ATTACHMENT - D: Web Instrument (Online-Screen Shots)

CPPW Resource Center Feedback Form

CPPW Resource Center Feedback Form
Introduction
Form approved: OMB No. 0920-0879 Expiration date: 03/31/2014
Thank you for participating in this evaluation of the CPPW Resource Center! This form can be completed within 15-20 minutes.
The purpose of this evaluation is to gather feedback from CPPW program managers about their perceptions to date of the quality and effectiveness of technical assistance, peer activities, and other forms of support offered by the CPPW Resource Center. The information collected from this form will be used to improve future training and technical assistance offered by the Division of Community Health at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).
As program manager, you know a lot about the support your community received, even though you may not have participated directly in all of the training and technical assistance provided. Therefore, we encourage you to consult with your staff for additional input when responding to the form. Please note that this evaluation pertains only to implementation support and does not ask about support from the evaluation and media teams.
Sincerely, CPPW Resource Center
Public reporting burden of this collection of information is estimated to average 20 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to CDC/ATSDR Information Collection Review Office, 1600 Clifton Road NE, MS D-74, Atlanta, Georgia 30333; ATTN: PRA (0920-0879).
Next
Powered by SurveyMonkey Create your own <u>free online survey</u> now!
CPPW Community Feedback Survey
Technical Assistance
Technical Assistance
The CPPW Resource Center offers external technical assistance (TA) through three groups of TA providers including: 1) ICF TA Providers, 2) HHS National Organizations, and 3) CPPW Mentoring Communities. On the following pages, you will be asked to respond to questions about each of these groups of TA providers.
TA provided by the evaluation team and media team is not included in this survey.
Prev Next
Powered by SurveyMonkey
Create your own <u>free online survey</u> now!
CPPW Community Feedback Survey
ICF International TA Providers
The ICF (formerly ICF Macro) TA providers include:
Americans for Nonsmokers' Rights Foundation Berkeley Media Studies Group Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids Community Initiatives Mark Fenton National Association of State Boards of Education (NASBE)
National Association for Sport and Physical Education (NASPE) National Complete Streets Coalition Prevention Institute Public Health Law and Policy Safe Roules to School National Partnership The Food Trust
Tobacco Control Legal Consortium
*1. Did your community receive TA from one or more of the ICF TA providers listed above? Yes No
Prev Next
Powered by SurveyMonkey
Powered by Surveymonkey Create your own <u>free online survey</u> now!

PPW Community Feedback Survey						Exit this survey
F International TA Providers						
?. Please rate your experience with the fo	llowing ICF TA providers.					
	Received TA from this provider	Responsiveness	Content expertise	Quality of TA	Usefulness towards achieving your CAP objectives	Overall satisfaction with TA
Americans for Nonsmokers' Rights Foundation				•		•
Berkeley Media Studies Group	•	•				•
Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids	v	▼	•	_	•	•
Community Initiatives	v	•	-	-	▼	•
Mark Fenton	v	•				
National Association of State Boards of Education (NASBE)	v		•	•	×	
National Association for Sport and Physical Education (NASPE)	•	•	•	•	•	•
National Complete Streets Coalition	•	•	•	•	•	•
Prevention Institute	•	•			•	
Public Health Law and Policy	V	•	•	•	▼	•
Safe Routes to School National Partnership	•	▼	•			
The Food Trust	•	•	▼.	•	▼	•
Tobacco Control Legal Consortium	•			•	•	•
. Please rate the degree to which you ag	ree or disagree with the following sta	atements.				
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disag	gree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
I know how to request TA from ICF TA providers.	0	0			0	0
I am aware of the specialized expertise available from ICF TA providers or know how to learn about this resource.	0	0	0		\bigcirc	0
		Prev	Next			
					1 1 =	
PPW Community Feedback Survey						Exit this sur
from HHS National Organizations						
he HHS National Organizations include:						
American Academy of Pediatrics American Heart Association American Lung Association Association of American Indian Physicians Blaze Sports America Community Food Security Coalition National Association of Latino Elected Offici National Recreation and Parks Association Sesame Workshop Society for Public Health Education	ial					
≮6. ○ Yes						
No No						
		Prev	Next			
		Powered by St Create your own <u>fr</u>	rveyMonkey ee online survey now!			

