

**Information Collection Provisions in 42 CFR Part 84 - Tests and Requirements for
Certification and Approval of Respiratory Protective Devices**

Extension (0920-0109)

SUPPORTING STATEMENT

PART A

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health

Dana Rottach
National Personal Protective Technology Laboratory
P.O. Box 18070
626 Cochrans Mill Road, Mail Stop P-05
Pittsburgh, PA 15236
(412) 386-5070
DRottach@cdc.gov

April 19, 2011

- A. Justification.....**
- A1. Circumstances Making the Collection of Information Necessary.....
- A2. Purpose and Use of Information Collection.....
- A3. Use of Improved Information Technology and Burden Reduction.....
- A4. Efforts to Identify Duplication and Use of Similar Information.....
- A5. Impact on Small Businesses or Other Small Entities.....
- A6. Consequences of Collecting the Information Less Frequently.....
- A7. Special Circumstances Relating to the Guidelines of 5 CFR 1320.5.....
- A8. Comments in Response to the Federal Register Notice and Efforts to Consult Outside the Agency.....
- A9. Explanation of Any Payment or Gift to Respondents.....
- A10. Assurance of Confidentiality Provided to Respondents.....
- A11. Justification for Sensitive Questions.....
- A12. Estimates of Annualized Burden Hours and Costs.....
- A13. Estimates of Other Total Annual Cost Burden to Respondents or Record Keepers.....
- A14. Annualized Cost to the Government.....
- A15. Explanation for Program Changes or Adjustments.....
- A16. Plans for Tabulation and Publication and Project Time Schedule.....
- A17. Reason(s) Display of OMB Expiration Date is Inappropriate.....
- A18. Exceptions to Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions.....

Attachments

- Attachment A - Occupational Safety and Health Act**
- Attachment B - 60-day Federal Register Notice**
- Attachment C - Extension of Approval**
- Attachment D - Quality Assurance**
- Attachment E - SFV8**
- Attachment F - 42 C.F.R. § 84 (2010)**

A. Justification

1. Circumstances Making the Collection of Information Necessary

An extension to the clearance for the information collection activities described in 42 CFR Part 84 is sought. The collection activities are fundamentally unchanged. The expected annual number of respondents has been increased to include international manufacturers and as well as to account for recent trends in covered responses. The regulatory authority for the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH or the Institute) certification program for respiratory protective devices is found in the Mine Safety and Health Amendments Act of 1977 (30 U.S.C. 3, 5, 7, 811, 842(h), 844) and the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (29 U.S.C. 657(g)) (Attachment A). These regulations mandate the performance tests and criteria for approval of respirators used by millions of American construction workers, miners, painters, asbestos removal workers, fabric mill workers, and fire fighters. In addition to benefiting industrial workers, the improved testing requirements also benefit health care workers implementing the CDC Guidelines for Preventing the Transmission of Tuberculosis. Regulations of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) also require the use of NIOSH-approved respirators.

In accordance with implementing regulation 42 CFR, Part 84 (Attachment B), NIOSH (1) issues certificates of approval for respirators which have met improved construction, performance, and protection requirements; (2) establishes procedures and requirements to be met in filing applications for approval; (3) specifies minimum requirements and methods to be employed by NIOSH and by applicants in conducting inspections, examinations, and tests to determine

effectiveness of respirators; (4) establishes a schedule of fees to be charged applicants for testing and certification; and (5) establishes approval labeling requirements.

Under 42 CFR, Part 84, certification applicants are required to submit detailed parts lists, drawings, and inspection instructions. The following lists the citation from 42 CFR, Part 84 on information collection:

Subpart B 84.11 - Reporting

Specifies necessary content of application for certification approval of respirators (drawings, specifications, drawing lists).

Subpart D 84.33 - Reporting

Specifies general requirements for content, format, and locations of approval labels and markings placed on devices and displayed in product literature. This requires submission of instructions for the use and maintenance of the respirator.

