APPENDIX D DATA SYSTEMS SURVEY



Reference No.: 06863

Evaluation of the Unemployment Compensation Provisions of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009

Data Systems Survey

May 20, 2011

Mathematica and the Urban Institute are conducting an evaluation for the U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) about the implementation and effectiveness of the Unemployment Compensation (UC) provisions contained in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) legislation. As part of this study, our research team will be visiting your state and 19 others to learn about how states decided which optional provisions to adopt and to learn about their experiences implementing all ARRA-related UC provisions. In this advance survey, we'd like to gather information about your state's experience implementing any information systems changes needed to comply with the UC ARRA requirements. If you have any questions regarding this survey, please contact Grace Roemer of Mathematica Policy Research at GRoemer@mathematica-mpr.com 936-2782, or (609)or Brandon Kyler BKyler@mathematica-mpr.com or (609) 716-4381.

State Name:	
Respondent(s):	
Respondent Title(s):	
Respondent Department(s):	
Date:	<u> </u>
(Prefilled by Mathematica here and in modules)	
EMERGENCY UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION (UC) TIERS 3 AND 4 IN STATE SINCE 2008?	 1 ☐ Yes 0 ☐ No → IF "NO," SKIP MODULE 4
EXTENDED BENEFITS (EB) IN STATE SINCE 2008?	1 ☐ Yes 0 ☐ No → IF "NO," SKIP MODULE 5
ANY AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT (ARRA) MODERNIZATION FUNDS RECEIVED?	1 ☐ Yes 0 ☐ No → IF "NO," SKIP MODULE 6

Module 1—Federal Additional Compensation (FAC) (All Respondents) What systems changes did your state make so What challenges did you encounter in making 1. 3. that Federal Additional Compensation (FAC) these systems changes? benefits payments could be made, tracked, MARK ALL THAT APPLY adjusted, and/or reported? □ Insufficient financial resources to make MARK ALL THAT APPLY appropriate systems changes or establish No changes needed, systems capacity already alternate systems existed -> GO TO Q.5 2 ☐ Insufficient personnel 2 ☐ Added fields/flags/indicators to state claims 3 ☐ Insufficient understanding of UC ARRA systems requirements ₃ □ Added screens to state claims systems 4 ☐ Insufficient time to respond to legislative ⁴ □ Made benefit payment control (BPC) system changes changes (for overpayments) 5 □ Data quality/data validation (including 5 ☐ Handled outside state claims/BPC systems accuracy of benefit amounts) (for example, ad hoc spreadsheets, databases) 6 ☐ Unable to create, track and report FAC 6 ☐ Unable to capture, track, report on FAC benefits payments UC ARRA requirement ¬ □ No challenges encountered ¬ □ Other (Specify) 8 ☐ Other (Specify) 2. What facilitated your state's efforts to make the changes needed for FAC? Was your state able to complete necessary 4. systems changes so that timely FAC benefits MARK ALL THAT APPLY payments could be made? State political leadership/support MARK ONE ONLY ² □ Agency leadership/support $_{\text{n}}\,\square\,\,$ Not applicable, no systems changes needed 3 ☐ Staff support ¹ □ Yes, all benefits paid in timely manner 4 ☐ Leadership knowledge of UC ARRA 2 ☐ Somewhat, some benefits paid on time and requirements some retroactively 5 ☐ Staff knowledge of UC ARRA requirements 3 ☐ No, all benefits paid retroactively 6 ☐ Resources available ⁴ □ No, not all benefits due were paid 7 ☐ Alignment of UC ARRA requirements with 5 ☐ Other (Specify) existing state goals/initiatives 8 ☐ Nothing Other (Specify)

