality impro Program improvement plans Technical assistance on the us Other. Describe:	se of program assessm		programs.

3.3.3 Element 4 - Financial Incentives and Supports

b) The State	's fin	ancial incentives for program quality improvement:
		Are designed to help programs achieve specific aspects of the quality improvement standards
		Are awarded based on individualized program quality improvement plans
		Prioritize direct financial support to practitioners based on their employer's participation in quality improvement activities
		Prioritize available funding for providers who serve children receiving CCDF financial assistance (subsidy)
		Are limited to only programs serving children receiving CCDF financial assistance (subsidy)
		Provide a higher level of CCDF rate reimbursement (through tiered reimbursement or quality grants) to providers meeting specific quality improvement standards
		Include incentives for parents receiving CCDF financial assistance to choose higher quality programs (e.g. co-pay fees that are not based on cost of care)
		Include other incentives for parents, providers, practitioners and businesses to support or participate in higher quality programs, such as tax credits, loan forgiveness, etc.
		Other. Describe
3.3.4 Eleme	nt 4 ·	- Quality Assurance and Monitoring
	errite	lition to or in lieu of b), suggesting that this question be included to articulate whether ory policy includes alignment across sectors to reduce duplication in quality assurance
b) Has	the S	State/Territory taken any of the following steps to align quality assurance and monitoring across ams and sectors?
	Hav	re a mechanism to track the various quality assessments/monitoring to avoid duplication?
	Ехр	and licensor job responsibilities to include QRIS or other quality reviews
		re compliance monitoring in one system (e.g. Head Start) serve as validation for compliance with ther quality improvement initiative or funding stream (e.g. QRIS or state Pre-K) without further lew
	Otl	ner. Describe:
		that these two questions are added to get more details about how assessments are conducted.
c) Do t charact		ethods used to assess and monitor program quality in your State/Territory have the following cs?
		essments are administered by trained, reliable assessors Assessor reliability is routinely monitored addressed.
	Ass	essments are conducted at regular intervals?
	Oth	er. Describe:
3.3.5- Outre	ach a	and Consumer Education
a) Under "Ye	es. If	yes, how is it used?", add:
		ne searchable database connected to licensing/compliance data referenced in 3.1.2 d)?

Section 3.4 Comments

Suggested edits to the PD elements and to most of the questions in this section based on the approach that States currently take to building professional development systems.

For purposes of this section, States and Territories will respond according to six key elements for workforce systems:

- 1) Core knowledge and competencies
- 2) Career Pathways career Lattice, qualifications, credentials
- 3) PD Capacity Building articulation; PD specialist development; faculty development
- 4) Financial Incentives and supports
- 5) Quality Assurance Financial Incentives and supports
- 6) Governance

3.4.1 Workforce Element 1 - Core Knowledge and Competencies

Definition – For purposes of this section, core knowledge and competencies (CKCs) refers to the expectations for what the workforce should know (content) and be able to do (skills) in their role working with and/or on behalf of young children and their families. These CKCs provide a foundation for professional development design (including instructional practices) and other quality improvement efforts.

	he State/Territory developed core knowledge and competencies (CKCs) for professionals working with
	on behalf of young children?
	Yes No, the State/Territory has not developed core knowledge and competencies. Skip to question 3.4.2. Other: Insert web addresses, where possible:
b) Check	k which of the following teaching and learning topics are covered in the CKC's: Child growth and development Health, safety, and nutrition Learning environment and curriculum Interactions with children Family and community relationships Professionalism and Leadership Observation and Assessment Program Planning and Management Diversity Other
c) Are C	KCs aligned and used in the following ways with other State/National Standards:
	To define the content of training required to meet licensing requirements
	To define the content of training required for program quality improvement standards (e.g., QRIS standards)
	To define the content of training required for the career lattice or credential
	To correspond to the early learning guidelines
	To provide the basis of articulation (For example, articulation of higher education courses/programs/degrees or articulation of training/credentials across state lines)
	Crosswalked with CDA competencies,
	Crosswalked with NAEYC/NCATE standards
	Crosswalked with National Board of Professional Teaching Standards,
	Crosswalked with Head Start SOLAB staff skills indicators

