#### U.S. PURCHASERS' QUESTIONNAIRE

# CUT-TO-LENGTH CARBON-QUALITY STEEL PLATE FROM INDIA, INDONESIA, ITALY, JAPAN, AND KOREA

This questionnaire must be received by the Commission by no later than August 10, 2011

See page 4 of the Instruction Booklet for filing instructions.

The information called for in this questionnaire is for use by the United States International Trade Commission in connection with its review of the countervailing duty and antidumping duty orders concerning cut-to-length carbon-quality steel plate ("CTL plate") from India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, and Korea (Inv. Nos. 701-TA-388-391 and 731-TA-817-821 (Second Review)). The information requested in the questionnaire is requested under the authority of the Tariff Act of 1930, title VII. This report is mandatory and failure to reply as directed can result in a subpoena or other order to compel the submission of records or information in your possession (19 U.S.C. § 1333(a)). Further information on this questionnaire can be obtained from Aimee Larsen (202-205-3179, aimee.larsen@usitc.gov).

Name of firm

			Sta	ate	_ Zip Co	de	
World Wide	Web addr	ess					
Has your firm at any time sir			fined in the in	struction bo	oklet) <u>from</u>	any source (domestic	or foreign)
□NO	(Sign the cer	ification below a	and promptly re	eturn only this	page of the	questionnaire to the Com	nmission)
☐ YES		truction booklet of to the Commiss				onnaire, and return the ented above)	ntire
			CERTIF	CATION			
	this questionsion on the	nnaire and thi same or similar	roughout this r merchandis	s proceeding e.	g in any ot	loyees and contract p her import-injury pro	
ission, its employe ining the records	es, and cont of this proced he programs gn non-discl	ract personnel ding or related and operation osure agreeme	who are act proceedings s of the Com	ing in the c for which t mission put	apacity of his informa	hout this proceeding Commission employe tion is submitted, or i U.S.C. Appendix 3.  Date	es, for developing o in internal audits an
ission, its employed ining the records of the state of the terminal to the state of	es, and cont of this proced he programs gn non-discl	ract personnel ding or related and operation osure agreeme	who are act I proceedings s of the Com nts.	ing in the c for which t mission put	apacity of his informa	Commission employe tion is submitted, or i U.S.C. Appendix 3.	es, for developing o in internal audits an

#### PART I.—GENERAL INFORMATION

The questions in this questionnaire have been reviewed with market participants to ensure that issues of concern are adequately addressed and that data requests are sufficient, meaningful, and as limited as possible. Public reporting burden for this questionnaire is estimated to average 40 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the questionnaire. Send comments regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to <a href="mailto:aimee.larsen@usitc.gov">aimee.larsen@usitc.gov</a> or via the other submission options described in the instruction booklet.

1a.		OMB statisticsPlease report the actual number of hours required and the cost to your firm of preparing the reply to this questionnaire and completing the form.					
			hours	dollars			
1b.	questionnaire in	We are interested in any comme general or the clarity of specific ques tc.gov or via the other submission option	stions. Please attacl	h such comments to			
2.	questionnaire (see	overedProvide the name and addres page 3 of the instruction booklet for release specify the stock exchange and tr	reporting guidelines).				
3.	OwnershipIs y	our firm owned, in whole or in part, by  YesList the following information.	•				
	Firm name	<u>Address</u>		Extent of ownership			
4.	domestic or foreig and/or Korea into	T importers/exportersDoes your fign, that are engaged in importing CTL the United States or that are engaged in apan, and/or Korea to the United States.  YesList the following information.	plate from India, India in exporting CTL plates?	onesia, Italy, Japan,			
	Firm name	<u>Address</u>	<u>Affilia</u>	<u>ution</u>			
		<del></del>					

# PART I.--GENERAL INFORMATION--Continued

No	YesList	the following informa	tion.		
Firm name					
		<u>Address</u>		Affiliation	
olan. Does yo	ur company or	any related firm have a	a business plan o	or any internal documents that	į
No	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			, ,	
	olan. Does you lescribe, discu	olan. Does your company or a lescribe, discuss, or analyze e	olan. Does your company or any related firm have a lescribe, discuss, or analyze expected market condition.  No YesPlease provide the requested in the sequence of the seque	olan. Does your company or any related firm have a business plan of lescribe, discuss, or analyze expected market conditions for CTL plants. In the provide the requested documents. In the provide the requested documents.	Business planIn Part III of this questionnaire we request a copy of your company's business plan. Does your company or any related firm have a business plan or any internal documents that lescribe, discuss, or analyze expected market conditions for CTL plate?  No YesPlease provide the requested documents. If you are not providing the requested documents, please explain why not.

