

**Supporting Statement A
For Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions**

**30 CFR Part 291,
Open and Nondiscriminatory Access to Oil and Gas Pipelines Under the OCS Lands Act
OMB Control Number 1010-0172
Expiration Date: June 30, 2011**

Terms of Clearance: None

General Instructions

A completed Supporting Statement A must accompany each request for approval of a collection of information. The Supporting Statement must be prepared in the format described below, and must contain the information specified below. If an item is not applicable, provide a brief explanation. When the question "Does this ICR contain surveys, censuses, or employ statistical methods?" is checked "Yes," then a Supporting Statement B must be completed. OMB reserves the right to require the submission of additional information with respect to any request for approval.

Specific Instructions

A. Justification

1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary. Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection.

The Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) Lands Act, as amended (43 U.S.C. 1331 et seq. and 43 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.), Section 5 (Administration of Leasing of the Outer Continental Shelf) authorizes the Secretary of the Interior (Secretary) to prescribe rules and regulations to administer leasing of the OCS. Such rules and regulations will apply to all operations conducted under a lease. Operations on the OCS must preserve, protect, and develop oil and natural gas resources in a manner that is consistent with the need to make such resources available to meet the Nation's energy needs as rapidly as possible; to balance orderly energy resource development with protection of human, marine, and coastal environments; to ensure the public a fair and equitable return on the resources of the OCS; and to preserve and maintain free enterprise competition. Section 1334(f)(1) states "Except as provided in paragraph (2), every permit, license, easement, right-of-way, or other grant of authority for the transportation by pipeline on or across the outer Continental Shelf of oil or gas shall require that the pipeline be operated in accordance with the following competitive principles: (A) The pipeline must provide open and nondiscriminatory access to both owner and nonowner shippers. . . ."

The Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (OCSLA) requires pipelines to provide open and nondiscriminatory access to shippers of production. The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) has historically assured this access through its application of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and the Interstate Commerce Act. Beginning in the 1990's, the FERC began relinquishing NGA jurisdiction over some gas pipelines. The FERC contended it had authority under the OCSLA to determine whether pipelines were providing open and nondiscriminatory access; however, the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia has ruled that this authority lies with the Secretary of the Interior (*Williams Cos. v. FERC*, 345 F.3d 913-14 (D.C. Cir. 2003)). According to the Court's decision, FERC's authority does

not include the regulatory oversight described in FERC Orders 639 and 639A. Based on the Court's decision, the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation and Enforcement (BOEMRE, formerly the Minerals Management Service) concluded that the OCSLA provides the Secretary of the Interior the authority to issue and enforce rules to assure open and nondiscriminatory access to pipelines. In order to provide shippers with a methodology to file complaints alleging denial of access or that access is discriminatory access, the BOEMRE published regulations June 18, 2008, that established a process for a shipper transporting oil or gas production from Federal leases on the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) to follow if it believes it has been denied open and nondiscriminatory access to pipelines on the OCS.¹ The regulations provide that entities who believe they have been denied pipeline access or believe they have been discriminated against regarding pipeline access can submit a complaint. The complaint should include certain minimal data in order for the BOEMRE to begin an investigation. Upon completion of an investigation, the BOEMRE will propose a remedial action.

Regulations at §§ 291.106(b) and 108 require a nonrefundable processing fee of \$7,500 that a shipper must pay when filing a complaint to BOEMRE. Federal policy and statute require us to recover the cost of services that confer special benefits to identifiable non-Federal recipients. The Independent Offices Appropriation Act (31 U.S.C. 9701) and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-25 authorize the BOEMRE to collect these fees to reimburse us for the cost to process applications or claims.

This information collection request (ICR) concerns renewal of OMB approval for 30 CFR 291 on assuring open and nondiscriminatory access to pipelines.

Note: *It should be noted that over the past several months various regulations and/or NTLs regarding safety and operations on the OCS have been initiated as a result of investigations, recommendations, and reports on the Deepwater Horizon event. None of the safety measures put into effect via these revised and/or new documents have affected this ICR or the regulations requiring this collection of information at the time of this submission.*

2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection. Be specific. If this collection is a form or a questionnaire, every question needs to be justified.

The BOEMRE intends to use the submitted information to initiate a more detailed investigation into the specific circumstances associated with a complainant's allegation of denial of access or discriminatory access to pipelines on the OCS. The complaint information will be provided to the alleged offending party. Informal resolution of the complaint is an option via a hotline or alternative dispute resolution. The BOEMRE may request additional information upon completion of the initial investigation.

3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision for adopting this means of collection. Also describe any consideration of using information technology to reduce burden and specifically how this collection meets GPEA requirements.

