



**U.S. Department
of Labor**

**Employment
and Training
Administration**

O*NET[®] Data Collection Program

**Office of Management and Budget
Clearance Package Supporting Statement**

**Part A: Justification
with
Table of Contents
List of exhibits
References**

April 10, 2012

Table of Contents

| Section | Page |
|---|----------|
| A. Justification | 1 |
| A.1 Circumstances of Information Collection..... | 1 |
| A.1.1 What Is the O*NET Program? | 2 |
| A.1.2 The O*NET Data Collection Approach | 5 |
| A.1.3 Summary of the O*NET Data Collection Process | 9 |
| A.1.4 Summary of Response Rate Experience to Date..... | 12 |
| A.1.5 Statutory and Regulatory Information..... | 16 |
| A.1.6 <i>Federal Register</i> Notice..... | 23 |
| A.2 Uses, Products, and Services Based on the O*NET Program | 23 |
| A.2.1 The O*NET Database, O*NET OnLine, My Next Move, O*NET Career Tools, O*NET Training Academy, and O*NET Code Connector | 24 |
| A.2.2 O*NET Web Site Statistics | 27 |
| A.2.3 Examples of O*NET Data and Products in Use | 30 |
| A.2.4 Examples of the O*NET Program in Published Literature | 47 |
| A.2.5 Looking to the Future with Competency Models | 48 |
| A.3 Uses of Information Technology | 49 |
| A.3.1 Web Questionnaires | 50 |
| A.3.2 Project Web Site..... | 50 |
| A.3.3 The Case Management System and Data Collection Utilities..... | 52 |
| A.3.4 Additional Uses of the Internet for Data Collection | 53 |
| A.4 Efforts to Identify Duplication..... | 54 |
| A.5 Efforts to Minimize Burden on Small Establishments | 55 |
| A.6 Consequences of Collecting the Information Less Frequently..... | 56 |
| A.7 Special Circumstances..... | 57 |
| A.8 Consultation Outside the Agency..... | 58 |
| A.9 Payments or Gifts to Respondents | 59 |
| A.9.1 Incentives for the Point of Contact and the Employer | 59 |
| A.9.2 Incentives for the Employee | 60 |
| A.9.3 Incentives for Occupation Experts..... | 61 |
| A.10 Assurance of Privacy..... | 61 |
| A.11 Questions of a Sensitive Nature..... | 63 |
| A.12 Estimates of Annualized Hour Burden | 63 |
| A.13 Annual Reporting Burden Cost | 68 |
| A.14 Estimates of Annualized Cost to Government | 68 |
| A.15 Reasons for Program Changes or Adjustments Reported in Sections A.13 and A.14 | 68 |
| A.16 Time Schedule, Publication, and Analysis Plans | 69 |
| A.16.1 Data Analysis Tasks Conducted for Each Cycle..... | 69 |
| A.16.2 Creation of the Occupation Database | 73 |
| A.17 Display of Expiration Date | 73 |
| A.18 Exceptions to Certification Statement | 73 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|------------|
| B. | Collection of Information Employing Statistical Methods | 75 |
| B.1 | Sampling Universe, Sampling Methods, and Expected Response Rates | 75 |
| B.1.1 | Establishment Method | 75 |
| B.1.2 | Occupation Expert Method | 100 |
| B.2 | Procedures for the Collection of Information | 101 |
| B.2.1 | Establishment Method | 102 |
| B.2.2 | Occupation Expert Method | 108 |
| B.3 | Methods to Maximize Response Rates..... | 110 |
| B.4 | Tests of Procedures | 112 |
| B.4.1 | 1999 Pretest Experiments | 112 |
| B.4.2 | Wave 1.1 Experiment | 112 |
| B.4.3 | Point-of-Contact Incentive Experiment..... | 113 |
| B.4.4 | Experiments in Weight Trimming | 113 |
| B.4.5 | Experiments in Model-Aided Sampling | 114 |
| B.4.6 | Alternative Measures of Uncertainty | 114 |
| B.4.7 | Suppression of Estimates with Poor Precision..... | 115 |
| B.4.8 | Dual-Frame Sampling for Hard-to-Find Occupations | 115 |
| B.4.9 | Alternative Levels of Population Coverage | 116 |
| B.4.10 | Adaptive Total Design | 116 |
| B.4.11 | Analysis of Unit and Item Nonresponse | 116 |
| B.4.12 | Additional Tests of Procedures | 117 |
| B.5 | Statistical Consultants | 117 |
| C. | References | 119 |

List of Exhibits

| Number | | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 1. | O*NET Content Model..... | 3 |
| 2. | O*NET Data Collection Program Questionnaires | 4 |
| 3. | Establishment Method Data Collection Results | 12 |
| 4. | Occupation Expert Method Data Collection Results | 15 |
| 5. | O*NET Citations in Code of Federal Regulations | 18 |
| 6. | Database Updates..... | 24 |
| 7. | Main Organization Types Submitting O*NET Certifications..... | 29 |
| 8. | O*NET Product Downloads..... | 29 |
| 9. | Distribution of Frame and Sample Establishments by Employment Size | 56 |
| 10. | Estimate of Hour and Cost Burden by Year..... | 66 |
| 11. | Comparison of Hour and Cost Burden Between 2009–2011 and June 2012–May 2015..... | 70 |
| 12. | Data Analysis and Publication Schedule..... | 71 |
| 13. | Summary of Sample Selection Process..... | 80 |
| 14. | Half-Width of 95% Confidence Intervals | 88 |
| 15. | Classification of Establishments by Occupation Model-Aided Sampling Status..... | 93 |
| 16. | Overlap of Full and Supplemental Frames..... | 96 |
| 17. | Establishment Method Data Collection Flowchart..... | 104 |
| 18. | Occupation Expert Method Data Collection Flowchart | 110 |
| 19. | Statistical Consultants | 118 |

A. Justification

A.1 Circumstances of Information Collection

This Supporting Statement is a request and justification for a 3-year clearance from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to continue the Occupational Information Network (O*NET®) Data Collection Program. The O*NET Data Collection Program continually operates to populate and maintain a current database on the detailed characteristics of workers, occupations, and skills. The program uses an occupational taxonomy, the O*NET-SOC, which is based on the 2010 version of the Standard Occupational Classification (SOC) mandated by OMB for use by all federal agencies collecting occupational and labor market information. The O*NET-SOC comprises occupations at the most detailed level of the SOC and includes additional occupational specificity as needed. In addition, new and emerging occupations in high-growth sectors of the economy are being identified and added to the taxonomy. Data have been published for 874 O*NET-SOC occupations, 359 of which have undergone a second, more recent update. The O*NET Data Collection Program received initial OMB clearance in 1999 for a pretest and four subsequent clearances that have allowed main study data collection to continue without interruption since June 2001. Our current clearance expires May 31, 2012. This request is to continue to collect data on selected high-growth and new and emerging occupations for three more years (June 2012–May 2015), subject to annual budget levels.

The continued population of the O*NET database is important because the O*NET database is the most current and comprehensive standard source of occupational information in the United States. The O*NET Data Collection Program remains at the center of an extensive network of occupational and skill information used by a wide range of audiences, including individuals making career decisions; public agencies, such as workforce investment boards (WIBs) and One-Stop Career Centers, making training investment decisions; educational institutions preparing a future workforce; and employers making staffing and training decisions.

This program provides a common language and framework to facilitate communication about industry skill needs among business, education, and the workforce investment system. The resulting O*NET database also is used to develop industry competency models and occupational competency profiles. O*NET data include information about transferable skills that are used for skills gap analysis, facilitating a mobile workforce responsive to changing economic needs. The O*NET database and companion O*NET Career Exploration Tools are used by many private companies and public organizations to tailor applications to their needs and those of their

customers. The broad utility of the O*NET tools contributes to U.S. competitiveness in a global, 21st-century economy.¹

The O*NET Data Collection Program employs a multiple-method approach to updating the O*NET database. The primary method involves a two-stage sample design to survey establishments and workers in those establishments. When necessary, this method may be supplemented with a sample selected from additional sources, such as professional and trade association membership lists, resulting in a dual-frame approach. An alternative method, based on sampling from lists of identified occupation experts, is used for occupations for which the primary method is inefficient. This method is reserved for selected occupations, such as those with small employment scattered among many industries and those for which no employment data currently exist on which to base a sample, such as new and emerging occupations. With all methods, the O*NET survey instruments are used.² The rest of Section A describes the O*NET Program and reviews statutory and regulatory information.

A.1.1 What Is the O*NET Program?

The O*NET Program is a comprehensive system for collecting and disseminating information on occupational and worker requirements. The O*NET data supersede the U.S. Department of Labor's (DOL's) *Dictionary of Occupational Titles* (DOT) and provide additional occupational requirements not available in the DOT. The DOT is no longer updated or maintained by DOL.


As shown in Exhibit 1, the O*NET Program uses a data structure, the Content Model, to organize occupational information and to provide a common language of standardized and defined occupation descriptors and measures for use by all audiences. The O*NET Content Model is the result of extensive research, and its development is fully documented (Peterson, Mumford, Borman, Jeanneret, & Fleishman, 1995, pp. 2–6; Peterson, Mumford, Borman, et al., 1997; Peterson et al., 2001). It comprises worker-oriented and job-oriented characteristics at both an occupation-specific level and across occupations, as the exhibit illustrates.

Each of the six domains of the Content Model groups information hierarchically. For example, the Worker Characteristics domain contains four types of information: Abilities, Occupational Interests, Work Values, and Work Styles. From these four, the Abilities domain, in turn, contains four types of abilities: Cognitive, Psychomotor, Physical, and Sensory. Each of

¹ For details on the uses of the O*NET Program, see Section A.2. More information about the O*NET Data Collection Program can be found at the National O*NET Program's public Web site, <http://www.onetcenter.org/> (the O*NET portal page that links to several O*NET-related Web sites) and at <http://www.doleta.gov/programs/onet> (Web site of the Employment and Training Administration at the U.S. Department of Labor).

² For detailed information on these methods, see Sections B.1 and B.2.

Exhibit 1. O*NET Content Model



these types of abilities contains further levels of detail. For example, the Psychomotor type includes Fine Manipulative, Control Movement, and Reaction Time and Speed. Finally, Fine Manipulative contains three specific descriptors: Arm-Hand Steadiness, Manual Dexterity, and Finger Dexterity. Hierarchies both organize the information and allow for different levels of specificity. By organizing occupational information hierarchically, the O*NET taxonomies of information and SOC-based occupations facilitate the use of a common language to describe the world of work.

The O*NET Program takes the best knowledge about both content and methodology from the last 70 years of research, since the first DOT. The descriptors and rating scales for O*NET data were developed through extensive research, drawing primarily from job analysis in industrial/organizational psychology and human resource management (Peterson et al., 1995). The descriptors in the O*NET Program are meant to be exhaustive. The primary sources of data are job incumbents and occupation experts. The SOC system is used as the basis for classifying occupations. The use of questionnaires and rating scales reflects the most currently accepted approach to job analysis. The scales used for the O*NET ratings are Importance, Level, and Frequency. Each descriptor in the O*NET questionnaires may use one or more scales. For example, the O*NET Skills descriptor Coordination is rated on both a 5-point Importance scale and a 7-point Level scale. For the complete set of O*NET questionnaires, which include O*NET descriptors, see Appendix A.

Exhibit 2 summarizes the number of descriptors and scales in the O*NET Data Collection Program questionnaires. Descriptors are identified from O*NET Content Model domains. Data are collected by means of 239 descriptors that include 400 scales (e.g., Importance, Level, Frequency). Currently, to collect ratings for the Abilities and Skills domains,

Exhibit 2. O*NET Data Collection Program Questionnaires

| O*NET Data Collection Program Questionnaire | Number of Descriptors | Number of Scales per Descriptor | Total Number of Scales | Data Source |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| Skills | 35 | 2 | 70 | Analysts |
| Knowledge | 33 | 2 | 66 | Job incumbents |
| Work styles ^a | 16 | 1 | 16 | Job incumbents |
| Education and training ^a | 5 | 1 | 5 | Job incumbents |
| Generalized work activities | 41 | 2 | 82 | Job incumbents |
| Work context | 57 | 1 | 57 | Job incumbents |
| Abilities | 52 | 2 | 104 | Analysts |
| Tasks ^b | Varies | 2 | Varies | Job incumbents |
| Total (not including Tasks) | 239 | NA | 400 | NA |

Notes: Occupation experts use the same questionnaires as job incumbents for those occupations whose data collection is by the Occupation Expert Method. NA = not applicable.

^a The Knowledge Questionnaire packet also contains the Work Styles Questionnaire and the Education and Training Questionnaire.

^b All job incumbents are asked to complete a Task Questionnaire in addition to the domain questionnaire.

trained occupational analysts review updated information (e.g., Tasks, Generalized Work Activities) provided by job incumbents.³ No data collection is planned for the Workforce Characteristics domain. Information for it is provided through links to the employment, wage, and long-term projections databases produced by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), the state employment security agencies, and other agencies.

Versions of the O*NET Database

The first version of the O*NET database released to the public was O*NET 98. O*NET 98 moved from the DOT's more than 12,000 occupations to a more user-friendly 1,122 occupations based on the BLS Occupational Employment Statistics (OES) codes. The O*NET 98 database contained 306 descriptors and 684 scales. A review of O*NET 98-specific scales and descriptors during the preparation for pretest data collection led to some consolidation and refinement of descriptors and scales to reduce burden on the public and increase employee response rate.⁴

The O*NET 98 database was first replaced with the O*NET 3.1 database and has been updated 12 times as new data have been collected and analyzed. The current database, O*NET version 16.0, contains the same descriptors used in O*NET 98; however, the occupations have been restructured and coded to encompass the most detailed level of the 2010 SOC, with more occupational specificity added as needed. Research is under way to identify additional new and emerging occupations in high-growth industries. New occupations emerge because of changes in

³ For a discussion of the preferred data source, see Section A.1.2.

⁴ See *Revision of O*NET data collection instruments*, available at <http://www.onetcenter.org/resData.html>.

technology, society, law, business practices, and markets. As these new and emerging occupations are identified and their data are collected, they will be integrated into the O*NET-SOC occupation classification and database.

O*NET 16.0 has a Web-based accessing application, O*NET OnLine, which is available to the public at no cost at <http://online.onetcenter.org/>. An electronic version of the 16.0 database can be downloaded at <http://www.onetcenter.org/>. The O*NET 16.0 database has been restructured to incorporate improvements made to the O*NET data collection instruments and is the structure currently being offered to developers.

Data in the O*NET database include the mean ratings on each of the items (or descriptors) in the O*NET questionnaires. Ratings have been standardized to facilitate interpretation and comparison. In addition to mean rating data on Level and Importance for various questionnaire items, text information is also included on occupational definitions, descriptor definitions, scale anchors, and task descriptions.

The Foundation for the O*NET Program

The DOT, first published by DOL in 1939, provided the occupational classification and descriptions required for operation of the public employment service. Information for the DOT was collected primarily through observation and interview by trained occupational analysts. These collection techniques were applied to a small number of job incumbents from what can best be characterized as a convenience sample. The DOT has not been maintained or updated since 1991; many of its observations were conducted during the 1970s.

In the late 1980s the Employment and Training Administration (ETA) of DOL began a review of the DOT program. The purpose was to address concerns about the cost and difficulty of maintaining the DOT with existing methods and to address the need for additional and more current information. In 1990, as part of the DOT review, the Secretary of Labor appointed the Advisory Panel for the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles*. In response to its charge to advise on improvements to the DOT, this panel called for development of a new database of occupational information, a concept that evolved into the O*NET database. An important theme throughout the panel's recommendations was the development of a common language about jobs, occupations, and skills. The panel's complete report is presented in Appendix C.

A.1.2 The O*NET Data Collection Approach

The O*NET Data Collection Program is key to the continued effort to update the O*NET database to reflect the most current occupational skills and attributes, with special emphasis on high-growth sectors of the economy and new and emerging occupations. In the research leading to the O*NET Data Collection Program, various sources and methods for collecting occupational

information were examined, including collection of data from job incumbents and supervisors and development of ratings by occupation experts and occupational analysts. On the basis of this work, the O*NET team determined that the preferred source of data for most domains (Generalized Work Activities; Work Context; Knowledge; Education and Training; and Work Styles) is job incumbents. Other occupation experts, such as supervisors and trainers, may be used where access to job incumbents proves difficult or where the sampling of business establishments is inefficient.

By contrast, occupational analysts, who are provided with updated information from job incumbents, are preferred for the Abilities domain, which tends to be more abstract than the other domains. The Skills domain, whose variables are somewhat abstract, is a strong candidate for either source of collection; it is now updated by occupational analysts. A study conducted in 2006 found no clear evidence that one source of raters provides more valid or accurate data than the other for the Skills domain (Tsacoumis & Van Iddekinge, 2006).⁵ Consequently, considerations of relative practicality, such as cost, informed the decision to proceed with analyst ratings of both abilities and skills.

Previous studies comparing various sources of job analysis ratings suggest that incumbents are able to provide information across a variety of descriptor domains (Fleishman & Mumford, 1988; Peterson, Owens-Kurtz, Hoffman, Arabian, & Whetzel, 1990). In addition, “large samples of knowledgeable job incumbents are available, which should contribute to the reliability of the resulting descriptive system” (Peterson, Mumford, Levin, Green, & Waksberg, 1999, pp. 2–6). Furthermore, the world of work is constantly changing, and technological advancements are occurring so rapidly that an efficient, effective way to remain current and accurate is to obtain the information directly from those performing the work.

As part of a random sample, workers selected to participate in the O*NET Data Collection Program are asked to rate the requirements of their own jobs as defined by the O*NET questionnaire items. The responses are tabulated into statistics, such as mean ratings for each scale. Collecting representative information from job incumbents presents many challenges; among them is determining the best method for identifying a representative sample of job incumbents in each occupation. The Advisory Panel for the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles* had recommended using sampling techniques that would ensure the representativeness and accuracy of the occupational data. Sampling allows an estimate of the population. By contrast, no systematic sampling methods were used in the development of the original DOT. The O*NET

⁵ The goal of the study was to compare the psychometric quality of incumbent skills ratings with that of analyst skills ratings across a large sample of O*NET-SOCs. Although some mean differences between incumbent and analyst ratings were observed, the results yielded only minimal differences between the two systems of obtaining skills information, supporting previous research.

Program is concerned, in particular, with identifying sampling approaches that minimize burden on employers and the public, achieving broad coverage of the workers in each occupation, ensuring acceptable response rates, and supporting overall cost-efficiency.

Each sampling option has been evaluated in light of these criteria; each offers advantages and disadvantages. Three types of sampling frames are available for identifying samples of workers in each occupation: (1) lists of individual workers identified through professional and trade associations, licensing agencies, and unions; (2) households; and (3) employer establishments.

Identifying sampling frames of workers through professional and trade associations and unions retains the advantage of lower response burden because contacts with a sample of employers are replaced with contact with one or a few associations. Although it adds the cost of soliciting and maintaining association cooperation, this procedure also removes the cost of soliciting and maintaining employer cooperation. However, a major limitation of using special sampling frames of professional and trade associations and unions is coverage: rarely does association membership encompass a broad coverage of employment in the occupation. In addition, the membership of many associations consists of people in multiple occupations, retired individuals, and other interested parties. Moreover, few associations keep occupational information on their membership, causing the identification of job incumbents in a specific occupation to be problematic. Where coverage of employment in an occupation by association membership is significant and members of the occupation in the association can be identified, a special frame can sometimes be used to supplement the Establishment Method in a dual-frame sample design.⁶

Persons in various occupations could also be identified and interviewed using a sample of households. The primary disadvantage of this approach is cost. Because it is impossible to know what occupations would be represented by the employed members of a household, many households would have to be sampled and screened in order to identify and interview persons in specific occupations. As an example, consider that there are fewer than 100,000 veterinarians in the United States and about 61.3 million households. This means that more than 613 households must be screened to find just one veterinarian. Some occupations are much rarer and would require screening many households to locate and interview the required number for the O*NET survey. The cost of this approach would be prohibitive given that other, more economical options are available.

The Establishment Method provides the advantage of lower response burden and cost when compared with a household survey, as well as good coverage for the large majority of

⁶ This dual-frame sample design is further discussed in Section B.1.1.

occupations. Response burden and costs are lower for two primary reasons: (1) there are more workers per employer than per household, so fewer contacts are required to identify workers; and (2) employer contacts can be minimized by focusing on those most likely to employ workers in each occupation for which the sample is required. Efficient sample design is possible because the distribution of employment in an occupation is usually a function of the industry of the employer. Employment by occupation by industry is measured by the federal-state OES program national estimates provided by BLS.

The Establishment Method provides good coverage of wage and salary employment so long as an acceptable employer sampling frame is available. Coverage of self-employment is more difficult, although the sampling frame used in O*NET surveys includes many establishments operated by self-employed workers. According to BLS (2011), coverage of unpaid family workers in an employer sampling frame is minimal or zero, but the number of workers involved is quite small (84,000 nationwide in 2010).