5.	Did FAC overpayments present any specific systems challenges?	7. What was the approximate cost of making the FAC-related systems changes?
	-ı□ Yes	MARK ONE ONLY
	0 □ No → GO TO Q.5b	 1 □ \$0 (no FAC-related systems changes) → GO TO MODULE 2, Q.1
¥ 5a.	If yes, which FAC overpayments-related systems changes were challenging to implement?	₂ □ \$1 to \$50,000
	MARK ALL THAT APPLY 1 □ Calculating exact overpayments 2 □ Establishing overpayments 3 □ Applying overpayments in proper order 4 □ Offsetting overpayments 5 □ Distinguishing between existing and new overpayments 6 □ Offsetting fraud and nonfraud overpayments 7 □ Accounting and reporting of overpayments 8 □ None 9 □ Other (Specify)	3 □ \$50,001 to \$100,000 4 □ \$100,001 to \$250,000 5 □ \$250,001 to \$500,000 6 □ \$500,001 to \$1,000,000 7 □ Greater than \$1,000,000 7 □ Does this cost estimate include other UC ARRA improvements? 1 □ Yes 0 □ No If yes, please specify which ones:
5b.	Please provide the total amount of FAC-related outstanding overpayments, not including write-offs or waived overpayments, as of 9/30/2011.	7b. How were these systems changes financed? MARK ALL THAT APPLY 1
6.	Did FAC reporting present any specific challenges?	General revenue General revenue General revenue General revenue
	¹ □ Yes, lack of specific reporting guidance	
	Yes, lack of designated Unemployment Insurance Required Reports (UIRR) forms or cells on existing forms	
	₃ □ Yes (Other)	
	o □ No	

Module 2—First \$2,400 in Benefits Free of Federal Income Tax (All Respondents)

1.	ens in 2	at systems changes did your state make to ure that the first \$2,400 in benefits payments 009 would be paid, tracked, adjusted, and/or orted as free of federal income tax?	3.	thes	at challenges did you encounter in making se systems changes? RK ALL THAT APPLY
	MAF	RK ALL THAT APPLY		1 🗆	Insufficient financial resources to make
	1 🗆	No changes needed, systems capacity already existed → GO TO MODULE 3, Q.1			appropriate systems changes or establish alternate systems
	2 🗆	Added fields/flags/indicators to state claims systems		2 □	Insufficient understanding of UC ARRA
	з 🗆	Added screens to state claims systems		• —	requirements
	4 🗆	Unable to pay, track, adjust, or report on this UC ARRA requirement		4 🗆	Insufficient time to respond to legislative changes
	5 🗆	Other (Specify)		5 🗆	Data quality/data validation (including accuracy of benefit amounts)
				6 🗆	No challenges encountered
2.		at facilitated your state's efforts to make the nges needed for this ARRA requirement?		7 🗆	Other (Specify)
	MAF	RK ALL THAT APPLY			
	1 🗆	State political leadership/support	4.	Was	s your state able to complete necessary
	2 🗆	Agency leadership/support		sys	tems changes so that benefits payments ld be properly adjusted in a timely manner?
	з 🗆	Staff support		MAF	RK ONE ONLY
	4 🗆	Leadership knowledge of UC ARRA requirements			Not applicable, no systems changes needed
	5 🗆	Staff knowledge of UC ARRA requirements		1 🗆	Yes, all benefits properly adjusted in timely manner
	6 ⊔	Resources available		2 🗆	Somewhat, some benefits properly adjusted
	7 🗆	Alignment of UC ARRA requirements with existing state goals/initiatives			on time and some retroactively
	8 🗆	Nothing		3 🗆	No, all benefits properly adjusted retroactively
	9 🗆	Other (Specify)		4 🗆	No, not all benefits due were properly adjusted
				5 🗆	Other (Specify)

