SUPPORTING STATEMENT

Revised: 02/22/2012

FOR PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT SUBMISSION

A. Justification

1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary. Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection. Attach a hard copy of the appropriate section of each statute and regulation mandating or authorizing the collection of information, or you may provide a valid URL link or paste the applicable section. Specify the review type of the collection (new, revision, extension, reinstatement with change, reinstatement without change). If revised, briefly specify the changes. If a rulemaking is involved, make note of the sections or changed sections, if applicable.

Administrative Requirements and Legislative Authority for the Fiscal Operations Report and Application to Participate (FISAP) and the Reallocation Form

FISAP

The Higher Education Opportunity Act (HEOA) (P.L. 110-315) was enacted on August 14, 2008 and reauthorizes the Higher Education Act of 1965 (HEA), as amended. It requires participating Title IV institutions to apply for funds and report expenditures for the Federal Perkins Loan (Perkins), the Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant (FSEOG) and the Federal Work-Study (FWS) Programs on an annual basis.

The data submitted electronically in the Fiscal Operations Report and Application to Participate (FISAP) is used by the Department of Education (the Department) to determine the institution's funding need for the award year and monitor program effectiveness and accountability of fund expenditures. The data is used in conjunction with institutional program reviews to assess the administrative capability and compliance of the applicant. There are no other resources for collecting this data. Sections of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended, that govern these programs can be found at http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/library.html.

The legislative authority governing the application for the distribution of Perkins funds is 20 U.S.C. 1087bb (34 CFR 673.3); for FWS funds it is 42 U.S.C. 2752 (34 CFR 673.3); and for FSEOG funds it is 20 U.S.C. 107b-3 (34 CFR 673.3).

¹ Please limit pasted text to no longer than 3 paragraphs.

The legislative authority governing the annual report on the uses made of funds provided under the previous sections is (20 U.S. C. 1094) enacted October 3, 1980, P.L. 96-374, sec. 451(a), 94 Stat. 1451; amended April 7, 1986, P.L. 99-272, sec. 16034, 100 Stat.356; amended October 17, 1986, P.L. 99-498, sec. 487(a), 100 Stat. 1486.

Revised: 02/22/2012

Reallocation Form

The HEA requires that if an institution anticipates not using all of its allocated funds for the Perkins, FWS, and FSEOG programs by the end of an award year, it must specify the anticipated remaining unused amount to the Secretary, who reduces the institution's allocation accordingly. Unused amounts are reported in Section A of the Reallocation Form.

The HEA also requires the Secretary to reallocate these unexpended funds before the appropriations expire on September 30, immediately following their release in this form. The data collected in Section B provides the minimum information needed by the Secretary to determine a fair and equitable distribution of supplemental FWS allocations for the purpose of furthering the program's community service goals.

Changes being made to the FISAP and Reallocation Form Collections

The forms and instructions are rolled over annually to accommodate the next cycle. The deadline dates and award year references are being updated.

The data items being changed are on the FISAP form are at Part II, Section E, fields 23(a), 23(b), and 23(c) are being removed because the ACG and National SMART Grant programs have been eliminated. The data collection now only pertains to Pell and field 23 has been reverted back to the single data item as it was before ACG and SMART.

There are no data items being changed on the Reallocation Form.

Additionally, the FISAP Instructions are being updated to correct some minor typographical errors and clarify instructions as described by part:

FISAP Part	Description
Introduction	Updated deadline dates.Updated the Paperwork Burden Statement.
	· •
	 Added a paragraph explaining how cross-over payment periods
	affect FISAP reporting.
Part I	No changes.
Part II	Added a note to provide a reference for further explanation
	concerning "full time and less than full time" enrollment.
	 Removed ACG and SMART references.
	 Removed references to LEAP/SLEAP as those programs are no

	 longer funded. Updated instructions for accessing EDExpress FISAP Dependent and Eligible Independent student reports.
Part III	 Added a note to reference the most recent Electronic Announcement concerning the Federal Perkins Loan portfolio liquidation and Perkins Loan assignment process. Added a note concerning Federal Perkins loan Service cancellation reimbursement for 2010-2011 in the event that they are not funded in the current budget. Updated Total and Permanent Disability Servicer information. Added a note to remind schools that they must have a Perkins Loan Level of Expenditure from the Department for the award year in order to transfer FWS funds to the Federal Perkins Loan Program. Added information to assist with questions concerning payments received after a Perkins loan has been assigned to the Department due to a Total and Permanent disability Discharge.
Part IV	 Added a note to remind schools that they must award FSEOG funds to students before you may transfer FSEOG funds to FWS.
Part V	 Added a note to remind schools that they must award FWS funds to students before you may transfer funds to FSEOG or Perkins.
Part VI	 Added a note to provide a reference for further explanation concerning "full time and less than full time" enrollment.

2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection.

FISAP

The relative institutional financial need, which is the basis for computing by formula the amount of funds needed by each institution to operate one or more of the Campus-Based Programs during the application year, is determined by the data reported in the form. The data is used to assess program effectiveness and accountability of fund expenditures under the previously cited authority. In addition, the data is used in conjunction with institutional program reviews to help assess the administrative capability of the applicant and enforce compliance.