	Crosswalked with apprenticeship competencies
	Other. Describe:
d) Has t	he State/Territory developed supplemental or specialized competencies for any of the following roles?
Check a	Il that apply.
	Director/administrator
	TA specialist (e.g. infant/toddler specialists, inclusion specialists, trainers, TA provider)
	Afterschool/youth professionals
	Infant/Toddler teacher
	Family Child Care
	Other. Describe:
incorpo	nere mechanisms and processes to ensure that the CKCs remain appropriate and current (for example, rate new research-based criteria; are required to be reviewed and updated on a regular basis; etc.)? Yes. Describe. No.
3.4.2 W	orkforce Element 2 - Career Pathways
Definiti work wi	on – For purposes of this section, career pathways defines the options and sequence of qualifications to the children. Career pathways assist professionals in understanding the professional possibilities, planning achievement of increased qualifications, and linking advancement to appropriate compensation.
professi on beha	the State/Territory have a career pathway which defines the sequence of qualifications related to ional development (education, training, and technical assistance) and experience required to work with and off of young children? Yes.
	No, the State/Territory has not developed a career pathway. Insert web addresses, where possible:
c) The c	areer lattice includes qualifications and levels for the following:
	Those who work directly with children (teaching roles: aides, teaching assistants, lead teachers, master
	teachers)
	Family Child Care (combination of teaching and administrative) Directors/administrators
	TA providers
	Education and Training Staff
	Other. Describe:
b) Does	the State/Territory career pathway that includes credentials in the following areas? Check all that apply. Infants and toddlers
	ĕ
	·
	Inclusion
	1 ' 1
	Other. Describe:

c) The career lattice is used in the following ways:

