

NBCCEDP

NBCCEDP Grantee Survey: Program Year 1205-1

Grantee Summary Reports

October 2013




Table 1. Type of Screening Provider Clinics/Sites and Number of Each Type 1205-1 Individual or group PCP # of PCP Total # of clinics/sites clinics/sites # of Hospital clinics/sites per (non-FQHCs) (non-FQHCs) **Hospital sites** sites grantee Other # of Other Alabama 8 8 Yes ANTHC Yes 7 7 7 Arizona 7 Yes ASNA 0 2 California Yes 1 Yes 1 2 5 7 Colorado Yes Yes Connecticut Yes 8 8 25 72 Delaware Yes 21 Yes 26 Yes Florida Yes 2 3 5 Yes Georgia Yes 1 Yes 1 2 7 9 16 Yes Yes Iowa 5 7 12 Maine Yes Yes Maryland 2 Yes 2 2 2 Massachusetts Yes Michigan Yes 7 Yes 1 8 Minnesota Yes 4 4 0 Montana 179 25 204 Nebraska Yes Yes 13 Nevada Yes 2 Yes 10 Yes 1 14 26 New Hampshire Yes Yes 12 New Mexico 4 3 8 Yes Yes Yes 1 New York 2 3 5 Yes Yes 1 1 Oregon Yes Pennsylvania 0 SCF 7 5 12 Yes Yes 220 223 South Dakota 3 Yes Yes Yes 3 10 SPIPA Yes 7 6 12 Utah Yes 6 Yes 227 668 Washington Yes Yes 25 Yes 416 TOTAL 20 728 18 470 1344 146 10 (69.0%)(62.1%)(% of grantees) (34.5%)(30.6%) (% of total clinics/sites) (47.3%) (9.5%)

Table 2. Patient Navigation for Provision	
1205-1	

Are patient navigators used for screening provision?	# of PNs	# of FTEs	# of sites/clinics
Yes	7	7	9
			1
Yes	2	1	1
Yes	Don't Know	Don't Know	5
Yes	8	4	8
Yes	2	2	0
Yes	3	3	3
Yes	1	Don't Know	10
Yes	5	2.5	4
Yes	2	2	2
Yes	Don't Know	Don't Know	11
Yes	8	Don't Know	8
Yes	4	1	0
Yes	1	1	1
Yes	2	1	12
Yes	4	4	4
Yes	6	2	2
Yes	1	Don't Know	Don't Know
Yes	4	4	Don't Know
Yes	8	Don't Know	6
Yes	8	0	7
Yes	1	1	1
Yes	14	Don't Know	Don't Know
23			
	Yes	Yes 7 Yes 1 Yes 2 Yes Don't Know Yes 8 Yes 2 Yes 3 Yes 1 Yes 5 Yes 2 Yes 2 Yes 4 Yes 4 Yes 4 Yes 6 Yes 1 Yes 4 Yes 4 Yes 8 Yes 8 Yes 8 Yes 8 Yes 1 Yes 8 Yes 1 Yes 8 Yes 1 Yes	Screening provision? # of PNs # of FTEs Yes 7 7 Yes 1 1 Yes 2 1 Yes 8 4 Yes 2 2 Yes 3 3 Yes 1 Don't Know Yes 5 2.5 Yes 2 2 Yes 2 2 Yes 0on't Know Don't Know Yes 4 1 Yes 1 1 Yes 2 1 Yes 4 4 Yes 8 Don't Know Yes 8 Don't Know Yes 1 1 Yes 8 0 Yes 1 1

(% of grantees)

(79.3%)

Table 3. Evidence-Based Interventions (EBIs) for Screening Promotion 1205-1 Reducing Provider Client Provider Total # EBIs Structural Assessment & Small Media Reminders Reminders per grantee Barriers² Feedback Alabama Yes Yes Yes Yes 4 2 ANTHC Yes Yes Arizona Yes Yes Yes Yes 4 ASNA Yes Yes Yes 3 California Yes Yes 2 Colorado Yes Yes Yes Yes 4 Connecticut Yes Yes Yes 3 2 Delaware Yes Yes Florida Yes 3 Yes Yes 0 Georgia Yes 5 Iowa Yes Yes Yes Yes Maine Yes 1 Maryland Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 5 Massachusetts 0 Michigan Yes Yes Yes Yes 4 3 Minnesota Yes Yes Yes Montana Yes Yes 4 Yes Yes Nebraska Yes Yes 2 Nevada Yes Yes 2 New Hampshire Yes 5 Yes Yes Yes Yes 2 New Mexico Yes Yes 3 New York Yes Yes Yes Yes 1 Oregon Pennsylvania 3 Yes Yes Yes 5 SCF Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes South Dakota Yes 1 SPIPA 5 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Utah Yes 2 Yes Washington Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 5 27 TOTAL 21 9 14 14 (48.3%) (% of grantees) (93.1%)(72.4%)(31.0%)(48.3%)