¹On June 18, 2010, the Secretary of Interior issued Order 3302 that changed the name of the Minerals Management Service to the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation and Enforcement (BOEMRE).

The BOEMRE believes that *automated* electronic submission is not readily applicable to this information collection because the Notice of Complaint and statement of reasons are unique to each complaint. However, the Notice of Complaint may be emailed to BOEMRE. The complainant determines whether or not to use electronic submission and is not required to do so. Although we have not had any respondents for this program yet, we project that a respondent would use electronic submission. The regulations specify that the processing fee be paid by electronic funds transfer using Pay.Gov.

4. Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described in Item 2 above.

The FERC contended it had authority under the OCSLA to determine whether pipelines were providing open and nondiscriminatory access. The FERC issued Orders 639 and 639A in an attempt to collect OCS pipeline movement data from all service providers on the OCS. The FERC intended to make this information available to the public to provide transparency and help assure that the open and nondiscriminatory access provisions of the OCSLA were being complied with. However, the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia has ruled that the authority to assure the open and nondiscriminatory access provisions of the OCSLA are complied with lies with the Secretary of the Interior (*Williams Cos. v. FERC*, 345 F.3d 913-14 (D.C. Cir. 2003)). Since each instance of denial of open access or discriminatory access is unique, the BOEMRE believes that the information requested is not available from any other source. The information is readily available only in the files of the complainant.

5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities, describe any methods used to minimize burden.

This collection of information does not have a significant economic effect on a substantial number of small entities. Leasehold and pipeline operations in the OCS are relatively large in scale and technically complex. Many of the companies operating on the OCS may have less than 500 employees and would be considered small businesses as defined by the Small Business Administration. However, BOEMRE believes the economic effect will be minimal based on the experience of no formal complaints during the first 3 years of operation and the availability of an informal “hotline.” BOEMRE’s estimate is further based on oral comments received at public meetings, discussions with personnel at FERC, past litigation, and the number of similar complaints received via the FERC “hotline.” Therefore, the hour burden on any small entity subject to these regulations cannot be reduced to accommodate them without significantly increasing the burden on all entities operating on the OCS. Also, the collection of information is not mandatory, but required in order to obtain or retain benefits.

6. Describe the consequence to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently, as well as any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.

If we did not collect the information, BOEMRE could not carry out the mandate of the OCSLA to ensure open and nondiscriminatory access to pipelines. Complaint requirements provide entities who believe they are being denied their rights regarding the open and nondiscriminatory access provisions of the OCSLA with a mechanism for bringing those instances to BOEMRE’s attention. The BOEMRE does not routinely collect significantly detailed information related to the movement of oil and gas through pipelines on the OCS in order to make determinations of when the open and nondiscriminatory access provisions of the OCSLA have not been satisfied. Respondents would generally submit

complaints as a result of situations encountered and not at any fixed interval; therefore, the frequency of submission is generally on occasion and not subject to change.

7. Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:

(a) requiring respondents to report information to the agency more often than quarterly.

The collection of information does not require respondents to report information more often than quarterly. Respondents would generally submit complaints as a result of situations encountered and not at a fixed interval; therefore, submission is generally on occasion.

(b) requiring respondents to prepare a written response to a collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it.

In the regulations, BOEMRE states that it retains the right to make the determination with regard to any claim of confidentiality for the submitted information. The BOEMRE will notify the complainant if it has denied the claim. In such a case, BOEMRE affords the complainant the chance to respond at least 10 days before the public release of the information because BOEMRE is concerned that resolution of complaints should not be a long drawn-out affair.

(c) requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any document.

Respondents are required to submit their complaint information to BOEMRE and to all the persons/parties named in the complaint. There may be some instances where there are more than two persons/parties named. Therefore, in order for each named party to receive the complaint against them at the same time, respondents may be required to submit more than an original and two copies of their complaint.

(d) requiring respondents to retain records, other than health, medical, government contract, grant-in-aid, or tax records, for more than 3 years.

Not applicable in this collection.

(e) in connection with a statistical survey that is not designed to produce valid and reliable results that can be generalized to the universe of study.

Not applicable in this collection.

(f) requiring the use of statistical data classification that has not been reviewed and approved by OMB.

There are no special circumstances with respect to 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2)(v) through (viii) as the collection is not a statistical survey and does not use statistical data classification.

(g) that includes a pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation, that is not supported by disclosure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or which unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies for compatible confidential use.

This collection does not include a pledge of confidentiality not supported by statute or regulation.

(h) requiring respondents to submit proprietary trade secrets, or other confidential information, unless the agency can demonstrate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information's confidentiality to the extent permitted by law.