		Levels in the career lattice are used as the staff qualifications standards in licensing requirements (For example, to meet licensing requirements, a director must meet qualifications that are equal to a level on the career ladder)
		Levels in the career lattice are used as the staff qualifications indicators in program quality improvement standards (For example, to meet quality improvement standards, a center teacher must meet qualifications that are equal to a level on the career ladder)
		Levels in the career lattice include required Head Start staffing qualifications for all roles
		Levels in the career lattice include Prekindergarten staffing qualifications and/or Early Childhood teacher certification requirements
		Levels in the career lattice include qualifications for early intervention (EI) staff
		Levels in the career lattice include qualifications for early childhood special education teachers
		Levels in the career lattice include PD specialists as defined by NAEYC and NACCRRA
		Levels in the career lattice apply to practitioners working in programs that receive public funds to serve children birth to age 13
		Levels in the career lattice apply to professional development specialists (those that provide training, education, and/or technical assistance).
		Other. Describe:
d) F	How	are college ECE courses/degree programs included in the Professional Development System?
		College courses count on the career lattice
		College courses count toward the requirements for credentials
3.4	.3 W	orkforce Element 3 – <u>Professional Development Capacity Building</u>
Hig Def	her E initio	orkforce Element 3 - Professional Development Capacity Building Education Capacity on – For purposes of this sub section, higher education capacity refers to capability of the higher education to meet the needs of the diverse workforce including the provision of content that addresses the full range opment and needs of young children.
Hig Def Syst	her E initio tem i	Education Capacity on – For purposes of this sub section, higher education capacity refers to capability of the higher education to meet the needs of the diverse workforce including the provision of content that addresses the full range opment and needs of young children.
Hig Def Syst	her E inition tem t level Ma	Education Capacity on – For purposes of this sub section, higher education capacity refers to capability of the higher education to meet the needs of the diverse workforce including the provision of content that addresses the full range
Hig Def Syst	her E inition tem t level Ma	Education Capacity on – For purposes of this sub section, higher education capacity refers to capability of the higher education to meet the needs of the diverse workforce including the provision of content that addresses the full range opment and needs of young children. Poping professional development capacity Has the State/Territory assessed the availability of T/TA, courses, and related degree programs in the state (for example, locationboth physical location and distance-based, degree level(s), etc.)? Is there a system (web-based or other) that informs practitioners of the availability of T/TA, courses, and degrees, including those that transfer between and among colleges and universities?
Hig Def Syst	her E initio tem level Ma	Education Capacity on – For purposes of this sub section, higher education capacity refers to capability of the higher education to meet the needs of the diverse workforce including the provision of content that addresses the full range opment and needs of young children. pping professional development capacity Has the State/Territory assessed the availability of T/TA, courses, and related degree programs in the state (for example, locationboth physical location and distance-based, degree level(s), etc.)? Is there a system (web-based or other) that informs practitioners of the availability of T/TA, courses, and degrees, including those that transfer between and among colleges and universities? Does the State/Territory have career advisors for the workforce?
Hig Def	her E inition tem t level Ma	Education Capacity on – For purposes of this sub section, higher education capacity refers to capability of the higher education to meet the needs of the diverse workforce including the provision of content that addresses the full range opment and needs of young children. Poping professional development capacity Has the State/Territory assessed the availability of T/TA, courses, and related degree programs in the state (for example, locationboth physical location and distance-based, degree level(s), etc.)? Is there a system (web-based or other) that informs practitioners of the availability of T/TA, courses, and degrees, including those that transfer between and among colleges and universities?
Hig Def Syst	her E initid tem devel Ma	Education Capacity on – For purposes of this sub section, higher education capacity refers to capability of the higher education to meet the needs of the diverse workforce including the provision of content that addresses the full range opment and needs of young children. pping professional development capacity Has the State/Territory assessed the availability of T/TA, courses, and related degree programs in the state (for example, locationboth physical location and distance-based, degree level(s), etc.)? Is there a system (web-based or other) that informs practitioners of the availability of T/TA, courses, and degrees, including those that transfer between and among colleges and universities? Does the State/Territory have career advisors for the workforce? Does the PDS include leadership development activities that help practitioners become trainers, TA

Training and Technical Assistance Capacity

Definition: Training and technical assistance capacity refers to capability of the training and technical assistance offerings to meet the needs of the diverse workforce including the provision of content that addresses the full range of development and needs of young children.

	s the State/Territory have men al assistance to the workforce	tors, coaches, consultants, and/or other specialists available to provide
		: f specialization offered to practitioners? Check all that apply:
_	☐ Health	r specialization offered to practitioners. Check all that apply.
	☐ Infant/toddler	
	☐ School-age care	
	☐ Inclusion	
	☐ Mental health	
	☐ Family, friend, and nei	ghhor caregivers
	☐ Business management	
	☐ Other. Describe:	practices for directors
	No	
network	ks to support the workforce?	r groups, training or education program cohorts, and/or other peer support
	s the State have a coordinated Yes. Describe No.	I system of Technical assistance (e.g. TA Networks)?
assistan		on, access to professional development (training, education, and technical nich practitioners are made aware of, and receive supports and assistance to portunities.
		development system designed to serve professionals in various or all sectors
	early childhood field? Yes. If yes, check all sectors	that apply
	☐ Child care	.пас арргу.
	☐ Head Start/Early Head S	itart
	☐ Pre-kindergarten	
	☐ Public schools	
	☐ Early intervention/speci	al education
	Other. Describe	or(s) of the State/Territory professional development system.
Ц	No. Describe the target secti	or (s) of the state/ ferritory professional development system.
informa early ch □	ation about opportunities in p nildhood and school-age work Yes. Describe.	ate/Territory-wide, coordinated and easily accessible clearinghouse of rofessional development and higher education available to all members of the force?
	No Insert web addresses, where	a noscible:
	misert web addresses, where	; possible.