Subpart D 84.35 - Reporting

Specifies necessary content of application for modification of the existing certificate of approval to cover proposed changes (original certificate of approval, appropriate drawings and specifications, and proposed quality control plan meeting requirements of Subpart E).

Subpart E 84.41 - Reporting

Specifies necessary content of quality control plans (production quality requirements for data and record collection, engineering drawing control, test equipment calibration, purchased material incoming inspections, manufactured lot tracking systems, final inspections processes, and supporting organizational structure).

Subpart E 84.43 - Quality Control Records; Record keeping

Specifies that applicants shall keep quality control inspection records.

Subpart N 84.257 - Reporting

Specifies necessary content, format, and locations for approval labels mounted on special use respirators and displayed in product literature.

Subpart KK 84.1103 - Reporting

Specifies necessary content, format, and locations for approval labels mounted on dust, fume, and mist; pesticide; paint spray; powered air-purifying high efficiency respirators and combination gas masks, and displayed in product literature.

2. Purpose and Use of Information Collection

Information is collected to enable 42 CFR Part 84 respirator approval certification activities. Approved respirators used by millions of workers to facilitate the selection of appropriate high quality reliable respiratory personal protective equipment. Institute certification is required for satisfaction of Occupational Safety and Health Administration and Mine Safety and Health Administration regulations for respirator personal protective equipment. The removal of information collection authority would not allow the Institute to perform its certification activities and thereby endanger the health of millions of people. Certification of respirators allows purchasers and users to consistently assess the protective capabilities of respirators so approved. Maintenance of respirator approvals ensures continual quality production and accountable response to problems discovered in the field.

3. Use of Improved Information Technology and Burden Reduction

The main instrument for data collection for respirator approval functions is The Standard Application for the Approval of Respirators, currently Version 7, known as SAF V.7. SAF V.7 consists of a Microsoft Access database utility. An alternative format, The Standard Application for the Approval of Respirators, version 8, has been developed and is being made available for manufacturers lacking the correct Microsoft Access environment. The version 8 software has been designed to allow users much greater ease of use. It is anticipated that support for SAF V.7 will be dropped in as little as one year depending upon user feedback. Paper submission is deprecated, and has not been utilized for over 5 years.

4. Efforts to Identify Duplication and Use of Similar Information

Since 42 CFR, Part 84 reporting requirements are legislatively mandated, respondents, who participate by their own choice, must provide a detailed description (engineering drawings, classification of defects (CDS), and complete parts lists) for each respirator submitted to NIOSH for certification evaluation. Most of the information that must be provided is proprietary and not available from any source other than the applicants themselves. To the greatest extent possible, NIOSH allows applicants to reference any other proprietary drawings, parts lists, or CDs previously submitted in association with another approved respirator assembly that may have contained common components or parts. For any applicant who submits multiple respirators with common parts, NIOSH will use, whenever possible, data and information previously submitted and suitably referenced by the applicant.

5. Impact on Small Businesses or Other Small Entities

A 2010 analysis of respirator manufacturers classified 60 certified approval holders as small businesses (i.e., with fewer than 500 employees). The information collection requirements within 42 CFR, Part 84 are uniformly applied regardless of the size of the applicant's business, and are the least burdensome necessary for the proper performance of the Institute's regulatory responsibilities and to achieve program objectives. NIOSH has made every effort to minimize the amount of information collected for the purpose of assessing and certifying the safety and efficacy of an applicant's respirator. These efforts have minimized the burden on small businesses. However, the minimum information needed by NIOSH to assess a respirator depends significantly on the type of respirator to be certified. Any further reductions in the amount or types of information collected from small respirator manufacturers would likely compromise the usefulness and reliability of certificates of approval.