This collection does not require proprietary, trade secret, or other confidential information not protected by agency procedures.

8. If applicable, provide a copy and identify the date and page number of publication in the Federal Register of the agency's notice, required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB. Summarize public comments received in response to that notice and in response to the PRA statement associated with the collection over the past three years, and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments. Specifically address comments received on cost and hour burden.

Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instructions and recordkeeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.

Consultation with representatives of those from whom information is to be obtained or those who must compile records should occur at least once every three years — even if the collection of information activity is the same as in prior periods. There may be circumstances that may preclude consultation in a specific situation. These circumstances should be explained.

As required in 5 CFR 1320.11, BOEMRE published a 60-day review and comment notice in the *Federal Register* on September 20, 2010 (75 FR 57285). We received one comment, but it did not pertain to the information collection; therefore, no change was made to the burden estimate. Also, 30 CFR 291.1 explains that BOEMRE will accept comments at any time on the information collection requirements and burdens of 30 CFR 291 regulations. We display the OMB control number and provide the address for sending comments to BOEMRE. We received no unsolicited comments.

During the first 3 years of open access operations, we have received no formal complaints of open access violations; therefore, there were no respondents available for outreach on the burden estimates of this collection. The regulations require that the formal complaint process is an available means to producers who believe that open access violations have occurred. Since we have received no formal complaints, we will assume the minimum of one formal complaint per year for this renewal.

9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees.

We will not provide payment or gifts to respondents in this collection.

10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy.

Commercial or financial information submitted to the Department of the Interior relative to minerals removed from the Federal OCS may be proprietary. The BOEMRE will protect information considered proprietary and will not disclose documents exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and its implementing regulations (43 CFR 2).

11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private. This justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.

The collection does not include sensitive or private questions.

12. Provide estimates of the hour burden of the collection of information. The statement should:

(a) Indicate the number of respondents, frequency of response, annual hour burden, and an explanation of how the burden was estimated. Unless directed to do so, agencies should not conduct special surveys to obtain information on which to base hour burden estimates. Consultation with a sample (fewer than 10) of potential respondents is desirable. If the hour burden on respondents is expected to vary widely because of differences in activity, size, or complexity, show the range of estimated hour burden, and explain the reasons for the variance. Generally, estimates should not include burden hours for customary and usual business practices.

(b) If this request for approval covers more than one form, provide separate hour burden estimates for each form and aggregate the hour burdens.

There are approximately 220 companies that pay royalties on the OCS. Because we have not received any formal complaints in the last 3 years, there are no respondents to contact to verify our burden estimates. Therefore, we estimate the total annual hour burden for reporting and recordkeeping is 51 hours on the basis of past litigation related to the open and nondiscriminatory access provisions of the OCSLA. Also, because regulations require that a formal complaint process is available to producers, we will assume the minimum of one formal complaint per year for this renewal. Refer to the chart below for a break out of the complete burden. Responses are generally on occasion.

Some of the information required in these regulations is submitted after BOEMRE has officially opened a case file; therefore, these hour burdens do not require OMB approval under the PRA (5 CFR 1320.4(c)). Section 291.103 provides for alternative dispute resolution to informally resolve an allegation that access was denied. The request has the appearance of information collection, but because there is no structure required for the request process, a burden hour is not assigned.

SECTION A.12 BURDEN BREAKDOWN

Citation 30 CFR 291	Reporting & Recordkeeping Requirement	Hour Burden	Average No. Annual Responses	Annual Burden Hours
105, 106, 108, 109, 111	Submit complaint (with fee) to BOEMRE and affected parties. Request confidential treatment and respond to BOEMRE decision.	50	1	50
		\$7,500 processing fee		\$7,500
106(b), 109	Request waiver or reduction of fee.	1	1	1
104(b), 107, 111	Submit response to a complaint. Request confidential treatment and respond to BOEMRE decision.	Information required after an investigation is opened against a specific entity is exempt under the		0

Citation 30 CFR 291	Reporting & Recordkeeping Requirement	Hour Burden	Average No. Annual Responses	Annual Burden Hours
110	Submit required information for BOEMRE to make a decision.	PRA (5 CFR 1320.4)		
114, 115(a)	Submit appeal on BOEMRE final decision.			
TOTAL BURDEN			2	51

(c) Provide estimates of annualized cost to respondents for the hour burdens for collections of information, identifying and using appropriate wage rate categories. The cost of contracting out or paying outside parties for information collection activities should not be included here. Instead, this cost should be included under “Annual Cost to Federal Government.”

The average respondent cost is \$66/hour. This cost is broken out in the below table using the Bureau of Labor Statistics (West, South, Central United States). See BLS website: <http://data.bls.gov/cgi-bin/dsrv?nw>.