The Establishment Method, pretested in 1999 and 2000, remains the primary way to update the O*NET database; most data are currently collected this way.⁷ Achieving high response rates with the Establishment Method can be problematic, however, because it requires cooperation at two levels: that of the employer and that of the sampled worker. To date, high levels of cooperation nonetheless have been attained, and this method has proved successful. Although the resulting response rates have been acceptable, the O*NET team continually works to enhance response rates.⁸

An alternative method using occupation experts is employed to optimize the use of burden hours and resources because some occupations are difficult to sample efficiently. This situation occurs when it is difficult to locate industries or establishments with occupation incumbents, employment is low, or employment data are not available, as is the case for many new and emerging occupations. With the Occupation Expert (OE) Method, persons considered experts in the target occupation are surveyed. These experts include supervisors and trainers, as well as experienced job incumbents. The limitation of the OE Method is that locating experts can be difficult. For some occupations, identifying a professional association proves difficult; in other cases the association may lack membership information sufficient to identify experts for a specific occupation.

Using the most appropriate sources of information (e.g., workers, occupation experts, and analysts) and a multiple-method approach, the O*NET Data Collection Program efficiently collects and yields high-quality occupational data.

⁷ For a description of the pretest, see Section B.4.1.

⁸ For a discussion of current and future efforts to improve response rates, see Section B.3.

A.1.3 Summary of the O*NET Data Collection Process

The O*NET data collection process is broadly summarized here and detailed later in separate sections of this Supporting Statement.

Sample Design

The O*NET Program sampling approaches are designed to create and update the O*NET database in a highly cost-efficient and timely manner while maximizing the reliability of the information in it. The primary method for collecting this information is the Establishment Method, a survey of workers employed in a national probability sample of establishments. Approximately 75% of occupations are completed by the Establishment Method. The method uses a stratified two-stage design. At the first stage, a sample of businesses is selected from a national database of more than 15 million establishments provided by Dun & Bradstreet (D&B). The sample is selected with probability proportional to the expected number of employed workers in the specific occupations being surveyed. At the second stage, a sample of workers is selected in the occupations within the sampled businesses.

For selected occupations that are difficult to complete and additional observations are required, a special frame, such as a professional or trade association membership list, is sometimes used to supplement the D&B sample. The sample selection procedures vary across associations, depending on the type of information available on association members. In general, association lists are sampled with a single-stage, stratified simple random sampling approach. Stratification by geographic location and occupation subspecialty is considered if it is appropriate for the occupation.⁹

The OE Method is considered for use when the Establishment Method would likely be problematic because of occupations with very low rates of employment, new and emerging occupations lacking industry employment data, or occupations whose incumbents are in remote locations that are difficult to access. The OE Method can be used only if the occupation is well represented by one or more professional or trade associations that are willing and able to identify experts in the target occupation. For this method, stratified samples of experts are selected from lists of potential respondents. These potential experts are questioned to determine whether they meet the specified criteria to serve as an occupation expert for their respective occupation. Approximately 25% of the O*NET-SOC occupations are completed by the OE Method.¹⁰

Data Collection

Data collection operations are conducted at the contractor's Operations Center in Raleigh, North Carolina, and its Survey Support Department in Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.

⁹ For additional information about sampling with the Establishment Method, see Section B.1.2.

¹⁰ For additional information about sampling with the OE Method, see Section B.1.3.

For the Establishment Method, the Operations Center's Business Liaisons (BLs) contact sample business establishments, secure the participation of a point of contact (POC), and work with the POC to carry out data collection in the target occupations. The data are provided by randomly selected employees in the occupations of interest. All within-establishment data collection is coordinated by the POC; the BLs do not contact employees directly. After the POC agrees to participate, informational materials and questionnaires are mailed to the POC, who distributes the questionnaires to the sampled employees. Completed questionnaires are returned directly to the survey contractor for processing. Respondents also have the option of completing the survey online.

The Establishment Method works well for most occupations. Occasionally, however, the standard protocol is supplemented with a special frame, such as a professional association membership list, when additional observations are required to complete data collection for an occupation. The primary difference with this approach is that the supplemental respondents are sampled directly from the special frame and contacted directly by the BLs, without involvement of a sampled establishment or a POC.

Survey support staff mail materials to POCs, job incumbents, and occupation experts, and they receive and process completed questionnaires returned by respondents. Both the telephone operations of the BLs and the mailing and questionnaire-receipt operations of the survey support staff are supported by a Case Management System (CMS). Data-entry software supports the keying and verification of incoming survey data.

Three domain questionnaires are used to collect data from sampled workers: Knowledge (including Work Styles and Education and Training), Generalized Work Activities, and Work Context. Each sampled worker is randomly assigned one of the three questionnaires. The workers are also asked to provide basic demographic information and to complete a brief task inventory for their specific occupation. Workers may complete the paper questionnaire and return it by mail, or they may choose to complete the questionnaire online at the project Web site. Questionnaires are available in Spanish for selected O*NET-SOC occupations. Data for two domains, Abilities and Skills, are provided by trained analysts because of the more abstract nature of the questions.¹¹

Data Cleaning; Identification and Evaluation of Anomalous Cases

Data cleaning procedures eliminate completely blank questionnaires and insert consistent analysis codes for legitimate skips, blank items, and invalid responses. Anomalous cases are identified so respondents may be removed whose responses either suggest that they are not working in the occupation of interest or are highly inconsistent with those of the others

¹¹ For the list of questionnaires, number of items and scales, and data sources, see Exhibit 2.

responding for the occupation. Unusable cases are identified according to prescribed eligibility criteria, such as percentage of items completed. Cases with certain questionable characteristics are flagged for further analysis. These flagged cases include cases with response patterns deviating from those of other cases in the occupation and cases with write-in job titles that do not appear to match the occupation. Responses judged invalid by expert reviewers are excluded from the analysis file.¹²

Weighting and Estimation

Estimates generated from O*NET survey data are computed with sampling weights that compensate for the unequal probabilities of selecting establishments, occupations within establishments, and employees within each selected occupation. In addition, these base weights are adjusted to further compensate for multiple subwaves of sampling, sample adjustment, population under- and overcoverage caused by frame imperfections, and nonresponse at both the establishment and the employee levels.

These weight adjustments can lead to weights that are very large or very small compared with the weights for other sample units. Such weight variability may increase the standard error estimates. When the variation in the weights is large, it is desirable to trim the weights to reduce the variation. For the O*NET estimates, the weighting process involves a weight trimming procedure in which extremely large or small weights are truncated to fall within a specified range. Although trimming weights can introduce bias in the estimates, the variance reduction it achieves usually offsets the potential bias, resulting in estimates with smaller net mean squared errors.

Based on a pooled sample of all completed waves, final estimates are produced. Estimates are computed by summing the weighted observations and dividing by the sum of the weights. Standard errors are estimated with the first-order Taylor series approximation of deviations of estimates from their expected values. These design-based variance estimates are computed with SUDAAN[®] software (RTI International, 2004). These estimates properly account for the combined effects of clustering, stratification, and unequal weighting—all of which are present in the O*NET data. In addition, estimates with questionable precision are flagged “recommended for suppression” in the O*NET database.¹³

Analysis

Nonresponse is analyzed at multiple levels. Establishment nonresponse in the O*NET Data Collection Program can occur at the verification, screening, recruiting, or sampling stages

¹² For a description of both processes, see Section A.16.1.

¹³ For more information on the calculation of weights and variance estimates, see Section B.1.1. For information on the suppression of estimates, see Section A.16.1.

of selection. Employee-level nonresponse occurs when a selected employee fails to complete and return a questionnaire. Because these same characteristics of establishments and employees were used to adjust the analysis weights, compensation is made to further reduce the size and impact of any nonresponse bias. In addition, item-level nonresponse is assessed, and item response rates are high—generally higher than 90% for Likert scale items, with most exceeding 95%.

For each O*NET-SOC occupation, the degree of interrater reliability (the covariation among ratings) and the level of interrater agreement (the absolute difference among ratings) is calculated annually. The results of the analyses are used to examine the potential sources of variability across respondents in a specific occupation. As part of a continuous improvement process, these results also inform an evaluation of the O*NET-SOC occupational taxonomy, Content Model descriptors, and scales.¹⁴

A.1.4 Summary of Response Rate Experience to Date

Establishment Method

Data collection for the O*NET Data Collection Program began in June 2001 and has been in continuous operation since then. Exhibit 3 shows our cumulative response rate experience as of December 31, 2011.

Exhibit 3. Establishment Method Data Collection Results

| | |
|--|---------|
| Sampled establishments | 203,266 |
| Eligible establishments | 163,834 |
| Participating establishments | 124,598 |
| Establishment response rate (eligible establishments/participating establishments) | 76.1% |
| Eligible employees | 248,507 |
| Participating employees | 161,505 |
| Employee response rate (eligible employees/participating employees) | 65.0% |

As indicated, more than 124,000 establishments and 161,000 employees have responded to the survey request, resulting in an establishment response rate of 76% and an employee response rate of 65%. Although it is difficult to make response rate comparisons between the O*NET Data Collection Program and other establishment surveys, these rates compare favorably with those of other establishment surveys.

¹⁴ For a description of analysis processes, see Sections A.16.1 and A.16.2.

Some of the design characteristics that make the O*NET Program unique are as follows:

- ***Voluntary rather than mandatory participation.*** Experiments conducted by the U.S. Bureau of the Census suggest that simply informing respondents that their response is mandatory adds about 20 percentage points to overall response (Tulp, Hoy, Kusch, & Cole, 1991; Worden & Hoy, 1992). Because the survey is voluntary, O*NET response rates would be expected to be 10 to 20 percentage points lower than those for the average federally mandated survey.
- ***No direct, personal contact with the survey respondents by the survey organization conducting the data collection.*** The O*NET Program requirement of respondent anonymity means that participation at the employee level relies exclusively on the interactions between the POC and the employee. The survey organization is not allowed to speak to the employee to respond to questions, motivate responses, or follow up on noncompliance. In their review of establishment mail survey response rates, Paxon, Dillman, and Tarnai (1995) found that establishment surveys featuring anonymous mailings typically have lower response rates (by as many as 30 percentage points) than surveys featuring direct, personal contact with the respondents.
- ***Participation required at three stages of response—establishment level, point of contact level, and employee level.*** The typical establishment survey requires participation at only one or two levels: the establishment level and, in some cases, the POC level. By contrast, for the O*NET Program three often distinct entities must agree to participate: the establishment administration, the POC identified in the screening interview, and the employee who is asked to complete the questionnaire. Because very few surveys incorporate such a design, survey methods literature is essentially devoid of examples on which to base a reasonable response rate expectation for the O*NET Data Collection Program. However, it is possible to compare O*NET response rates at each stage with other establishment surveys that incorporate these stages either separately or in combination. For example, the O*NET establishment-level response rate can be compared with other mail establishment surveys having only one response stage at the establishment level. In addition, the O*NET employee-level response rate can be compared with the response rate of other establishments' self-conducted employee surveys.

A high level of nonresponse is a threat to the accuracy of the estimates. For this reason, the O*NET data collection protocol (described in Sections B.2 and 0B.3) was designed to achieve the highest response rates possible within respondent burden and survey cost constraints. Paxon and colleagues (1995) analyzed the response rates for 46 surveys conducted by both governmental and nongovernmental organizations. Among the surveys in their study, 26 were conducted by the Social and Economic Sciences Research Center (SESRC) at Washington State University, and 20 were conducted by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. The SESRC, directed by Dr. Donald Dillman, is well known for its development of the Total Design Method approach to mail surveys (Dillman, 1978, 2000) and its high response rates in implementing this method. Furthermore, the 20 U.S. Census Bureau surveys include 12 well-established, ongoing

mandatory surveys. The average response rate for all 46 surveys is 63%, but, if only voluntary surveys are considered, the average response rate drops to 55%. These results suggest that, for this type of survey, the O*NET establishment-level response rate of 76.1% exceeds expectations.

In a more recent review of four major voluntary establishment-based surveys conducted by BLS, initial unweighted data collection response rates (defined as the percentage of sampled establishments that agreed to provide any of the requested data), ranged from 66% to 87% (Petroni, Sigman, Willimack, Cohen, & Tucker, 2004). Additionally, the Census Bureau's Monthly Retail Sales Survey and Monthly Wholesale Survey, both of which are voluntary mail surveys with a telephone follow-up, show cooperation rates ranging between 66% and 80% (W. Davie, personal communication, March 23, 2011). The O*NET establishment-level response rate of 76% falls well within the midrange of the Census Bureau's response rates range.

Comparisons of the O*NET employee response rate can be made with surveys that directly sample employees within an establishment. Because federally sponsored surveys of employees within organizations are rare, the literature on their response rates is sparse. Most surveys of this type are employee satisfaction surveys. For example, one well-documented government-sponsored survey of employees is the Public Service Employee Survey, administered to about 258,000 employees of the federal Public Service of Canada and conducted by Statistics Canada in 2008 (Treasury Board of Canada Secretariat, 2009, May). A questionnaire was delivered to each employee by a government agent who personally requested that the employee complete the questionnaire and return it by mail. Multiple follow-ups of nonrespondents were made by e-mail and interoffice mail to maximize the response rate. No incentive was used, however; because all sample members were also employees of the organization conducting the survey and could fill out the survey on government time, the use of an incentive was thought to be unnecessary. The final overall response rate for the survey was 66%.

The Office of Personnel Management (OPM) developed the Organizational Assessment Survey (OAS) and has encouraged all federal agencies to survey their employees in order to evaluate organizational performance, benchmark best practices, and align performance with important and measurable outcomes. The experience OPM has had in implementing these surveys in numerous federal agencies provides some evidence of response rates for employee surveys conducted by the U.S. federal government. The OAS design closely resembles the Canadian Public Service Employee Survey design. The surveys are self-administered and are conducted by each agency for its own employees. Furthermore, like the Public Service Employee Survey, the OAS request to participate is personalized and made directly to the employee by his or her employer. The features of the design offer a significant advantage over the O*NET survey design, as previously noted.

Although the results of the OAS surveys are not publicly available, an official at OPM was able to provide some general information regarding OAS response rates (C. Simons, personal communication, March 21, 2002). According to OPM, response rates for OAS surveys vary considerably by agency, from 30% to 80%. However, the average response across all agencies is approximately 57%. The Employee Viewpoint Survey (L. Pollack, personal communication, March 23, 2011), a biannual census administered to hundreds of thousands of full-time federal employees across U.S. government agencies, yielded response rates of 54% in 2004, 57% in 2006, 51% in 2008, and 52% in 2010 (U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 2010) Additionally, Roth and BeVier (1998), who conducted a meta-analysis of 173 surveys in the field of human resource management and organization behavior, found that surveys implementing many of the response-rate-enhancing features of the O*NET survey had response rates in the range of 23% to 78%, with a median of 51%. These results suggest that the O*NET employee response rate of 64.9% exceeds expectations for mail surveys of employees within their organizations.

Although results from the survey methods literature and from other federal surveys in the United States and in Canada suggest that a 76% establishment response rate and a 65% employee response rate exceed expectations for federal surveys that use similar data collection approaches, methods for further improving response rates will continue to be explored, and response rates are fully expected to continue to increase as the O*NET Data Collection Program progresses.¹⁵

Occupation Expert Method

The OE Method is a much smaller but still important component of the O*NET Data Collection Program protocol. Exhibit 4 shows our response rate experience with this method as of December 31, 2011.

Exhibit 4. Occupation Expert Method Data Collection Results

| | |
|--|--------|
| Sampled occupation experts | 10,081 |
| Eligible occupation experts | 7,580 |
| Participating occupation experts | 6,050 |
| Occupation expert response rate (eligible occupation experts/participating occupation experts) | 79.8% |

As indicated, 6,050 of 7,580 eligible OEs have participated, for a response rate of 80%. This response rate is higher than the employee response rate for the Establishment Method and may be due to factors such as personalized direct contact, greater total incentive, and generally

¹⁵ See Section B.3 for a description of methods used to maximize response rates and evaluate the potential for nonresponse bias.

higher education levels of the eligible population. Some factors may counteract these positive effects, including greater respondent burden and task complexity, as well as potentially less available time for occupations than for the average employee.

A.1.5 Statutory and Regulatory Information

Although the O*NET name is not referenced specifically in statutes, it is cited at least six times in the U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (C.F.R.), and the DOT—which O*NET largely replaced—is cited 27 times in the C.F.R. (the DOT was formerly cited in the statutes, but there are no current statutory references to it). Furthermore, O*NET information is the foundational common language for fulfilling the statutory and regulatory responsibilities discussed in this section.

Section 309 of the Workforce Investment Act ("Workforce Investment Act, Pub. L. 105-220, 112 Stat. 936 (1998),") requires the Secretary of Labor to oversee the "development, maintenance, and continuous improvement of a nationwide employment statistics system," which shall include, among other components, "skill trends by occupation and industry." The O*NET program is the primary means for collecting skills information across all occupations. Updating the entire O*NET database is a critical component of the nationwide labor market information system to support employer, workforce, and education information needs.

The WIA contains numerous references that identify the need for information on the skill requirements of jobs. For example, Section 154 requires local determinations of the "skills and education that are necessary to obtain the employment opportunities" in the local area, and Section 134 requires provision of "information on job skills necessary" for obtaining jobs listed for the local area.

Other WIA references address the need for information on the skills of individuals. For example, Section 134 provides for assessment services to identify "the skill levels and service needs of adults and dislocated workers." Section 129 allows funds to be used to provide youth with an assessment "which shall include a review of basic skills, occupational skills, prior work experience, employability, interests, aptitudes (including interests and aptitudes for nontraditional jobs), supportive service needs, and development needs of such participant...." In section 136, the "attainment of basic skills and, as appropriate, work readiness or occupational skills" may be included as performance indicators for youth programs. The O*NET Career Exploration Tools, including the O*NET Interest Profiler and O*NET Work Importance Locator, are such assessment tools, designed specifically to relate a person's interests and work values to the information on education and skill requirements for occupations in the O*NET database.

Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended, specifies that persons with disabilities shall have access to and use of the same information that persons without disabilities have. To comply with this section, the O*NET Data Collection Program designed its online application, O*NET OnLine, to ensure that the data and information are accessible to the widest possible audience, including persons with disabilities. O*NET OnLine also provides links to several accommodation and disability resources on the Internet. Furthermore, the O*NET program Web site, DOL ETA's O*NET Web site, and O*NET OnLine have all been "Bobby Approved," indicating that the Center for Applied Special Technology has deemed them accessible to persons with disabilities.

The Secretary of Labor's Strategic Plan includes O*NET within Strategic Goal 1: Prepare workers for good jobs and ensure fair compensation. O*NET also addresses the following Outcome Goals under Strategic Goal 1.

- Outcome Goal 1.2: Assure skills and knowledge that prepare workers to succeed in a knowledge-based economy, including in high growth and emerging industry sectors like "green" jobs.
- Outcome Goal 1.3: Help workers who are in low-wage jobs or out of the labor market find a path into middle-class jobs.
- Outcome Goal 1.4: Help middle-class families remain in the middle class.

The purpose of the proposed data collection effort is to keep O*NET skills information current, which is key to supporting the needs of customers through the wide variety of public- and private-sector products that depend on the availability, quality, and currency of O*NET information. O*NET supplies the common language on skills, knowledge, abilities, and other work requirements and characteristics.

Finally, the predecessor to the O*NET database, the DOT, is frequently cited as a source of occupational information in support of Federal programs. The 27 citations of the DOT in the C.F.R. include references to determining disability, administering DOL programs, and administering immigration, civil rights, and labor standards law. DOL officials responsible for the O*NET Program work with various Federal users of the DOT, some of whom have made the transition either in regulatory changes or in practices and procedures. These include State Department officials responsible for visas, the U.S. Office of Apprenticeship, and the Office of Foreign Labor Certification. The specific C.F.R. citations appear in Exhibit 5.