#### PART II.--PURCHASES

Please	identify the individual to be	contacted and indicate	the methods to	be used for	questions	regarding
the con	ifidential information in part	s II to IV.				

Name and title:	
E-mail:	Telephone: ()

#### **PLEASE NOTE:**

For the remaining questions, when providing information regarding imports from Korea, please exclude imports from POSCO from your discussion. Imports from POSCO are not subject to the antidumping order and should be addressed when discussing nonsubject CTL plate.

II-1. **Purchases.--**Report, as indicated below, your firm's purchases (either directly or through a sales agent or broker) of CTL plate. Report based on delivery date, not order date.

Item	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	JanJune 2010	JanJune 2011
irchases of CTL plate p	oroduced	in						
The United States:  Quantity								
Value								
India: Quantity								
Value								
Indonesia: Quantity								
Value								
Italy: Quantity								
Value								
Japan: Quantity								
Value								
Korea: Quantity								
Value								
All other countries: <sup>1</sup> Quantity								
Value								

## PART II.--PURCHASES--Continued

II-3.

II-2. <u>Changes in purchasing patterns.</u>— Please indicate how the relative levels of your firm's purchases of CTL plate from different sources (both domestic and foreign) have changed since 2005.

Source of purchases	Trend	Explanation for trend
The United States	☐ Decreased ☐ Increased ☐ No change ☐ Fluctuated ☐ Did not purchase	
India	☐ Decreased ☐ Increased ☐ No change ☐ Fluctuated ☐ Did not purchase	
Indonesia	☐ Decreased ☐ Increased ☐ No change ☐ Fluctuated ☐ Did not purchase	
Italy	☐ Decreased ☐ Increased ☐ No change ☐ Fluctuated ☐ Did not purchase	
Japan	☐ Decreased ☐ Increased ☐ No change ☐ Fluctuated ☐ Did not purchase	
Korea	☐ Decreased ☐ Increased ☐ No change ☐ Fluctuated ☐ Did not purchase	
All other countries	☐ Decreased ☐ Increased ☐ No change ☐ Fluctuated ☐ Did not purchase	

### PART II.--PURCHASES--Continued

II-5. <u>Supplier identification.</u>--Please identify below the names and addresses of your firm's <u>FIVE</u> largest suppliers for CTL plate since 2005. Please also provide the name and telephone number of a contact person and the share of the quantity of your firm's total shipments of CTL plate that each of these suppliers accounted for in 2010.

No.	Supplier's name	City and state	Contact person	Telephone number or e-mail address	Share of 2010 purchases (%)
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					

Firm typeWhich of the all that apply)?	following best describes	your firm as a purchaser of	of CTL plate (che
☐ End user ☐ Distributor ☐ Other (Describe:			
		reseller of CTL plate, do y ters from which you purch	
□ No □	YesPlease describe		
Types of customersIf y types of consumers to white types of customers. Tool and gas fabricators to storage tank and struct fabricators to consumers to white types of customersIf y types of customers to white types of consumers ty	ch you sell CTL plate? ( tural fabricators		portation-related r agricultural cturers
End usesIf your firm is consumed, the top 3 produ input. Please indicate what inputs.	cts for which your firm p	ourchases CTL plate as a co	omponent part o
		each of the product(s)	
Product(s) you produce	CTL plate (percent)	Other inputs (percent)	Total
1.			100%
2.			100%
3.			100%

III-5.	<u>Demai</u>	nd for end use products
	(a)	If your firm is an end user of CTL plate, has the demand for your firm's final products incorporating CTL plate changed since 2005?
		☐ Increased ☐ Decreased ☐ Fluctuated ☐ No change
	(b)	Has this had any effect on your firm's demand for CTL plate?
		☐ No—Please explain. ☐ YesPlease describe.
III-6.	Chang	ges in end usesHave there been any changes in the end uses of CTL plate since 2005?  YesPlease describe.
		reserved.
III-7.	Antici CTL p	pated changes in end usesDo you anticipate any changes in terms of the end uses of late?
	□ No	YesPlease describe.