5.	What was the approximate cost of making these systems changes?	Module 3—EUC08 Tiers 1 and 2 (All Respondents)
	MARK ONE ONLY	 What systems changes did your state make so that EUC08 Tier 1/Tier 2 benefits payments could be made, tracked, adjusted, and/or reported? MARK ALL THAT APPLY ¹□ No changes needed, systems capacity already existed → GO TO Q.5 ²□ Added fields/flags/indicators to state claims
	5 □ \$250,001 to \$500,000 6 □ \$500,001 to \$1,000,000 7 □ Greater than \$1,000,000	systems 3
5a.	Does this cost estimate include other UC ARRA improvements? 1 Yes 0 No If yes, please specify which ones:	 (for example, ad hoc spreadsheets, databases) □ Unable to capture, track, report on EUC08 Tier 1/Tier 2 requirement □ Used TEUC system/screens as foundation for capturing, tracking, and reporting EUC08 information □ Other (Specify)
5b.	How were these systems changes financed? MARK ALL THAT APPLY 1	2. What facilitated your state's efforts to make the changes needed for EUC08 Tier 1/Tier 2? MARK ALL THAT APPLY 1 State political leadership/support 2 Agency leadership/support 3 Staff support 4 Leadership knowledge of UC ARRA requirements 5 Staff knowledge of UC ARRA requirements 6 Resources available 7 Alignment of UC ARRA requirements with existing state goals/initiatives 8 Nothing 9 Other (Specify)

		1	
3.	What challenges did you encounter in making these systems changes?	5.	Did EUC08 Tier 1/Tier 2 overpayments present any specific systems challenges?
	MARK ALL THAT APPLY	_	– ı □ Yes
	□ Insufficient financial resources to make appropriate systems changes or establish alternate systems		₀ □ No → GO TO Q.5b
	 2 ☐ Insufficient personnel 3 ☐ Insufficient understanding of UC ARRA requirements 	5a.	If yes, which EUC08 Tier 1/Tier 2 overpayments- related systems changes have been challenging to implement?
	₄ ☐ Insufficient time to respond to legislative changes		MARK ALL THAT APPLY
	5 □ Data quality/data validation (including accuracy of benefit amounts)		2 ☐ Establishing overpayments
	6 ☐ Tracking more than 26 weeks (up to 34 weeks) of payments		₃ ☐ Applying overpayments in proper order
	7 ☐ Tracking EUC08 tiers within modified (tierless)		⁴ □ Offsetting overpayments
	TEUC system But Lack of staff knowledge of TEUC/EUC08		5 Distinguishing between existing and new overpayments
	9 Augmenting Tier 1 with Tier 2 (applying supplements)		$_{6}\square$ Offsetting fraud and nonfraud overpayments
	10 ☐ Paying on proper benefits claims		¬ □ Reporting of overpayments
	 (no reachbacks) □ Allocating overpayment reconciliation activities to general revenue instead of trust fund 		8 Tracking overpayment adjustments to general revenue instead of trust fund
	12 □ Establishing proper weekly benefit amounts		₉ □ None
	13 ☐ Checking for wages/benefits at benefit year end and quarter change for regular UI		10 ☐ Other (Specify)
	14 ☐ Checking for wages/benefits at benefit year end and quarter change for CWC-IS		
	15 ☐ Withholding federal income tax	5b.	Please provide the total amount of EUC08 Tier 1/Tier 2-related outstanding overpayments,
	16 ☐ No challenges encountered		not including write-offs or waived overpayments,
	17 □ Other (Specify)		as of 9/30/2011.
4.	Was your state able to complete necessary systems changes so that timely EUC08 Tier 1/Tier 2 benefits payments could be made?		
	MARK ONE ONLY		
	n ☐ Not applicable, no systems changes needed		
	1 ☐ Yes, all benefits paid in timely manner		
	Somewhat, some benefits paid on time and some retroactively		
	3 □ No, all benefits paid retroactively		
	4 □ No, not all benefits due were paid		
	5 Other (Specify)		

