1. Please indicate your affiliation:

* Community/Advocacy Group
* Consulting
* Government Agency (specify below)
* Industry
* Law
* Media
* University/College
* Other (specify below)

1. How did you hear about the conference?

* Colleague
* Facebook/Google+
* GovEvents
* List serv (specify which one below)
* Twitter
* Website (specify which one below)
* Other (e.g., EPCRA Call Center, Right-to-Know Network, etc. - specify below)

1. Please rate your level of satisfaction regarding the conference agenda.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | | | | |
| **Conference Agenda** | **Very**  **Satisfied** | **Satisfied** | **Neutral** | **Dissatisfied** | **Very**  **Dissatisfied** |
| Length of presentations and sessions | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Time for questions and answers | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Breadth and depth of session topics | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Quality of presentations | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Amount of time for networking | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Relevance to your work | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

1. At this year’s conference we discussed data quality. We would like to know if you have specific suggestions for improving TRI data quality. Please share your thoughts below:
2. As we look to shape the future of the TRI program, we would like your input on how TRI data user needs could be addressed.  Please share your thoughts below:
3. Several presentations at this year’s conference showed various ways to mash up, compare, analyze, display and communicate TRI data and other information.  We would like your input on additional ways in which TRI data could be visualized or presented?
4. Please let us know what you thought about the posters and exhibit tables (relevance, usefulness, quality, topics, etc.)
5. Please let us know of other topics you would like to learn about at future TRI and Environmental Conditions in Communities conferences.
6. What could be done to improve your experience at the next conference?