#### SUPPORTING STATEMENT FOR

"Administrative Review Requirements – Food Retailers and Wholesalers Data Collection, OMB No. 0584-0520"

Bea Fitzgerald, Program Analyst Retailer Operations Branch/Benefit Redemption Division Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Food and Nutrition Service/USDA Park Center Building, Room 426 3130 Park Center Drive Alexandria, VA 22306 (703) 305-2525 FAX (703) 305-1863 E-Mail: Bea.Fitzgerald@fns.usda.gov

#### **Table of Contents**

Part A Jus	tification3
A.1	Explanation of Circumstances That Make Collection of Data Necessary
A.2	How the Information Will Be Used, By Whom, and For What Purpose
A.3	Use of Improved Information Technology to Reduce Burden4
A.4	Efforts to Identify and Avoid Duplication4
A.5	Efforts to Minimize Burden on Small Businesses or Other Entities4
A.6	Consequences of Less Frequent Data Collection5
A.7	Special Circumstances Requiring Collection of Information in a Manner Inconsistent
	with Section 1320.5(d)(2) of the Code of Federal Regulations5-6
A.8	Federal Register Comments and Efforts to Consult with Persons Outside the Agency
	6-7
A.9	Payments to Respondents7
A.10	Assurance of Confidentiality
A.11	Questions of a Sensitive Nature7
A.12	Estimates of Respondent Burden7-9
	Estimates of Other Annual Costs to Respondents9
	Estimates of Annualized Government Costs9-10
	Changes in Hour Burden10
	Time Schedule, Publication, and Analysis Plans11
	Display of Expiration Date for OMB Approval11
A 18	Exceptions to Certification Statement

#### **Justification- Part A**

1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary. Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection. Attach a copy of the appropriate section of each statute and regulation mandating or authorizing the collection of information.

This is a revision of a currently approved data collection. The Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) of the U.S. Department of Agriculture is the Federal agency responsible for the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP). The Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2011-2036), as codified under 7 CFR Parts 278 and 279, requires that the FNS determine the eligibility of retail food stores and certain food service organizations to participate in the SNAP. If a retail or wholesale firm is found to be ineligible by FNS, or is otherwise aggrieved by certain FNS action(s), that firm has the right to file a written request for review of the administrative action with FNS.

#### 2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection.

The Branch Chief of Administrative Review in FNS receives the letter requesting an administrative review and maintains it as part of the official review record. Once the request is received, the designated Review Officer will adjudicate the appeals process and make a final determination regarding the aggrieved action. If the request for administrative review includes additional information, the Review Officer will also consider that information along with the official FNS file. 3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision for adopting this means of collection. Also, describe any consideration of using information technology to reduce burden.

FNS makes every effort to comply with E-Government Act, 2002 by providing electronic submission in lieu of paper where feasible. Currently, this collection of information does not involve the use of automated electronics to respondent to submit request to FNS and there are no current plans to develop a system for this type of request. The request for administrative review is an official memorandum, provided by the requestor, with an original signature.

## 4. Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purpose described in item 2 above.

There is no duplication involved with a request for an administrative review. FNS solely monitors issuance and administrative reviews of SNAP benefits. The information required for official review is not currently reported to any other entity outside of FNS. Every effort has been made to avoid duplication. FNS has reviewed USDA reporting requirements, state administrative agency reporting requirements and special studies by other government and private agencies.

### 5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other entities, describe any methods used to minimize burden.

This information collection does not adversely impact small business or other small entities. A small business is treated like other firms in that only a written request for an administrative review is needed to obtain an administrative review. Out of the 897 respondents FNS estimates that approximately 180 are considered small entities.

## 6. Describe the consequence to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently, as well as any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.

Should a firm disagree with an eligibility decision by FNS, they would initiate a formal request only once. This request is a means for the respondents to initiate a formal review of the agency's decision on its determination of ineligibility to participate in the SNAP, or other certain aggrieved actions taken against the subject firm.

When a firm agrees with the decision by FNS, there would not be a request for an administrative review. The decision by FNS would stand in accordance with program policy.

If this collection is not conducted, businesses would not get an opportunity to become eligible to participate in SNAP or appeal decisions made by FNS.

## 7. Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:

• requiring respondents to report information to the agency more often than quarterly;

- requiring respondents to prepare a written response to a collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it;
- requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any document;
- requiring respondents to retain records, other than health, medical, government contract, grant-in-aid, or tax records for more than three years;
- in connection with a statistical survey, that is not designed to produce valid and reliable results that can be generalized to the universe of study;
- requiring the use of a statistical data classification that has not been reviewed and approved by OMB;
- that includes a pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation, that is not supported by disclosure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or which unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies for compatible confidential use; or
- requiring respondents to submit proprietary trade secret, or other confidential information unless the agency can demonstrate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information's confidentiality to the extent permitted by law.

There are no special circumstances. The collection of information is conducted in

a manner consistent with the guidelines in 5 CFR 1320.5.

- 8. If applicable, provide a copy and identify the date and page number of publication in the <u>Federal Register</u> of the agency's notice, soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB. Summarize public comments received in response to that notice and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments.
  - (a) A 60-day public comment notice was published in the <u>Federal Register</u> on

March 23, 2012, at 77 FR 17004, and no public comments were received in

response to this notice.

Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instructions and

#### recordkeeping, disclosure, or reporting form, and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.

(b) The Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) used a collaborative approach when conducting Meetings with the Administrative Review Officers (AROs) to determine if a formal report or form was needed. The AROs concluded that, because each response was unique to the individual retailer's circumstances, no specific form or format was required from respondents requesting Administrative Review action. The AROs determined that a form would bring greater complexity to the process than necessary.

### 9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees.

We do not provide any payment or gifts to the respondents for their submission of data or information collection.

### **10.** Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy.

The Privacy Act requires that before personal identifying information (such as SSN or EIN) may be shared with other entities, a Privacy Notice must first be published. FNS published such a Privacy Act notice (System of Records (SORNs) to specify the uses to be made of the information in this collection. This notice was titled USDA/FNS-9 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Retailer Information published in the Federal Register on December 27, 2010, Volume 75, Number 247, and is located on pages 81205-81209. The request for

an administrative review is considered to be a private part of the firm's FNS record, protected by the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program regulations and the Privacy Act.

The following personal information regarding owners and officers is contained in the system: Name, home address, Social Security Number (SSN) or Employer Identification Number (EIN), and date of birth (DOB). The SSNs/EINs are collected only from owners of sole proprietorships, partnerships, principal shareholders of private corporations, and officers of cooperatives. Financial date (i.e., food sales, gross sales, and SNAP data) relative to each business entity currently authorized or previously authorized is also included in the STARS database.

Section 9 of the Act, U.S.C. 2018, authorizes collection of the information on the application. Section 278.1(b) of the SNAP regulations provides for the collection of the owners' SSN/EIN and tax information.

11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior or attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private. This justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.

There are no questions of a sensitive nature required to be included in a request

for an administrative review.

- 12. Provide estimates of the hour burden of the collection of information. The statement should include:
  - A. Indicate the number of respondents, frequency of response, annual hour burden, and an explanation of how the burden was estimated. If this request for approval covers more than one form, provide separate hour burned estimates for each form and aggregate the hour burdens in Item 13 of OMB Form 83-I.

The estimated number of respondents is 897. There are 1.2 responses per

respondent, and it is estimated that a response will take an average of 0.17 hours.

The total annual reporting burden is estimated at 183 hours.

No. of	No. of	Total	Hours	Total
Respondents	Responses per	Annual	per	Annual
	Respondent	Responses	Response	Burden
897	1.2	1,076.4	0.17	183

# **B.** Provide estimates of annualized cost to respondents for the hour burdens for collections of information, identifying and using appropriate wage rate categories.

There are no forms involved. The respondent simply writes a letter requesting an administrative review. Their cost should be approximately \$55.04 per hour with a total annual cost to retailers estimated to be \$10,061 (General and Operations Managers @ \$55.04 per hour x  $0.17 = $9.35 \times 1,076$  Annual Responses = \$10,060.60).

For hourly cost estimation, we went to the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) website at www.bls.gov/oes/ and http://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes111021.html and used the May, 2011 data which is the most recent data available from the National Compensation Survey: Occupational Wages in the United States, May 2011, Industry-Specific Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates for Retail Trade. Within this group, we further used the Standard Occupational Classification code number 11-1021 – General and Operations Manager (http://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes111021.htm#nat). Based on this data, the mean hourly wage estimate was \$55.04. This wage amount was used as our basis for computing total annual cost burden to the respondents, as it is the most current data provided by the BLS.

13. Provide estimates of the total annual cost burden to respondents or record keepers resulting from the collection of information (do not include the cost of any hour burden shown in items 12 and 14). The cost estimates should be split into two components: (a) a total capital and start-up cost component annualized over its expected useful life; and (b) a total operation and maintenance and purchase of services component.

There are no capital/start-up or ongoing operation/maintenance costs

associated with this information collection.

14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government. Also, provide a description of the method used to estimate cost and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information.

The cost to the Federal Government to read and respond to requests for

administrative reviews is approximately 0.30 hours per response. For hourly cost estimation, we went to the Office of Personnel and Management website at <a href="http://www.opm.gov/oca/12tables/pdf/dcb\_h.pdf">http://www.opm.gov/oca/12tables/pdf/dcb\_h.pdf</a>

used the 2012 General Schedule Locality Pay Tables for the Washington, DC, metropolitan area. Based on this data, the hourly wage was \$60.49 (GS 14/7). This wage amount was used as our basis for computing total annual cost burden to the Federal Government. Approximately 897 responses are made annually requiring approximately 269 hours at an estimated cost of \$16,278 to the Federal Government (897 respondent x 0.30 = 269.1 x \$60.49 [GS 14/7] = \$16,277.85].No other Federal costs are anticipated.

### 15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments reported in Items 13 or 14 of the OMB Form 83-I.

This is a revision of a currently approved collection.

#### Adjustments

Our estimates for the number of respondents requesting an administrative review are subject to change in each FY as the number of aggrieved retailers fluctuates.

The previous burden reported was based on data from FY 2009. This submission utilized FY 2011 data to establish a more accurate account for this collection. As a result of respondents requesting for administrative reviews increased, the burden hours also increased from 120 to 183 burden hours an increase of 63 burden hours. An increase of 308 aggrieved retailers who requested administrative review is attributed to the increase adjustment.in the number of respondents from

589 to 897 and respective increase in responses from 707 to 1,076.

## **16.** For collection of information whose results will be published, outline plans for tabulation and publication.

There are no plans for publication of this collection of information.

# 17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate.

The request for an administrative review does not involve any forms or other

collection instruments.

#### 18. Explain each exception to the certification statement identified in item 19, "Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions," of OMB Form 83-I.

There are no exceptions to this certification statement. The agency is able to

certify compliance with all provisions under Item 19 of OMB Form 83-I.