6.	Did reporting EUC08 Tier 1/Tier 2 benefits present any specific challenges?	Мо	odule 4—EUC08 Tiers 3 and 4 (Some Respondents)
	 Yes, lack of specific reporting guidance Yes, lack of designated UIRR forms or cells on existing forms 		☐ NO EUC08 TIERS 3 AND 4 IN STATE. IF CHECKED, SKIP MODULE 4
	 Yes, revising prior 218 and 5159 reports to properly report for Tier 1 benefits Yes (Other) 	1.	What additional systems changes did your state make so that EUC08 Tier 3/Tier 4 benefits payments could be made, tracked, adjusted, and/or reported?
			MARK ALL THAT APPLY
	₀ □ No		No changes needed, systems capacity already existed → GO TO Q.5
7.	What was the approximate cost of making these systems changes?		2 Added fields/flags/indicators to state claims systems
	MARK ONE ONLY		$_3\square$ Added screens to state claims systems
	¹ □ \$0 (no systems changes) → GO TO MODULE 4, Q.1		 Benefit payment control (BPC) system changes (for overpayments)
	2 □ \$1 to \$50,000		
	₃ □ \$50,001 to \$100,000		6 ☐ Unable to capture, track, report on EUC08 Tier
	4 □ \$100,001 to \$250,000		3/Tier 4 requirement
	₅ □ \$250,001 to \$500,000		⁷ □ Other (Specify)
	6 □ \$500,001 to \$1,000,000		
	₇ ☐ Greater than \$1,000,000	2.	What facilitated your state's efforts to make the changes needed for EUC08 Tier 3/Tier 4?
7a.	Does this cost estimate include other UC ARRA improvements?		MARK ALL THAT APPLY
	₁ □ Yes		□ State political leadership/support
	₀□ No		² □ Agency leadership/support
	If yes, please specify which ones:		₃ ☐ Staff support
			4 Leadership knowledge of UC ARRA requirements
	-		$_{5}\square$ Staff knowledge of UC ARRA requirements
7b.	How were these systems changes financed?		6 ☐ Resources available
			7 Alignment of UC ARRA requirements with existing state goals/initiatives
	2 ☐ State administrative grants		8 ☐ Nothing
	₃ ☐ General revenue		9 ☐ Other (Specify)
	4 □ Other (Specify)		

3.	What challenges did you encounter in making these systems changes?		Did EUC08 Tier 3/Tier 4 overpayments present any specific systems challenges?
	MARK ALL THAT APPLY		ı □ Yes
	 Insufficient financial resources to make appropriate systems changes or establish alternate systems 	\downarrow	□ No → GO TO Q.5b If yes, which EUC08 Tier 3/Tier 4 overpayments-
	 Insufficient personnel Insufficient understanding of UC ARRA requirements Insufficient time to respond to legislative changes Data quality/data validation (including accuracy of benefit amounts) Tracking more than 26 weeks (up to 53 weeks) of payments Allocating overpayment reconciliation amounts to stimulus funding instead of trust fund Establishing proper weekly benefit amounts 	1	related systems changes have been challenging to implement? MARK ALL THAT APPLY 1
	 9 ☐ Checking for wages/benefits at benefit year end and quarter change for regular UI 10 ☐ Checking for wages/benefits at benefit year end and quarter change for CWC-IS 11 ☐ Withholding federal income tax 12 ☐ No challenges encountered 13 ☐ Other (Specify) 		 7 □ Reporting of overpayments 8 □ Tracking overpayment adjustments to stimulus funding instead of trust fund 9 □ None 10 □ Other (Specify)
4.	Was your state able to complete necessary systems changes so that timely EUC08 Tier 3/Tier 4 benefits payments could be made? MARK ONE ONLY □ Not applicable, no systems changes needed		Please provide the total amount of EUC08 Tier 3/Tier 4-related outstanding overpayments, not including write-offs or waived overpayments, as of September 30, 2011.
	 Yes, all benefits paid in timely manner Somewhat, some benefits paid on time and some retroactively No, all benefits paid retroactively No, not all benefits due were paid Other (Specify) 	1	Did reporting EUC08 Tier 3/Tier 4 benefits present any specific challenges? Yes, lack of specific reporting guidance Yes, lack of designated UIRR forms or cells on existing forms Yes (Other)
		(o □ No