Marian III I O Notice of Co						Exit this su
A from HHS National Organizations						
7. Please rate your experience with the follo	owing HHS National Organizations.					
	Received TA from this provider	Responsiveness	Content expertise		fulness towards achieving your CAP objectives	Overall satisfaction with TA
American Academy of Pediatrics			•			
American Heart Association	v	•	•		•	▼
American Lung Association	v					•
Association of American Indian Physicians	v	•	•	•	•	•
Blaze Sports America	v	•	•	•	•	•
Community Food Security Coalition	▼	•			•	•
lational Association of Latino Elected Official	▼			•		
lational Recreation and Parks Association	•	•		•		
Sesame Workshop	•		•	•		
ociety for Public Health Education	•	•	•	•	v	•
Please rate the degree to which you agre	ee or disagree with the following st:	atements				
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagre	ee	Strongly disagree
know how to request TA from HHS organizations.	0	\bigcirc	0	0		O
am aware of (or know how to learn about) the specialized expertise available among HHS organizations.	0	0	0	0		0
My community was appropriately matched with one or more HHS organizations.	0	0	0	0		0
-		Prev	Next urveyMonkey			
		Create your own fr				
		dreate your own <u></u>	ree online survey now!			
PPW Community Feedback Survey		acaa yaa oo g	online survey now!			Exit ti
	tates	Greate year own <u>a</u>	online survey now!			Exit ti
A from CPPW Mentoring Communities/S	tates	cens you vin g	ree online survey now!			Exit ti
PPW Community Feedback Survey A from CPPW Mentoring Communities/S The CPPW Mentoring Communities include: County of Los Angeles Fund for Public Heath in New York Inc. (New York Inc.) The Cherokee Nation Wisconsin Department of Health Services		com you am g	ree online survey now!			Exit
A from CPPW Mentoring Communities/S he CPPW Mentoring Communities include: County of Los Angeles Fund for Public Heath in New York Inc. (New York Inc.) The Cherokee Nation	ork City)		ee online survey now!			Exit t
A from CPPW Mentoring Communities/S the CPPW Mentoring Communities include: County of Los Angeles Fund for Public Heath in New York Inc. (New York Minnesota Department of Health The Cherokee Nation Wisconsin Department of Health Services 4.1. Did your community receive TA from	ork City)		ee online survey now!			Exit
In the CPPW Mentoring Communities/S The CPPW Mentoring Communities include: County of Los Angeles Fund for Public Heath in New York Inc. (New York Inc.) Uninnesota Department of Health The Cherokee Nation Wisconsin Department of Health Services 11. Did your community receive TA from Yes	ork City)		ree online survey now!			Exit
A from CPPW Mentoring Communities/S the CPPW Mentoring Communities include: County of Los Angeles Fund for Public Heath in New York Inc. (New York Inc.) Minnesota Department of Health The Cherokee Nation Wisconsin Department of Health Services	ork City)		ee online survey now!			Exit

	/				Exit this s
A from CPPW Mentoring Communities	s/States				
12. Please rate your experience with the	following mentoring communities:				
	Received TA from this provider	Responsiveness	Content expertise Qu		owards achieving P objectives Overall satisfaction with TA
County of Los Angeles	▼	•	-	▼	v
Fund for Public Heath in New York Inc. (New York City)	▼	•	•	•	•
Minnesota Department of Health	▼	-	¥	▼	V
The Cherokee Nation	·	•	•	•	•
Wisconsin Department of Health Services	▼	•	•		v v
3. Please rate the degree to which you					
II	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
I know how to request TA from mentoring communities.	0	0	0	0	0
I am aware of (or know how to learn about) the specialized expertise available from the mentoring communities.	0	0	0	0	0
5. Please describe any challenges you	experienced when receiving TA from	the CPPW mentoring co	ommunities and suggestions for imp	provement.	
15. Please describe any challenges you	experienced when receiving TA from	the CPPW mentoring co	ommunities and suggestions for imp	orovement.	
15. Please describe any challenges you	experienced when receiving TA from	Prev		provement.	
5. Please describe any challenges you	experienced when receiving TA from	Prev	Next srveyMonkey	provement.	
	A ***	Prev	Next srveyMonkey	orovement.	Exit th
15. Please describe any challenges you PPW Community Feedback Surve	A ***	Prev	Next srveyMonkey	orovement.	Exit th
PPW Community Feedback Surve	y	Prev Powered by Si Create your own <u>fi</u>	Next IrveyMonkey se online survey now!		Exit th
PPW Community Feedback Surveger Activities The following questions are about CPPW pe	y eer teams and peer topic calls that were	Powered by Si Create your own <u>fi</u>	IrveyMonkey se online survey now!		Exit th
PPW Community Feedback Survey ser Activities The following questions are about CPPW po PEER TEAMS were small groups of 8-10 po	y eer teams and peer topic calls that were of articipants that met monthly by phone to continue to the continu	Prev Powered by St Create your own fr	IrveyMonkey se online survey now!		Exit th
PPW Community Feedback Surveger Activities The following questions are about CPPW perpendicular of 8-10 perpen	eer teams and peer topic calls that were of articipants that met monthly by phone to colbout a particular topic that anyone could	Prev Powered by St Create your own fr	IrveyMonkey se online survey now!		Exit th
PPW Community Feedback Surve	eer teams and peer topic calls that were of articipants that met monthly by phone to colbout a particular topic that anyone could	Prev Powered by St Create your own fr	IrveyMonkey se online survey now!		Exit th
PPW Community Feedback Survey eer Activities The following questions are about CPPW pe PEER TEAMS were small groups of 8-10 po PEER TOPIC CALLS were one-time calls a * 16. Were you a member of a CPPW PE Yes	eer teams and peer topic calls that were of articipants that met monthly by phone to colbout a particular topic that anyone could	Prev Powered by St Create your own fr	IrveyMonkey se online survey now!		Exit th