Exhibit 5. O*NET Citations in Code of Federal Regulations

1. [20 C.F.R. 651.10 - Definitions of terms used in parts 651-658.](#) [PDF 62 KB] [p. 432]
Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 20: Employees' Benefits. Part 651: GENERAL PROVISIONS GOVERNING THE FEDERAL-STATE EMPLOYMENT SERVICE SYSTEM. Friday, April 1, 2011.
... Occupational Information Network (**O*NET**) means the online reference database...work styles, and work values. **O*NET-SOC** means Standard Occupational...calculating and disseminating data. DOL uses **O*NET-SOC** titles and codes for the... [More Information](#)
Historical editions have been hidden from results. [Show all editions.](#)
2. [20 C.F.R. 656.3 - Definitions, for purposes of this part, of terms used in this part.](#) [PDF 59 KB] [p. 752]
Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 20: Employees' Benefits. Subpart A: Purpose and Scope of Part 656. Friday, April 1, 2011.
...and Nationality Act, as amended. **O*NET** means the system developed by the...levels associated with occupations. **O*NET** is based on the Standard Occupational...system. Further information about **O*NET** can be found at... [More Information](#)
Historical editions have been hidden from results. [Show all editions.](#)
3. [20 C.F.R. 655.1308 - Offered wage rate.](#) [PDF 52 KB] [p. 738]
Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 20: Employees' Benefits. Subpart N: Labor Certification Process for Temporary Agricultural Employment in the United States (H-2A Workers). Friday, April 1, 2011.
...experience that are generally required as described in the **O*NET** Job Zones. (3) Level III wage rates are assigned...educational degrees that are at the higher ranges indicated in the **O*NET** Job Zones would be an indicator that a Level III... [More Information](#)
Historical editions have been hidden from results. [Show all editions.](#)
4. [20 C.F.R. 656.17 - Basic labor certification process.](#) [PDF 67 KB] [p. 763]
Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 20: Employees' Benefits. Subpart C: Labor Certification Process. Friday, April 1, 2011.
...the occupation and must not exceed the Specific Vocational Preparation level assigned to the occupation as shown in the **O*NET** Job Zones. To establish a business necessity, an employer must demonstrate the job duties and requirements bear a... [More Information](#)
Historical editions have been hidden from results. [Show all editions.](#)
5. [20 C.F.R. 653.103 - MSFW job applications.](#) [PDF 54 KB] [p. 444]
Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 20: Employees' Benefits. Subpart B: Services for Migrant and Seasonal Farmworkers (MSFWs). Friday, April 1, 2011.
...ES-511). Additional Occupational Informational Network (**O*NET**) codes or keywords shall be assigned, where appropriate...does not require completion of secondary cards, additional **O*NET-SOC** codes shall be noted on the primary application... [More Information](#)
Historical editions have been hidden from results. [Show all editions.](#)
6. [22 C.F.R. 42.33 - Diversity immigrants.](#) [PDF 62 KB] [p. 208]
Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 22: Foreign Relations. Subpart D: Immigrants Subject to Numerical Limitations. Friday, April 1, 2011.
...and Diversity Visa Programs occurring in subsequent fiscal years, consular officers must use the Department of Labor's **O*Net** On Line to determine qualifying work experience. (4) Limitation on number of petitions per year. No more... [More Information](#)
Historical editions have been hidden from results. [Show all editions.](#)

continued

Exhibit 5. O*NET Citations in the Code of Federal Regulations (continued)

7. [20 C.F.R. 655.730 - What is the process for filing a labor condition application?](#) [PDF 55 KB]
Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 20: Employees' Benefits. Subpart H: Labor Condition Applications and Requirements for Employers Seeking To Employ Nonimmigrants on H-1b Visas in Specialty Occupations and as Fashion Models, and Requirements for Employers Seeking To Employ Nonimmigrants on H-1b1 and E-3 Visas in Specialty Occupations. Friday, April 1, 2011.
...occupational classification for which the LCA is being submitted and shall state: (i) The occupation, by **Dictionary of Occupational Titles** (DOT) Three-Digit Occupational Groups code and by the employer's own title for the job; (ii)... More Information
Historical editions have been hidden from results. Show all editions.
8. [20 C.F.R. 655.940 - Employer attestations.](#) [PDF 65 KB]
Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 20: Employees' Benefits. Subpart J: Attestations by Employers Using F-1 Students in Off-Campus Work. Friday, April 1, 2011.
...which the attestation is provided, state the occupational division in which the position is located, by **Dictionary of Occupational Titles** (DOT) Two-Digit Occupational Divisions code, and shall state the rate(s) of pay for the... More Information
Historical editions have been hidden from results. Show all editions.
9. [20 C.F.R. 627.240 - On-the-job training.](#) [PDF 55 KB]
Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 20: Employees' Benefits. Subpart B: Program Requirements. Friday, April 1, 2011.
...average training time, consideration should be given to recognized reference materials, such as the "**Dictionary of Occupational Titles**" (DOT) and employer training plans. Such materials need not be limited to the DOT, however.... More Information
Historical editions have been hidden from results. Show all editions.
10. [20 C.F.R. 655.10 - Determination of prevailing wage for temporary labor certification purposes.](#) [PDF 55 KB]
Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 20: Employees' Benefits. Subpart A: Labor Certification Process and Enforcement of Attestations for Temporary Employment in Occupations Other Than Agriculture or Registered Nursing in the United States (H-2B Workers). Friday, April 1, 2011.
...DBA, or SCA wage available for the job opportunity; (B) The job opportunity was not listed in the **Dictionary of Occupational Titles** (DOT) and is not listed in the Standard Occupational Classification (SOC) system, or if the job... More Information
Historical editions have been hidden from results. Show all editions.
11. [29 C.F.R. 553.103 - "Same type of services" defined.](#) [PDF 53 KB]
Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 29: Labor. Subpart B: Volunteers. Thursday, July 1, 2010.
...the duties and other factors contained in the definitions of the 3-digit categories of occupations in the **Dictionary of Occupational Titles** in determining whether the volunteer activities constitute the "same type of services" as the... More Information
Historical editions have been hidden from results. Show all editions.
12. [41 C.F.R. 60-3.15 - Documentation of impact and validity evidence.](#) [PDF 69 KB]
Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 41: Public Contracts and Property Management. Subjgrp: Documentation of Impact and Validity Evidence. Thursday, July 1, 2010.
...s) from U.S. Employment Service's **Dictionary of Occupational Titles**. (5) Criterion measures. The bases...the United States Employment Service's **dictionary of occupational titles**. (6) Selection procedure. The... More Information
Historical editions have been hidden from results. Show all editions.
-

continued

Exhibit 5. O*NET Citations in the Code of Federal Regulations (continued)

13. [29 C.F.R. 553.30 - Occasional or sporadic employment-section 7\(p\)\(2\)](#). [PDF 53 KB]
Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 29: Labor. Subjgrp: Other Exemptions. Thursday, July 1, 2010.
...the duties and other factors contained in the definitions of the 3-digit categories of occupations in the **Dictionary of Occupational Titles** (except in the case of public safety employees as discussed below in section (3)), as well as... More Information
Historical editions have been hidden from results. Show all editions.
14. [28 C.F.R. 50.14 - Guidelines on employee selection procedures](#). [PDF 129 KB]
Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 28: Judicial Administration. Part 50: STATEMENTS OF POLICY. Thursday, July 1, 2010.
...s) from U.S. Employment Service's **Dictionary of Occupational Titles**. (5) Criterion measures. The bases...the United States Employment Service's **dictionary of occupational titles**. (6) Selection procedure. The... More Information
Historical editions have been hidden from results. Show all editions.
15. [34 C.F.R. 600.2 - Definitions](#). [PDF 58 KB]
Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 34: Education. Subpart A: General. Thursday, July 1, 2010.
... An occupation that is— (1) Listed in an “occupational division” of the latest edition of the **Dictionary of Occupational Titles**, published by the U.S. Department of Labor; or (2) Determined by the Secretary in... More Information
Historical editions have been hidden from results. Show all editions.
16. [29 C.F.R. 1607.15 - Documentation of impact and validity evidence](#). [PDF 70 KB]
Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 29: Labor. Documentation of Impact and Validity Evidence. Thursday, July 1, 2010.
...s) from U.S. Employment Service's **Dictionary of Occupational Titles**. (5) Criterion measures. The bases...the United States Employment Service's **dictionary of occupational titles**. (6) Selection procedure. The... More Information
Historical editions have been hidden from results. Show all editions.
17. [20 C.F.R. 404.1567 - Physical exertion requirements](#). [PDF 53 KB]
Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 20: Employees' Benefits. Vocational Considerations. Thursday, April 1, 2010.
...sedentary, light, medium, heavy, and very heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the **Dictionary of Occupational Titles**, published by the Department of Labor. In making disability determinations under this subpart, we... More Information
Historical editions have been hidden from results. Show all editions.
18. [20 C.F.R. 220.131 - Work which exists in the national economy](#). [PDF 51 KB]
Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 20: Employees' Benefits. Subpart K: Vocational Considerations. Thursday, April 1, 2010.
...Board determines that unskilled, sedentary, light and medium jobs exist in the national economy: (1) **Dictionary of Occupational Titles**, published by the Department of Labor. (2) County Business Patterns, published by the... More Information
Historical editions have been hidden from results. Show all editions.
-

continued

Exhibit 5. O*NET Citations in the Code of Federal Regulations (continued)

19. [20 C.F.R. 220.134 - Medical-vocational guidelines in appendix 2 of this part.](#) [PDF 51 KB]
 Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 20: Employees' Benefits. Subpart K: Vocational Considerations. Thursday, April 1, 2010.
 ...Considerations § 220.134 Medical-vocational guidelines in appendix 2 of this part. (a) The **Dictionary of Occupational Titles** includes information about jobs (classified by their exertional and skill requirements) that exist in... More Information
 Historical editions have been hidden from results. Show all editions.
20. [20 C.F.R. 220.135 - Exertional and nonexertional limitations.](#) [PDF 51 KB]
 Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 20: Employees' Benefits. Subpart K: Vocational Considerations. Thursday, April 1, 2010.
 ...Board uses the classification of jobs by exertional levels (strength demands) which is contained in the **Dictionary of Occupational Titles** published by the Department of Labor, to determine the exertional requirements of work which exists... More Information
 Historical editions have been hidden from results. Show all editions.
21. [20 C.F.R. 404.1569a - Exertional and nonexertional limitations.](#) [PDF 56 KB]
 Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 20: Employees' Benefits. Vocational Considerations. Thursday, April 1, 2010.
 ...how we use the classification of jobs by exertional levels (strength demands) which is contained in the **Dictionary of Occupational Titles** published by the Department of Labor, to determine the exertional requirements of work which exists... More Information
 Historical editions have been hidden from results. Show all editions.
22. [20 C.F.R. 220.13 - Establishment of permanent disability for work in regular railroad occupation.](#) [PDF 54 KB]
 Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 20: Employees' Benefits. Subpart C: Disability Under the Railroad Retirement Act for Work in an Employee's Regular Railroad Occupation. Thursday, April 1, 2010.
 ...employer on the appropriate form set forth in appendix 3 of this part, and consult other sources such as the **Dictionary of Occupational Titles** and the job descriptions of occupations found in the Occupational Disability Claims Manual, as provided... More Information
 Historical editions have been hidden from results. Show all editions.
23. [20 C.F.R. Appendix 2 to Part 220 - Medical-Vocational Guidelines](#) [PDF 63 KB]
 Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 20: Employees' Benefits. Part 220: DETERMINING DISABILITY. Thursday, April 1, 2010.
 ...various functional levels (sedentary, light, medium, heavy, and very heavy) as supported by the "**Dictionary of Occupational Titles**" and the "Occupational Outlook Handbook," published by the Department of Labor; the "County Business... More Information
 Historical editions have been hidden from results. Show all editions.
24. [20 C.F.R. 404.1566 - Work which exists in the national economy.](#) [PDF 53 KB]
 Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 20: Employees' Benefits. Vocational Considerations. Thursday, April 1, 2010.
 ...available from various governmental and other publications. For example, we will take notice of— (1) **Dictionary of Occupational Titles**, published by the Department of Labor; (2) County Business Patterns, published by the... More Information
 Historical editions have been hidden from results. Show all editions.
-

continued

Exhibit 5. O*NET Citations in the Code of Federal Regulations (continued)

25. [20 C.F.R. 404.1569 - Listing of Medical-Vocational Guidelines in appendix 2.](#) [PDF 50 KB]
Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 20: Employees' Benefits. Vocational Considerations. Thursday, April 1, 2010.
...Vocational Considerations § 404.1569 Listing of Medical-Vocational Guidelines in appendix 2. The **Dictionary of Occupational Titles** includes information about jobs (classified by their exertional and skill requirements) that... More Information
Historical editions have been hidden from results. Show all editions.
26. [20 C.F.R. Appendix 2 to Subpart P of... - Medical-Vocational Guidelines](#) [PDF 79 KB]
Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 20: Employees' Benefits. Subpart P: Determining Disability and Blindness. Thursday, April 1, 2010.
...various functional levels (sedentary, light, medium, heavy, and very heavy) as supported by the “**Dictionary of Occupational Titles**” and the “Occupational Outlook Handbook,” published by the Department of Labor; the “County Business... More Information
Historical editions have been hidden from results. Show all editions.
27. [20 C.F.R. 416.969 - Listing of Medical-Vocational Guidelines in appendix 2 of subpart P of part 404 of this chapter.](#) [PDF 50 KB]
Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 20: Employees' Benefits. Vocational Considerations. Thursday, April 1, 2010.
...Listing of Medical-Vocational Guidelines in appendix 2 of subpart P of part 404 of this chapter. The **Dictionary of Occupational Titles** includes information about jobs (classified by their exertional and skill requirements) that... More Information
Historical editions have been hidden from results. Show all editions.
28. [20 C.F.R. 416.960 - When we will consider your vocational background.](#) [PDF 53 KB]
Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 20: Employees' Benefits. Vocational Considerations. Thursday, April 1, 2010.
...may use the services of vocational experts or vocational specialists, or other resources, such as the “**Dictionary of Occupational Titles**” and its companion volumes and supplements, published by the Department of Labor, to obtain... More Information
Historical editions have been hidden from results. Show all editions.
29. [20 C.F.R. 416.967 - Physical exertion requirements.](#) [PDF 53 KB]
Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 20: Employees' Benefits. Vocational Considerations. Thursday, April 1, 2010.
...sedentary, light, medium, heavy, and very heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the **Dictionary of Occupational Titles**, published by the Department of Labor. In making disability determinations under this subpart, we... More Information
Historical editions have been hidden from results. Show all editions.
30. [20 C.F.R. 416.969a - Exertional and nonexertional limitations.](#) [PDF 53 KB]
Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 20: Employees' Benefits. Vocational Considerations. Thursday, April 1, 2010.
...how we use the classification of jobs by exertional levels (strength demands) which is contained in the **Dictionary of Occupational Titles** published by the Department of Labor, to determine the exertional requirements of work which exists... More Information
Historical editions have been hidden from results. Show all editions.
-

continued

Exhibit 5. O*NET Citations in the Code of Federal Regulations (continued)

31. [20 C.F.R. 404.1560 - When we will consider your vocational background.](#) [PDF 53 KB]
Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 20: Employees' Benefits. Vocational Considerations. Thursday, April 1, 2010.
...may use the services of vocational experts or vocational specialists, or other resources, such as the **"Dictionary of Occupational Titles"** and its companion volumes and supplements, published by the Department of Labor, to obtain... More Information
Historical editions have been hidden from results. Show all editions.
32. [20 C.F.R. 416.966 - Work which exists in the national economy.](#) [PDF 53 KB]
Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 20: Employees' Benefits. Vocational Considerations. Thursday, April 1, 2010.
...available from various governmental and other publications. For example, we will take notice of— (1) **Dictionary of Occupational Titles**, published by the Department of Labor; (2) County Business Patterns, published by the... More Information
Historical editions have been hidden from results. Show all editions.
33. [20 C.F.R. 220.132 - Physical exertion requirements.](#) [PDF 51 KB]
Code of Federal Regulations (annual edition). Title 20: Employees' Benefits. Subpart K: Vocational Considerations. Thursday, April 1, 2010.
...medium", "heavy", and "very heavy." These terms have the same meaning as they have in the **Dictionary of Occupational Titles**, published by the Department of Labor. In making disability determinations the Board uses the... More Information
Historical editions have been hidden from results. Show all editions.

The search for O*NET and the DOT in the most recent editions of the C.F.R. was performed on June 20, 2011, using the U.S. Government Printing Office's Federal Digital System at www.gpo.gov/fdsys/search/home.action. Six O*NET citations (the first six rows of the table) were identified in the C.F.R., along with 27 DOT citations (the remaining rows).

A.1.6 Federal Register Notice

The *Federal Register* notice asking for public comment before submission of this request to OMB was published on November 29, 2011 (vol. 76, p. 73680). Comments received and our response are described in Section A.8.

A.2 Uses, Products, and Services Based on the O*NET Program

The O*NET Program provides essential tools and services for numerous critical federal and state workforce investment functions. These tools also serve job seekers, businesses, and educational institutions across the country. The common language used in O*NET occupational and skill descriptions facilitates communication among the various user groups. This facilitation contributes to our nation's talent development and promotes U.S. competitiveness in the global 21st-century economy.

Users of the O*NET database can access and use the information in various ways. The data are available to the public free of charge through the O*NET OnLine Web application, or the database may be downloaded for use by developers who provide applications targeted to

specific communities or audiences. The O*NET database is now the foundation for many programs serving the American workforce, providing information to build transferable skills and skills gap analyses, competency profiles and to facilitate cross-functional team building. These examples are just a few of the ways the O*NET Program supports the activities critical to maintaining a mobile workforce responsive to changing regional and national economic needs.

A.2.1 The O*NET Database, O*NET OnLine, My Next Move, O*NET Career Tools, O*NET Training Academy, and O*NET Code Connector

The O*NET Database

With the July 2011 version of the database, 874 occupations have been comprehensively updated through the O*NET Data Collection Program. Three hundred fifty-nine of these occupations have undergone a second, more recent update. The O*NET database currently provides

- detailed occupational and skill information for more than 874 occupations;
- information on standardized descriptors of skills, abilities, interests, knowledge, work values, education, training, work context, and work styles; and
- occupational coding based on the 2010 SOC.

Since September 2007 there have been four database updates as listed in Exhibit 6.

Exhibit 6. Database Updates

| Update | Number of Occupations Updated |
|-----------|-------------------------------|
| June 2008 | 108 |
| June 2009 | 117 |
| June 2010 | 120 |
| July 2011 | 107 |

Recent updates of the O*NET database include identification of and information about “green” and new and emerging occupations.¹⁶ Future database updates will significantly increase coverage of these occupations.

O*NET OnLine

The O*NET database is provided free of charge to the public through O*NET OnLine, a Web-based application at <http://www.onetonline.org/>. O*NET OnLine offers users multiple ways to search for O*NET occupations and related data. O*NET OnLine includes

¹⁶ Green occupations will likely change as a result of the green economy. Green economy activities and technologies are increasing the demand for occupations, shaping the work and worker requirements needed for occupational performance, or generating new and emerging occupations. (See Dierdorff et al. [2009].)

- regularly updated occupational information;
- tiered search algorithms that maximize successful results of searches by keyword (title), occupational code, or partial code;
- a crosswalk search function that enables users to easily identify O*NET equivalents of other occupational systems, such as the Military Occupational Classification or the Classification of Instructional Programs;
- the ability to browse by O*NET Descriptor (this search enables users to make cross-occupational comparisons by viewing an occupation's rank order on the basis of a selected knowledge, skill, ability, work activity, interest, or work value variable);
- the ability to browse groups of similar occupations, including bright outlook¹⁷; career clusters; green economy sectors; industry; or science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) disciplines;
- a sample of reported job titles for each occupational report, providing the user with a broader understanding of the O*NET-SOC;
- report display options (aside from the default Summary Report, users can choose to view a comprehensive Details Report or to build a Custom Report); and
- inclusion of wages and employment trends information (derived from BLS data) and links to Web sites of professional associations, giving the user access to additional information on related specialties, industries, and education and training resources.

My Next Move

My Next Move (<http://www.mynextmove.org/>) is a new, easy-to-use, easy-to-read Web-based interactive tool for new job seekers, students, and other career explorers to learn more about their career options. Users can explore more than 900 different careers and see important information about them, including skills, tasks, salaries, and employment outlook. Users can also look at related apprenticeships and training and search actual job openings. Users can find careers by searching keywords; by browsing industries; or by using the O*NET Interest Profiler, a tool that offers customized career suggestions based on a person's interests and level of work experience.

Career reports in My Next Move feature the most important knowledge, skills, and abilities needed to perform the work, explained in language that's easy to understand. Outlook and education sections let users find salary info, job postings, and training opportunities. The visual design enables users to identify a career's key points or explore a career in depth.

Visitors interested in specific careers can start exploring quickly with an intuitive keyword search; more than 900 career options are only a few keystrokes away. Users looking for a broader range of opportunities can explore more than a dozen different industries; each features

¹⁷ Bright outlook occupations are new and emerging occupations or those expected to grow rapidly in the next several years or to have large numbers of job openings.

a range of careers to choose from, including those in the green economy and with a bright outlook for job opportunities.

Also at the site is a Web-based version of the popular O*NET Interest Profiler, a tool designed to assess an individual's vocational interests. The Web-based version of the tool features 60 items that, along with information about the user's education and work experience, guide users to careers they may enjoy. Find more information about the O*NET Interest Profiler–Short Form at <http://www.onetcenter.org/IPSF.html>.

O*NET Career Exploration Tools

The Career Exploration Tools are based on a “whole person” concept and are designed for career counseling, career planning, and career exploration. They include the Ability Profiler, the Interest Profiler, and the Work Importance Locator. They also include electronic versions of the Interest and Work Importance assessments—the Computerized Interest Profiler and the Work Importance Profiler. These electronic versions can be downloaded onto a computer system and used at no cost by schools, One-Stop Centers, and others. Most recently, with the release of the My Next Move Web site, users can choose to complete a 60-item Web-based version of the Interest Profiler. Users exploring careers may use the Ability Profiler to discover what they do well, the Interest Profiler to identify the types of work they may like to perform, and the Work Importance Locator to determine which occupations will likely be satisfying according to their values and needs. The tools enable users to discover important information about themselves and use the information to explore the world of work. Workers may use these tools as aids in exploring career options, in considering career transitions, and in preparing for career change. The assessments also are designed for use by students exploring the school-to-work transition.

O*NET Academy

Training support for the O*NET system can be found on the Web at <http://www.onetacademy.org>. The O*NET Training Academy provides various user communities (workforce development professionals, employers, education, students, WIBs, job seekers, etc.) with access to O*NET support materials tailored to their needs. Through podcasts and O*NET user spotlights, customers can gain new insights into user needs and real-world applications of O*NET data. Through the O*NET Academy, O*NET users gain electronic access to recorded Webinars, self-paced training courses, and best-practices tutorials on using the O*NET system and applying it on the job. The Academy site also provides access to an array of O*NET tools (e.g., O*NET OnLine, O*NET Questionnaires).

O*NET Code Connector

The Code Connector (<http://www.onetcodeconnector.org/>) was developed to assist workforce professionals needing to code jobs. One-Stop Career Centers, other government workforce agencies, and college career services offices are the most prevalent users of the Code Connector. The Code Connector uses the O*NET database to help users determine the correct occupational code for their job orders. To access an occupation, the user may type in a keyword or select an occupational group from the home page. On subsequent pages the user is able to refine the search to select a specific occupation. The final report contains information to help determine whether the selected occupational code is the best match for the particular job order. This information includes the O*NET-SOC description, Tasks, Related Occupations, Occupation Family, and Detailed Work Activities.