- a) Application data provides a standard measure of institutional need used to distribute a level of funds for institutions requesting participation in one or more of the Campus-Based Programs.
- b) Application data provides a data base that is sufficient, comprehensive and reliable to calculate annual funding formulas based on verifiable data input.

- Expenditure data is used to calculate past utilization of funds awarded for assessment of penalties, recovery of unexpended funds, and close-out of awards.
- d) Data regarding Perkins Loan collection activities are used to compute institutional cohort default rates, compile the Federal Perkins Loan Status of Default (Orange Book), and to track issuance of Perkins Loan cancellations for teaching; military; volunteer; law enforcement and corrections officer; child, family and early intervention; nurse and medical technician; pre-K or child care; public defender; fire fighter; Tribal College faculty; librarian; and speech pathology services.

- e) Data is maintained and made readily available to Department staff and other agencies for analysis, historical research, budget evaluations, audits, program reviews, and OIG inquiries.
- f) The eCB system processes all FISAP on the Web information in real-time into the database. Institutions with outstanding (required) hard-copy signature forms are automatically identified to withhold funding until the forms have been received and validated.
- g) The data collected is used to identify poorly administered operations that may require on-site monitoring, additional training of staff, or termination of funding in extreme cases.

The information collected in this submission enables the Department to comply with legislation that authorizes and appropriates funds for the Campus-Based Programs.

Reallocation Form

The unexpended funds reported in the Reallocation Form are distributed by the Department as supplemental awards to qualifying institutions in accordance with provisions of the HEA. FWS funds will be awarded to eligible institutions that used at least seven percent of their total FWS funds to compensate students employed as reading tutors to children or in family literacy activities as part of their community-service activities. Because FWS reallocated funds are distributed on a fair-share basis, institutions must have a fair-share shortfall to receive these funds. Institutions use the reallocated (supplemental) funds to compensate students employed in community service. Legislation requires the unexpended funds to be reported and redistributed. Collection of this information maximizes utilization of the appropriated funds, provides financial aid to needy students, and results in the Department's compliance with the reallocation provisions of the HEA.

3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis

for the decision of adopting this means of collection. Also describe any consideration given to using technology to reduce burden.

Revised: 02/22/2012

For the upcoming award year, institutions will continue to use the Department's electronic services to participate in the Title IV Programs. Institutions participating in the Campus-Based Programs must submit FISAP and campus-based funds reallocation data through eCB at https://cbfisap.ed.gov. The certification signature page is printed locally from eCB.

eCB processing of both the FISAP and the Reallocation Form has eliminated printing costs, distribution, expense, data entry time, and reduced the cycle time and input errors. This process reduces institutional burden by using three years of information already contained in the database to provide institutional characteristics to verify funding levels and reduce the number of staff necessary to process the information.

4. Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described in Item 2 above.

FISAP

No other agency or system collects the information needed to determine the allocation of campus-based funds.

It had been anticipated that some Perkins data could be retrieved from NSLDS. However, as currently designed, it does not collect Perkins interest data and the timing of the submission of Perkins data to the Department through NSLDS and the manner in which it is collected limit its use as a substitute for Perkins reporting on the FISAP.

Section A of the Perkins Loan Report (FISAP, Part III) contains 89 cells of data. Section C contains 28 data cells. NSLDS does not contain sufficient historical information to populate the cells in these two sections which require data to be cumulative from the beginning of an institution's participation in Perkins. Section B of the Perkins Loan Report has 15 data cells. Two cells (loans advanced to students during the report year and principal and interest repaid by the borrowers for the same year) could conceivably be populated with data from NSLDS. Sections D and E contain Perkins cohort default rate data, which is not currently available from NSLDS. Review of the data needed for completion of the five sections of the Perkins Loan Report and the data available in NSLDS concludes that NSLDS data will not currently provide data that is compatible with either the historical or annual data collected on the FISAP.

FSEOG overpayment data is collected in NSLDS, but no FSEOG disbursement data is collected. No FWS data is collected in NSLDS.

NSLDS does not and cannot collect data that would sufficiently correspond to the type of data required from the FISAP for items such as annual award calculations, cohort

default rate calculations, cumulative collections data, prior year account reconciliation data. At this time, institutions will continue to be required to provide FISAP data in order for the Department to carry out required functions such as the calculation of allocations and Perkins cancellation reimbursements.

Revised: 02/22/2012

Reallocation Form

Similar information as is collected on the Reallocation Form is not available at the time it is needed. The Department must rely on the information being required by institutions at the end of each award year (June 30). The reporting and redistribution must be complete prior to September 30, or the authority to obligate these funds will expire.

5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities, describe any methods used to minimize burden. A small entity may be (1) a small business which is deemed to be one that is independently owned and operated and that is not dominant in its field of operation; (2) a small organization that is any not-for-profit enterprise that is independently owned and operated and is not dominant in its field; or (3) a small government jurisdiction, which is a government of a city, county, town, township, school district, or special district with a population of less than 50,000.

The collection of this information does not involve small businesses or other small entities. Information is collected from Title IV eligible schools only.