3.4.4 Workforce Element 4 - Financial Incentives and Supports

Definition – For purposes of this section, rewards for education and training refers to any financial supports provided to practitioners for participating in and completing education or training or for increasing compensation.

time sal	the State/Territory provide financial rewards for participation in professional development, such as one- ary bonuses for completing a training or education program? Yes. Describe No
wage su	the State/Territory provide sustained financial support on a periodic, predictable basis, such as annual applements based on the highest level of training and education achieved? Yes. Describe No
coverag	the State/Territory have a program to offer or facilitate access to benefits (for example, health insurance e, retirement, etc.) to the workforce? Yes. Describe No
	the State/Territory's QRIS (if checked yes to 3.3.6a) include activities linked to compensation or benefits? Yes. Describe No.
that app	the State/Territory provide any of the following financial supports for training and education? Check all oly. Scholarships and grants Reimbursement for training expenses (for example, for travel and supplies) Loans Loan forgiveness programs Substitute pools Support for directors Other. Describe
3.4.5 W	orkforce Element 5 - Quality Assurance
	quality assurance mechanisms are in place for the degree programs and courses offered by the state ons of higher education? Check all that apply. Institution standards/processes Standards/processes set by the state higher education boards Standards/processes set by institution and/or program accreditors (national or regional, etc.). Describe. All credit bearing courses and degree programs are regionally accredited All credit bearing courses and degree programs are NCATE/NAEYC accredited Other. Describe.
b) Does	the State/Territory track degree completion or attrition rates? Yes. Describe No.
Check al	quality assurance mechanisms are in place for the trainings and technical assistance programs offered? If that apply. Trainer approval processes Training approval processes Technical Assistance approval process System of orientation or adult learning theory requirement Credentialing system System of ongoing support

	System for evaluating trainings and/or technical assistance Other. Describe.
3.4.6 W	orkforce Element 6 - <u>Governance</u>
a) How	does the professional development system advisory structure operates in the State/Territory? There is a single State/Territory advisory structure for the professional development system There are multiple advisory structures for the State/Territory professional development system. The professional development system advisory structure is a subcommittee or workgroup of the overarching State/Territory early learning or SAC advisory council. There is not an advisory structure for the State/territory professional development system.
	h sectors of the early childhood field are part of the advisory body? Check all that apply. Child care Head Start/Early Head Start Pre-kindergarten Public schools Early intervention/special education Other. Describe
mechan	the advisory structure developed or approved any of the following planning and communication alisms for the State/Territory professional development system? Check all that apply. Mission statement Vision statement Goal(s) statement Strategic plan. Provide link if available Progress Report Other. Describe ert web addresses, where possible:
	is the Lead Agency's role on or relationship with the professional development advisory structure? Funds Staffs Leads Other. Describe:
data ele	ata & Performance Measures on the Child Care Workforce and Professional Development System - What ements is the State/Territory currently collecting on the child care workforce? What performance measures e State/Territory use to determine its progress on professional development and workforce initiatives?
	collected on the child care workforce. At a minimum, indicate if the Lead Agency or a partner agency
collects	: Data on the size of the child care workforce Data on the demographic characteristics of practitioners working directly with young children Records of individual practitioners and their qualifications
	Records of individual professional development specialists (those that provide training, education, and/or technical assistance) and their qualifications Qualifications of practitioners linked to the programs in which they teach Number of scholarships awarded Number of individuals receiving bonuses or other financial rewards or incentives
	Number of credentials and degrees conferred annually Data on T/TA completion or attrition rates Data on degree completion or attrition rates