7.	What was the approximate cost of making these systems changes?		Module 5—Extended Benefits (EB) (Some Respondents)
	MARK ONE ONLY		
	¹ □ \$0 (no systems changes) → GO TO MODULE 5, Q.1		☐ NO EXTENDED BENEFITS (EB) IN STATE. IF CHECKED, SKIP MODULE 5
	2 □ \$1 to \$50,000		
	₃ □ \$50,001 to \$100,000	1.	What systems changes did your state make so that Extended Benefits (EB) payments could be made, tracked, adjusted, and/or reported?
	4 D \$100,001 to \$250,000		
	5 □ \$250,001 to \$500,000		MARK ALL THAT APPLY
	6 □ \$500,001 to \$1,000,000		 No changes needed, systems capacity already existed → GO TO Q.5
	7 ☐ Greater than \$1,000,000		2 Added fields/flags/indicators to state claims systems
7a.	Does this cost estimate include other UC ARRA improvements?		3 ☐ Added screens to state claims systems
	1 ☐ Yes 0 ☐ No		4 ☐ Benefit payment control (BPC) system changes (for overpayments)
	If yes, please specify which ones:		
			6 ☐ Unable to capture, track, report on UC ARRA EB requirement
			7 □ Other (Specify)
7b.	How were these systems changes financed?		
	MARK ALL THAT APPLY	2.	What facilitated your state's efforts to make the changes needed for EB?
	1 ☐ Modernization funds		_
	² □ State administrative grants		MARK ALL THAT APPLY
	3 ☐ General revenue		1 □ State political leadership/support2 □ Agency leadership/support
	4 □ Other (Specify)		- 0. "
			↓ □ Leadership knowledge of UC ARRA requirements
			5 ☐ Staff knowledge of UC ARRA requirements
			6 ☐ Resources available
			¬ □ Alignment of UC ARRA requirements with existing state goals/initiatives
			8 ☐ Nothing
			9 □ Other (Specify)

What challenges did you encounter in making these systems changes?	5.	Did EB overpayments present any specific systems challenges?
MARK ALL THAT APPLY		- ı □ Yes
□ Insufficient financial resources to make appropriate systems changes or establish alternate systems		o □ No → GO TO Q.5b
2 ☐ Insufficient personnel	5a.	If yes, which EB overpayments-related systems changes have been challenging to implement?
₃ ☐ Insufficient understanding of UC ARRA requirements		MARK ALL THAT APPLY
₄ □ Insufficient time to respond to legislative changes		□ Calculating exact overpayments
 Data quality/data validation (including accuracy of benefit amounts) 		2 ☐ Establishing overpayments
6 ☐ Tracking more than 26 weeks (up to 99 weeks)		3 ☐ Applying overpayments in proper order
of payments		4 ☐ Offsetting overpayments
 ¬ □ Implementing both EUC08 and EB □ Taking applications for EB 		Distinguishing between existing and new overpayments
□ Tracking payments to interstate claimants		6 ☐ Offsetting fraud and nonfraud overpayments
10 □ Collecting and verifying EB work search documents		7 ☐ Reporting of overpayments
11 □ Establishing proper weekly benefit amounts		8 □ None
12 □ Checking for wages/benefits at benefit year end and quarter change for regular UI		9 ☐ Other (Specify)
Checking for wages/benefits at benefit year end and quarter change for CWC-IS		
14 ☐ Withholding federal income tax	5b.	Please provide the total amount of EB-related outstanding overpayments, not including write-
15 ☐ No challenges encountered		offs or waived overpayments, as of 9/30/2011.
16 ☐ Other (Specify)		
Was your state able to complete necessary systems changes so that timely EB payments could be made?	6.	Did reporting EB present any specific challenges?
MARK ONE ONLY		Yes, lack of specific reporting guidance Yes, lack of
n ☐ Not applicable, no systems changes needed		, , , , ,
Yes, all benefits paid in timely manner		Yes, lack of designated UIRR forms or cells on existing forms
Somewhat, some benefits paid on time and some retroactively		₃ ☐ Yes, reporting both EUC08 and EB
₃ □ No, all benefits paid retroactively		4 ☐ Yes (Other)
4 □ No, not all benefits due were paid		· · · ·
5 □ Other (Specify)		o □ No

