

# STATE PERKINS ACCOUNTABILITY CONGRESS DESIGN TEAM MEETING

## POST-EVENT QUESTIONS

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 7 minutes per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. The obligation to respond to this collection is voluntary.

Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Ave., SW, Washington, DC 20210-4537 or email [ICDocketMgr@ed.gov](mailto:ICDocketMgr@ed.gov) and reference the OMB Control Number 1880-0542.

The Department of Education, Office of Vocational and Adult Education (OVAE), Division of Academic and Technical Education (DATE) hosted the a State Perkins Accountability Congress (SPAC) Design Team meeting on August 9-10, 2012. The following questions ask about your experiences with the Design Team meeting. Your responses will be used to improve future SPAC and Design Team meetings. Your answers are confidential and will be reported only in aggregate with other responses.

**Please select the category that best corresponds to your role:**

- Secondary CTE
- Postsecondary CTE

Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements:

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
The meeting facilitators clearly communicated the purpose and goals of the meeting.	5	4	3	2	1
The meeting environment encouraged contributions from all participants.	5	4	3	2	1
The August 2012 State Perkins Accountability Design Team Meeting provided an opportunity for me to share my input on <i>Perkins</i> accountability issues.	5	4	3	2	1
My input was heard and considered.	5	4	3	2	1
The facilitators provided adequate information about opportunities to provide additional input following the meeting, including through the online Forum.	5	4	3	2	1

Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements:

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
CTE colleagues in my state understand the purpose of the State Perkins Accountability Congress (SPAC).	5	4	3	2	1
CTE colleagues in my state would find the presentation of information in the meeting materials easy to understand.	5	4	3	2	1
It will be easy for CTE colleagues in my state to interpret the outcomes of the August SPAC Design Team meeting.	5	4	3	2	1

**SHORT ANSWER QUESTION**

Do you have any additional feedback to share about the meeting?

**Thank you for participating in this survey. Your responses will be used to improve future SPAC and Design Team meetings.**