☐ Other. Describe	
b) Does the State/Territory have a workforce data system, such as a workforce registry, which tracks workford demographics, compensation, and qualifications and ongoing professional development?	e
Definition – For purposes of this section, a workforce and professional data system refers to a system, such as workforce registry, that that tracks the size and characteristics of the child care workforce, including longitud data to monitor changes over time. The data system also can produce records to validate and verify qualificat or ongoing professional development for licensing, accreditation, QRS, wage incentives, and credentials.	inal
 Yes. If yes, which roles are included in the workforce data system? Check all that apply. □ Practitioners working directly with children in centers or schools (including aides, assistant teachers, teachers, and master teachers). Describe □ Practitioners working directly with children in family child care homes (including aides, assistants, an providers). Describe □ Administrators in centers or schools (including educational coordinators, directors, principles, etc.). Describe □ Technical assistance providers (including mentors, coaches, consultants, home visitors, etc.) Describe □ Education and training staff (such as CCR&R staff, trainers, faculty, etc.). Describe □ Other. Describe 	
c) Performance Measures. What performance measures does the	
State/Territory use to track progress in its workforce and professional development systems?	
d) Evaluation . What are the Lead Agency's plans for evaluation related to its workforce and professional development system? Evaluation can include efforts related to monitoring implementation of an initiative, validation of standards or assessment tools, or looking at outcomes in programs or the system and may be or conducted periodically. (Please refer back to specific sub-sections of 3.4 as appropriate).	igoing
Goals for the next Biennium - What are the State's/Territory's goals for building the professional development system and improving conditions for the workforce in the coming biennium? Consider projected progress acrethe elements of the workforce and professional development system described above as well as the system mission, vision, goals and strategic plans (as appropriate), and offer as many specifics as possible (e.g. implements wage supplement program, develop articulation agreements, increase the number of BA graduates of early childhood programs by 10%, etc.).	oss
New Section Recommendation	
Section 3.5 - Data Systems Integration	
3.5.1 - Program Data	
a) The State/Territory has established a unique program identifier that applies to establishments in all sectors the ECE system.	of
☐ Yes. Describe ☐ No.	
b) The State/Territory data system (single or linked system) is based on a single set of data definitions for key elements of program structure (i.e. elements that have been selected from across the existing data systems of programs of differing auspices).	
☐ Yes. Describe	
□ No.	
c) The State/Territory data system (single or linked system) includes data for	
☐ licensed or regulated center-based child care	

	license-exempt or regulation-exempt center-based child care
	licensed or regulated home-based child care.
	license-exempt or regulation-exempt home-based child care.
	Head Start programs.
	Childhood programs located in public schools.
	Early childhood programs located in private school
	Early childhood programs operated by an early intervention services provider
	Before-or after-school program
3.5.2. P	ractitioner data
	state/Territory has established a unique practitioner identifier that applies to practitioners in all sectors of system.
	Yes. Describe No.
Ц	NO.
elemen	state/Territory data system (single or linked system) is based on a single set of data definitions for key data its on practitioners (i.e. elements that have been selected from across the existing data systems of oners working in programs of differing auspices).
	Yes. Describe No.
c) The S	tate/Territory data system (single or linked system) includes data for
	Practitioners in licensed or regulated center-based child care
	Practitioners in license-exempt or regulation-exempt center-based child care
	Practitioners in licensed or regulated home-based child care.
	Practitioners in license-exempt or regulation-exempt home-based child care.
	Practitioners in Head Start programs.
	Practitioners in childhood programs located in public schools.
	Practitioners in early childhood programs located in private school
	Practitioners in early childhood programs operated by an early intervention services provider
	Practitioners in before-or after-school program
3.5.3 L	Jsing Data to Inform Quality Improvements
a) The S	tate/Territory links and analyzes data on practitioners and programs to inform quality improvement.
	Links the unique practitioner ID to the programs in which the individual is/has been employed.
	Regularly reports on practitioner characteristics by program, including education, experience, and turnover.
	Regularly reports on the supply and quality of early childhood education and school age care programs, including the number and proportion of programs meeting specific levels of quality as may be articulated in a QRIS.
	Regularly reports on enrollment of specific populations of children in what type of program and what leve of program quality, including available information on family income and work status, child care subsidy, dual language learners, and children with disabilities.
	Regularly reports on the number of practitioners and programs receiving funding and specific quality supports (e.g. training, quality grants, and scholarship assistance) through CCDF.