Prev Next

CPPW Community Feedback Surve	е у					Exit this surve
CPPW Peer Teams						
Reminder: PEER TEAMS were small grou	ps of 8-10 participants that met mo	nthly to discuss issues of thei	ir choice.			
18. As a member of a peer team, how of	ften did you participate in your l	PEER TEAM calls?				
Always						
Sometimes						
Rarely						
Never						
19. How satisfied were you with the foll						
Frequency of calls	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	Not applicable
			_			_
Selection of agenda items	0	0	0	0	0	0
Quality of facilitation	0	0	0	0	0	0
Level of engagement with peers	0	0		0	0	
		Powers	Prev Next ed by SurveyMonkey rr own <u>free online survey</u> now!			
CPPW Community Feedback Surve	у					Exit this survey
Peer Topic Calls						
Reminder: PEER TOPIC CALLS were one-	-time calls about a particular topic th	nat anyone could join.				
*21. Did you participate in one or more	PEER TOPIC calls?					
O Yes						
○ No						
22. If no, why not?	^ *					
		Pi	nev Next			

CPPW Community Feedback Survey						Exit this surve
CPPW Peer Topic Calls						
23. How satisfied were you with the following	elements of the PEER TOPI	C calls?				
	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	Not applicable
Selected topics	O	\bigcirc	O	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	O
Quality of facilitation		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	0	\bigcirc
Level of engagement with peers	0	0	0	0	0	0
24. How important was it for the facilitator to how your important Somewhat important Not important Don't know	nave content expertise in ma	eximizing the quality of the				
		Powered t Create your o	y SurveyMonkey wn <u>free online survey</u> now!			
CPPW Community Feedback Survey						Exit this surv
Peer Team and Peer Topic Calls						
25. How useful were the peer team/topic mee Very useful Somewhat useful Not useful Not applicable / I did not read the summaries 26. How would you describe the level of deta Too much detail			ived?			
Level of detail was just right Not enough detail Not applicable / I did not read the summaries						
27. To what extent did your participation in po						
Discovered new ideas to apply to my work	Very much	Somewhat	Very I		None	Not applicable
Discovered new ideas to apply to my work	0				0	
Saved time	0	0	0		0	0
Learned solutions for addressing barriers/challenges	0	0	C		0	0
Made new peer connections	0	0	C		0	0
Other	0	0	C		0	0
28. What are your overall reflections about yo	our experience with the peer	team and peer topic calls				

CPPW Community Feedback Survey						Exit this survey		
Comparing Support Modalities								
The CPPW Resource Center offered support th	rough a variety of modalities (e.g., Action Institutes, individu	alized TA, peer activities, we	ebinars).				
29. To what extent did each mode of support contribute to the following achievements in your community?								
	Action Institutes	Annual Meetings	Individualized TA	Peer Teams and Peer Topic Calls	Webinars	Online Resource Center		
Building skills to implement population-wide strategies among public health staff			v					
Increasing buy-in among leadership team members to accelerate population-wide changes	•	•	v	•	•	•		
Developing and sustaining relationships with actively engaged partners	•		•	•				
Incorporating a health equity lens into your work	•	▼	•	•	•			
Achieving your CAP objectives			▼	•	▼			
30. Please provide the name of your commits. 31. What type of award do you manage? Obesity Tobacco Both Obesity and Tobacco 32. Please offer any additional comments y		or your experience with su	oport from the CPPW Resc	ource Center.				
		Р	Next					

-End of Survey-