**Attachment F.** Logic Model for NPHII Evaluation

***Activities***

Increased use of PM/QI across the agency

Increased ability to meet national public health standards

Increased use of data for decision-making

Increased efficiency and improved effectiveness of PH agency services and programs

Readiness Efforts Implemented

* Prerequisites completed
* Gaps identified
* Plans for addressing deficiencies in place

Infrastructure and Capacity in Place

* PM offices staffed
* Staff trained and proficient in relevant competencies
* PM system developed and implemented
* Efforts to enhance QI culture in place
* Cross-jurisdictional support provided

Implement Projects to Improve Efficiency and Effectiveness

* Identify areas needing improvement
* Apply QI tools, techniques and approaches
* Document progress

Engage in Accreditation Readiness Activities

* Complete prerequisites
* Complete a self-assessment
* Address deficiencies related to national public health standards

Build Capacity and Infrastructure for Performance Management

* Hire Performance Improvement Manager (PIM)
* Establish and/or staff PM offices
* Participate in training
* Receive TA/CBA
* Develop and implement a performance management system
* Implement efforts to enhance QI culture
* Support cross-jurisdictional accreditation and PM efforts

QI Projects Implemented

* QI Tools used
* Measureable progress documented

NPHII funding and guidance

PIM Network

Project Officers

Capacity Building/technical assistance (CBA/TA) (APHA, ASTHO, CDC, NACCHO, NNPHI, PHF)

Performance Management (PM) & Quality Improvement (QI) Models & Tools

National Performance Standards

Grantee Agency PH Leadership

Grantee experience & expertise in PM/QI

State/local resources for PM/QI

***Outputs***

**External Forces:** Public Health (PH) agency priorities; PH agency prior experience with PM; PH agency leadership support and leadership change; local, state, national political and economic environment; healthcare reform; PHAB; PH system partners capacity and priorities; PH agency diversity in size, structure, and population served

Spread of 10 ES based standards, PM, and QI across PH agencies

**Evaluation**

Improved public health outcomes

Fully functional PH agencies that achieve optimal performance standards

PH agencies have a culture of quality

Increased performance management capacity

***Long Term Outcomes***

***Intermediate Outcomes: Improved Quality***

***Short Term Outcomes: Improved Capacity***

***Inputs***