Position	Level	Hourly Pay Rate (\$/hour estimate)	Hourly Rate Including Benefits (1.4 x \$/hour)*	Percent of Time Spent on Collection	Weighted Average (\$/hour)
General Office Clerk	3	\$12.21	\$17	10%	\$2
Paralegal	8	\$28.87	\$40	35%	\$14
Attorney	13	\$64.05	\$90	55%	\$50
Weighted Average (\$/hour)					\$66

* A multiplier of 1.4 (as implied by BLS news release USDL 11-0304, March 9, 2011) was added for benefits. <http://www.bls.gov/news.release/ecec.nr0.htm>

Based on a cost factor of \$66 per hour, we estimate the total annual cost to industry is \$3,366 (\$66 x 51 hours = \$3,366).

13. Provide an estimate of the total annual non-hour cost burden to respondents or recordkeepers resulting from the collection of information. (Do not include the cost of any hour burden already reflected in item 12.)

(a) The cost estimate should be split into two components: (a) a total capital and start-up cost component (annualized over its expected useful life) and (b) a total operation and maintenance and purchase of services component. The estimates should take into account costs associated with generating, maintaining, and disclosing or providing the information (including filing fees paid for form processing). Include descriptions of methods used to estimate major cost factors including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life of capital equipment, the discount rate(s), and the time period over which costs will be incurred. Capital and start-up costs include, among other items, preparations for collecting information such as purchasing computers and software; monitoring, sampling, drilling and testing equipment; and record storage facilities.

(b) If cost estimates are expected to vary widely, agencies should present ranges of cost burdens and explain the reasons for the variance. The cost of purchasing or contracting out information collection services should be a part of this cost burden estimate. In developing cost burden estimates, agencies may consult with a sample of respondents (fewer than 10),

utilize the 60-day pre-OMB submission public comment process and use existing economic or regulatory impact analysis associated with the rulemaking containing the information collection, as appropriate.

(c) Generally, estimates should not include purchases of equipment or services, or portions thereof, made: (1) prior to October 1, 1995, (2) to achieve regulatory compliance with requirements not associated with the information collection, (3) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the government, or (4) as part of customary and usual business or private practices.

We have identified a “non-hour” cost burden of \$7,500. The BOEMRE requires that shippers pay a nonrefundable fee of \$7,500 for a complaint submitted to BOEMRE. The fee is required to recover the Federal Government’s processing costs (\$7,500 fee x 1 annual response = \$7,500).

14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government. Also, provide a description of the method used to estimate cost, which should include quantification of hours, operational expenses (such as equipment, overhead, printing, and support staff), and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information.

To analyze and review the information required by 30 CFR Part 291, we estimate the government will spend an average of approximately 144 hours per complaint (1) for a total of 144 hours. Additional indirect costs and overhead amount to \$1,971 per complaint. Based on a cost factor of \$87 per hour (see below) plus indirect costs, the total cost to the government would be \$14,499; however, this cost is offset by the revenue received from the processing fees discussed in A.13.

144 hours x \$87 = \$12,528
 1 x \$1,971 = \$ 1,971
 Total = \$14,499

The average Federal cost is \$87/hour. This cost is broken out in the below table using the Office of Personnel Management (Washington, DC locale) pay schedule effective January 2011 (http://www.opm.gov/oca/11tables/pdf/dcb_h.pdf).

Position	Grade	Hourly Pay Rate (\$/hour estimate)	Hourly Rate Including Benefits (1.5 x \$/hour)*	Percent of Time Spent on Collection	Weighted Average (\$/hour)
Paralegal	GS-12/3	\$38.27	\$57	3%	\$2
Attorney	GS-14/6	\$58.81	\$88	97%	\$85
Weighted Average (\$/hour)					\$87

* A multiplier of 1.5 (as implied by BLS news release USDL 10-1687, December 8, 2010) was added for benefits.

15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments in hour or cost burden.

The hour burden for this collection constitutes an adjustment decrease of 203 hours; the non-hour cost burden shows an adjustment decrease of \$30,000. This adjustment decrease is due to the assumption of only one formal complaint per year.

16. For collections of information whose results will be published, outline plans for tabulation and publication. Address any complex analytical techniques that will be used. Provide the time

schedule for the entire project, including beginning and ending dates of the collection of information, completion of report, publication dates, and other actions.

The BOEMRE will not publish the data.

17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate.

Not applicable, as this collection of information concerns requirements in regulations.

18. Explain each exception to the topics of the certification statement identified in "Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions."

To the extent that the topics apply to this collection of information, we are not making any exceptions to the "Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions."