OMB Control Number: 0925-0474 Expiration Date 10/31/2014

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 10 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to: NIH, Project Clearance Branch, 6705 Rockledge Drive, MSC 7974, Bethesda, MD 20892-7974, ATTN: PRA (0925-0476). Do not return the completed form to this address.

national institutes of health center for scientific review

National Institutes of Health
The Nation's Medical Research Agency

Fellowship Review Survey - Reviewers

Information you provide will be treated as confidential and reported only in a form that does not personally identify you.

1. What was the format of the most recent fellowship review meeting you participated in?

- Traditional face-to-face
- Teleconference with pre-meeting IAM component
- Telepresence (TPM)
- Other

2. Other than the willingness to contribute to peer review of fellowship applications, which of the factors below influenced your decision to participate in the fellowship meeting (check all that apply)

- Travel burden
- Opportunity to interact and socialize with colleagues
- Interest in trying new formats and platforms for fellowship review
- None of the above
- Other (please explain) _____

3. Did the format of review, e.g. face to face, telepresence etc., have any effect on your ability to review the applications?

- It had no effect on my ability to review applications
- It enhanced my ability to review applications
- It adversely affected my ability to review application

4. In your opinion, did the format of review, e.g. face to face, telepresence etc., have any effect on the ability of the panel to review applications?

- It had no effect on the panel's ability to review applications.
- It enhanced the panel's ability to review applications
- It adversely affected the panel's ability to review applications

5. In your opinion, did the format of review have any effect on your perception of review outcome (appropriate review of all applications)?

- It had no effect on review outcome
- It had a positive effect on review outcome
- It had a negative effect on review outcome

6. Would the format have any effect on your willingness to participate in a future meeting?

- The meeting format itself would not affect my decision to participate again
- More likely to participate again
- Less likely to participate again

7. What NIH review formats have you participated in prior to this meeting (check all that apply).

- Traditional face-to-face
- Teleconference
- Internet Assisted Meeting (IAM, formerly Asynchronous

Electronic Discussion)

- Video Assisted Meeting (VAM, formerly Video Enhanced Discussion)
- Telepresence (TPM)
- None, this fellowship meeting was my first NIH review experience.

8. Additional comments about the meeting format?

Thank you for your responses, please click on 'finish' to complete the survey.

Finish Save