Supporting Statement

Requirements for Submission of In Vivo Bioequivalence Data OMB Control No. 0910-0630

A. Justification

1. Circumstances Making the Collection of Information Necessary

The final rule on Requirements for Submission of In Vivo Bioequivalence Data amends Food and Drug Administration (FDA) regulations (21 CFR parts 314 and 320) on the submission of bioequivalence data to require an abbreviated new drug application (ANDA) applicant to submit data from all bioequivalence studies (BE studies) the applicant conducts on a drug product formulation submitted for approval. In the past, ANDA applicants have submitted BE studies demonstrating that a generic product meets bioequivalence criteria in order for FDA to approve the ANDA, but have not typically submitted additional BE studies conducted on the same drug product formulation, such as studies that do not show that the product meets these criteria. FDA has amended the regulation because we now believe that data from additional BE studies may be important in our determination of whether the proposed formulation is bioequivalent to the reference listed drug (RLD) and are relevant to our evaluation of ANDAs in general. In addition, such data will increase our understanding of how changes in components, composition, and methods of manufacture may affect product formulation performance.

Section 505(j)(2)(A)(iv) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the FD&C Act) (21 U.S.C. 355(j)(2)(A)(iv)) requires that ANDA applicants submit, among other things, information showing that the applicant's drug is bioequivalent to a drug that has previously been approved by FDA and designated as an RLD. The statutory requirement is reflected in FDA's regulations at 314.94 (a)(7). Section 320.24 sets forth the types of evidence acceptable to

establish BE. The most common BE studies are those performed on solid oral dosage forms of drugs that are absorbed into the systemic circulation. BE data provide an estimate of the rate and extent of drug absorption for a test and reference product. These data are examined, using statistical procedures, to determine whether the test product meets BE limits.

Specifically, FDA is revising §§ 314.94(a)(7)(i), 314.96(a)(1), and 320.21(b)(1), as well as modifying § 320.21(c) (which references the requirements of § 320.21(b)(1)) to require that an applicant submitting BE studies in an ANDA, ANDA amendment, or ANDA supplement submit: (1) Full reports of BE studies upon which the applicant relies for approval and (2) either full or summary reports of all other BE studies conducted on the same drug product formulation. In addition to amending these provisions, FDA is also clarifying its interpretation of two regulations, §§ 314.94(a)(7)(ii) and 314.81(b)(2)(vi), as follows:

As currently written, § 314.94(a)(7)(ii) requires an applicant submitting an ANDA under a petition approved under § 314.93 to submit the results of any bioavailability or bioequivalence testing required by the Agency to show that the active ingredients of the proposed drug product are of the same pharmacological or therapeutic class as those in the RLD and that the proposed drug product can be expected to have the same therapeutic effect as the RLD. Consistent with the regulatory changes described above, FDA intends to interpret § 314.94(a)(7)(ii) to require the submission of results from all bioavailability and BE studies, passing and nonpassing, conducted on the same drug product formulation. An applicant submitting an ANDA under a petition approved under § 314.93 will now be required to submit complete reports of the bioavailability or BE studies upon which the applicant relies for approval and a complete or summary report for all other studies on the same drug product formulation.

2

As currently written, § 314.81(b)(2)(vi) requires an ANDA applicant to submit, in an annual report, the results of "biopharmaceutic, pharmacokinetic, and clinical pharmacology studies *** conducted by or otherwise obtained by the applicant" during the annual reporting period. FDA intends to interpret this section to require ANDA applicants with approved ANDAs to submit reports of all BE studies, both passing and nonpassing, conducted or obtained by the applicant during the annual reporting period on the approved drug product.