A.2.2 O*NET Web Site Statistics

Use of O*NET products has increased dramatically over the past few years. O*NET OnLine currently averages over 1.1 million visits per month, up from the 775,000 visits per month 3 years ago. The O*NET Resource Center (<http://www.onetcenter.org>) averages 305,000 visits per month—almost 4 times the number of visitors from 3 years ago. In addition, use of career information systems, Web site linkages, user certifications, and O*NET product downloads is widespread. By design, the primary dissemination strategy of the O*NET Program is for the private sector to build O*NET-based products that are tailored to specific audiences or user needs.

Career Information Systems

Private and governmental online career information systems using O*NET data and career tools reach millions of customers annually. For example, Bridges (<http://www.bridges.com/us/home.html>), a private provider of career information services, built O*NET data into its system and is used each year by more than 1 million graduating high school students in the United States and Canada. IntoCareers (<http://www.intocareers.com/products.htm>), another career information delivery system (CIDS), serves more than 10,000 schools and state agencies in the United States. The content components of IntoCareers include data on 508 O*NET-based occupations. State CIDSs also provide widespread access to O*NET-driven career exploration. Nationally, CIDS programs are accessed at more than 87,000 sites by over 37 million users a year. The O*NET Program is designed to be accessible to multiple users, in keeping with the directives from the Advisory Panel for the *Dictionary of Occupational*

Titles.¹⁸ The O*NET Program encourages these and other service providers and product developers to create applications that deliver O*NET information to the public.

Internet Linkages

According to an exploratory search conducted in April 2011,

- more than 10,500 sites link to O*NET OnLine,
- more than 700 sites link to the O*NET Code Connector, and
- more than 2,500 sites link to the O*NET Resource Center.

There are many different types of organizations linked to the O*NET Web sites, including the following:

- libraries and career centers based in higher education;
- higher education institutions' schools of business, labor and industrial relations, psychology, education, and counseling;
- government agencies (primarily state labor-related agencies, some federal and local);
- public libraries (especially those offering career and job search assistance programs);
- career counselors, coaches, and recruiters (mostly private-sector vendors);
- career exploration or job search assistance (both private and public sectors);
- public school systems, educational associations, and secondary schools (often recommending the O*NET database as a resource for faculty, parents, and high school juniors and seniors);
- human resources management;
- law firms specializing in immigration law;
- vocational rehabilitation, or occupational medicine and health; and
- international sites in Turkey, Canada, the United Kingdom, Holland, Japan, Bangladesh, and elsewhere.

User Certifications

When individuals or organizations download the O*NET database or intend to use all, any one, or any part of one of the O*NET Career Exploration Tools to develop value-added products, they are asked to voluntarily register their use by completing a certification form. As of March 31, 2011, the certification database holds 3,346 entries. Because registration is voluntary, this number likely reflects only a portion of those actually using O*NET products for their own applications. An overview of those user groups that have submitted certification forms for their use of O*NET products appears as Exhibit 7.

¹⁸ See Appendix C: Advisory Panel for the Dictionary of Occupational Titles: Final Report

Exhibit 7. Main Organization Types Submitting O*NET Certifications

| Organization Type | Percent |
|--|------------|
| Government; public administration | 30 |
| Educational services | 17 |
| Computer systems design; programming services | 7 |
| Employment services | 6 |
| Temporary help services; employment placement agencies; human resource and executive search consulting | 5 |
| Vocational rehabilitation services | 4 |
| Information and Internet publishing; broadcasting; software publishers | 3 |
| Health care | 2 |
| Individual and family services | 2 |
| Professional, scientific, and technical Services; legal services | 1 |
| Military | 1 |
| Other | 22 |
| Total | 100 |

O*NET Product Downloads

From January 2002 to February 2011, downloads of O*NET products totaled 830,768 (Exhibit 8). The use of O*NET products and tools continues to increase. The O*NET Program, through continuous improvement efforts based on user needs and advancing technology, works to efficiently develop products that meet customer demands in both the public and private sectors.

Exhibit 8. O*NET Product Downloads

| Product | Number of Downloads |
|---|---------------------|
| Database | 82,968 |
| Career Exploration Tools | |
| Ability Profiler | 173,744 |
| Interest Profiler | 201,085 |
| Work Importance Locator | 96,409 |
| Computerized Interest Profiler, Work Importance Profiler Software | 142,128 |
| Total Career Exploration Tools | 613,366 |
| Other (e.g., Toolkit for Business) | 134,434 |
| Total O*NET Products | 830,768 |

A.2.3 Examples of O*NET Data and Products in Use

The O*NET Program provides comprehensive, up-to-date occupational information that is used directly by the public through access to the O*NET Web sites, as well as indirectly through value-added products delivered by both governmental and private developers. Provided under the following general categories of product developers and service providers are examples of how O*NET-based products benefit the public:

- federal and state government agencies,
- public workforce investment systems and workforce investment boards,
- assessment and career information delivery systems,
- educational and research institutions,
- U.S. armed forces,
- private companies and commercial products, and
- international users.

The following examples are taken from the publication *O*NET Products at Work* (<http://www.onetcenter.org/paw.html>). This publication is updated quarterly and provides a more comprehensive listing of O*NET uses.

Federal and State Government Agencies

Federal and state agencies are using O*NET products to achieve their goals of service to employers and the public. Business development specialists, human resources personnel, and others rely on the common language of O*NET products to build connections required for a strong workforce. Provided below are examples of O*NET products used by the Alabama Department of Rehabilitation Services, the California Employment Development Department, the Connecticut Department of Labor, the Maine Department of Labor, and the Montana State Human Resource Division, among others.

The Alabama Department of Rehabilitation Services incorporated O*NET information into their Employment Institute, a two-part training program required for everyone who provides direct services to clients. Trainees are shown how to use O*NET OnLine to address the vocational goals of clients, looking at tasks, skills required, work environments, and potential barriers. Training also shows counselors how to use the O*NET Code Connector to link a client's past job title to an appropriate O*NET occupation (<http://www.rehab.state.al.us/Home/default.aspx?url=/Home/Welcome/Main>).

To address the pressing need for skilled computer 3-D graphic artists and traditional animators, California's Employment Development Department conducted an industry study with

the endorsement of the California Skillsnet Consortium. O*NET's survey data collection instruments and other materials were used in the study. Relying on O*NET data, human resources personnel modified local training and education initiatives to help close the gap between industry needs and local workers' skills. Training programs defined the skills and requirements for the evolving occupations of computer 3-D graphic artists and traditional animators. State and local leaders forged important partnerships with local establishments, educators, WIBs, community-based organizations, and other stakeholders to meet industry demands and develop needed talent (<http://www.doleta.gov/programs/ONET/ca-dc.cfm>).

CareerOneStop, sponsored by ETA, offers job seekers, students, businesses, and workforce professionals the most comprehensive set of career resources and workforce information on the Web. O*NET products are integrated throughout the site, including the O*NET database, O*NET Career Exploration Tools, and O*NET Tools and Technology (T2; <http://www.careeronestop.org/>). In addition, mySkills myFuture, a new DOL-sponsored site designed to assist dislocated workers by helping them to identify occupations that would make use of transferable skills from their previous work, also relies on O*NET information as the basis for the transferable skills matching algorithm.

ETA supports a Projections Managing Partnership (PMP) consortium that operates and manages the nationwide program of state and local occupational employment projections. These data are among the most requested and utilized datasets by a wide variety of workforce information customers and private sector businesses. These datasets are used for multiple purposes, including the preparation of WIA state plans for submission to DOL. The PMP develops and makes available to all states a standard employment projections software suite to facilitate the release of standardized estimates and output reports across states.

In addition to tools to produce both short-term and long-term employment projections, this software suite also includes a skills-based projections (SBP) tool, particularly designed to support workforce planning, policy, and decisions. The SBP tool integrates O*NET Knowledge, Skill, and Generalized Work Activities data with a state's or territory's short- and/or long-term occupational projections to identify current skill supply, projected demand, potential skill gaps, and replacement needs.

The Connecticut Department of Labor used O*NET data to respond to the WIA requirements that states assess current and future job opportunities in the state, the skills necessary to obtain these jobs, and the skills necessary to meet the economic development needs of the state. To meet these requirements, Connecticut collected and analyzed labor market information and published an extensive report. O*NET Skills and skill descriptions were used in the report sections describing skills necessary for Connecticut's high-demand occupations and

industry sectors important for state economic development (<http://www.doleta.gov/programs/ONET/ct.cfm>).

The Maine Department of Labor uses O*NET information in their effort to attract new business to the state. Businesses provide descriptions of the jobs they need to fill, and the Maine Department of Labor searches O*NET data to match occupations to the knowledge, skills, and abilities provided in the job descriptions. In addition, small businesses are helped to develop their employees through training strategies based on O*NET occupational skills information.

O*NET information has also been used by the state of Maine to help dislocated workers make informed decisions about employment opportunities after a layoff. On the basis of a comparison of knowledge, skills, and abilities, the jobs the workers were leaving were first linked to O*NET occupations to identify likely opportunities requiring similar skills. O*NET information was then supplemented with state-level wage information, job openings, and information about retraining possibilities so workers could choose re-employment opportunities best suited to them.

O*NET data were also used by a boat builder in Maine who needed to move his operation to reach a workforce with the new skills and technological knowledge required for a changing industry. The Maine Department of Labor helped identify alternative locations by first linking the skills required for boat building to O*NET occupations. Then, Labor Market Information data were used to identify the location of workers employed in these occupations. The company decided to relocate to one of those areas (http://www.onetknowledgesite.com/spotlight_on_John_Dorrer.cfm).

The Montana State Human Resource Division relies on O*NET information for employee classification using their broadband classification system. The detailed occupational information in the O*NET database determines the most appropriate title and placement for a given position. O*NET information is compared to the tasks in position descriptions and to required competencies. State job titles and codes are aligned with the O*NET taxonomy to expedite the use of O*NET data for classification and compensation. When government job titles are difficult to match, O*NET task lists, knowledge, skills, abilities, and work activities assist in making needed distinctions (<http://hr.mt.gov/hrpp/classification.mcp>).

Public Workforce Investment Systems and Workforce Investment Boards

State workforce investment systems have always been among the primary users of O*NET products. Serving employers and the public through state-sponsored online career information systems and One-Stop centers, O*NET products are responding to the demand for

information about high-growth industries and helping to build the connections needed for a strong workforce.

The Dislocated Worker System, part of Alabama's Comprehensive Labor Market Information System (ACLMIS), is a Web-based system providing assistance to individuals who have lost their jobs because of permanent layoffs or plant closings. Its skill survey questionnaire is based on O*NET data (abilities, knowledge, skills, and work activities). The system uses the O*NET occupational classification system for easy linkage to Alabama's labor market information. The ACLMIS system allows users to research occupations, analyze their skills to find suitable occupations, or find occupations similar to their current jobs (<http://www.doleta.gov/programs/ONET/alabama.cfm>).

The California County of Humboldt Employment Training Division uses O*NET occupational information to assist people with disabilities and those on public assistance. O*NET Career Exploration Tools help clients get a comprehensive picture of their fit with the world of work. Employers and potential employees are encouraged to consider the many facets of work other than basic skills. The training division presents classes to employees of social service branches, describing how to use O*NET OnLine to serve their clients (<http://co.humboldt.ca.us/HHS/SSB/EmploymentTraining.asp>).

The Fairbanks Job Center in Fairbanks, Alaska, offers a weekly workshop, Planning Your Career. The workshop draws young and old participants who explore careers by first taking the O*NET Interest Profiler and Work Importance Locator. The O*NET Ability Profiler is offered as well. The participants are given the O*NET Occupations Combined List to find occupations that match their results. They are shown how to use O*NET OnLine to explore occupations and select language for their résumés. Participants find that the O*NET Related Occupations and Tools and Technology features are very helpful. One client had earned a computer science degree in the 1970s, with a minor in geology. He looked at O*NET Tools and Technology for geologists and discovered that the local university offered the courses and training he needed to work in the occupation. Building the required knowledge sets and skills while searching for a job in the appropriate O*NET occupations, he found a job as an entry-level geologist (http://www.onetknowledgesite.com/spotlight_on_Tonja_Horn.cfm).

Texas CARES (Career Alternative Resource Evaluation System) is a Web site providing career exploration and occupational information. Created by the Texas Workforce Commission, the site offers versions of the O*NET Work Importance Locator and the O*NET Interest Profiler, as well as Best Match, a skills transferability program that matches users to O*NET occupations on the basis of their current skill sets. Occupational information for the many

features available on the site is supported by the O*NET database (<http://www.texascaresonline.com/index.asp>).

The Texas Workforce Commission has also employed the O*NET-SOC AutoCoder on one of its Web sites. This Web tool provides high-quality occupational classifications for job orders, unemployment insurance claims, and résumé searches. The AutoCoder also interprets job titles written in Spanish and returns relevant O*NET-SOC codes. The O*NET-SOC AutoCoder performs by splitting the text of a job opening, résumé, or unemployment insurance claim into its individual words and phrases and makes matches to the words and phrases in the O*NET database. Analysts have weighted the words in the database so that the most important words for an occupation are given more importance in the match calculation. The O*NET-SOC AutoCoder provides the means to make consistent and high-quality occupational code assignments (<http://autocoder.lmci.state.tx.us:8080/jc/onetmatch>).

The Florida Agency for Workforce Innovation uses O*NET information for job matching, re-employment analysis, and business recruitment. O*NET data are imbedded in FRED, the Florida Research and Economic Database. One key application is preparing labor supply studies for business recruitment. O*NET also plays a large role in Florida's Re-employment Labor Market Information One-Stop Workshop. The workshop trains workforce development professionals to use the appropriate O*NET data sets and complementary tools for re-employment analysis: selecting the right tools, evaluating current skills, discovering transferable skills, studying related occupations, and finding growth industries. Workshop participants learn how to overlay pertinent local labor market information on the data from these dimensions of occupational information. The workshop enables staff members to help job seekers, employers, and workforce professionals to meet their respective challenges (<http://fred.labormarketinfo.com/>).

Indiana Workforce Development is responding to dramatic shifts in the economy by helping workers transfer their skills from declining occupation to those that are in economic demand. This agency uses the O*NET database as a primary tool for making multiple comparisons that identify where knowledge, skills, and abilities overlap or where gaps exist across occupations. When the northern Indiana recreational vehicle industry declined, the skills of laid-off workers were compared with skills required to perform growth occupations in the region. Then community colleges in the area could develop curricula to train displaced workers in the skills that high-demand occupations require and that are currently lacking in the regional workforce. Skills that workers transferred from their former jobs, paired with "gap skills" developed through new training, prepared workers for jobs related to orthopedic products and

medical devices manufacturing

(http://www.onetknowledgesite.com/spotlight_on_Hope_Clark.cfm).

Assessment and Career Information Delivery Systems

Organizations routinely rely on O*NET products as the basis for their assessment and career information delivery systems. Community colleges, university career centers, and many higher education Web sites also have integrated O*NET products into their career services to students. From nonprofit organizations to high-powered consulting firms, specific examples provided below indicate the range of organizations using O*NET products.

The American Foundation for the Blind (AFB) uses O*NET data to help the visually impaired expand their employment possibilities. CareerConnect™ is a free employment planning resource for persons who are blind or visually impaired. Sponsored by the AFB, the program helps these individuals learn about the range and diversity of occupations available in the labor market. It also provides mentors and information about assistive technology that can help them do the work. This practical, user-friendly resource incorporates O*NET data to supply essential information for career exploration and to expand the universe of jobs for persons with visual impairments (<http://www.afb.org/Section.asp?SectionID=7>).

AZCIS is a career information system offered by the Arizona Department of Education. The Web site provides education, career, and occupation information in both English and Spanish to middle school, high school, college, and adult students. The O*NET career tools and database are essential components of the career exploration process offered by AZCIS. Spanish translations of the O*NET Interest Profiler and the O*NET Work Importance Profiler have been available on this Web site since fall 2010 (<http://www.azcis.intocareers.org/>).

CareerScope, developed by the nonprofit Vocational Research Institute, enhances career and educational planning processes, including career development portfolios, transition plans, Individualized Educational Plans, and employee training and retention plans. Users include secondary schools, community and technical colleges, state and community vocational rehabilitation agencies, Veterans Affairs medical centers, and state adult and juvenile correctional facilities. Written at a fourth-grade reading level, the valid and reliable interest and aptitude assessment is self-administered and easy to complete. Accommodation options include audio delivery, English with Spanish text, and untimed delivery. CareerScope's comprehensive reports incorporate O*NET occupational data and provide career recommendations based on the client's interest and aptitude results (<http://www.vri.org/>).

Cengage Learning has developed a product called Career Transitions that uses the O*NET Interest Profiler and the O*NET database to help job seekers. Their Web site states that career research is the number one use of public libraries today, sometimes stretching staff to their

limits. Career Transitions helps public libraries manage the number of people seeking job search help by providing a self-paced application that walks job seekers through the entire process from assessing strengths and interests to exploring new job opportunities (<http://www.gale.cengage.com/careertransitions/>).

A case manager for Michigan Works! has found My Next Move, with access to information on more than 900 O*NET occupations, to be a very useful tool for her customers. She works with the 55+ Program, a demonstration grant program that gives specialized assistance to individuals who are 55 or older, unemployed, and seeking employment. Her clients, the 55+ Program Navigators, are using the comprehensive, easy-to-use Web site as part of their career exploration and job search activities (<http://mynextmove.org/>).

The National External Diploma Program (NEDP) is a program of the Comprehensive Adult Student Assessment Systems (CASAS), which provides assessment and evaluation systems for adult education and workforce programs in the public and private sectors. The NEDP is a unique way for adult students to earn a high school diploma. Students who have acquired many of their high-school-level abilities through work, family, and community experiences can complete a high school diploma, opening the way to postsecondary education and improved employment options. In July 2010, the NEDP selected the O*NET Career Exploration Tools as the only official occupational tools for use by its students. Students using the career tools receive results correlated to the up-to-date, detailed, and comprehensive occupational information provided by the O*NET database (<https://www.casas.org/home/?fuseaction=nedp.welcome>).

Pathway Builder, a division of Profiles International Educational Services Division, provides participants with an interactive, comprehensive, online experience to plan and monitor their progress from high school through postsecondary education and into the workforce. The Pathway Builder ePortal provides communication tools, educational modules, e-learning programs, site-approved Web site connections, comprehensive reporting, and career exploration tools. The assessments in the career planning ePortal solution provide users with a link to their O*NET occupational matches. Additional features include job board technology and an e-mail platform to assist with an education-training-workforce initiative to engage students and workers with career success (<http://www.pathwaybuilder.com/>).

Women Employed, a national advocate for women's economic advancement, developed Career Coach, a free online career development tool that helps adults explore career options, define career goals, identify education and training resources, and make step-by-step plans to reach their goals. The Web-based program provides users with occupational data available from the O*NET database. It also provides an online version of the O*NET Interest Profiler (<http://www.womenemployed.org/index.php?id=38>).

Higher education institutions are among the most prevalent types of users of O*NET products for assessment and career information systems. A Web search shows O*NET products at work in most state educational systems. A few examples include AlabamaMentor.org, Arizona State University CRESMET, CaliforniaColleges.edu, Cascadia Community College (Washington), University of Central Florida, CTMentor.org (Connecticut), IllinoisMentor, Middle Tennessee State University, MississippiMentor, Normandale Community College (Minnesota), PennsylvaniaMentor, TexasMentor, and Texas State University.

Educational and Research Institutions

With the help of the occupational information contained in the O*NET database, colleges are developing educational curricula and research organizations are conducting a broad array of research projects. Presented here are a few examples.

The AARP Public Policy Institute and the Urban Institute examined how job demands have changed over time and what jobs might look like in the future. The authors of the paper *Employment at Older Ages and the Changing Nature of Work* (R. W. Johnson, Mermin, & Resseger, 2007, November) link detailed information on occupational characteristics from the O*NET database to the March 1971 and March 2006 Current Population Surveys. They found a sharp decline in the physical demands of jobs and the prevalence of difficult working conditions over the past 35 years. They also found that jobs have become more stressful and cognitively challenging. The implications of the study suggest that the decline in physical job demands increases the opportunities for older people to remain at work. However, more stressful, more cognitively demanding jobs complicate the picture. The study proposes that the changing nature of work will likely promote old-age employment for most people or at least not interfere with longer work lives.

ACT, formerly the American College Testing Program, conducted a study (ACT, 2006) showing that high school students who plan to enter workforce training programs after they graduate need math and reading skills similar to those of college-bound students. For the study, ACT looked at O*NET job zone 3 occupations that

- do not require a four-year college degree,
- offer the potential for career advancement,
- are projected to increase in the future, and
- are likely to offer a wage sufficient for a family of four.

These occupations generally require some combination of vocational training and on-the-job experience or an associate's degree. They include electricians, construction workers, upholsterers, and plumbers. ACT then compared academic skill levels of profiled job zone 3 occupations with the College Readiness Benchmarks established for the ACT test. The results

show that the levels of math and reading skills needed for success in the first year of college are comparable to those needed by high school graduates to enter 90 percent of the profiled occupations. On the basis of this study, ACT urges high school educators to offer students a common academic program that prepares them for both postsecondary education and workforce training programs (<http://www.act.org/research/policymakers/reports/workready.html>).

