

| T/TA OUTPUT Category | Selected Cohort of Recipients | | | | | | | General Recipients of Services Under the Grant | |
|---|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|--|-------------|
| | Disaster Services | Economic Opportunity | Education | Environmental Stewardship | Healthy Futures | Veterans & Military Families | Total (auto-calculates) | Total | GRAND TOTAL |
| Descriptions of eCourses Accessed | | | | | | | | | |
| Website Page Views | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of Units <i>Unit = # page views</i> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 |
| Number of documents downloaded? | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 |
| Description of most frequently viewed pages or downloaded documents | | | | | | | | | |
| METHODOLOGY 2: IN-PERSON T/TA | | | | | | | | | |
| On-site Technical Assistance | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of Units <i>Unit = # events</i> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 |
| Number of Participants | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 |
| Description of Activity | | | | | | | | | |
| Training Events | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of Units <i>Unit = # events</i> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 |
| Number of Participants | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 |
| Description of Activity | | | | | | | | | |
| METHODOLOGY 3: TRAINING MATERIALS | | | | | | | | | |
| Training Materials Developed | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of Units <i>Unit = # unique items developed</i> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 |
| Distributed to how many Participants (Participants reached) | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 |
| Description of Activity | | | | | | | | | |
| eCourses Developed | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of Units <i>Unit = # eCourses</i> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Grant Number | 0 |
| Organization Name | 0 |
| Reporting Period (Enter 10/1 to 3/31 or 4/1 to 9/30) | 0 |
| Category | NCB |

Nonprofit Capacity Building Mandatory Indicators

| Measures resulting from assistance provided by the intermediary | At Time of Selection | | | | | | Mid Year (October 1 to March 31) | | | | | | Percentage Change - Mid Year (Auto-calculated) | | | | | | Year End (October 1 to September 30) | | | | | | Percentage Change - Year End (Auto-calculated) | | | | | | Post Program Follow Up (Reported at Grant Close-Out) | | | | | | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|--|----------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|--|----------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|----------|
| | Disaster Services | Economic Opportunity | Education | Environmental Stewardship | Healthy Futures | Veterans & Military Families | Disaster Services | Economic Opportunity | Education | Environmental Stewardship | Healthy Futures | Veterans & Military Families | Disaster Services | Economic Opportunity | Education | Environmental Stewardship | Healthy Futures | Veterans & Military Families | Disaster Services | Economic Opportunity | Education | Environmental Stewardship | Healthy Futures | Veterans & Military Families | Disaster Services | Economic Opportunity | Education | Environmental Stewardship | Healthy Futures | Veterans & Military Families | | | | | | | |
| Number of Nonprofits that have written plans for each service delivery area including goals, objectives, target clients, and projected outcomes. | | | | | | | | | | | | | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | | |
| Number of Nonprofits that have identified specific indicators to measure outcomes of service delivery. | | | | | | | | | | | | | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | | |
| Number of Nonprofits that have identified data sources and data collection procedures for each indicator. | | | | | | | | | | | | | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | | |
| Number of Nonprofits that have technology systems in place to track activities and collect data against indicators. | | | | | | | | | | | | | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | | |
| Number of Nonprofits that Analyze data collected and summarize results. | | | | | | | | | | | | | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | | |
| Number of Nonprofits that collect data against indicators according to data collection procedures. | | | | | | | | | | | | | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | | |
| Number of Nonprofits that demonstrate improvement based on indicators measured. | | | | | | | | | | | | | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | | |
| Number of Nonprofits that have a performance appraisal process where individual performance is tied to organizational performance, formally documented, and feedback delivered. | | | | | | | | | | | | | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | | |
| Number of Nonprofits that use data to improve service delivery, reward staff performance, and inform management decisions. | | | | | | | | | | | | | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | | |
| Number of Nonprofits that regularly inform stakeholders and funders of the impact they are having in the community. | | | | | | | | | | | | | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | | |
| Number of Nonprofits that are implementing/have implemented a Performance Management System | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of Nonprofits that are implementing/have implemented a Performance Management System that indicate it has increased the number of beneficiaries receiving their service(s) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of Nonprofits that are implementing/have implemented a Performance Management System that indicate it has improved the results/impact of the service(s) provided to the end beneficiaries | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other Indicators (Please describe) | | | | | | | | | | | | | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | | |
| Overall Comments | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Grant Number | 0 |
| Organization Name | 0 |
| Reporting Period (Enter 10/1 to 3/31 or 4/1 to 9/30) | 0 |
| Category | NCB |

T/TA Outcomes Selected Cohort of Recipients

| Total Number of Trainees attended | # of Evaluations completed | Question | # Participants (Rating = or >4.0) | Percent Participants Rating = or > 4.0 |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| | | Participants rating a training event at least 4.0 on a five-point scale on evaluation statements: | | |
| | | 1. The subject matter was presented effectively | | |
| | | 2. The trainer was knowledgeable | | |
| | | 3. The trainer responded to questions | | |
| | | 4. There were enough opportunities for discussion | | |
| | | 5. The written materials are useful | | |
| | | 6. The session met its stated learning objectives | | |
| | | 7. As a result of this training I gained new knowledge applicable to my work | | |
| | | 8. I plan to apply what I learned at this session | | |
| | | 9. Satisfaction with accommodation requests | | |

T/TA Outcomes General Recipients of Services Under the Grant

| Total Number of Trainees attended | # of Evaluations completed | Question | # Participants (Rating = or >4.0) | Percent Participants Rating = or > 4.0 |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| | | Participants rating a training event at least 4.0 on a five-point scale on evaluation statements: | | |
| | | 1. The subject matter was presented effectively | | |
| | | 2. The trainer was knowledgeable | | |
| | | 3. The trainer responded to questions | | |
| | | 4. There were enough opportunities for discussion | | |
| | | 5. The written materials are useful | | |
| | | 6. The session met its stated learning objectives | | |
| | | 7. As a result of this training I gained new knowledge applicable to my work | | |
| | | 8. I plan to apply what I learned at this session | | |
| | | 9. Satisfaction with accommodation requests | | |

T/TA Outcomes OVERALL TOTAL (Auto-Calculated)

| Total Number of Trainees attended | # of Evaluations completed | Question | # Participants (Rating = or >4.0) | Percent Participants Rating = or > 4.0 |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| 0 | 0 | Participants rating a training event at least 4.0 on a five-point scale on evaluation statements: | | |
| | | 1. The subject matter was presented effectively | 0 | |
| | | 2. The trainer was knowledgeable | 0 | |
| | | 3. The trainer responded to questions | 0 | |
| | | 4. There were enough opportunities for discussion | 0 | |
| | | 5. The written materials are useful | 0 | |
| | | 6. The session met its stated learning objectives | 0 | |
| | | 7. As a result of this training I gained new knowledge applicable to my work | 0 | |
| | | 8. I plan to apply what I learned at this session | 0 | |
| | | 9. Satisfaction with accommodation requests | 0 | |