2. Purpose and Use of the Information Collection

A BE study may fail to show that a test product meets BE limits because the test product has significantly higher or lower relative bioavailability (i.e., measures of rate and extent of absorption compared to the reference product). In some case, BE will not be demonstrated because of inadequate numbers of subjects in the study relative to the magnitude of intrasubject variability, and not because of either significantly high or low relative bioavailability of the product. Where the relative bioavailability of a product is too low, the concern is that not enough of the active ingredient is reaching the site of action and therefore the product may not be as therapeutically effective as the RLD. Where the relative bioavailability of a test product is too high, the concern with the product is not therapeutic efficacy but rather its safety relative to the RLD. When the variability of the test product is high, the concern relates to both safety and efficacy. The variability may suggest that the test product does not perform as consistently as the reference product, and the test product may be too variable to be clinically useful.

The FD&C Act and FDA regulations require that an ANDA applicant submit information demonstrating BE of a proposed drug to the RLD, but do not specify whether all BE studies must be submitted. It has been the practice of ANDA applicants to submit evidence of bioequivalence consisting of studies demonstrating that the rate and extent of absorption of the test product meet BE limits. Thus, ANDA applicants that have conducted multiple studies on a final formulation producing passing and nonpassing results have generally not submitted the results of the nonpassing study or studies to FDA. Similarly, ANDA applicants that have conducted multiple studies on a final formulation producing more than one passing result have generally not submitted the results of all of the passing studies to FDA. As a result, FDA infrequently sees data from such additional studies and is generally unaware of the existence of such studies. In rare instances, ANDA applicants have submitted additional BE studies or the Agency has learned about such studies through other means.

3. Use of Improved Information Technology and Burden Reduction

In the <u>Federal Register</u> of December 11, 2003, FDA issued a final rule amending FDA regulations governing the format in which certain labeling is required to be submitted for review with NDAs, certain BLAs, ANDAs, supplements, and annual reports. The final rule requires the electronic submission of the content of labeling (i.e., the content of the package insert or professional labeling, including all text, tables, and figures) in NDAs, certain BLAs, ANDAs, supplements, and annual reports electronically in a form that FDA can process, review, and archive.

The following guidances for industry have been developed to improve the use of information technology in the submission of marketing applications for human drugs and related reports:

• "Providing Regulatory Submissions in Electronic Format--General Considerations" (January 28, 1999). This guidance includes a description of the types of electronic file formats that the Agency is able to accept to process, review, and archive electronic documents. The guidance also states that documents submitted in electronic format should enable the user to: (1) Easily

4

view a clear and legible copy of the information; (2) print each document page by page while maintaining fonts, special orientations, table formats, and page numbers; and (3) copy text and images electronically into common word processing documents.

• "Providing Regulatory Submissions in Electronic Format--Prescription Drug Advertising and Promotional Labeling" (January 2001). This draft guidance discusses issues related to the electronic submission of advertising and promotional labeling materials for prescription drug and biological products.

• "Providing Regulatory Submissions in Electronic Format--Human Pharmaceutical Product Applications and Related Submissions Using the eCTD Specifications" (June 2008). This draft guidance discusses issues related to the electronic submission of ANDAs, BLAs, INDs, NDAs, master files, advertising material, and promotional material.

• "Providing Regulatory Submissions in Electronic Format--General Considerations" (October 2003). This draft guidance, issued by all centers in FDA, discusses general issues common to all types of electronic regulatory submissions.

• "Providing Regulatory Submissions in Electronic Format--Content of Labeling" (April 2005). This guidance discusses issues related to the submission of the content of labeling in electronic format for marketing applications for human drug and biological products.

These guidance documents are available at FDA's Web site

http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm.

4. Efforts to Identify Duplication and Use of Similar Information

The reporting as a result of this information collection is not currently required by FDA and would not duplicate any other information collection.

5. Impact on Small Businesses orOther Small Entities

Respondents include applicants wishing to market human drug products. This includes large as well as small businesses and manufacturers. Section VIII of the final rule contains an analysis of the impact of the rule on small entities.

6. Consequences of Collecting Information Less Frequently

As discussed in sections 1 and 2 above, it is important that FDA be aware of additional BE studies and have the information necessary to evaluate their significance.