The Center for Hospitality Research of Cornell University conducted a study titled *Ability versus Personality: Factors that Predict Employee Job Performance* (Tracey, Sturman, & Tews, 2007). The researchers used O*NET definitions, education and training requirements, tasks, and required knowledge, skills, and abilities as part of their research to test the hypothesis that cognitive ability is more important for predicting performance among inexperienced employees than among experienced employees in frontline restaurant jobs. In addition, they hypothesized that conscientiousness was more valid for predicting performance among experienced employees than inexperienced employees. These hypotheses were supported in their research.

Central Michigan University (2004) has used O*NET Content Model domains to identify the competencies for a leadership competency model for students. The model consists of five dimensions, each with multiple competencies drawn from the O*NET Content Model and an existing management taxonomy of work activities. Work requirements and worker characteristics were reviewed to identify skills, knowledge, abilities, work styles, Generalized Work Activities, work context, and organizational context relevant for each dimension. Although the resulting model was intended for students and was distributed to members of the campus community, it was also distributed to interested employers because of likely relevance to a variety of organizations (http://www.chsbs.cmich.edu/leader_model/model.htm).

A study by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, titled *Human Capital and Economic Activity in Urban America* (Abel & Gabe, 2008, July), examined the relationship between human capital (educational attainment and other knowledge measures) and economic activity in U.S. metropolitan areas. The following is from their original study.

To arrive at the knowledge variables used in our analysis, we matched occupational categories between the O*NET system and 2000 U.S. Census. In many cases, we combined multiple O*NET occupations into a single Census category. Following the general approach used by Ingram and Neumann (2006) and Lakdawalla and Philipson (2007), we utilized the average value of the knowledge importance or level across multiple occupations in the O*NET data. With this information then available for 470 Census occupations, we calculated a knowledge index that is the product of the knowledge importance and the knowledge level. Feser (2003) used the same approach, noting that it places a greater emphasis on high knowledge that is relevant to a given occupation

(<http://www.mnitworkforce.org/sites/mnitworkforce.advanceitmn.org/files/1.%20NY%20Federal%20Reserve%20Report.pdf>).

Harvard Business School students conducted a study (Hanna, 2008, December) to assess the potential for offshoring more than 800 occupations represented in the U.S. economy. The O*NET database served as the source of occupational information for the study on descriptors such as tasks, knowledge, and skills. The student researchers considered the information in the O*NET database and then rated occupations on a scale of 1 to 100 depending on whether the occupations' tasks could easily be performed offshore. The students estimated that between 21 and 42 percent of all U.S. jobs are potentially offshorable (<http://hbswk.hbs.edu/item/6012.html>).

A team of researchers under the auspices of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health conducted a study to determine whether the O*NET database can be used to identify measures for psychosocial and environmental factors in the workplace that can be linked to national health surveys. Their goal was to examine associations between these workplace factors and health risk behaviors and outcomes. Their study concluded that psychosocial, work organization, and environmental job characteristics derived from the O*NET database can successfully be linked to large-scale health surveys to examine these associations through a data linkage method. The data linkage method used may provide other researchers with job descriptors for use in research, surveillance, and workplace redesign. Linkages between health data and occupational factors can provide surveillance data to monitor the changing associations between working conditions and specific disorders for workers in different occupations. If further research supports the methods and use of psychosocial factors derived from the O*NET database, occupational health and organizational specialists may be able to use these linkages to design and evaluate potential healthy workplace initiatives and interventions (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19092496>).

CareerJournal.com, the *Wall Street Journal* Executive Career Site, conducted a study designed to identify the "best careers," those occupations for which workers report a high degree of satisfaction. CareerJournal contracted the polling firm Harris Interactive to survey workers about what jobs they had, whether they were satisfied, and what job qualities contributed to their satisfaction. Four factors emerged for jobs held by highly satisfied workers: intellectual stimulation, strong job security, high level of control and freedom of action, and extensive direct contact with customers or clients. After identifying the common factors reported by highly satisfied workers, CareerJournal used occupational data in the O*NET database, looking for O*NET occupations with high ratings related to three of the four contributing factors. (Job security was evaluated with BLS employment projections.) CareerJournal identified eight occupations providing the most satisfying work experience:

- curriculum and instructional coordinators,
- high school special education teachers,
- hospital and clinic managers,
- management consultants and analysts,
- medical researchers,
- physical therapists,
- sales, marketing, and advertising managers, and
- social workers, counselors, and related managers.

(“Best careers methodology—How we got our results,” 2006)

Martin Prosperity Institute conducted research to examine the relationship between wages and the skills required in the workforce within a regional area. Using the O*NET database, they performed a cluster analysis to identify three broad skill types—analytical, social intelligence, and physical. They also conducted a regression analysis using O*NET data to quantify how each skill contributes to regional prosperity. The institute found that analytical and social intelligence skills have a significant positive relationship with regional wages, whereas physical skills have a negative relationship. In addition, their research found that analytical skills are more closely related to regional wages than are social intelligence skills. They also determined that analytical and social intelligence skills increasingly result in positive impacts on wages over time and that the positive impact of physical skills on wages has decreased over time ([http://research.martinprosperity.org/papers/Florida Mellander Stolarick Ross \(2010\) Cities Skills and Wages.pdf](http://research.martinprosperity.org/papers/Florida Mellander Stolarick Ross (2010) Cities Skills and Wages.pdf)).

Temple University’s Center for Professional Development in Career and Technical Education in Pennsylvania supports professional growth and learning among all levels of educators who work with career-bound students. The center offers certification and degree programs for secondary career and technical education teachers, curriculum supervisors, cooperative education coordinators, and career and technical school directors in the 17 counties of eastern Pennsylvania. Center faculty and staff incorporate O*NET OnLine in their courses on program planning and evaluation, curriculum development, and cooperative education. They also use it as an aid in structuring occupational competency assessment committee reviews. With its wealth of data on occupations, O*NET OnLine provides a reliable and readily accessible resource for planning and evaluating programs and developing curricula in career and technical education.

The U.S. Armed Forces

The U.S. military has recognized the value of O*NET data and career tools in its various transition programs, recruiting activities, and human systems development projects. Presented here are a few examples of the range of O*NET products being put to work in the armed forces.

My Next Move for Veterans is designed for U.S. veterans who are current job seekers. The interactive tool helps vets learn about their career options. The site has tasks, skills, salary information, job listings, and more for over 900 different careers. Veterans can find careers through keyword search; by browsing industries that employ different types of workers; or by discovering civilian careers that are similar to their job in the military. Veterans can also take advantage of the O*NET Interest Profiler, a tool that offers personalized career suggestions based on a person's interests and level of work experience.

HireVetsFirst uses O*NET OnLine as a military skills translator to help veterans returning from active military duty re-enter the workforce. Using their military occupational classification in O*NET OnLine's crosswalk function, they can identify equivalent civilian occupations. They can view typical tasks, skills, knowledge, and abilities; look up wage levels and employment outlooks, both nationally and in their own localities; and search for actual job openings in areas of their choosing (<http://hirevetsfirst.dol.gov/>).

The Office of Wounded Warrior Care and Transition Policy (OWWCT), Transition Assistance Program (TAP), is offering a variety of virtual learning opportunities to accommodate the needs of the National Guard, Reserves, and wounded warrior populations and their families. The virtual aspect of these learning modes opens up much-needed educational opportunities often not available to service members because of their mobility, varying time zones, accessibility, and stage of demobilization and integration. All that is required for attendance is a broadband Internet connection and a telephone. Course registration is accessed via www.TurboTAP.org. The series of 11 Webinar course offerings includes one on "Decoding Military Skills for Civilian Employment," which prominently features both O*NET OnLine and My Next Move as tools for transitioning service members to use to facilitate a successful transition to civilian employment.

The Military Spouse Resource Center (MilSpouse.org) is a Web portal created jointly by the Departments of Defense and Labor for military spouses and other family members facing career or educational needs. The site offers thousands of links to employment, training, education, and other community resources. O*NET OnLine and the O*NET Interest Profiler are key career exploration tools for spouses entering the job market. MilSpouse.org users can access step-by-step guidance on using O*NET resources before beginning a job search, including determining their skills and researching occupations of interest. Military personnel are also using

the Web site when transitioning from military to civilian careers, using the Military Crosswalk feature of O*NET OnLine (<http://www.doleta.gov/programs/ONET/MilitarySpouse.cfm>).

The Navy Manpower Analysis Center (NAVMAC) uses O*NET data in its work to develop Occupational Standards (OCCSTDS). Navy OCCSTDS serve as a basis for training and career development in areas such as formal school curricula, onboard training, development of Personnel Advancement Requirements, and development of Navy-wide advancement examinations. The O*NET skills taxonomy is used to categorize task statements as part of the process to develop the OCCSTDS (<http://www.npc.navy.mil/AboutUs/NAVMAC/>).

The North Carolina Military Foundation teamed with the North Carolina Military Business Center to create a database and interactive Web site that enables businesses to link their needs to the competencies of troops exiting the military. One of the challenges faced by troops and business leaders alike is identifying the knowledge, skills, and abilities shared by military and civilian jobs. Using a keyword related to a job opening, employers are able to search for related military occupations and information on how many military personnel in these occupations are returning annually to civilian jobs. The user can view additional information about these occupations, including a list of related civilian job titles. Further exploration is available through a link to the related occupations in O*NET OnLine. This Web site helps employers and transitioning military personnel come together through the common language of the O*NET system (<http://www.ncmbc.us/>).

The Office of the Secretary of Defense enlisted the RAND National Defense Research Institute (NDRI) to convene a panel of experts to provide assistance in refining the implementation of the Department of Defense Human Capital Strategy (HCS). The goal of the HCS is to develop a foundation for military personnel management. A major component of this goal is a competency-based occupational analysis system. In the *Final Report of the Panel on the Department of Defense Human Capital Strategy* (Hanser et al., 2008), the panel members concluded that O*NET “has the potential to provide a framework for developing much of the common language and functionality desired in a new DoD system.”

The U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences contracted with the Human Resources Research Organization (HumRRO) to evaluate the O*NET system’s usefulness for selection and classification purposes. The evaluation studied the following O*NET Descriptors: Abilities, Skills, Generalized Work Activities, and Work Context. Army subject matter experts as well as trained occupational analysts collected ratings on these descriptors for several Army occupations.

Results of this study indicate that an Army occupational analysis system using O*NET Descriptors as a foundation would provide a useful common language system with strong links

to the civilian occupational database. Such links would provide substantial benefits for recruitment and rapid mobilization efforts (Russell et al., 2008).

The U.S. Department of Defense's Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery (ASVAB) Career Exploration Program offers tools to help high school and postsecondary students learn about career exploration and planning. Developers of the ASVAB Program wanted to change its philosophy to emphasize wider career exploration and decision-making among its participants. Completely redesigned, the program now uses O*NET data to broaden occupational choices for nearly a million ASVAB participants annually at more than 14,000 of America's high schools. Students are encouraged to explore a variety of career possibilities suited to their interests and learn to make decisions based on information about themselves and about careers, instead of exploring just a few occupations that match their current abilities (<http://www.doleta.gov/programs/ONET/asvab.cfm>).

Private Companies and Commercial Products

Private companies are using O*NET information for both in-house purposes (human resources functions such as job description writing and employee development) and for commercial product development. The number of products with "O*NET in-it" continues to grow.

Adaptiqs, a software company specializing in survey and assessment development, conducted research using the O*NET framework to test an adaptive approach to surveys and assessments. The project used 5 O*NET questionnaires (Knowledge, Skills, Abilities, Generalized Work Activities, and Work Context) to create a tool that, based on individuals' responses to the questionnaires, provides a list of jobs that are most applicable to them. Because these questionnaires together contain over 400 questions, the study used Bbn (neural networks) to minimize, in real time, the number of responses required. On average, the Adaptiqs tool required 53 questionnaire items to generate a list of the top 10 occupations related to the respondent's experience. The research also suggested that the Work Context questionnaire was the most valuable and the Abilities questionnaire was the least valuable for classifying people into the most probable occupations (<http://www.adaptiqs.com/>).

Assessment Associates International (formerly Human Resource Consultants) has developed the Page Work Behavior Inventory (PWBI), an assessment to measure work styles in employees. Work styles are important characteristics related to competencies that underlie work performance. The PWBI assesses work styles as defined in the O*NET system and provides the user with information that can be used to

- focus and guide leadership development efforts,
- pinpoint specific strengths that may help with career advancement,

- identify areas for training and skill enhancement,
- identify work environments that may support success for the individual, and
- help guide career choice and transition decisions (http://www.aai-assessment.com/products/page_work_behavior_inventory/).

CareerNoodle by Transcend Innovation Group provides an online suite of career exploration and planning tools for middle school, high school, and college students. CareerNoodle relies on modern learning theories and human-computer interaction principles so that students are engaged, motivated, and in control of their career exploration process. Interactive activities to measure student work interests and values are adapted from the O*NET Career Exploration Tools. Occupational data come from the O*NET database. Transcend Innovation Group's David Burns says, "We use O*NET data and the career exploration tools. ...Thanks for providing us with such wonderful tools and information!" (<http://www.transcendinnovation.com/careernoodle.php>)

Corporate Gray Online is a Web site devoted to linking employers with transitioning or former military personnel. The online tool complements the organization's military-to-civilian career transition books and Corporate Gray job fairs. The Web site provides users with a link to the O*NET OnLine Crosswalk Search. Users enter a military job code or title and may view detailed occupational data on related civilian occupations (<http://www.corporategray.com/>).

Economic Modeling Specialists, Inc. (EMSI) has developed a system that allows users to target competency gaps through a unique way of visualizing the makeup of occupations. Using O*NET knowledge, skills, and abilities data, EMSI's Strategic Advantage is a Web-based analysis platform for use by workforce development and education professionals. It provides the data needed to help these professionals make informed decisions necessary for regional growth and development (<http://www.economicmodeling.com/webtools/>).

Choices® Planner by Bridges Transitions provides the tools needed for students to build personalized plans for college and careers. An online career information delivery system, Choices Planner integrates O*NET data, as well as the O*NET Career Exploration Tools, into their online program. Bridges Transitions has included Spanish translations of all O*NET components so the system can be used by both English and Spanish speakers (http://www.bridges.com/us/prodnserv/choicesplanner_hs/index.html).

iNQUATE Corporation has incorporated O*NET data into its human-capital software application. With a patent pending, iNQUATE is an integrated seven-module application that accommodates the development and communication of corporate goals and objectives, automates the performance management process, and engages managers in the best use of human capital while these managers analyze costs associated with maintaining a workforce. iNQUATE

integrates with onsite databases or information available from external providers through Web services. All seven of iNQUATE's modules work together to simplify the process and eliminate duplication of information and effort (<http://www.inquate.com/>).

The Job Coach Re-employment Suite is an online suite of tools designed to minimize unemployment duration and promote wage replacement. Developed by Hoover & Johnson, the product's focus is on getting the user back to work in an appropriate job as soon as possible. One tool within the suite allows the user to inventory his or her total transferable skills in a skills bank. Their skills bank is then compared with the skills required for O*NET occupations and the resulting matches are linked to job listings in the user's selected job search geographic area. The Job Coach uses the O*NET taxonomy to cluster job listings from scores of job boards so the user is able to identify employment openings related to the personalized skills bank. Special approaches are present for subpopulations, including veterans, dislocated workers, long term unemployment insurance claimants, ex-offenders, recipients of Temporary Assistance for Needy Families and Aid to Families with Dependent Children, and recent job training graduates. (<http://hooverjohnson.com/login3.aspx>).

Manpower, a worldwide provider of high-value staffing services with nearly 1,100 offices in North America and 4,500 offices in 80 countries, provides jobs to 5 million persons every year and services more than 400,000 clients worldwide. The O*NET occupational and skills taxonomy helps Manpower match the right person to the right job. The O*NET system also offers a systematic structure that enhances Manpower's analysis of the employment marketplace and its tracking of staffing trends. By incorporating O*NET structure into its procedures, Manpower has benefited by being able to

- identify accurately the types of placements each field office makes;
- locate field offices where the highest need exists;
- more accurately consolidate information for various types of analysis, including marketing analysis; and
- begin the process of having Manpower offices in other countries map their occupations to O*NET, enabling Manpower to more efficiently consolidate information for global reporting (<http://www.doleta.gov/programs/ONET/Manpower.cfm>).

Trustmark Insurance Company uses O*NET OnLine to collect information on job titles, tasks, and skills and to access salary data. Human resources personnel use this information to describe tasks associated with sales support activities and to align managers' job titles with appropriate occupations across industries. O*NET occupational descriptions and data help to determine appropriate salary ranges for company positions and provide validation of company salary survey data (<http://www.trustmarkinsurance.com/internet/corporate/>).

Valpar International manufactures and develops specialized test instruments and software that measure work-related skills. Their products are used worldwide in education, workforce development, and allied health. Valpar's Aviator software uses the O*NET database in conjunction with various assessments to aid users in identifying occupations that fit their skills and interests. The following description is found on their Web site.

Aviator's multifunctional approach encompasses aptitude assessment, two pictorial/audio interest surveys, and two databases - the standard and O*Net databases each with approximately 1000 jobs. Using Valpar's skills-based assessment foundation, this fast, reliable, valid tool is easy to administer and cost efficient. All subtests and surveys are computer-based and can be completed in 60 minutes (<http://www.valparint.com/index.htm>).

International Users

O*NET data and career tools have quickly gained prominence in government and private industry products around the globe. One example is Australia's government, which uses the O*NET database as a behind-the-scenes data set linked to their own Standard Classification of Occupations. Human resource professionals in Japan have adopted the O*NET Career Exploration Tools. Chinese researchers have relied on the O*NET database for their occupational studies. European and Central American countries are translating O*NET products for their own populations. O*NET OnLine has received visits from users in over 190 countries. Countries logging hundreds of thousands of hits include Australia, Canada, China, Egypt, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Puerto Rico, Singapore, Germany, Great Britain, Hong Kong, India, Indonesia, South Africa, South Korea, and Taiwan. Each year, the O*NET Web sites log millions of visitors from virtually every geographic region in the world.

CANEDCOM, a Canadian international education development company based in Toronto, is working to install a Career and Vocational Guidance System for the Ministry of Education in Oman in the Middle East. They are using the O*NET Interest Profiler as part of their project to provide career guidance services for high school students, college and vocational training center graduates, and other job seekers. After pilot tests resulted in dissatisfaction with other assessments, CANEDCOM selected the O*NET Interest Profiler because it is an "internationally accepted instrument that has been tested for reliability and validity" (<http://caneducom.com/index.shtml>).

E-Career Guidance.Com, Ltd. (ECG) is an Irish company that provides vocational and career counseling services. They developed an online career exploration tool, the CareerDecisionMaker[®] (CDM), which assesses a client's standing on variables from four domains: vocational interests, work values, personality, and skills. The client's score profile is

matched to score profiles for occupations. The occupations having profiles that correspond most closely to the client's profile are presented as career options that the client might explore.

ECG linked the CDM to the O*NET database and its occupations from the Standard Occupational Classification (SOC). Scores from two of the CDM domains link directly to O*NET data for Interests and Work Values. The CDM skills and personality domains, however, differ from the O*NET Skills and Work Styles domains (i.e., they are based on different taxonomies). ECG contracted with Human Resources Research Organization (HumRRO) to develop skills and personality profiles for O*NET's SOC occupations that are commensurate with the CDM skills and personality profiles. In addition, HumRRO devised a profile matching algorithm that permits use of the entire four-domain CDM profile to identify promising career options for ECG's clients. All project activities (e.g., development of stimulus materials for O*NET analysts, summary of personality ratings provided by O*NET analysts, data analysis, development of the profile-matching algorithm) are documented in a technical report (McCloy, Byrum, Munoz, & Tsacoumis, 2006). (<http://www.careerdecisionmaker.com/ContactUs.aspx>).

The Technical Education and Skills Development Authority (TESDA), a government agency attached to the Philippine Department of Labor and Employment, has employed the O*NET Ability Profiler in its aptitude assessments of hundreds of thousands of high school students. In addition, TESDA is distributing the O*NET Computerized Interest Profiler to regional, provincial, and district offices, as well as to their training institutions, as part of their e-Profiling program, targeting out-of-school youth, career shifters, course shifters, and unemployed adults (<http://www.tesda.gov.ph/eTESDA/page.asp?rootID=3&sID=28&pID=12>).

A.2.4 Examples of the O*NET Program in Published Literature

Presented here are some examples of references to the O*NET Program in publications. For a thorough list of research articles, books, book chapters, technical reports, and presentations referencing the O*NET Program, see Appendix D.

An article by Thompson and Koys (2010) in the *Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies* addresses improving the ability to set and assess learning outcomes for students in an MBA or undergraduate management program. The article presents a protocol for the development of a content- and skills-based management curriculum that is derived from the Malcolm Baldrige National Performance Criteria and DOL's O*NET database. Using the protocol, the O*NET database defines specific needed skills and learning outcomes for students.

A researcher in the Department of Management and Organizations at the Tippie College of Business in Iowa headed a team doing research to validate the WorkKeys Fit Assessment, a tool which uses occupational interests and work values to measure person-occupation congruence. The team used the O*NET database to develop and validate the assessment. Results

of their work suggest that the fit between person and occupation predicts positive work attitudes and outcomes. This relationship is a useful concept that can be applied to personnel staffing. Organizations which include an interest-values assessment of person-occupation congruence may increase job satisfaction, job match, and job commitment among their employees (Postlethwaite et al., 2009, April).

At the Workshop on Research Evidence Related to Future Skill Demands, the National Research Council Center for Education presented a paper, *Projecting the Impact of Computers on Work in 2030* (Elliott, 2007, May). This paper describes an approach to projecting new workplace skill demands based on increased use of computers in the decades to come. An analysis of the impact of computer technology on future skills used the O*NET database to provide definitions, anchoring tasks for ability levels, and occupational ratings for the set of human abilities that are broadly relevant to work. The results of the analysis suggested that a serious and sustained effort is needed to project and prepare the American workforce for the extensive changes that are likely to occur as computers continue to displace human activity in the workplace.

The Research and Analysis arm of Indiana's Department of Workforce Development completed a study (Leeuw, 2006, December) designed to guide individuals, educators, and workforce professionals in identifying occupations that share similar skills. The study used O*NET skills data to create a new career cluster model that emphasizes relationships between seemingly unrelated industries and occupations. These clusters are being used to prioritize and focus resources on programs and curricula that support pathways to emerging high wage and high demand occupations.

A book by Landrum (2009), *Finding Jobs With a Psychology Bachelor's Degree: Expert Advice for Launching Your Career*, describes how graduates with a degree in psychology can develop a successful career with intellectual, emotional, and financial rewards. The book includes profiles of professionals in the field, describing their work, degree of career satisfaction, and how a bachelor's degree in psychology is a factor in their work. Instructions are provided for the use of interest inventories and career exploration tools such as the Holland Self-Directed Search and the O*NET database.

A.2.5 Looking to the Future with Competency Models

DOL has identified industry and occupational competency models as a resource that is key to businesses and industries articulating their workforce needs. The O*NET Content Model and database provide a valuable resource for the development of reliable and valid competency models. In turn, these models form the basis on which curriculum developers and training providers develop objectives and outcomes to ensure that workers have the right skills. The ETA

acts as a broker of information in the area of competency models and skill standards development by promoting, supporting, and disseminating information (<http://www.careeronestop.org/competencymodel/>). The information gathered through the O*NET Data Collection Program continues to provide the workforce investment system partners with tools necessary for investment in human-capital development.

To date, nineteen high-growth industry competency models have been developed, and more are in progress. For some industries the information available from industry sources is neither comprehensive nor readily available to developers. In these cases, model developers can access occupational information in the O*NET database to identify the critical work requirements, worker skills, and knowledge for occupations in the industry. The O*NET data are an essential source of information, reducing the time and effort required to develop industry competency models. When industry information is available or competency models have been developed, a comparison with the O*NET data validates information from industry sources.

The University of Central Michigan has used O*NET Content Model domains to identify the competencies for a leadership competency model for students. The model consists of five dimensions, each with multiple competencies drawn from the O*NET Content Model and an existing management taxonomy of work activities. Work requirements and worker characteristics were reviewed to identify skills, knowledge, abilities, work styles, Generalized Work Activities, work context, and organizational context relevant for each dimension. Although the resulting model was intended for students and was distributed to members of the campus community, it was also distributed to interested employers because of likely relevance to a variety of organizations.

The Tennessee Valley Authority used O*NET information as presented in the Career Pathways tool, which was designed by Economic Modeling Specialists, Incorporated, to evaluate workforce competencies in northeastern Mississippi. By showing Toyota that competencies of workers in the declining furniture industry in northeastern Mississippi were 98% compatible with the needs of the auto industry, O*NET data helped bring a Toyota plant to northeastern Mississippi.

A.3 Uses of Information Technology

The O*NET Data Collection Program employs the latest in information technology systems and procedures to enhance the quality of the data, minimize the burden on the responding establishments and job incumbents, and reduce the overall cost of the data collection effort.

A.3.1 Web Questionnaires

Electronic versions of the O*NET questionnaires are available via the Internet to sampled job incumbents and occupation experts. Many of the benefits of the paper questionnaires are replicated in the electronic questionnaires. Specifically, users are able to start and stop multiple times without losing data. They can return to a partially completed questionnaire at any time during the survey period and resume where they stopped. A respondent may also review and edit previous answers as necessary. In addition, an on-screen progress meter keeps respondents informed of their movement through the questionnaire.

Advances in Web technologies and security, as well as the increasing prevalence of establishments' and employees' access to Web browsers, have made Internet-based data collection both feasible and practical. Internet use continues to accelerate, and the use of the Web questionnaire has increased with it. For 2004, 15.8% of employee respondents used the Web questionnaire, a substantial increase over the 3.7% who responded via the Web during the 1999 pretest. In 2007 the number of job incumbent respondents choosing the Web version increased to 20.3%; for occupation experts, the Web usage rate was 24.0%.

The usage of the Web questionnaire continues to increase over time. For 2010, 24.8% of job incumbents completed the survey online. For occupation experts, those using the Web-based instrument in 2010 surged to 54.7%. The paper questionnaire cover and informational materials mailed to respondents continue to highlight and encourage the option of completing the survey on the Web.

The paper and Web versions of the questionnaires were designed to be optimal for their respective modes of administration. The questionnaire design literature suggests that this approach is essential to reduce mode effects. That is, if each questionnaire is designed to minimize measurement error in its particular mode of interview, mode effects are also minimized. For example, in the paper version, multiple questions appear on a single page of the questionnaire. However, in the Web version, only one question appears per screen (although the respondent can navigate at will through the instrument). The literature on Web survey design (see, e.g., Couper, 2008) suggests that one question per screen for Web surveys reduces measurement error and therefore the effects of administration mode. This change was the only important one necessary for the Web version, because both instruments are self-administered. In fact, to ensure comparability between the paper and Web responses, the formats and wordings of the questions and response categories for the two versions remain identical.

A.3.2 Project Web Site

An O*NET data collection Web page application has been developed to support and assist with the O*NET Data Collection Program (<http://onet.rti.org>). This site is divided into two

major sections: the public and restricted-access sections. The restricted-access section is further subdivided into two areas: the online questionnaires area and the project management area.

The goal for the public section is to support the establishment-recruiting process. This section is accessible to the public, without restrictions. The public section includes O*NET background information, endorsement letters, frequently asked questions, copies of the questionnaires, and links to other O*NET Program–related Web sites. The purpose of this section is to provide establishments, sampled workers, and occupation experts with readily accessible information about the data collection effort and uses of the data.

The restricted-access section contains sensitive information available only to certain groups, such as survey respondents and project managers. This section is controlled by a user ID and password authentication scheme. The Web server includes a secure socket layer (SSL) certificate to allow encrypted transmission of all information over the Internet. This same technology is used by e-commerce Web sites to secure credit card numbers. In addition, no “cookies” are used. (A cookie is data given to a user’s Web browser so that the browser will return the data to the server or Web site during subsequent requests.) Some Internet users distrust Web sites that deposit cookies on their computers and may even configure their computers to prohibit cookies, so the “cookie-less” techniques ensure that the site will perform as expected, whether or not a user has disabled cookies.

The online questionnaire area of the restricted-access section provides sample members an alternative to pencil-and-paper self-interviewing. Only persons who have been selected to participate in the survey have access to this area. Unique user IDs and passwords are assigned to each job incumbent and occupation expert by a central office computer system at the time of selection into the sample; names and other personally identifiable information are not obtained. The ID and password are provided with other survey materials to the sample member. Before allowing access to the online questionnaire area, the Web site confirms the validity of the ID and password and verifies that a completed paper survey form has not already been received.

Having entered this portion of the site, respondents are

- informed that participation in the survey is voluntary,
- assured that their survey responses will remain private,
- limited to seeing only the questionnaire they have been asked to complete,
- permitted to stop at any point and continue responding later,
- permitted to skip questions they choose not to answer, and
- permitted to review and change previous responses.

On the last page of the survey, respondents confirm that they have completed the questionnaire and optionally enter comments about survey; then they exit the questionnaire area of the site and are thanked for their participation. Their user ID is automatically deactivated at this time. Any further attempts to log in will not be possible, because the system recognizes these users as having completed the survey.

The database containing the survey data is not accessible via the Internet; it resides on a server inside the contractor's firewall. The Web data collection application encrypts and transmits data from the respondent's computer into the survey database. Only authorized project staff, operating from inside the firewall, have access to the survey database.

The project management area of the restricted-access section contains data collection management reports and information. Login credentials for this area are created for managers of the O*NET Data Collection Program. This portion of the Web site serves as an intranet for the O*NET Program, facilitating communication among contractor staff, program staff at the National Center for O*NET Development, and DOL. Production reports are posted nightly and include summaries of the progress of establishment recruiting, questionnaire shipment and receipt, and overall data collection status. Additional applications include a secure, centralized data file repository used by statisticians, analysts, and others to share results of specialized, nonroutine analyses and reports. The project management area of the Web site reduces the cost of the data collection effort by centralizing and streamlining features used by the project team.

A.3.3 The Case Management System and Data Collection Utilities

The O*NET CMS is a Web-based control system that supports and monitors the data collection activities of the BLs, the mailing of informational materials and questionnaires, and the receipt of completed paper and Web questionnaires. Enhancements have been made to the CMS that allow greater flexibility and effectiveness in the communications between the BLs and the POCs in sample establishments. For example, visual cues (icons) in the CMS allow the BLs to prioritize and customize their approach for certain types of establishments that require special procedures. Another enhancement is the ability to do ad hoc package modifications, such as the inclusion of special endorsement letters, based on O*NET-SOC occupations. Because the packages for specific O*NET-SOC occupations are customized, the POCs receive targeted materials that help communicate the data collection mission. In addition, tools have been developed to help operations management load-balance the number of cases assigned across the team of BLs. Another feature, for use with multisite organizations, permits shipping of questionnaires to more than one POC in an establishment.

An innovative RTI-developed approach to survey management known as Adaptive Total Design (ATD) has been integrated into the CMS to provide real-time, graphical updates of

process data captured during the execution of day-to-day operations.¹⁹ ATD ensures that managers are alerted to and can swiftly take action on unusual situations that can arise. It also allows managers to pair BLs to particular industries with which they have demonstrated exceptional recruiting effectiveness.

In an effort to help manage supplies (envelopes, brochures, questionnaires, etc.) associated with data collection, an inventory tracking system, integrated with the CMS, has been developed and deployed. As the BLs place orders for informational materials and questionnaires to be shipped to survey participants, the inventory system updates reports to show the expected remaining inventory. As stocks run low, operations staff are alerted to replenish supplies. The system provides a means to reconcile physical and expected inventory. As a result, the system has improved the efficiency of ordering, storing, and shipping data collection materials.

Questionnaires are prepared for each respondent by an automated order-fulfillment system. The system detects the questionnaire domain type and occupation assigned to the respondent, and it prints a complete package, including the individually customized and labeled questionnaire, ready to pack and ship.

The CMS automatically assigns questionnaire domain types sequentially as new respondents are added to the sample. Depending on how many of each type are actually returned by respondents, it is possible to receive enough responses of a particular questionnaire type for an occupation before receiving the total desired number of questionnaires of all types. The system continually monitors the number of returned questionnaires by type and overrides the sequential assignment of questionnaires as appropriate so that only the questionnaire types that are still required are sent. This feature enhances efficiency by eliminating the shipping of questionnaires that are no longer necessary to complete the data for an occupation. Moreover, by continually focusing resources only on the remaining questionnaire types required, it reduces the total time required to complete any occupation.

A.3.4 Additional Uses of the Internet for Data Collection

The O*NET Program uses the Internet to gather additional occupational information, such as lay titles and tools and technology. This use expands the O*NET database, providing easily maintained current information about tools and technology and enhancing users' ability to find occupations relevant to their training and expertise by giving them a wider range of search terms. This enhancement is accomplished without additional burden to the public and at less cost than other means of data collection.

¹⁹ See Section B.4.10 for a description of ATD.

“Web scraping” is employed to gather tools and technology linked to in-demand occupations in high-growth industries, with particular emphasis on identifying rapidly changing information technologies, such as database user interface and query software and analytical or scientific software. Tools and technologies linked to specific occupations are found on job posting, career education, professional association, and other Web sites. Occupational analysts link the tools and technologies to the United Nations Standard Products and Services Code[®], an online classification system for tools and services. Before the information is published in O*NET OnLine, rigorous reviews are performed to ensure the quality and usefulness of the data. Information collected from the Web in this way represents a significant enhancement to the data available to O*NET users.

O*NET lay titles are collected from unmatched search terms submitted to America’s Career InfoNet (ACINet), a DOL-sponsored online resource for job seekers that helps individuals explore career opportunities and make informed choices about employment and education. O*NET lay titles, as well as tools and technology, are also collected from the ACINet Job Description Writer, an online tool offered by ACINet to help employers and human resource specialists write job descriptions. Search terms, such as Sports Medicine Specialist and Nanotechnologist, that do not result in occupational matches in ACINet are captured electronically. These terms, together with job titles collected through the Job Description Writer, are reviewed by O*NET occupational analysts for potential inclusion in the O*NET Lay Title database. Lay titles greatly enhance the keyword search functions for O*NET OnLine, America’s Career InfoNet, and O*NET Code Connector, a Web application to assist workforce professionals in matching job titles to O*NET-SOC codes.

Use of Web sites further enhances the O*NET database, provides greater search capabilities to users, and reduces burden. It also allows for rapid update of the data through user input and at minimal cost.

A.4 Efforts to Identify Duplication

To avoid duplication and reduce cost, several portions of the O*NET Content Model are provided from existing data sources. Specifically, as discussed in Section A.1, the domain of Workforce Characteristics—including information on industries, job opportunities, and pay—is obtained through links to existing labor market information databases. Information about occupational licensing, certifications, national industrial skill standards, and related instructional programs is provided from existing sources, such as the Manufacturing Skills Standards Council, the National Retail Federation, and several Web sites, including <http://www.careertools.org/certification> and http://www.acinet.org/acinet/lois_start.asp.

The exhaustive reviews of existing labor market and occupational information, conducted by the Advisory Panel for the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles* and DOL's DOT review staff, as well as subsequent current research, identified no other comprehensive, valid, and reliable sources that could be used for the data items included in the O*NET database. The development of the O*NET Program has involved staff and advisors with many years of experience in labor market and occupational information who are familiar with existing data sources. In fact, as discussed in Section A.2, many existing systems that provide detailed occupational information are actually using information based on O*NET data or the predecessor DOT.

The few existing sources with similar measures are too limited to be used in the O*NET database. Some existing sources are valid and reliable—for example, information from the OPM and the U.S. Department of Defense—but are not comprehensive, because they represent only those jobs in federal civilian employment or the military. Some private sources of job analysis information exist; however, they are based on job analyses conducted as needed rather than on a representative sample of employers and workers. They are therefore limited in their coverage and not representative of the entire workforce. Furthermore, these analyses are not comparable because they do not use the prescribed O*NET common language to describe occupational requirements; it is not practical to combine them, because they include dissimilar components. Finally, these private data sources are not available to the general public.

A.5 Efforts to Minimize Burden on Small Establishments

All sizes of establishments are represented in the O*NET estimates for most occupations. For some occupations, the targeting strategy used in selecting an efficient sample may lead us to omit some small establishments from the sampling frame, but this omission occurs for few occupations. The omission is allowed when it is clear that sampling small establishments will greatly reduce the efficiency of the data collection or when it is clear that incumbents from small establishments are not working in the mainstream of the occupation.

Given that establishments of all sizes should be represented in the samples for most occupations, specific design provisions have been undertaken to avoid overly burdening small establishments. For example, Exhibit 9 shows the distribution of establishments by number of employees on the Dun & Bradstreet list used as the sampling frame for O*NET data. Exhibit 9 also shows the expected distribution of the O*NET sample of establishments by number of employees. O*NET sampling selects small establishments at a much lower rate than that at which they occur in the population: although 88.4% of establishments employ fewer than 25 employees, the O*NET sample will consist of only 38.1% of such small establishments. On the other hand, large establishments (with 250 or more employees) will make up 24.3% of the O*NET sample but make up only 0.3% of all establishments. Thus, the O*NET sample relies

Exhibit 9. Distribution of Frame and Sample Establishments by Employment Size

| Number of Employees | Total Number of Frame Establishments ^a | Frame Distribution (Percent) | Actual Distribution of O*NET Sampled Establishments ^b (Percent) |
|---------------------|---|------------------------------|--|
| 1–4 | 10,815,608 | 69.0 | 14.1 |
| 5–9 | 1,823,001 | 11.6 | 6.0 |
| 10–24 | 1,227,005 | 7.8 | 18.0 |
| 25–49 | 458,259 | 2.9 | 8.4 |
| 50–99 | 254,976 | 1.6 | 15.0 |
| 100–249 | 135,776 | 0.9 | 9.4 |
| 250–499 | 31,102 | 0.2 | 13.3 |
| 500–999 | 11,557 | 0.1 | 6.2 |
| 1,000–4,999 | 6,476 | 0.0 | 4.5 |
| 5,000+ | 425 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Unknown | 907,022 | 5.8 | 4.9 |
| Total | 15,671,207 | 100% | 100% |

^a Data based on January 2011 Dun & Bradstreet (D&B) frame of establishments.

^b Data based on distribution of prior O*NET samples that used the D&B frame. Future O*NET samples will be similarly designed.

more heavily on large establishments in order to reduce the burden on small establishments with few employees. The disproportionate sampling of large and small establishments is properly accounted for in the analysis weighting, resulting in statistically consistent estimates.

In addition, data collection procedures place lower burden on small establishments than on large establishments. When a small establishment is selected, it likely employs fewer of the targeted occupations and has fewer employees working in the occupations. Thus, a POC at a small establishment generally spends less time preparing sampling lists and distributing questionnaires than a POC at a large establishment, which is more likely to employ several of the targeted occupations, with a large number of employees working in the occupations.

A.6 Consequences of Collecting the Information Less Frequently

The O*NET database is the most comprehensive source of occupational information in the United States. No other similar, comprehensive, reliable, and valid source exists. If O*NET data are not collected, U.S. citizens, industry, business establishments, military, government and educational institutions, and the workforce investment system will have few options that meet their needs for occupational information. O*NET data are used to develop industry competency models and occupational competency profiles. O*NET data also include information on

transferable skills and are used for skills gap analysis, facilitating a mobile workforce responsive to changing economic needs.

The use of O*NET data by industry, employers, software developers, job seekers, students, educators, and workforce development specialists supports a well-functioning U.S. labor market and workforce investment system—both essential to U.S. competitiveness in the global 21st-century economy. O*NET-SOC occupations conform to the SOC, permitting O*NET data to be linked to and analyzed with sources of information on current occupational employment and trends, wages, and demographic data. Its electronic format is freely accessible, either through O*NET OnLine (<http://online.onetcenter.org>) or as a download from the O*NET Resource Center (<http://www.onetcenter.org>).

The initial 3.1 version of the O*NET database was populated with information derived from the DOT. So far, updated data have been published for 1,133 O*NET-SOC occupations. Additional data releases are planned through 2015 to update occupations and release new data on new and emerging occupations. The consequences of discontinuing data collection would be that the millions of users who rely on O*NET data for business and career decisions, for educational programming, and for work in human resources or workforce development would instead be using portions of information that are out of date and incomplete. If data were collected less frequently, the currency of some data would become questionable, especially for occupations that are changing as a result of new technologies. The focus of data collection on high-growth and new and emerging occupations reflects the need to provide current information in a demand-driven economy that is rapidly changing.

A 3-year extension of the O*NET Data Collection Program is being requested for the period spanning calendar years 2012 through 2015. This extension will provide for the updating of selected high-growth occupations and for data collection activities for new and emerging occupations. A dynamic and progressive U.S. economy requires continuous improvement to the data on which so many decisions are based. Millions of people are currently using O*NET information and the numbers continue to expand as public agencies and private developers integrate O*NET data into their systems and products. The O*NET database provides valid, reliable, and current occupational information crucial to a strong U.S. workforce. O*NET database updates are scheduled to occur once a year to incorporate newly collected information on recently surveyed occupations. A schedule for data analysis is provided in Section A.16; schedules for data collection and analysis are subject to annual appropriations.

A.7 Special Circumstances

The study will be conducted in a manner consistent with the guidelines in 5 C.F.R. § 1320.9. There are no special circumstances that might require deviation from the guidelines.

A.8 Consultation Outside the Agency

The *Federal Register* notice initiating the 60-day public comment period was published on November 30, 2011. In response to this notice asking for public comment on the proposed extension of the O*NET data collection, DOL received four comments that provided observations about wage data for the SOC and O*NET code and title 11-9033, Educational Administrators, Postsecondary. These comments made the observation that this category presents problems for data users because it groups together different types of workers with different wage levels into a single occupational estimate. The Department notes that the wage estimates for this occupation are not produced through the O*NET data collection, but rather through an OES survey conducted by BLS, which collects data for occupations based on the SOC categories. Changes to the SOC classification are considered by the Standard Occupational Classification Policy Committee (SOCPC), an interagency committee operating under the auspices of OMB and chaired by BLS. These comments that were received in response to the *Federal Register* notice for 1205-0421 will be forwarded to the staff supporting the SOCPC for consideration in the next round of SOC revisions, tentatively scheduled to begin in late 2013. Interested parties can find information about the schedule and process for any upcoming SOC revisions at <http://www.bls.gov/soc/#revision>. Data users should note, however, that the 2010 SOC system was issued by OMB on January 21, 2009, and is in use for data collection purposes. The most recent solicitation for public comment on that version of the SOC was published in the *Federal Register* on May 22, 2008.