6. Consequences of Collecting the Information Less Frequently

The 42 CFR, Part 84 regulations do not specify data collection frequencies in terms of fixed time intervals (e.g., monthly, yearly). However, as stated in Item 5, the amount of required information collected from any given manufacturer over any given period (e.g., annually) is regarded as the minimum necessary for NIOSH to be able to adequately evaluate respirator safety and efficacy thereby protecting the health and safety of respirator users. The data collection frequency of §84.11 depends upon the voluntary actions of a certification applicant. Respirator manufacturers will submit applications for approval whenever they:

- (1) seek approval of a new model,
- (2) seek an extension of approval to accommodate a change or modification to a certified model; that is a modification that affects the respirator's form, fit, or function,
- (3) seek approval of a minor change or modification to a certified model that does not affect form, fit or function (e.g. color of a screw changes from black to silver), or
- (4) seek approval of a change to the quality assurance procedures for a certified model.

For other provisions (e.g., §84.33(f), 84.42(b), 84.43(c), 84.65(e)), the data collection frequency is a function of the production frequency of defective or nonconforming respirators from a respirator manufacturer. The frequency of these collection activities is determined largely by the activities of the applicants, however, any reductions in the amount of information collected or frequency of collection, as stated in item 5, could quite possibly compromise the reliability of certificates of approval.

7. Special Circumstances Relating to the Guidelines of 5 CFR 1320.5

The provisions of 42 CFR, Part 84 as implemented by NIOSH require information collection to be conducted in a manner inconsistent with the following two guidelines of 5 CFR 1320.5:

§1320.5d(2)(ii): "Requiring respondents to prepare a written response to an information collection request or requirement in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it."

§1320.5d(2)(iv): "Requiring respondents to retain records, other than health, medical or tax records, for more than three years."

First, NIOSH response to critical defects (§84.41(d)(1)) identified in certified respirators deployed in industrial and mining workplaces contradicts §1320.5d(2)(ii). When NIOSH becomes aware that a certified respirator problem or defect exists and that "...judgment and experience indicate is likely to result in a condition immediately hazardous to life or health for individuals using or depending upon the respirator," NIOSH will: "Notify the Manufacturer in writing describing the problem and requesting that the Manufacturer immediately Stop Sale of the respirator(s)... as a NIOSH certified device. The Manufacturer is asked to investigate the problem and submit a report to NIOSH within a specified time period (usually 2 weeks)."

Second, §84.31(c) conflicts with §1320.5d(2)(iv) since it requires the manufacturer to maintain certificates of approval, and drawings and specifications incorporated by reference, as long as the unit is sold as NIOSH or NIOSH/MSHA certified, or until the certification of the unit is

invalidated. These records must be retained for the duration of the certification, which generally exceeds three years, to enable NIOSH to review a series of minor respirator changes and determine if the aggregate effect of the changes compromise the safety and performance of the affected device. CDC requests a waiver from §1320.5d(2)(ii) and (f) to enable NIOSH to adequately protect the health and safety of respirator users.

8. Comments in Response to the Federal Register Notice and Efforts to Consult Outside the Agency

A. A 60-day Federal Register Notice was published in the Federal Register on March 15, 2011, vol. 76, No. 50, pp. 14019-14020 (see Attachment C). There were no public comments.

B. Since 1994, NIOSH and the International Safety Equipment Association have held joint meetings with all approval-holding manufacturers to address clarifications and accommodations with manufacturer record systems needed to improve the approval application process. On average these meetings have occurred on roughly a yearly basis. The International Safety Equipment Association, formerly known as the Industrial Safety Equipment Association, represents well over 80% of 7949 NIOSH respirator approvals currently listed in the Certified Equipment List. This meeting is always attended by more than 40 representatives from 25 plus domestic and foreign respirator manufacturers. Two representatives are:

(Domestic):

Mr. Craig Colton

Senior Specialist - Technical Service

3M Company

OH & ES Division

Building 235 2E 91

St. Paul, MN 55144 1000

Ph. (651) 733-6297

(Foreign):

Mr. Klaus-Michael Rück

Chemical Engineer

Research & Development

Dräger Safety AG & Co. KGaA

Revalstraße 1

Luebeck, D-23560

GERMANY

Ph. (+49) 451 882 4513

Since MSHA has co-approval authority on respirators used in mining applications, MSHA representatives participate in the annual NIOSH meeting with all manufacturers and always have an opportunity to discuss the data collected in each application. NIOSH requires documentation of MSHA intrinsic safety approval as a prerequisite and major section of the respirator approval application. The MSHA agency representative on this project is:

Mr. Jeff Kravitz

MSHA Pittsburgh Safety and Health Technology Center

Cochrans Mill Road

P. O. Box 18233

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15236

412/386-6923 / 412 386 6964 FAX

The NIOSH application form has been modified several times over the years in response to, and in cooperation with, respirator manufacturers. This is done to ensure all necessary data would be collected from manufacturers during the approval process, while working to continuously improve the application process. The most recent revision was completed in November 2006.