III-8.	SubstitutesCan	other products be substituted f	or CTL pla	te?
	☐ No	YesPlease fill out the	ne table bel	ow.
Sub	stitute product	Description of applications or end uses		nges in the prices of this substitute he price of CTL plate since January 1, 2005?
1.			□ No	Yes Yes—please explain.
2.			☐ No	Yesplease explain.
3.			☐ No	Yesplease explain.
III-9.		itutesHave there been any cl for CTL plate since 2005?  YesPlease explain.	anges in th	ne number or types of products that
III-10.		ges in substitutesDo you an other products for CTL plate?  YesPlease describe.	ticipate any	changes in terms of the

III-11.	Demand trends							
	(a)		and within the United		te changed since 2005? What			
		☐ Increased	Decreased	Fluctuated	☐ No change			
	(b)		and outside the Unite ffect changes in den		ate changed since 2005? What			
		☐ Increased	Decreased	Fluctuated	☐ No change			
III-12.	Antici	pated demand tren	<u>ıds</u>					
	(a)				ted States for CTL plate in se changes in demand?			
		☐ Increase	Decrease	Fluctuate	☐ No change			
	(b)				ited States for CTL plate in se changes in demand?			
		☐ Increase	Decrease	Fluctuate	☐ No change			

and demand and provide meaningful information that you rely on.
Changes in factors affecting supplyHave any changes occurred in any other factors affecting supply (e.g., changes in availability or prices of raw materials, energy or labor; transportation conditions; production capacity and/or methods of production; technology; export markets; or alternative production opportunities) that affected the availability of U.Sproduced CTL plate in the U.S. market since 2005?  No YesPlease provide details.
Supply constraintsHave any suppliers (U.S. or foreign) refused, declined, or been unable to supply CTL plate since 2005? (Examples include being placed on allocation (or "controlled order entry"), supplier(s) declining to accept new customers or renew existing customers, delivering less than the quantity promised, been unable to meet timely shipment commitments, etc.)  No Yes Please note the time period(s) (i.e., month and year), the customer involved, the amount and type of product involved; and the reason for the allocation.

III-16.		rtance of purchasing domestic productIs buying a product that is produced in the I States an important factor in your firm's purchases of CTL plate (check ALL that apply)?
	☐ No	
	Ye	esPurchasing the domestic product is important. However, when the domestic product does not meet the customer's specifications, the firm purchases plate from foreign sources. This involves percent of all our purchases of CTL plate.
	Ye	es Purchases of domestic product are required by law or regulation (for example, government purchases under "Buy American" provisions). This involves percent of all our purchases of CTL plate.
	☐ Ye	esPurchases of domestic product are not required by law or regulation, but are by our customers. This involves percent of all our purchases of CTL plate.
	☐ Ye	esPurchases of domestic product are required for other reasons (please specify these reasons below). This involves percent of all our purchases of CTL plate.
III-17.	Condi	itions of competition
	(a)	Is the CTL plate market subject to business cycles or conditions of competition other than the changes in the overall economy?
		☐ No ☐ YesPlease explain and estimate the duration of any such cycle.
	<i>a</i> .>	
	(b)	Have the business cycles or conditions of competition for CTL plate changed since 2005?
		No VesPlease explain any such changes
		☐ No ☐ YesPlease explain any such changes.

	<u>Decisions based on producer</u> Does your firm, and to the extent that you know, do your customers make purchasing decisions involving CTL plate based on the producer of the CTL plate you purchase?							
	Your firm:	Always	Usually	Sometimes	Never			
	Your customers:	Always	Usually	Sometimes	Never			
	If at least sometimes why this information		ow your firm or yo	our customers determi	ine the producer and			
	Your firm:							
	Your customers:							
19.	your customers mak	e purchasing decis		n, and to the extent tha				
	the CTL plate you p	urchase:			country of origin of			
	Your firm:	Always	Usually	Sometimes	Never			
			Usually Usually	☐ Sometimes ☐ Sometimes	_			
	Your firm: Your customers:	☐ Always ☐ Always s, please discuss 1	Usually	<u> </u>	☐ Never			
	Your firm: Your customers: If at least sometime	☐ Always ☐ Always as, please discuss len is important.	Usually	Sometimes your customers determ	☐ Never			