7. Special Circumstances Relating to the Guidelines of 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2)

There is no inconsistency.

8. <u>Comments in Response to the Federal Register Notice and Efforts to Consult Outside the</u> <u>Agency</u>

In the <u>Federal Register</u> of June 10, 2011 (76 FR 34081), FDA published a notice that provided a comment period for the public on the information collection provisions. No comments were received on the information collection.

9. Explanation of Any Payment or Gift to Respondents

FDA has not provided and has no intention of providing any payment or gift to respondents.

10. Assurance of Confidentiality Provided to Respondents

Confidentiality of the information that would be submitted under the final rule is protected under 21 CFR 312.130 and 314.430 and under 21 CFR part 20. The unauthorized use or disclosure of trade secrets required in applications is specifically prohibited under section 310(j) of the FD&C Act.

11. Justification for Sensitive Questions

This reporting does not involve any sensitive questions.

12. Estimates of Annualized Burden Hours and Costs

12a. Annualized Hour Burden Estimate

The table below provides an estimate of the annual reporting burden under the rule. The rule will affect establishments that submit ANDAs.

FDA estimates it will require approximately 120 hours of staff time to prepare and submit each additional complete BE study report and approximately 60 hours of staff time for each additional BE summary report. The Agency believes that a complete report will be required approximately 20 percent of the time, while a summary will suffice approximately 80 percent of the time. Based on a weighted-average calculation using the information presented above, the submission of each additional BE study is expected to take 72 hours of staff time ([120 x 0.2] + [60 x 0.8]).

FDA believes that the vast majority of additional BE studies will be reported in ANDAs (submitted under § 314.94) rather than supplements (submitted under § 314.97) because it is unlikely that an ANDA holder will conduct BE studies with a drug after the drug has been approved. With respect to the reporting of additional BE studies in amendments (submitted under § 314.96), this should also account for a small number of reports because most BE studies will be conducted on a drug prior to the submission of the ANDA and will be reported in the ANDA itself.

7

21 CFR Section	No. of Respondents	No. of Responses per Respondent	Total Annual Responses	Average Burden per Response (in Hours)	Total Hours
314.94(a)(7)	49	1	49	72	3,52 8
314.96(a)(1)	1	1	1	72	7 2
314.97	1	1	1	72	7
TOTAL					3,6 72

Table 1--Estimated Annual Reporting Burden¹

¹ There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

12b. Annualized Cost Burden Estimate

As explained in section VIII of the final rule, "Analysis of Economic Impacts," the main cost of complying with the final rule is staff time. The weighted average wage rate is \$40 per hour. FDA estimates it will require approximately 120 hours of staff time to prepare and submit each additional complete BE study report and approximately 60 hours of staff time for each additional BE study summary report. The Agency believes that a complete report will be required approximately 20 percent of the time, while a summary will suffice approximately 80 percent of the time.

Based on a weighted-average calculation using the information presented above, the submission of each additional BE study is expected to cost \$3,384 ([$120 \times 47 \times 0.2$] + [$60 \times 47 \times 0.8$]). Thus, the overall impact on the industry of reporting an additional 51 BE studies per year will be about \$173,000 (\$3,384 x 51 = \$172,584). Assuming it equally likely that each of the 51 additional BE studies will be conducted by any of the 177 applicants, a binomial

distribution can be used to predict how many firms will submit additional studies. Based on this distribution, 38 firms will incur costs of \$3,384 for 1 additional BE study, 6 firms will incur costs of \$6,768 (2 x \$3,384) for 2 additional studies, and 1 firm will incur costs of \$10,152 (3 x \$3,384) for 3 additional studies (the total number of studies in the calculation does not equal 51 because of rounding). Thus, the maximum expected annual cost burden associated with the final rule for any one firm is \$10,152. Approximately 75 percent (132 of 177, or 74.6 percent) of all firms are expected to incur no additional annual costs under the final rule.