7.	Wh	at was the approximate cost of making these systems changes?
	MAF	RK ONE ONLY
	1 🗆	\$0 (no systems changes) → GO TO MODULE 6, Q.1
	2 🗆	\$1 to \$50,000
	з 🗆	\$50,001 to \$100,000
	4 🗆	\$100,001 to \$250,000
	5 🗆	\$250,001 to \$500,000
	6 🗆	\$500,001 to \$1,000,000
	7 🗆	Greater than \$1,000,000
7a.		es this cost estimate include other UC ARRA improvements? Yes
	0 🗆	No
	If ye	es, please specify which ones:
7b.	Hov	w were these systems changes financed?
	MAF	RK ALL THAT APPLY
	1 🗆	Modernization funds
	2 🗆	State administrative grants
	з 🗆	General revenue
	4 🗆	Other (Specify)

Module 6—UI Modernization Incentives (Some Respondents)

oxdot NO MODERNIZATION INCENTIVES IN STATE. IF CHECKED, SKIP MODULE

1. What systems changes did your state make so that modernization provisions could be made, tracked, adjusted, and/or reported?

MARK ALL THAT APPLY

		Provision not applicable in this state	No changes needed, systems capacity already existed	Added fields to state claims systems	Added flags/ indicators to state claims systems	Added screens to state claims systems	Benefit payment control (BPC) system changes (for overpays)	Handled outside state claims/BPC systems (for example, ad hoc spreadsheets, databases)	Unable to capture, track, and/or report on UC ARRA requirement	Other (Specify)
a.	ABP eligibility calculations	n 🗆	1 🗆	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆	5 🗆	6 🗆	7 🗆	8 🗆
b.	UC benefits payments for workers seeking part-time employment	n 🗆	1 🗆	2 🗆	з 🗆	4 🗆	5 🏻	6 🗆	7 🗆	8 🗆
C.	UC benefits payments for workers with voluntary job quits for compelling family reasons	n 🗆	1 🗆	2 🗆	з 🗆	4 🗆	5 □	6 🗆	7 🗆	8 🗆
d.	UC benefits payments for an additional 26 weeks for workers enrolled and making satisfactory progress in certain training programs	n 🗆	1 □	2 🗆	з 🗆	4 🗆	5 □	6 □	7 🗆	8 🗆
e.	Dependency allowances	n 🗆	1 🗆	2 🗆	з 🗆	4 🗆	5 🗆	6 🗆	7 🗆	8 🗆

2.	cha	at helped your state make the systems inges needed for the UI modernization visions adopted by your state?	4.	What was the approximate cost of making the U modernization systems changes made by your state?			
	MAF	RK ALL THAT APPLY		MARK ONE ONLY			
	1 🗆	State political leadership/support		₁ □ \$0 (no systems changes) → GO TO Q.6.2			
	2 🗆	Agency leadership/support		2 □ \$1 to \$50,000			
	з 🗆	Staff support		з □ \$50,001 to \$100,000			
	4 🗆	Leadership knowledge of UC ARRA		4 □ \$100,001 to \$250,000			
		requirements		₅ □ \$250,001 to \$500,000			
	5 📙	Staff knowledge of UC ARRA requirements		6 □ \$500,001 to \$1,000,000			
	6 🗆	Resources available		7 ☐ Greater than \$1,000,000			
	7 🗆	Alignment of UC ARRA requirements with existing state goals/initiatives					
	8 🗆	Nothing	4a.	Does this cost estimate include other UC ARRA improvements?			
	9 🗆	Other (Specify)		¹ □ Yes			
				o □ No			
3.	the	at challenges did you encounter in making se systems changes for UI modernization? RK ALL THAT APPLY		If yes, please specify which ones:			
	1 🗆	Insufficient financial resources to make appropriate systems changes or establish alternate systems	4b.	How were the UI modernization systems changes financed?			
	2 🗆	Insufficient personnel		_			
	з 🗆	Insufficient understanding of UC ARRA		MARK ALL THAT APPLY			
		requirements		Modernization funds Out to be desired.			
	4 🗌	Not enough to respond to legislative changes		2 ☐ State administrative grants			
	5 🗌			3 ☐ General revenue			
		Data quality/data validation (including accuracy of benefit amounts)					
	6 🗆			4 □ Other (Specify)			
	6 🗆	of benefit amounts) Accessing most recent wage records for		4 □ Other (Specify)			
		of benefit amounts) Accessing most recent wage records for ABP calculations		4 □ Other (Specify)			
	7 🗆	of benefit amounts) Accessing most recent wage records for ABP calculations None		4 □ Other (Specify)			
	7 🗆	of benefit amounts) Accessing most recent wage records for ABP calculations None		4 □ Other (Specify)			