#### PART III.-- MARKET CHARACTERISTICS AND PURCHASING PRACTICES--Continued

III-20.	<b>Purcha</b>	sing frequency
	(a)	How frequently do you make purchases?
		☐ Daily ☐ Weekly ☐ Monthly ☐ Quarterly ☐ Annually
		Other (specify))
	(b)	Do you expect this purchasing pattern to change in the next two years?
		☐ No ☐ Yes How and why do you expect these changes to occur?
III-21.	Number purchase	er of suppliers contactedHow many suppliers do you generally contact before making a se? firms
III-22.	Suppli	er negotiations
	(a)	Do purchases of CTL plate usually involve negotiations between supplier and purchaser?
		☐ No ☐ YesPlease describe these negotiations. In your response, please comment on whether purchasers generally quote competing prices as part of the negotiation process.
	(b)	Does your firm tend to vary its purchases from a given supplier within a specified time period based on the price offered for that period?
		☐ No ☐ YesSpecify the time period.

III-23. **Type of sale.**—Approximately what share of your firm's purchases of domestic and imported CTL plate in **2010** were on a (1) long-term contract basis (multiple deliveries for more than 12 months), (2) short-term contract basis (multiple deliveries up to 12 months), and (3) spot sales basis (for a single delivery)?

	Share of sales				
Type of sale	Domestic	Subject imports	Nonsubject imports		
Long-term contracts	%	%	%		
Short-term contracts	%	%	%		
Spot sales	%	%	%		
	100%	100%	100%		

□ No	YesPlease list the supplier or suppliers and indicate whether the firm was added or dropped as a supplier. Also give the reasons for the change and how frequently you change suppliers.
New su	ippliers
(a)	Are you aware of any new suppliers, either foreign or domestic, that have entered the market since 2005?
	No YesPlease identify the firms and indicate how you became aware of them.
(b)	Do you expect new CTL plate suppliers to enter the U.S. market?  No YesPlease provide details.
<u>Suppli</u>	er qualification
	you require your suppliers to be or to become certified or qualified to sell CTL plate to rm?
☐ No	Yes percent of value of purchases in 2010 Yesall purchases
describ	ase provide a general description of the certification or qualification process. Briefly e the factors that you consider when qualifying a new supplier (e.g., quality of product ity of supplier, etc.)
	(a) Supplie (a) Do your find No (b) Pledescrib

I-27.	<u>Failure to certify</u> Since 2005, have any certify or qualify their CTL plate with you			_
	No YesPlease identify the reasons why the		ountries where the fication/qualifica	
I-28.	Purchasing factorsFor the factors listed your purchase decision for CTL plate.	d below, please r	ate each in terms	of its importance in
		Very important	Somewhat important	Not important
	Availability			
	Delivery terms			
	Delivery time			
	Discounts offered			
	Extension of credit			
	Price			
	Minimum qty requirements			
	Packaging			
	Product consistency			
	Quality meets industry standards			
	Quality exceeds industry standards			
	Quality meets customer specifications			
	Product range			
	Reliability of supply			
	Technical support/service			
	U.S. transportation costs			
	Other (specify):			
		П	П	

III-29.	Major purchasing factorsPlease list, in order of their importance, the three major factors generally considered by your firm in deciding from whom to purchase CTL plate for any one order (examples include availability, extension of credit, contracts, price, quality, range of supplier's product line, traditional supplier, etc.).						
	1						
	2						
	3						
	Other factors or	comments:					
III-30.	Quality characted quality of CTL p		eristics does your firm cons	ider when determining the			
III-31.	Frequency of de		How often does your firm	m purchase the CTL plate that			
	Always	Usually	Sometimes	☐ Never			
III-32.	either upward or	downward, that is follo		that initiate a price change, one or more firms that have a eve to be the lowest priced			
			onsidered price leaders in the xhibited price leadership.	ne CTL plate market since			

## PART III.-- MARKET CHARACTERISTICS AND PURCHASING PRACTICES--Continued

# III-33. Changes in U.S. industry.--

responsible for ea	ch improvement/change.	hat was
-		
	improvements/changes that you anticipate in the future y. Identify the time period and causes for these improve	