9. Explanation of Any Payment or Gift to Respondents

No payments are made to respondents.

10. Assurance of Confidentiality Provided to Respondents

The Privacy Act is not applicable. No personal information is being collected. Personally Identifiable Information is limited to name, title, and business telephone and address of the respondent's agent. Though business contact details of those completing information collection documents are furnished, the primary method of retrieval for this information collection will not be performed by this field. Individuals would be providing data relating only to respirators and

the production methods used to manufacture them, and not related to themselves or other persons. Therefore, the Privacy Act does not apply to the data collection.

Because proprietary (trade secret and business sensitive) information may be furnished as part of the application, the following information on the applicable Freedom of Information Act exemption enabling withholding of such information is provided to clarify the extent to which the confidential nature of documents might be protected for respondents who choose to participate in this data collection. The most recent revision of the DHHS regulation (45 CFR 5) implementing the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) was issued on November 13, 1987 (52 FR 43575). Specifically, 45 CFR 5.65 covers the limits and details of the exemption to mandatory disclosure granted to trade secrets and business sensitive information held by the Institute. However, confidential protections do not extend to laboratory test results from any application for certification. Based on a Department of Health and Human Services legal decision, information (such as a drawing) marked "Company Confidential" has additional protections from Freedom of Information Act release.

A. Data collected is not intended to be retrieved by contact information. Institute personnel use provided contact information solely in regards to respirator certification functions.

B. Documentation submitted by manufacturers is retained in secured areas including locked rooms and filing cabinets, as well as in limited access electronic data storage. Personnel working with the documentation must have Sensitive Data clearance. Document controls include User Identification (Windows Login), Firewall, Security Guards, and Identification Badges.

Data is backed up in accordance with CDC policy. Currently encrypted nightly backups are retained offsite for four months.

C. Respondent consent for use of the data is implied. Submitted data is used for respirator approval functions. Data may be aggregated for Institute functional metrics (such as those used here) but are not used for exceptional research.

D. Application for Institute approval of a respirator is voluntary, and the benefits of applying are understood by the respondents. The Privacy Act does not apply.

11. Justification for Sensitive Questions

This data collection contains no sensitive questions (e.g. gender, sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, health information, or other related matters that are commonly considered personal and private).

12. Estimates of Annualized Burden Hour and Costs

A. The number of hours per response has been estimated from an informal telephone survey of five applicants representing both large and small business. Information regarding the average number of responses has been calculated from NIOSH records of submissions.

a. Last year, 68 of 86 respirator companies with current approvals submitted applications.

b. The average number of applications (responses) per company, with or without current approval, in calendar year 2010 was 8.

c. NIOSH assumes an equal time distribution between manufacturer's clerical and quality control support staff to arrive at a burden hour estimate per manufacturer of 229 hours per response, including record keeping.

Respirator manufacturers are the respondents (an estimated 75 respondents are expected each year over the years 2011-2013). This is an increase over previous years largely due to the new inclusion of international manufacturers. Upon submission of the SAF, respondents' requests for approval are evaluated. Although there is no cost to respondents for consideration other than their time to participate, respondents requesting respirator approval evaluations are required to submit fees for necessary testing as specified in 42 CFR Parts 84.20-22, 84.66, 84.258 and 84.1102. In calendar year 2010 \$395,564.00 was accepted, for an average fee of \$815.60.

Applicants are required to provide test data that show the respirator is capable of meeting the specified requirements in 42 CFR Part 84. The requirement for submitted test data is likely to be satisfied by standard testing performed by the manufacturer, and is not required to precisely follow the relevant NIOSH Standard Test Procedures.