The OMB clearance package was reviewed by two expert consultants, Drs. James B. Rounds and Michael A. Campion. Both are industrial/organizational psychologists who are widely published in their field and who are intimately familiar with the O*NET data collection process and the types and uses of the data collected. Revisions responsive to their comments have been incorporated, as appropriate, in the clearance package.

The data collection contractor, RTI International, has several mechanisms in place to obtain ongoing feedback from study participants. The BLs have multiple telephone contacts with POCs and occupation experts; they are careful to document in their call notes significant comments or suggestions they receive. Subject matter experts at professional associations we contact to help identify occupation experts frequently provide feedback that the National Center for O*NET Development uses to refine occupation descriptions and tasks. Letters and brochures sent to POCs and occupation experts offer a toll-free number they can call with questions or comments. In addition, the project Web site, <https://onet.rti.org/>, which both study participants and the general public can access, has a “contact us” tab that offers both a toll-free number and an e-mail link. Furthermore, both the paper questionnaires and the online Web questionnaires provide respondents an opportunity to submit comments with their questionnaire responses. All

feedback received from these sources is promptly reviewed by project management staff and has resulted in several modifications to the data collection protocol.

A.9 Payments or Gifts to Respondents

Since the origins of the survey, incentives have been offered to POCs, establishments, and employees to encourage their participation in the O*NET Data Collection Program. Although the current procedures are designed to minimize respondent burden, the effort for the company and the POC participation is not insignificant.

A.9.1 Incentives for the Point of Contact and the Employer

The POC's responsibilities include

- reading the introductory package to become familiar with the purpose of the O*NET Data Collection Program and the role of a POC;
- seeking permission within the company, as necessary, to participate in the O*NET Data Collection Program;
- making a roster of all employees at the location who work in as many as five different occupations;
- participating in a sampling process that selects as many as 20 total employees from these occupations and maintaining this sample roster for future reference;
- distributing questionnaires to the sampled persons within the company and addressing their questions and concerns about the survey; and
- distributing follow-up materials to employees, including thank you–reminder cards, replacement questionnaires, and e-mailed requests for cooperation.

Because POCs are the only link with the O*NET respondents, they must be fully committed to the data collection process. The POC is the O*NET Program's representative within the establishment who communicates the importance of the O*NET Program.

The employer is also critical because he or she is being asked to

- support the O*NET Data Collection Program by agreeing to the company's participation in data collection,
- provide support and encouragement to the POC to carry out his or her responsibilities,
- allow the POC to provide information regarding the number of persons employed in the establishment in the occupations of interest, and
- support the participation of the sampled employees.

Incentives for both POCs and employers are essential to encourage the highest response rates possible. POCs receive a clock with an initial information package and, if they subsequently agree to participate, a framed Certificate of Appreciation from DOL. The clock is

battery operated, has the O*NET logo on its face, and is suitable for display on a desk or shelf. The Certificate of Appreciation is printed in color on card stock, bears the DOL and O*NET logos, displays the POC's name, and is signed by a high-ranking DOL official. It has a solid oak frame and Plexiglas cover and is suitable for displaying on an office wall. The total cost of both gifts is approximately \$10, although their perceived value likely far exceeds this amount.

The employer receives the O*NET Toolkit for Business if the establishment agrees to participate. The Toolkit is an O*NET Program information packet, including a guide for writing job descriptions, that managers can use for human resource planning. These materials are attractively organized in colored, glossy folders.

The continuation of these incentives is planned for both the POC and the employer because these incentives seem to work quite well and are the products of considerable thought and experimentation. For example, in the early years of O*NET data collection, the POC received a mouse pad with built-in calculator in place of the Certificate of Appreciation. The mouse pad was not well received by the POCs, and its perceived value, with the clock, was judged to be inadequate for all the POC's efforts. Although not formally tested, the perceived value of the Certificate of Appreciation has significantly exceeded its actual cost, and it has been very well received by the POCs.

In addition, an experiment was conducted from 2002 to 2004 to evaluate an additional incentive of \$20 to the POC. Essentially, the incentive had no effect on POC cooperation rates or employee response rates, but it significantly increased data collection costs. The experimental findings suggested that the current POC and employer incentives are adequate for maximizing response rates at a reduced cost (Biemer, Ellis, Pitt, & Robbins, 2006).²⁰

A.9.2 Incentives for the Employee

In keeping with what has been done since 2001, each employee is offered a prepaid incentive of \$10 to ensure that a high percentage of the job incumbents respond by completing the questionnaire.

Monetary incentives have the greatest potential impact when the respondent has to exert some special effort, such as taking a test or filling out a multi-item questionnaire. The incentive encourages respondents in a task requiring higher levels of involvement and commitment than the typical one-time, face-to-face interview. Although the O*NET questionnaires are not tests, the cognitive demands they place on respondents resemble test-taking demands in that the respondents must assess the requirements of their job. The monetary incentive is instrumental in impressing upon the respondent the importance of this rating task. Respondents who perceive the

²⁰ For a more detailed discussion of the Biemer et al. (2006) results, see Section B.4.

rating task as important will likely make thoughtful, carefully considered assessments rather than hasty ones, thus improving the reliability of the data.

In addition, the monetary incentive is important because respondents are encouraged to complete the questionnaire, which takes about 30 minutes, on their own time rather than on the job. This encouragement not only minimizes the burden on employers, but also improves the quality of the data because, otherwise, busy workers might be underrepresented in the data, which would bias the estimates for job performance.

The monetary incentive may at least partially offset its inherent cost through efficiencies created in the data collection process as a result of higher response rates. For the job incumbent respondents especially—although they are not viewed as a difficult-to-reach population in the usual sense—considerable effort and resources are expended to identify and reach them through the sampling process. They represent a worker in a specific occupation in a specific establishment in a specific industry. The expense of reaching that particular respondent justifies the cost of a monetary payment to ensure a high rate of response. With regard to the size of the employee incentive, payment amounts were evaluated in the pretest to determine the optimal means to maximize the response rate. On the basis of these data, a \$10 cash incentive has been used since the initial wave of data collection and will continue to be used in future waves.

A.9.3 Incentives for Occupation Experts

Occupation experts provide data for approximately 25% of the O*NET-SOC occupations. Each occupation expert receives a desk clock with the initial information package and, if they agree to participate, a prepaid incentive of \$40 and a framed Certificate of Appreciation from DOL. Unlike job incumbents, who complete only one domain questionnaire, occupation experts are asked to complete all three domain questionnaires; thus, the \$40 incentive is about \$13.33 per questionnaire. This incentive is slightly higher than the \$10 offered to Establishment Method respondents for completing one domain questionnaire. The increased incentive and the Certificate of Appreciation are necessary to gain cooperation from what is often a rare group of experts for an occupation. Moreover, the additional incentives seem commensurate with the effort involved in responding to multiple questionnaires, given that the average occupation expert's salary is higher than the average employee's salary.

A.10 Assurance of Privacy

The contractor for the data collection task, RTI International, has extensive experience in protecting and maintaining the privacy of respondent data collected from surveys. To ensure privacy, RTI has drawn from its experience in designing the data collection procedures incorporated in this program. In addition, RTI's Institutional Review Board is bound by their O*NET contract, federal regulations, and company policy to review and approve the research

protocol to ensure compliance with federal regulations (45 C.F.R. § 46) concerning data privacy and the protection of human subjects from research risks.

Survey data are collected from job incumbents (Establishment Method) and from occupation experts (OE Method). Informational materials mailed to potential respondents contain essential program information and assurances of privacy that enable the person to make an informed decision about his or her voluntary participation in the data collection effort. Examples of informational materials provided to survey participants appear in Appendix B.

Employees sampled at establishments are asked to complete their questionnaires on their personal time, not company time. This stipulation enables the employee to select a comfortable and private setting, if desired, in which to complete the questionnaire. All respondents have a choice of completing paper questionnaires or completing the questionnaires online at the project Web site. Paper questionnaires are mailed directly to RTI in a business-reply envelope provided by RTI. The individual responses are processed according to a study ID number. All O*NET Data Collection Program staff are required to sign a privacy pledge that assures each respondent that the privacy of responses to the questionnaire will be maintained. Only authorized staff have access to the completed instruments and data files. The completed and processed questionnaires are stored in a secure document-control area until federal authorization has been granted to destroy them. All computer files, including those associated with the control system, are password protected.

The Internet-based system that allows respondents to provide their survey responses electronically has restricted access, including a user ID and password authentication scheme for respondents. The Web server includes an SSL certificate to allow encrypted transmission of all information over the Internet, the same technology used by e-commerce sites. The database containing the survey data is not accessible via the Internet; it resides on a server inside the RTI firewall. Only O*NET Data Collection Program staff have access to the master survey database.

The O*NET questionnaires (see Appendix A) collect very little personal information about the respondent, and what is collected contains no identifiers, such as personal name or place of employment. No individual-level data are published, nor are they accessible or provided to anyone except the O*NET Data Collection Program staff. Published results are made available only in aggregate, as one set of estimates for an entire occupation. Furthermore, no demographic data (e.g., sex, race) are released, even in aggregate form. Finally, estimates are not produced for any subpopulations within an occupation, such as geographic region or sociodemographic group, which otherwise may have allowed the identification of an individual.

Before publishing the O*NET tables on the public Web site, the O*NET Program team thoroughly examines the tables for any risk of disclosure of private information. In particular,

each table is analyzed to identify any “sensitive” cells (i.e., cells that may reveal too much information about an individual employee). Willenborg and De Waal (1996) have recommended using an (n,k) -dominance rule that a cell be regarded as sensitive if the sum of the largest n contributions account for more than $k\%$ of the total cell value. Willenborg and De Waal further recommend that $n = 5$ and $k = 80$. Because every sampled employee contributes only one response, these recommendations translate into a minimum cell size of $5/0.80$, or about 7. In fact, the minimum cell size for the O*NET tables is 10 respondents; any sensitive cells with fewer than 10 are suppressed. In addition, the (n,k) -dominance rule assumes a complete census. As Willenborg and Waal note, when applied to tables based on samples and where the cell entries are weighted averages, the $(5,0.80)$ rule affords even greater disclosure control. This extra control ensures that these O*NET tabular data pose no disclosure risks to any individual respondent.

A.11 Questions of a Sensitive Nature

Only two questions in the O*NET questionnaires may be considered to be of a sensitive nature. In the Background section, the survey uses the disability questions developed for the 2000 U.S. Census.²¹ The first of these questions asks respondents about sensory or physical disabilities; the second asks about mental, self-care, go-outside-home, and employment disabilities. Completion rates for these items indicate that the great majority of participants (96%) elect to complete the questions.

The O*NET sampling strategy is to randomly select participants at the individual level. The disability questions, together with the demographic questions, provide descriptive information about the sample of respondents.

Respondents to the O*NET survey are informed that responding to all questions, which includes disability status and the other demographic characteristics, is voluntary. They complete the survey on their own time, in a private setting if they choose. No identifying information, such as the respondent’s name or place of employment, is recorded on the questionnaire.

A.12 Estimates of Annualized Hour Burden

As described in Section A.1.3, there are two protocols for O*NET data collection—the Establishment Method and the OE Method. An estimated 75% of occupations are completed by Establishment Method. The OE Method is used for occupations as necessary to improve sampling efficiency and avoid excessive use of burden, as when it is difficult to locate industries

²¹ The 10-item 2010 Census did not include disability items. Instead, these questions now appear in the American Community Survey (ACS). The O*NET team is in the process of making the corresponding changes to its questionnaires. Only a slight change in the wording will be needed.

or establishments with occupation incumbents, employment is low, or employment data are not available, as is the case for many new and emerging occupations.

The Establishment Method uses a two-stage sample, with establishments selected at the first stage and employees selected at the second stage. Thus, there are burden hours associated with both establishments and employees. For each participating establishment, a POC is identified to coordinate data collection activities in the establishment. In Exhibit 10, the first and second columns of the Establishment Activity section present the number of responses and assumed average burden per response for the POC's activities; these averages were obtained from previous years of O*NET data collection experience. When the total establishment burden was estimated, the estimated number of establishments that will complete each activity was multiplied by the average burden and summed across the activities.

The Employee Activity section of Exhibit 10 is based on the fact that selected employees under the Establishment Method will complete one domain questionnaire requiring an average of 30 minutes of effort, while occupation experts complete all three domain questionnaires, requiring an average of 90 minutes of effort.

Exhibit 10 also displays the estimated number of sampling units and the estimated burden hours. As shown in Exhibit 12 in Section A.16, we assume that 75 occupations will be completed under the Establishment Method and 25 will be completed under the OE Method each year. From June 2012 through May 2013, establishments are estimated to expend 6,247 burden hours, and employees are estimated to expend 8,490 burden hours, for a total of 14,737 burden hours. From June 2013 through May 2014, establishments are estimated to expend 6,113 burden hours, and employees are estimated to expend 8,370 burden hours, for a total of 14,483 burden hours. From June 2014 through May 2015, 5,172 burden hours are estimated for establishments, and 6,619 burden hours are estimated for employees, for a total of 11,791 burden hours. The slight decline in total burden hours across the 3-year period of June 2012 to May 2015 (14,737; 14,483; 11,791) results from initiating data collection for most occupations during the period June 2012–May 2013, which causes many of these occupations to complete data collection during the final 2 years.

The Total Respondents section of Exhibit 10 shows the estimated annual number of respondents by category and overall. The respondent totals include the number of POCs (the row name is "Screening call to POC"), the number of Establishment Method employee respondents, and the number of OE Method respondents. The category totals are based on prior sampling experience. The total number of respondents across all 3 years is 78,863.

The Total Burden Hours section of Exhibit 10 shows the annual number of burden hours by category and overall. The burden hour totals include both establishment burden and employee

burden. The category totals are based on the estimated number of respondents for each category, the number of responses, and the average burden per response. The total number of burden hours across all 3 years is 41,011. This 3-year total reflects a slight reduction in burden compared with the previous 3-year period of 2009 to 2011, during which 43,857 burden hours were requested (DOL, 2008).

O*NET Establishment Method data collection has been designed to minimize the burden on the selected establishments:

- Establishments are asked about no more than 10 occupations each, with questioning terminated once 5, or sometimes fewer, occupations are identified at an establishment.
- Establishments are asked to complete rosters of employees only for the five or fewer occupations identified.
- Establishments are selected no more than once within a 12-month period.
- No more than 20 employees are selected from an establishment across all of its selected occupations.
- If an occupation proves difficult to complete under the Establishment Method, the dual-frame approach may be used to supplement it. For occupations that are difficult to sample, the alternative OE Method may be used.

Exhibit 10. Estimate of Hour and Cost Burden by Year

| | Number of Responses per Sample Unit | Average Burden per Response (Minutes) | June 2012–May 2013 | | June 2013–May 2014 | | June 2014–May 2015 | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|
| | | | Sampling Units | Burden Hours | Sampling Units | Burden Hours | Sampling Units | Burden Hours |
| Establishment Activity | | | | | | | | |
| Verification calls to initial contact at establishment | 1 | 2 | 15,500 | 517 | 15,167 | 506 | 12,833 | 428 |
| Screening call to POC | 1 | 3 | 12,865 | 643 | 12,588 | 629 | 10,652 | 533 |
| Initial recruitment call to POC | 1 | 12 | 7,462 | 1,492 | 7,301 | 1,460 | 6,178 | 1,236 |
| POC creation of occupation lists for Sampling | 1 | 20 | 4,179 | 1,393 | 4,089 | 1,363 | 3,460 | 1,153 |
| Call to POC to sample workers | 1 | 10 | 4,179 | 696 | 4,089 | 681 | 3,460 | 577 |
| POC's distribution of questionnaire packets | 1 | 15 | 3,928 | 982 | 3,843 | 961 | 3,252 | 813 |
| Follow-up calls to POC | 4 | 2 | 3,928 | 524 | 3,843 | 512 | 3,252 | 434 |
| Total, establishment ^a | NA | NA | NA | 6,247 | NA | 6,113 | NA | 5,172 |
| Employee Activity | | | | | | | | |
| Establishment Method employee respondents | 1 | 30 | 14,880 | 7,440 | 14,640 | 7,320 | 11,137 | 5,569 |
| Occupation Expert Method respondents | 3 | 30 | 700 | 1,050 | 700 | 1,050 | 700 | 1,050 |
| Total, employee ^b | NA | NA | NA | 8,490 | N/A | 8,370 | NA | 6,619 |
| Total Respondents | | | | | | | | |
| Private sector | NA | NA | 11,611 | NA | 11,361 | NA | 9,613 | NA |
| Federal government | NA | NA | 934 | NA | 914 | NA | 773 | NA |
| State/local/tribal governments | NA | NA | 320 | NA | 313 | NA | 265 | NA |
| Subtotal, establishment respondents | NA | NA | 12,865 | NA | 12,588 | NA | 10,652 | NA |
| Individuals/households | NA | NA | 15,580 | NA | 15,340 | NA | 11,837 | NA |
| Total, all respondents ^{c,d} | NA | NA | 28,445 | NA | 27,929 | NA | 22,489 | NA |

continued

Exhibit 10. Estimate of Hour and Cost Burden by Year (continued)

| | Number of Responses per Sample Unit | Average Burden per Response (Minutes) | June 2012–May 2013 | | June 2013–May 2014 | | June 2014–May 2015 | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|
| | | | Sampling Units | Burden Hours | Sampling Units | Burden Hours | Sampling Units | Burden Hours |
| Total Burden Hours | | | | | | | | |
| Private sector | NA | NA | NA | 5,638 | NA | 5,517 | NA | 4,668 |
| Federal government | NA | NA | NA | 454 | NA | 444 | NA | 376 |
| State/local/tribal governments | NA | NA | NA | 156 | NA | 152 | NA | 129 |
| Subtotal, establishment burden hours | NA | NA | NA | 6,247 | NA | 6,113 | NA | 5,172 |
| Individuals/households | NA | NA | NA | 8,490 | NA | 8,370 | NA | 6,619 |
| Total, all burden hours ^e | NA | NA | NA | 14,737 | NA | 14,483 | NA | 11,791 |
| | | | Cost Burden | | | | | |
| Respondent Type | | | 6/12–5/13 | | 6/13–5/14 | | 6/14–5/15 | |
| Establishments ^f | | | \$321,856 | | \$320,986 | | \$276,828 | |
| Employees ^g | | | \$245,446 | | \$246,585 | | \$198,760 | |
| Total | | | \$567,302 | | \$567,571 | | \$475,588 | |

Note: NA = not applicable.

^a Includes total burden time for all establishments (private sector, federal government, and state/local/tribal governments).

^b Includes total burden time for individuals/households.

^c The total number of respondents across all 3 years = 78,863.

^d The total number of respondents was derived by summing the number of POCs (row name is “Screening call to POCs”), Establishment employees (row name is “Establishment Method employee respondents”), and occupation experts (row name is “Occupation Expert Method respondents”).

^e The total number of burden hours across all 3 years = 41,011.

^f Assumed hourly total compensation rates: \$51.52 for June 2012–May 2013; \$52.51 for June 2013–May 2014; \$53.52 for June 2014–May 2015.

^g Assumed hourly total compensation rates: \$28.91 for June 2012–May 2013; \$29.46 for June 2013–May 2014; \$30.03 for June 2014–May 2015.

A.13 Annual Reporting Burden Cost

Exhibit 10 displays the estimated annualized cost to respondents for burden hours by year. The cost burden was estimated with use of average total compensation rates obtained from the December 2010 Employer Costs for Employee Compensation Summary issued by BLS on March 9, 2011. The average total compensation per hour for private industry was \$27.75, which was inflated based on the Employment Cost Index to a median hourly total compensation of \$28.91 for June 2012–May 2013, \$29.46 for June 2013–May 2014, and \$30.03 for June 2014–May 2015. These are the total compensation rates used for estimating the employee cost burden. Given that the POC will often be a human resources manager, the December 2010 total compensation rate of \$49.46 for the Management, Professional and Related category was inflated to a median hourly total compensation of \$51.52 for June 2012–May 2013, \$52.51 for June 2013–May 2014, and \$53.52 for June 2014–May 2015 for estimating the establishment cost burden.

Exhibit 10 shows that the combined establishment and employee total cost burden is \$567,302 for June 2012–May 2013, \$567,571 for June 2013–May 2014, and \$475,588 for June 2014–May 2015. As with the burden hours, the slight decline in total cost burden across years results from initiation of data collection for most occupations during June 2012–May 2013, which causes many of these occupations to complete data collection during the final 2 years.

There are no respondent costs for capital or start-up or for operations, maintenance, and purchase of services. There are no costs to the employers, POCs, or sampled employees other than the time it takes them to participate in the survey.

A.14 Estimates of Annualized Cost to Government

The estimated annual cost to the government for the O*NET Data Collection Program for 2012 to 2015 is approximately \$4.6 million. This estimate includes all direct and indirect costs of conducting the sampling, data collection, and analysis activities of the O*NET Data Collection Program.

A.15 Reasons for Program Changes or Adjustments Reported in Sections A.13 and A.14

Exhibit 11 compares the projected burden hours for the period June 2012–May 2015 with the average annual burden as estimated for calendar years 2009–2011 in the OMB Supporting Statement dated December 8, 2008. The projected total annual burden hours for June 2012–May 2015 range from 11,791 to 14,737. The average annual burden is 13,670 hours, compared with an average annual burden of 14,619 hours requested for the previous 3-year period (2009–2011). In addition, as indicated in Exhibit 10, the total burden hours for the June 2012–May 2015

period, 41,011, reflects a slight reduction in burden compared with the 2009–2011 period, for which a total of 43,857 hours were requested (U.S. Department of Labor, 2008). Over the years of conducting the O*NET Data Collection Program, experience gained in selecting efficient samples of establishments has led to reduced burden hours. Continuous improvement efforts include refinements in occupational clustering, industry identification, and the design of data collection waves, as well as the ability to capitalize on previous sampling experience and history for some of the occupations.