III-34.	Effect of revocationWhat do you think will be the likely effects of any revocation of the subject countervailing duty and antidumping duty orders for imports of CTL plate from India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, and/or Korea? As appropriate, please discuss any potential effects of revocation of the subject countervailing duty and antidumping duty orders on (1) the future activities of your firm and (2) the U.S. market as a whole. Please note the future time period to which you are referring. Attach additional pages if necessary.
	(1) Activities of your firm:
	(2) Entire U.S. market:

# PART IV.-- PRODUCT COMPARISIONS

IV-1.	<u>Country knowledge</u> Please indicate the countries of chas actual marketing/pricing knowledge.	origin for CTL plate for which your firm	
	United States	☐ Italy	
	☐ India	☐ Japan	
	☐ Indonesia	☐ Korea	
	Other countries (Please specify		)
IV-2.	<u>Interchangeability by country-pair.</u> Is CTL plate procountries interchangeable ( <i>i.e.</i> , can they physically be us indicate below, using the following codes:		
	A = Products from this country-pair are <i>always</i> interch F = Products from this country-pair are <i>frequently</i> inte S = Products from this country-pair are <i>sometimes</i> inte N = Products from this country-pair are <i>never</i> intercha 0 = <i>No familiarity</i> with products from this country-pair	rchangeable erchangeable <sup>1</sup> ngeable <sup>1</sup>	

Country-pair	India	Indonesia	Italy	Japan	Korea	Other countries
United States						
India						
Indonesia		$\nearrow$				
Italy						
Japan		>>	$\nearrow$			
Korea		><		$\nearrow$	$\bigg\backslash\!\bigg\langle$	
<sup>1</sup> For any co	ountry-pair proo tors that limit o	ducing CTL plater preclude interd	e that is <i>sometii</i> changeable use	mes or <i>never</i> int :	erchangeable,	please

- IV-3. **Factors other than price.**--Are differences other than price (*i.e.*, quality, availability, transportation network, product range, technical support, *etc.*) between CTL plate produced in the United States and in other countries a significant factor in your firm's purchases of the products? Please indicate below, using the following codes:
  - A = Products from this country-pair are always significant<sup>1</sup>
  - F = Products from this country-pair are *frequently* significant<sup>1</sup>
  - S = Products from this country-pair are *sometimes* significant
  - N = Products from this country-pair are *never* significant
  - 0 = No familiarity with products from this country-pair

Country-pair	India	Indonesia	Italy	Japan	Korea	Other countries
United States						
India						
Indonesia						
Italy						
Japan		><	$\nearrow$	$\langle$		
Korea		><	$\nearrow$	$\setminus$	$\backslash$	
<sup>1</sup> For any control your firm's pur imparted by su	chases of glycii	which factors other of the control o	ner than price a	lways or freque	ntly are a signifi antages or disa	cant factor in dvantages

CTL plate grades/types/sizes	Country source
Pressure vessel plate	
Floor plate	
Steel plate for offshore structures- API 2W	
Steel plate for offshore structures- API 2Y	
Shipbuilding plate	
Construction machinery plate	
X-70 (or higher) plate for line pipe	
Other:	
Other:	
Choice of product not based on priceIf you pure omparable product was available from another sou easons for doing so (please specify by country, included on subject foreign countries). Possibilities might intend of time to fill orders, minimum order size, relative to the countries of the cou	rce at a lower price, please explain y luding the United States and both su aclude transaction characteristics such

IV-6. <u>Factor country comparisons.</u>--For the factors listed below, please rate how CTL plate produced in each country you identified in your response to the first question in Part IV compares with CTL plate produced in each of the other countries you identified (including the United States and both subject and nonsubject foreign countries).

	product from United States compared to product from India			United COI	duct from the du	s d to	product from United States compared to product from Italy		
Quality	Superior	Comparable	Inferior	Superior	Comparable	Inferior	Superior	Comparable	Inferior
Availability									
Delivery terms									
Delivery time									
Discounts offered									
Extension of credit									
Price <sup>1</sup>									
Minimum quantity requirements									
Packaging									
Product consistency									
Quality meets industry standards									
Quality exceeds industry standards									
Quality meets customer standards									
Product range									
Reliability of supply									
Technical support/service									
U.S. transportation costs <sup>1</sup>									
Other (specify):									
		П				П			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A rating of superior on price and U.S. transportation costs indicates that the first country generally has lower prices/U.S. transportation costs than the second country.

