national institutes of health

center for scientific review

National Institutes of Health
The Nation's Medical Research Agency

Early Career Reviewers Survey

OMB Control Number: 0925-0474 Expiration Date 10/31/2014

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 10 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to: NIH, Project Clearance Branch, 6705 Rockledge Drive, MSC 7974, Bethesda, MD 20892-7974, ATTN: PRA (0925-0476). Do not return the completed form to this address.

Thank you for participating in the Early Career Reviewer Program at the Center for Scientific Review, NIH. We are in the process of evaluating the effectiveness of this effort and we request your input. You are under no obligation to participate in this survey.

1. For the review meeting that you participated in, did you receive communications and orientation material to prepare you from the SRO?

☐ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not sure

2. For the review meeting that you participated in, did you receive communications and orientation material to prepare you from the Chair?

☐ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not sure

3. Did you attend a pre-meeting orientation teleconference?

☐ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not sure

4. Considering your responses to questions 1) and 2) and your own efforts, did you feel well-prepared for the peer review meeting you attended?

○ Yes

○ No

○ Not sure

5. If you answered 'not sure', what could have been done to prepare you better?

6. Prior to the meeting, using Internet Assisted Review, did you read any critiques or applications other than the ones assigned to you?

○ Yes

○ No

○ If yes, how many?

7. A standard workload for a study section reviewer is 8 applications or more. ECR's were assigned to 1 or 2 applications. Considering your recent study section experience and the other demands on your time and effort, what do you think would be a reasonable workload for an ECR?

8. During the meeting did you participate in the discussion of applications other than those assigned to you?

○ Yes

○ No

○ If yes, how many?   [   ]

9. On a 9-point scale where 1 means Extremely Useful and 9 means Not at All rate, how useful you think your input was....	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
to the applications that you were assigned to review meeting	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○
to the review meeting in general	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○

10. Overall, was the ECR experience useful to you? Please add any comments.

○ Yes

○ No

○ Not sure   [   ]

11. After the ECR experience do you believe you are better positioned to write your own grant application?

○ Yes

○ No

○ Not sure   [   ]

12. Will you participate in the NIH peer review process again if invited?

○ Yes

○ No

○ Not sure   [   ]

13. Would you recommend the ECR experience in peer review to a colleague?

○ Yes

○ No

○ Not sure   [   ]

14. Do you think the ECR program should continue?

○ Yes

○ No

○ Not sure   [   ]

Thank you for your responses, please click on 'finish' to complete the survey.

Finish   Save

Powered by
inquisite