Although 42 CFR Part 84 Subpart E prescribes certain quality standards, it is not expected that requiring quality systems which can be approved to this standard will impose an additional cost burden over similarly effective quality standards that may not meet the requirements of 42 CFR Part 84.

Manufacturers with current approvals are subject to site audits by the Institute or its agents.

There is no fee associated with audits, which may occur periodically or as a result of a reported issue. An average of 61 site audits were completed annually over the calendar years 2008-2010.

Audits take an average of 23.5 burden hours from the respondent.

Estimated Annualized Burden Hours:

An estimated 75 respirator manufacturers are expected to apply for an average of 8 respirator approvals each year from 2011-2014, and each application is expected to require an average of 229 hours to complete and maintain.

Estimated Annualized Burden Hours

Type of Respondent	Response Type	Expected Annual Number of Respondents	Average Annual Responses per Respondent	Average Burden Hours per Response	Total Burden Hours
Business or other for-profit	Standard Application for the Approval of Respirators Version 7 and Version 8	75	8	229	137,400
Business or other for-profit	Audit	60	1	24	1,440
	Total				138,840

Form	Total Burden Hours (from above)	Estimated Hourly Wage Rate	Total Cost of Hour Burden
Standard Application for the Approval of Respirators Version 7 and Version 8	137,400	\$76.51	\$10,512,574
Audit	1,440	\$76.51	\$110,174
Total			\$10,622,758

Wage data is the average unspecified manufacturing industry engineer wage of \$43.65 as reported in the 2009 National Sector NAICS Industry-Specific estimates multiplied by 1.06 inflation adjustment and 1.65 factor for overhead expenses.

13. Estimate of Other Total Annual Cost Burden to Respondents or Recordkeepers

There are no costs outside of those estimated in item 12.

14. Annualized Cost to the Federal Government

Based on twenty four year's experience since the promulgation of the paperwork reduction act and working under the present 42 CFR, Part 84 regulations, previously codified under 30 CFR 11, NIOSH estimates that the Institute program that will review and maintain the information collected from respirator manufacturers will annually require 5.0 person-years of effort. The average personnel cost is \$93,552/year (average 2006 salary plus fringe benefits for the Technology Evaluation Branch at the National Personal Protective Technology Laboratory (NPPTL), NIOSH in the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention multiplied by 1.126 inflation factor). Thus NIOSH estimates an annual personnel cost for 42 CFR, Part 84 of:

$$(\$93,552/\text{yr}/\text{position})(5.0 \text{ positions}) = \$467,760/\text{yr}.$$

In addition, based on past experience, NIOSH estimates an annual travel cost of \$19,508/yr and an office supply cost of \$3251/yr. Then the total annual cost to the Federal Government for information collected under 42 CFR, Part 84 is estimated as the annual personnel cost plus travel and supply costs:

$$\$467,763/\text{yr} + \$19,508/\text{yr} + \$3251/\text{yr} = \$490,519/\text{yr}.$$

15. Explanation for Program Changes or Adjustments

The increase of 60,064 estimated total annual burden hours (from 78,776 total annual burden hours in 2007 to 138,840 total annual burden hours per year in 2011) occurred because the number of estimated respondents increased from 43 to 75, including foreign and domestic respondents.

16. Plans for Tabulation and Publication and Project Time Schedule

The requirements for collecting information under 42 CFR, Part 84 are derived solely from its established provisions to evaluate the performance of the respirator, and the compliance of the applicants' quality assurance systems with the regulatory requirements. Affirmative evaluations result in the issuance of certificate(s) of approval, negative evaluations result in denial of the applicants' requests for certification. No compilation, or results of information collection will be published other than lists of respirators to which approval has been granted. These lists are updated on a regular basis and are available on a broad distribution basis via the Internet.

17. Reason(s) Display of OMB Expiration Date is Inappropriate

No exemption is requested.

18. Exceptions to Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions

No exception is identified or requested.