Table 4. Other Screening Promotion Interventions (non-EBIs) 1205-1

		Provider				Quality					
	Patient	education/				assurance/	Client or		Total # non-	Total # EBIs	Total # EBIs
	navigation for	professional	One-on-one	Group		Quality	provider		EBIs per	per grantee	and non-EBIs
	promotion	development	education	education	Mass media	improvement	rewards	Other	grantee	(see Table 3)	per grantee
Alabama		Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		5	3	8
ANTHC	Yes	Yes			Yes		Yes	Yes	5	3	8
Arizona	Yes					Yes			2	5	7
ASNA	Yes								1	4	5
California								Yes	1	5	6
Colorado	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes			4	0	4
Connecticut	Yes								1	3	4
Delaware	Yes	Yes						Yes	3	1	4
Florida	Yes	Yes							2	2	4
Georgia	Yes								1	4	5
lowa	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes				4	3	7
Maine	Yes								1	2	3
Maryland	Yes				Yes			Yes	3	3	6
Massachusetts	Yes								1	3	4
Michigan	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	6	5	11
Minnesota	Yes				Yes				2	4	6
Montana		Yes		Yes					2	4	6
Nebraska									0	2	2
Nevada		Yes			Yes				2	1	3
New Hampshire	Yes								1	5	6
New Mexico	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			5	5	10
New York	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes				4	3	7
Oregon				Yes	Yes				2	1	3
Pennsylvania									0	4	4
SCF	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		7	5	12
South Dakota	Yes	Yes			Yes				3	2	5
SPIPA	Yes						Yes		2	4	6
Utah	Yes				Yes				2	2	4
Washington	Yes								1	3	4
TOTAL	22	11	4	7	14	6	4	5	71	91	162
(% of grantees)	(75.9%)	(37.9%)	(13.8%)	(24.1%)	(48.3%)	(20.7%)	(13.8%)	(17.2%)			

Table 5. Patient Navigation for Promotion

1205-1

	In organizations other than those in which screening is paid for?	In organizations in which screening is paid for?	# of PNs	# of FTEs	# of sites/clinics	How long have PNs been used?	How are PNs supported?	How many patients do they support?
Alabama								
ANTHC		Yes	4	4	5	3-4 years	Grantee staff	101-500 patients
Arizona		Yes	9	6	6	3-4 years	Reimbursement to providers AND Other	101-500 patients
ASNA		Yes	1	1	1	3-4 years	Grantee staff	1-100 patients
California								
Colorado		Yes	5	Don't know	Don't know	3-4 years	Per-PN reimbursement AND Other	1-100 patients
Connecticut	Yes	Yes	10		10	3-4 years	Reimbursement to providers	101-500 patients
Delaware	Yes	Yes	0	0	0	1-2 years	Other	Don't know
Florida		Yes	3	3	3	3-4 years	Grantee staff	101-500 patients
Georgia	Yes	Yes	Don't know	Don't know	Don't know	< 6 months	Grantee staff AND Other	1-100 patients
Iowa		Yes	9	Don't know	19	1-2 years	Per-PN reimbursement	101-500 patients
Maine	Yes	Yes	4	2.5	5	3-4 years	Grantee staff AND Other	101-500 patients
Maryland	Yes	Yes	4	6.5	7	1-2 years	Grantee staff	501-1000 patients
Massachusetts		Yes	11	Don't know	Don't know	3-4 years	Reimbursement to providers	> 5000 patients
Michigan	Yes	Yes	8	Don't know	8	5 years or more	Per-PN reimbursement	1001-5000 patients
Minnesota		Yes	0	1	3	1-2 years	Grantee staff	101-500 patients
Montana								
Nebraska								
Nevada								
New Hampshire	Yes							
New Mexico	Yes	Yes	4	4	4	1-2 years	Reimbursement to providers AND Per-PN reimbursement	501-1000 patients
New York	Yes	Yes	4	4	8	5 years or more	Other	501-1000 patients
Oregon								·
Pennsylvania			0	0	0			
SCF		Yes	7	4	4	3-4 years	Grantee staff	Don't know
South Dakota		Yes	6	Don't know	9	1-2 years	Reimbursement to providers	101-500 patients
SPIPA		Yes	7	0	8	3-4 years	Grantee staff	101-500 patients
Utah		Yes	1	1	1	3-4 years	Grantee staff	·
Washington	Yes	Yes	Don't know	Don't know	12	3-4 years	Grantee staff	Don't know
TOTAL	10	21		1	I	- , - , - , - , - , - , - , - , - , - ,		

(% of grantees)

(34.5%)

(72.4%)

Table 6. Training and Technical Assistance Needs by EBI 1205-1

					Provider
			Provider	Reducing	Assessment &
	Small Media	Client Reminders	Reminders	Structural Barriers	Feedback
Alabama				Yes	Yes
ANTHC			Yes		Yes
Arizona				Yes	
ASNA					Yes
California		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Colorado				Yes	Yes
Connecticut				Yes	Yes
Delaware					
Florida			Yes		Yes
Georgia					
Iowa		Yes	Yes	Yes	
Maine		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Maryland			Yes	Yes	Yes
Massachusetts					Yes
Michigan			Yes	Yes	Yes
Minnesota					
Montana				Yes	Yes
Nebraska		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Nevada			Yes	Yes	Yes
New Hampshire					
New Mexico	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
New York					Yes
Oregon				Yes	
Pennsylvania		Yes			
SCF					
South Dakota				Yes	Yes
SPIPA					Yes
Utah	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes
Washington			Yes		Yes
TOTAL	2	6	12	16	20
(% of grantees)	(6.9%)	(20.7%)	(41.4%)	(55.2%)	(69.0%)