5.	Was your state able to complet	te necessary sys	stems changes so	o that the state co	ould make timely	1?			
.	6. Was your state able to complete necessary systems changes so that the state could make timely…?								
					MARK O	NE ONLY			
		Provision not applicable in this state	Not applicable, no systems changes needed	Yes, all benefits paid in timely manner	Somewhat, some benefits paid on time and some retroactively	No, all benefits paid retroactively	No, not all benefits due were paid	Other (Specify)	
a.	ABP eligibility calculations	n 🗆	na 🗆	1 🗆	2 🗆	з 🗆	4 🗆	5 🗆	
b.	UC benefits payments for workers seeking part-time employment	n 🗆	na 🗆	1 🗆	2 🗆	3 □	4 □	5 🗆	
C.	UC benefits payments for workers with voluntary job quits for compelling family reasons	n 🗆	na 🗌	1 🗆	2 🗆	3 □	4 🗆	5 🗆	
d.	UC benefits payments for an additional 26 weeks for workers enrolled and making satisfactory progress in certain training programs	n 🗆	na 🗆	1 🗆	2 □	3 □	4 □	5 🗆	
e.	Dependency allowances	n 🗆	na 🗆	1 🗆	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆	5 🗆	

6.1	Did overpaymen	Did overpayments of UC benefits payments related to the modernization provisions listed below present any specific systems challenges?								
		Provision not applicable in this state	Calculating exact overpayments	Establishing overpayments	Applying overpayments in proper order	Offsetting overpayments	Distinguishing between existing and new overpayments	Offsetting fraud and nonfraud overpayments	Accounting and reporting overpayment differences	Other (Specify)
a.	Workers seeking part-time employment	n 🗆	1 🗆	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆	5 🗆	6 🗆	7 🗆	8 🗆
b.	Workers with voluntary job quits for compelling family reasons	n 🗆	1 🗆	2 🗆	з 🗆	4 🗆	5 🗆	6 □	7 🗆	8 🗆
C.	Additional 26 weeks for workers enrolled and making satisfactory progress in certain training programs.	n 🗆	1 🗆	2 □	3 □	4 □	5 □	6 □	7 🗆	8 □
d.	Dependency allowances	n 🗆	1 🗆	2 🗆	з 🗆	4 🗆	5 🗆	6 🗆	7 🗆	8 🗆
6.2	Please provide the September 30, 20					s, before deduc	ctions for write	e-offs and waive	d overpaymer	its, as of
								Provision not applicable in this state	Unable to calculate	Enter dollar amount
a.	Workers seeking pa	art-time emplo	yment					n 🗆	1 🗆	\$
b.	Workers with volun	tary job quits fo	or compelling fa	mily reasons				n 🗆	1 🗆	\$
C.	Additional 26 week	s for workers e	ograms	n 🗆	1 🗆	\$				
d.	Dependency allows	ances						n 🗆	1 🗆	\$

14

Yes, lack of specific reporting guidance a. UC benefits payments for workers seeking part-time employment
part-time employment
voluntary job quits for compelling family reasons
26 weeks for workers enrolled and making satisfactory progress in certain training programs
d. Dependency allowances