**2011 OME Conference: Coordinating to Achieve RESULTS!**

**Overall Event Evaluation Form**

**Public Burden Statement:** According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 5 minutes, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. The obligation to respond to this collection is voluntary. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the U.S. Department of Education *Michelle Moreno, Office of Migrant Education, U.S. Department of Education, 600 Independence Avenue,* S.*W., 3E325, Washington, D.C. 20202-6135* or email ICDocketMgr@ed.gov and reference the OMB Control Number 1880-0542.

Please give us feedback about how beneficial you found the 2011 OME Conference: Coordinating to Achieve RESULTS! Rate the extent to which you agree with the following statements on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 means ‘Completely Disagree’ and 5 means ‘Completely Agree’. Fill in the bubble associated with the number that best represents your view. Please use a pencil, or black or dark blue ink pen.

(*Circle “N/A” if the question is not applicable to you*.)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Completely Disagree** |  | **CompletelyAgree** |
| **1. The overall 2011 OME Conference was effective.** | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | N/A |
| Online registration was easy to use. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | N/A |
| Pre-event materials and information were useful. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | N/A |
| Onsite registration/Check-in was easy. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | N/A |
| Event staff were helpful & accessible. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | N/A |
| Atmosphere at the conference was professional & respectful. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | N/A |
| Content was focused on the mission & people we serve. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | N/A |
| **2. Overall, the plenary/large group sessions were effective.**  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | N/A |
| The Nov. 14 Opening Plenary Session was effective. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | N/A |
| The Nov. 16 Closing Plenary Session was effective. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | N/A |
| **3. Overall,** this Conference was effectiveinproviding a venue for key Migrant Education Program (MEP) staff from across the country to engage in meaningful dialogue; share strategies regarding program implementation and promising practices; and build capacity within the migrant community to promote improved outcomes for migrant children. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | N/A |
| **4**. This Conference was able to advance dialogue with regard to MEP requirements and educational reform trends. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | N/A |
| **5.** This Conference was able to foster coordination and network opportunities that cultivate alignment of program requirements within and among states leading to continuity of services. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | N/A |
| 6. The Conference was effective in providing a showcase for results-driven implementation strategies and/or service delivery methods. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | N/A |

**7. Please use the space provided below to provide any comments or feedback that will help us improve future conferences, particularly if you circled 1 or 2 for any of the items above.**