13. Estimates of Other Total Annual Costs to Respondents and/or Recordkeepers/Capital Costs

There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

14. Estimates of Annualized Cost Burden to the Government

Generally, unless the content of the failed studies raised questions related to approvability, there would be no significant additional amount of time needed by CDER reviewers to review an ANDA because of the additional BE study data that will now be submitted.

15. Explanation for Program Changes or Adjustments

There are no changes in burden hours.

16. Plans for Tabulation and Publication and Project TimeSchedule

There are no scheduling, publication, or analysis plans.

17. <u>Reason(s) Display of OMB Expiration Date is Inappropriate</u>

This request does not seek approval to exempt display of the OMB approval date.

18. Exceptions to Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions

There are no exceptions to the certification statement identified in Item 19, "Certification of Paperwork Reduction Act Submission," of OMB Form 81-I for this recordkeeping requirement.

PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT SUBMISSION

1. Agency/Subagency originating request	2. OMB control number b. [] None				
FDA	a. <u>0910</u> -				
3. Type of information collection (<i>check one</i>)	 4. Type of review requested (<i>check one</i>) a. [x] Regular submission 				
a. [] New Collection	 b. [] Emergency - Approval requested by <u>at close of comment period</u> c. [] Delegated 				
b. [] Revision of a currently approved collection					
c. [x] Extension of a currently approved collection	5. Small entities Will this information collection have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities? [] Yes [x] No				
d. [] Reinstatement, without change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired	6. Requested expiration date a. [X] Three years from approval date b. [] Other Specify: /				
e. [] Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired					
f. [] Existing collection in use without an OMB control number					
For b-f, note Item A2 of Supporting Statement instructions					
7. Title Requirements for Submission of In Vivo Bioequivalence Data; Proposed Rule					
8. Agency form number(s) (if applicable)					
9. Keywords drugs					
10. Abstract Amend the regulations on submission of bioequivalence data to require an abbreviated new drug application (ANDA) applicant to submit data from all bioequivalence studies (BE studies) that the applicant conducts on a drug product formulation submitted for approval.					
11. Affected public (Mark primary with "P" and all others that apply with "x") a Individuals or households d Farms bx Business or other for-profit e Federal Government c Not-for-profit institutions f State, Local or Tribal	 12. Obligation to respond (<i>check one</i>) a. [] Voluntary- (guidance document) b. [x] Required to obtain or retain benefits c. [Mandatory 				
13. Annual recordkeeping and reporting burden a. Number of respondents b. Total annual responses 1. Percentage of these responses collected electronically <u>up to 100%</u> c. Total annual hours requested 3,672 d. Current OMB inventory <u>3,672</u> e. Difference f. Explanation of difference 1. Program change 2. Adjustment	14. Annual reporting and recordkeeping cost burden (in thousands of dollars) a. Total annualized capital/startup costs 0 a. Total annualized capital/startup costs 0 0 b. Total annual costs (O&M) 0 0 c. Total annualized cost requested 0 0 d. Current OMB inventory 0 0 e. Difference 0 0 f. Explanation of difference 1. Program change				
15. Purpose of information collection (Mark primary with "P" and all others that apply with "X") others a Application for benefits e Program planning or management b Program evaluation fx Research c General purpose statistics g Regulatory or compliance d Audit	16. Frequency of recordkeeping or reporting (check all that apply) a. [] Recordkeeping b. [] Third party disclosure c. [x] Reporting 1. [] On occasion 2. [] Weekly 3. [] Monthly 4. [] Quarterly 5. [] Semi-annually 6. [x] Annually 7. [] Biennially 8. [x] Other (describe) <u>one-time</u>				
17. Statistical methods Does this information collection employ statistical methods [x] Yes []No	18. Agency Contact (person who can best answer questions regarding the content of this submission) Name: Elizabeth Berbakos Phone: 301-796-3792				