## IV-6. Continued.

IV-0. <u>Commueu.</u>	product from United States compared to product from Japan		product from United States compared to product from Korea			product from United States compared to product from Nonsubject			
Quality	Superior	Comparable	Inferior	Superior	Comparable	Inferior	Superior	Comparable	Inferior
Availability									
Delivery terms									
Delivery time									
Discounts offered									
Extension of credit									
Price <sup>1</sup>									
Minimum quantity requirements									
Packaging									
Product consistency									
Quality meets industry standards									
Quality exceeds industry standards									
Quality meets customer standards									
Product range									
Reliability of supply									
Technical support/service									
U.S. transportation costs <sup>1</sup>									
Other (specify):									
	П		П		П				П

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A rating of superior on price and U.S. transportation costs indicates that the first country generally has lower prices/U.S. transportation costs than the second country.

## IV-6. Continued.

CV 0. Commucu.		product from			product from			product from		
	compared to product from			compared to product from			compared to product from			
Quality	Superior	Comparable	Inferior	Superior	Comparable	Inferior	Superior	Comparable	Inferior	
Availability										
Delivery terms										
Delivery time										
Discounts offered										
Extension of credit										
Price <sup>1</sup>										
Minimum quantity requirements										
Packaging										
Product consistency										
Quality meets industry standards										
Quality exceeds industry standards										
Quality meets customer standards										
Product range										
Reliability of supply										
Technical support/service										
U.S. transportation costs <sup>1</sup>										
Other (specify):										
	П	П	П	Ιп	П		$\Box$	П	П	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A rating of superior on price and U.S. transportation costs indicates that the first country generally has lower prices/U.S. transportation costs than the second country.

IV-7.	Minimum quality										
	(a)	How often does for your uses or	um quality spec	cifications							
		Always	Usually		Sometimes	Rarely or never					
		Please explain if you responded "Sometimes" or "Rarely or never."									
	(b)	How often does imported subject CTL plate meet minimum quality specifications for your uses or your customers' uses?									
		\$	Source	Always	Usually	Sometimes	Rarely or never				
		India									
		Indonesia									
		Italy									
		Japan									
		Korea									
		Please explain if you responded "Sometimes" or "Rarely or never."									
	(c)	How often does imported nonsubject CTL plate ( <i>i.e.</i> , CTL plate from countries other than India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, and/or Korea) meet minimum quality specifications for your uses or your customers' uses?									
			ırce	Always	Usually	Sometimes	Rarely or never				
		Please explain if	you responded "So	ometimes" or	"Rarely or ne	ver."					

# PART IV.-- PRODUCT COMPARISIONS--Continued

[V-8.		CTL plate from India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, and Korea since 2005?
	U.S./India:	<ul> <li>□ Prices have changed by the same amount.</li> <li>□ U.S. prices have increased relative to India.</li> <li>□ U.S. prices have decreased relative to India.</li> </ul>
	U.S./Indonesia:	<ul> <li>□ Prices have changed by the same amount.</li> <li>□ U.S. prices have increased relative to Indonesia.</li> <li>□ U.S. prices have decreased relative to Indonesia.</li> </ul>
	U.S./Italy:	<ul> <li>□ Prices have changed by the same amount.</li> <li>□ U.S. prices have increased relative to Italy.</li> <li>□ U.S. prices have decreased relative to Italy.</li> </ul>
	U.S./Japan:	<ul> <li>□ Prices have changed by the same amount.</li> <li>□ U.S. prices have increased relative to Japan.</li> <li>□ U.S. prices have decreased relative to Japan.</li> </ul>
	U.S./Korea:	<ul> <li>□ Prices have changed by the same amount.</li> <li>□ U.S. prices have increased relative to Korea.</li> <li>□ U.S. prices have decreased relative to Korea.</li> </ul>
	U.S./nonsubject	imports:  Prices have changed by the same amount.  U.S. prices have increased relative to nonsubject imports.  U.S. prices have decreased relative to nonsubject imports.
		c.s. prices have decreased relative to nonsubject imports.