Exhibit 11 compares the estimated costs to respondents for June 2012–May 2015 with the average annual cost estimated for 2009–2011. The annual costs have increased since 2009–2011, primarily because of inflation in the benefits portion of employee compensation.

A.16 Time Schedule, Publication, and Analysis Plans

The major components of the O*NET Data Collection Program include sampling, data collection operations, and analysis. Exhibit 12 shows the expected schedule for annual data analysis cycles and data publications for the next several years.

A.16.1 Data Analysis Tasks Conducted for Each Cycle

Described here is the approach used for data cleaning and editing, as well as the analyses that are performed annually.

Data Cleaning

Paper questionnaires are manually and machine edited so that completely blank questionnaires are dropped; responses to items that should have been skipped are blanked out; multiple responses are blanked out; and codes indicating missing data, multiple responses, and legitimate skips are inserted. Codes for legitimate skips and missing responses are also inserted in the records for questionnaires obtained through the Web. In addition, an electronic check is conducted to detect duplicate questionnaires from the same respondent.

Exhibit 11. Comparison of Hour and Cost Burden Between 2009–2011 and June 2012–May 2015

| | Annual Average 2009-2011a | | June 2012-May 2013 | | June 2013-May 2014 | | June 2014-May 2015 | |
|--|---|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| | Sampling Units | Burden Hours | Sampling Units | Burden Hours | Sampling Units | Burden Hours | Sampling Units | Burden Hours |
| Establishment Activity | | | | | | | | |
| Verification calls to initial contact at establishment | 14,861 | 495 | 15,500 | 517 | 15,167 | 506 | 12,833 | 428 |
| Screening call to POC | 12,335 | 617 | 12,865 | 643 | 12,588 | 629 | 10,652 | 533 |
| Initial recruitment call to POC | 7,154 | 1,431 | 7,462 | 1,492 | 7,301 | 1,460 | 6,178 | 1,236 |
| POC creates occupation lists for sampling | 4,006 | 1,335 | 4,179 | 1,393 | 4,089 | 1,363 | 3,460 | 1,153 |
| Call to POC to sample workers | 4,006 | 668 | 4,179 | 696 | 4,089 | 681 | 3,460 | 577 |
| POC distributes Questionnaire Packets | 3,766 | 941 | 3,928 | 982 | 3,843 | 961 | 3,252 | 813 |
| Follow-up calls to POC | 3,766 | 502 | 3,928 | 524 | 3,843 | 512 | 3,252 | 434 |
| Total establishment | | 5,989 | NA | 6,247 | NA | 6,113 | NA | 5,172 |
| Employee Activity | | | | | | | | |
| Establishment Method employee respondents | 15,759 | 7,879 | 14,880 | 7,440 | 14,640 | 7,320 | 11,137 | 5,569 |
| Occupation Expert Method respondents | 500 | 750 | 700 | 1,050 | 700 | 1,050 | 700 | 1,050 |
| Total, employee | NA | 8,629 | NA | 8,490 | NA | 8,370 | NA | 6,619 |
| Total, Establishments and Employees | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | 14,619 | | 14,737 | | 14,483 | | 11,791 |
| | | | | | Cost Burden | | | |
| Respondent Type | Annual Average 2009–2011^a | | June 2012–May 2013 | | June 2013–May 2014 | | June 2014–May 2015 | |
| Establishments ^b | \$281,483 | | \$321,856 | | \$320,986 | | \$276,828 | |
| Employees ^c | \$170,250 | | \$245,446 | | \$246,586 | | \$198,760 | |
| Total | \$451,733 | | \$567,302 | | \$567,572 | | \$475,588 | |

^a From the OMB Supporting Statement dated December 8, 2008.

^b Assumed hourly total compensation rates: \$51.52 for June 2012–May 2013; \$52.51 for June 2013–May 2014; \$53.52 for June 2014–May 2015.

^c Assumed hourly total compensation rates: \$28.91 for June 2012–May 2013; \$29.46 for June 2013–May 2014; \$30.03 for June 2014–May 2015.

Exhibit 12. Data Analysis and Publication Schedule

| Analysis Cycle | Analysis Cycle Start Date | Analysis Cycle End Date | Estimated Establishment Method O*NET-SOC Occupations | Estimated Occupation Expert Method O*NET-SOC Occupations | Estimated O*NET-SOC Occupations Published | Publication Date |
|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|---|------------------|
| 14 | Jul-12 | Jun-13 | 75 | 25 | 100 | Jun-13 |
| 15 | Jul-13 | Jun-14 | 75 | 25 | 100 | Jun-14 |
| 16 | Jul-14 | Jun-15 | 75 | 25 | 100 | Jun-15 |

Identification and Evaluation of Anomalous Cases

Analyses of the ratings are based on the assumption that raters were qualified, willing, and able to engage in the rating tasks. This task is accomplished by requiring each case to first pass through a series of machine edits using prescribed eligibility criteria, including having at least one task rated important and having at least 50% of the domain questionnaire items completed. Cases not meeting these criteria are excluded from the analysis file. Cases with certain questionable characteristics are flagged in this editing process, and analysts review these cases to determine their completion status. Flagged for review are all cases for which the respondent (1) indicated in the “global match” item that the target O*NET-SOC occupation description did not at all describe his or her own job, and (2) rated fewer than one third of the tasks as important. An analyst reviews the self-reported job titles of these cases to determine if they are at all likely to belong in the O*NET-SOC occupation. If a case does not belong, it is removed from further analysis. If, in the judgment of the analyst, there is a reasonable chance that the case belongs in the O*NET-SOC occupation, it is sent to the next stage of review.

Finally, cases that pass the machine edits and the analyst review are subjected to a deviance analysis designed to identify cases that are outliers relative to other cases in their occupation. The deviance analysis involves two procedures: (1) a statistical procedure to quantitatively identify potential outliers and (2) an analyst review of these potential outliers to make the final decision for each case. Cases that do not pass the analyst review are deemed deviant within their occupation and are removed from the data set. On average, these activities eliminate about 8% of all returned questionnaires. The cases passing all data cleaning criteria are used to create the estimates for publication.

Computation of Sampling Statistics

Basic sampling weights are applied to the data to make inferences to the population of incumbents for each occupation.²² These weights are computed as the inverses of the overall selection frequencies and the selection probabilities for each selected establishment and each

²² For details about the weighting and estimation procedures, see Section B.1.1.

individual participant. The analysis weights for the eligible sample units are adjusted to compensate for unit nonresponse for both establishments and employees, multiple subwaves of sampling, and sample adjustments. In addition, when the variation in the weights is large, the weights are trimmed to reduce the variation. To maximize comparability of O*NET estimates to estimates from other federal sources, the final sample weights are also ratio-adjusted to occupation estimates obtained from the OES survey.

Sampling errors are computed. The analysis weights used in the sampling error computations, as noted, have been adjusted for nonresponse and are consistent with the complex sampling design.

Calculation of Descriptor Values and Reliability

For each occupation, the sample size, mean, standard deviation, and standard error of the ratings for each descriptor are calculated, together with the 95% confidence interval around the mean. Estimates with questionable precision are flagged and recommended for suppression if any of the following conditions is true:²³

- The sample size (i.e., number of respondents who answered the question) is less than 10.
- The variance is zero and the sample size is less than 15.
- The relative standard error (RSE) is greater than 50%.²⁴

In addition, estimates of sensitive data items with a cell count (i.e., the number of respondents who selected a specific response option) of less than 10 are suppressed to ensure that O*NET data pose no disclosure risk to any individual respondent.²⁵ On average, approximately 2% of the estimates are flagged or suppressed.

Interrater Reliability and Agreement

Interrater reliability and agreement are assessed with three different analyses. The first two measure reliability in terms of the covariation among ratings, while the third analysis reflects rater agreement. The intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) for each item across all occupations are computed. These results allow one to compare respondent rating variance within an occupation with respondent rating variance across occupations. In addition to the ICCs, the mean interrater correlations (Pearson's r) are calculated for all pairs of raters within each occupation. Finally, to assess the absolute difference among ratings of each item within each

²³ O*NET suppression criteria are based on substantive expert recommendations (Peterson, Mumford, Borman, et al., 1997) and on other large government surveys (see Klein, Proctor, Boudreault, & Tuczyn, 2002).

²⁴ RSE = the standard error of the mean divided by the mean.

²⁵ A sensitive data item is one that represents a value of a survey variable that an individual would not like to be revealed and provides an unacceptable risk that an individual in the cell could be identified.

occupation, an average deviation index is calculated for each item within each occupation. For any given item and occupation, the average deviation index measures the average extent to which each individual rating deviates from the item mean. Some differences in ratings within occupations are expected because O*NET-SOC occupations comprise a range of different jobs in most cases.

Each of the reliability analyses conducted (rater, standard errors) are influenced by the number of respondents. The O*NET data collection methods include a sufficient number of respondents in each occupation to ensure reliability (Peterson, Mumford, Levin, Green, & Waksberg, 1997).

A.16.2 Creation of the Occupation Database

The O*NET database is scheduled to be updated annually. Each update will include data for those occupations collected and analyzed during the previous 12-month period. Consequently, a database update includes occupations from multiple data collection waves, depending on the number of prior waves for which analysis was completed that year. For each occupation collected, the newly calculated means data replace existing analyst-based or incumbent-based data in the database. Users are provided with metadata regarding when the data were collected and any other pertinent information that will assist the users in interpreting the data.

The O*NET database is designated with a version number denoting each update (e.g., from O*NET 6.0 to O*NET 7.0). The database is developed and administered with the MySQL database management system. Once the MySQL database is updated, it is used to generate the database for public release as a series of flat text files. It is accessible to the public on the O*NET Program Web site at <http://www.onetcenter.org/>.

A.17 Display of Expiration Date

The expiration date will be displayed on the cover of the survey questionnaires.

A.18 Exceptions to Certification Statement

There are no exceptions.

C. References

- Abel, J. R., & Gabe, T. M. (2008, July). Human capital and economic activity in urban America. *Federal Reserve Bank of New York Staff Reports*, 332.
- ACT. (2006). *Ready for college and ready for work: Same or different?* Retrieved February 6, 2008, from <http://www.act.org/research/policymakers/pdf/ReadinessBrief.pdf>
- Berzofsky, M. E., Welch, B., Williams, R. L., & Biemer, P. P. (2006). Using a model-assisted sampling paradigm instead of a traditional sampling paradigm in a nationally representative establishment survey. *Proceedings of the American Statistical Association, Section on Survey Research Methods* (pp. 2763–2770). Washington, DC: American Statistical Association. Retrieved from <https://www.amstat.org/Sections/Srms/Proceedings/y2006/Files/JSM2006-000811.pdf>
- Biemer, P., Ellis, C., Pitt, A., & Robbins, K. (2006). Effects on response rates and costs of a monetary incentive for the point of contact in an establishment survey. *Proceedings of the American Association of Public Opinion Research*. Phoenix, AZ.
- Biemer, P., Ellis, C., Pitts, A., & Robbins, K. (2006). Effects on response rates and costs of a monetary incentive for the point of contact in an establishment survey. *Proceedings of the American Association of Public Opinion Research*.
- Central Michigan University. (2004). *Leadership competency model* [O*NET database]. Retrieved January 2, 2008, from http://www.chsbs.cmich.edu/leader_model/model.htm
- Chromy, J. R. (1979). Sequential sample selection methods. *Proceedings of the American Statistical Association, Section on Survey Research Methods* (pp. 401–406). Washington, DC: American Statistical Association.
- Cicchetti, D. V., & Allison, T. (1971). A New Procedure for Assessing Reliability of Scoring EEG Sleep Recordings. *American Journal of EEG Technology*, 11, 101–109.
- Couper, M. (2008). *Designing effective Web surveys*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Deville, J. C., & Särndal, C. E. (1992). Calibration estimation in survey sampling. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 87(418), 376–382.
- Dierdorff, E. C., Norton, J. J., Drewes, D. W., Kroustalis, C. M., Rivkin, D., & Lewis, P. (2009). *Greening of the world of work: Implications for O*NET-SOC and new and emerging occupations*. Raleigh, NC: National Center for O*NET Development. Retrieved from http://www.onetcenter.org/dl_files/Green.pdf
- Dillman, D. (1978). *Mail and telephone surveys: The total design method*. New York: Wiley.
- Dillman, D. (2000). *Mail and Internet surveys: The tailored design method*. New York: Wiley.
- Elliott, S. W. (2007, May). *Projecting the impact of computers on work in 2030*. Paper presented at the Workshop on Research Evidence Related to Future Skill Demands Center for Education, National Research Council, Washington, DC.
- Fleishman, E. A., & Mumford, M. D. (1988). The ability requirements scales. In S. Gael (Ed.), *The job analysis handbook for business, industry, and government*. New York: Wiley.
- Fleishman, E. A., & Mumford, M. D. (1991). Evaluating classifications of job behavior: A construct validation of the ability requirements scales. *Personnel Psychology*, 44(3), 523–575. doi:10.1111/j.1744-6570.1991.tb02403.x

- Folsom, R. E., Potter, F. J., & Williams, S. R. (1987). Notes on a composite size measure for self-weighting samples in multiple domains. *Proceedings of the American Statistical Association, Section on Survey Research Methods* (pp. 792–796). Washington, DC: American Statistical Association.
- Folsom, R. E., & Singh, A. C. (2000). A generalized exponential model of sampling weight calibration for extreme values, nonresponse and poststratification. *Proceedings of the American Statistical Association, Section on Survey Research Methods* (pp. 598–603). Washington, DC: American Statistical Association. Available from <https://www.amstat.org/Sections/Srms/Proceedings/>
- Folsom, R. E., & Witt, M. B. (1994). Testing a new attrition nonresponse adjustment method for SIPP. *Proceedings of the American Statistical Association, Social Statistics Section*. Washington, DC: American Statistical Association.
- Hanna, J. (2008, December). How many U.S. jobs are "offshorable"? *Harvard Business School Working Knowledge newsletter.*, Retrieved December 8, 2008, from <http://hbswk.hbs.edu/item/6012.html>
- Hanser, L. M., Campbell, J., Pearlman, K., Petho, F., Plewes, T., & Spenner, K. (2008). *Final report of the panel on the Department of Defense human capital strategy*. Report prepared for the Office of the Secretary of Defense by the RAND Corporation.
- Johnson, L., Jones, A., Butler, M., & Main, D. (1981). *Assessing interrater agreement in job analysis ratings*. San Diego, CA: Naval Health Research Center.
- Johnson, R. W., Mermin, B. T., & Resseger, M. (2007, November). *Employment at older ages and the changing nature of work* (Urban Institute Report No. 2007-20). Washington, DC: AARP.
- Klein, R. J., Proctor, S. E., Boudreault, M. A., & Tuczyn, K. M. (2002). *Healthy People 2010 criteria for data suppression*. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics.
- Korn, E. L., & Graubard, B. I. (1999). *Analysis of health surveys*. New York: Wiley.
- Landrum, R. E. (2009). *Finding jobs with a psychology bachelor's degree: Expert advice for launching your career*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Leeuw, A. (2006, December). The butcher, the baker and the candlestick-maker revisited: Indiana's new skills-based career clusters. *INcontext*, 7(12), Retrieved from <http://www.incontext.indiana.edu/2006/december/2006.asp>
- Mumford, M. D., Peterson, N. G., & Childs, R. A. (1997). Basic and cross-functional skills: Evidence for the reliability and validity of the measures. In N. G. Peterson, M. D. Mumford, W. C. Borman, P. R. Jeanneret, E. A. Fleishman & K. Y. Levin (Eds.), *O*NET final technical report*. Salt Lake City, UT: Utah Department of Workforce Services through a contract with American Institutes of Research.
- Paxon, M. C., Dillman, D. A., & Tarnai, J. (1995). Improving response to business mail survey. In B. G. Cox (Ed.), *Business survey methods*. New York: Wiley.
- Penne, M., & Williams, R. (2007, July 30). *Aggressive Weight Trimming Evaluation*. Research Triangle Park, NC: RTI International.
- Peterson, N. G., Mumford, M. D., Borman, W. C., Jeanneret, P. R., & Fleishman, E. A. (1995). *Development of prototype Occupational Information Network (O*NET) content model*. Salt Lake City: Utah Department of Workforce Service through a contract with the American Institutes of Research.

- Peterson, N. G., Mumford, M. D., Borman, W. C., Jeanneret, P. R., Fleishman, E. A., & Levin, K. Y. (Eds.). (1997). *O*NET final technical report*. Salt Lake City: Utah Department of Workforce Services through a contract with American Institutes for Research.
- Peterson, N. G., Mumford, M. D., Borman, W. C., Jeanneret, P. R., Fleishman, E. A., Levin, K. Y., Campion, M. A., Mayfield, M. S., Morgeson, F. P., Pearlman, K., Gowing, M. K., Lancaster, A. R., Silver, M. B., & Dye, D. M. (2001). Understanding work using the Occupational Information Network (O*NET): Implications for practice and research. *Personnel Psychology, 54*(2), 451–492. doi:10.1111/j.1744-6570.2001.tb00100.x
- Peterson, N. G., Mumford, M. D., Levin, K. Y., Green, J., & Waksberg, J. (1997). Research method: Development and field testing of the content model. In N. G. Peterson, M. D. Mumford, W. C. Borman, P. R. Jeanneret & K. Y. Levin (Eds.), *O*NET final technical report*. Salt Lake City: Utah Department of Workforce Services, through a contract with the American Institutes for Research.
- Peterson, N. G., Mumford, M. D., Levin, K. Y., Green, J., & Waksberg, J. (1999). Research method: Development and field testing of the content model. In N. G. Peterson, M. D. Mumford, W. C. Borman, P. R. Jeanneret & E. A. Fleishman (Eds.), *An occupational information system for the 21st century: The development of O*NET* (pp. 31–47). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Peterson, N. G., Owens-Kurtz, C., Hoffman, R. G., Arabian, J. M., & Whetzel, D. C. (1990). *Army synthetic validation project*. Alexandria, VA: U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral Sciences.
- Petroni, R., Sigman, R., Willimack, D., Cohen, S., & Tucker, C. (2004). Response rates and nonresponse in BLS and Census Bureau establishment surveys. *Proceedings of the Survey Research Methods Section* (pp. 4159–4166). Alexandria, VA: American Statistical Association.
- Postlethwaite, B. E., Wang, X., Casillas, A., Swaney, K., McKinniss, T. L., Allen, J., & et al. (2009, April). *Person-occupation fit and integrity: Evidence for incremental validity*. Paper presented at the annual conference of the Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology, New Orleans, LA.
- Roth, P. L., & BeVier, C. (1998). Response rates in HRM/OB survey research: Norms and correlates, 1990–1994. *Journal of Management, 24*(1), 97–117. doi:10.1177/014920639802400107
- RTI International (Producer). (2004). *SUDAAN language manual* (Release 9.0).
- Russell, T. L., Sinclair, A., Erdheim, J., Ingerick, M., Owens, K., Peterson, N., & et al. (2008). *Evaluating the O*NET occupational analysis system for army competency development* (Contract for Manpower, Personnel, Leader Development, and Training for the U.S. Army Research Institute). Alexandria, VA: HumRRO.
- Thompson, K. R., & Koys, D. J. (2010). The management curriculum and assessment journey: Use of Baldrige criteria and the Occupational Network Database. *Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies, 17*(2), 156–166.
- Tracey, J. B., Sturman, M. C., & Tews, M. J. (2007). Ability versus personality: Factors that predict employee job performance. *Cornell Hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly, 48*(3), 313–322.

- Treasury Board of Canada Secretariat. (2009, May). *Public servants on the public service of Canada: Summary of the results of the 2008 Public Service Employee Survey*. Retrieved from <http://www.tbs-sct.gc.ca/pses-saff/2008/report-rapport-eng.asp#appa>
RESOURCEID = 16339
- Tsacoumis, S., & Van Iddekinge, C. H. (2006). *A comparison of incumbent and analyst ratings of O*NET skills*. Alexandria, VA: Human Resources Research Organization.
- Tulp, D. R., Jr., Hoy, E., Kusch, G., & Cole, S. (1991). Nonresponse under mandatory vs. voluntary reporting in the 1989 survey of pollution abatement costs and experiments (PACE). *Proceedings of the American Statistical Association, Section on Survey Research Methods* (pp. 272–277). Washington, DC: American Statistical Association.
- U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2011). Labor force statistics from the Current Population Survey. Table 12: Employed persons by sex, occupation, class of worker, full- or part-time status, and race. Retrieved from <http://www.bls.gov/cps/cpsaat12.pdf>
- U.S. Department of Labor, Employment & Training Administration. (2008). *O*NET data collection program: Office of Management and Budget clearance package supporting statement and data collection instruments*. Washington, DC: Author.
- U.S. Office of Personnel Management. (2010). *Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey*. Washington, DC: Author. Available from <http://www.fedview.opm.gov/>
- Willenborg, L., & De Waal, T. (1996). *Statistical disclosure control in practice series: Lecture notes in statistics* (Vol. 111). New York, NY: Springer.
- Worden, G., & Hoy, E. (1992). *Summary of nonresponse studies conducted by industry division, 1989–1991*. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Washington, DC.
- Workforce Investment Act, Pub. L. 105-220, 112 Stat. 936 (1998).