6. Describe the consequences to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently, as well as any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.

FISAP

If the FISAP data collection were not conducted or conducted less frequently, the Department would not be in compliance with authorizing legislation or appropriation legislation which requires annual distribution of appropriated funds on the basis of an annual application from eligible institutions.

Reallocation Form

If the data collection on the Reallocation Form were not conducted or conducted less frequently, the authority to redistribute the excess funds would expire on September 30 and the Department would not meet the legislative requirement to reallocate funds.

- 7. Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:
 - requiring respondents to report information to the agency more often than quarterly;

 requiring respondents to prepare a written response to a collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it;

Revised: 02/22/2012

- requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any document;
- requiring respondents to retain records, other than health, medical, government contract, grant-in-aid, or tax records for more than three years;
- in connection with a statistical survey, that is not designed to produce valid and reliable results than can be generalized to the universe of study;
- requiring the use of a statistical data classification that has not been reviewed and approved by OMB;
- that includes a pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation, that is not supported by disclosure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or that unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies for compatible confidential use; or
- requiring respondents to submit proprietary trade secrets, or other confidential information unless the agency can demonstrate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information's confidentiality to the extent permitted by law.

There are no special circumstances inconsistent with these guidelines.

8. As applicable, state that the Department has published the 60 and 30 Federal Register notices as required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB. Summarize public comments received in response to that notice and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments. Specifically address comments received on cost and hour burden.

Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instruction and record keeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.

Consultation with representatives of those from whom information is to be obtained or those who must compile records should occur at least once every 3 years — even if the collection of information activity is the same as in prior periods. There may be circumstances that may preclude consultation in a specific situation. These circumstances should be explained.

FISAP

Campus-Based staff members in both operations and policy areas provide presentations and support for a number of national and regional conferences. During these activities, staff members take all comments, recommendations, and suggestions made by institutions for use in determining the need for improvement or change to existing policies and procedures.

Revised: 02/22/2012

Frequent communication with institutions by our Call Center and Campus-Based staff provides additional information on the data being collected and on problems with electronic processing requirements. Comments from schools are shared with staff members and frequently included as possible upgrades to existing procedures. Institutions continue to report favorably about the ease in completing and submitting the data electronically.

The Department's OMB clearance process allows further opportunity for comment on the FISAP through an announcement in the Federal Register at the onset of the clearance process. The 60 day notice for public comment was published in the Federal Register on December 20, 2011 (Vo. 76, FR 78907). No public comments were received. An additional 30-day comment period is provided through another announcement in the Federal Register. Historically, institutions rarely submit comments on the FISAP. The Campus-Based Programs have been in operation for more than 40 years, and completion of the annual FISAP is a common practice for institutions.

Reallocation Form

The reporting of unexpended funds by institutions participating in the Campus-Based Program is required by the HEA. Institutions submit part of this information annually in the FISAP at a later date. The collection of this data is frequently discussed a financial aid conferences, and the financial aid community provides unsolicited comments through their contacts with our Call Center and Campus-Based staff members.

9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees with meaningful justification.

There are no payments or gifts to respondents other than the allocation of Federal funds that result from the information collected.

10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy. If personally identifiable information (PII) is being collected, a Privacy Act statement should be included on the instrument. Please provide a citation for the Systems of Record Notice and the date a Privacy Impact Assessment was completed as indicated on the IC Data Form. A confidentiality statement with a legal citation that authorizes the pledge of confidentiality should be provided.² If the

Requests for this information are in accordance with the following ED and OMB policies: Privacy Act of 1974, OMB Circular A-108 – Privacy Act Implementation – Guidelines and Responsibilities, OMB Circular A-130

collection is subject to the Privacy Act, the Privacy Act statement is deemed sufficient with respect to confidentiality. If there is no expectation of confidentiality, simply state that the Department makes no pledge about the confidentially of the data.

Revised: 02/22/2012

The Department makes no pledge about the confidentiality of the data.

11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private. The justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.

No questions of a sensitive nature are asked.

- 12. Provide estimates of the hour burden of the collection of information. The statement should:
 - Indicate the number of respondents by affected public type (federal government, individuals or households, private sector businesses or other for-profit, private sector not-for-profit institutions, farms, state, local or tribal governments), frequency of response, annual hour burden, and an explanation of how the burden was estimated, including identification of burden type: recordkeeping, reporting or third party disclosure. All narrative should be included in item 12. Unless directed to do so, agencies should not conduct special surveys to obtain information on which to base hour burden estimates. Consultation with a sample (fewer than 10) of potential respondents is desirable. If the hour burden on respondents is expected to vary widely because of differences in activity, size, or complexity, show the range of estimated hour burden, and explain the reasons for the variance. Generally, estimates should not include burden hours for customary and usual business practices.
 - If this request for approval covers more than one form, provide separate hour burden estimates for each form and aggregate the hour burdens in the ROCIS IC Burden Analysis Table. (The table should at minimum include Respondent types, IC activity, Respondent and Responses, Hours/Response, and Total Hours)
 - Provide estimates of annualized cost to respondents of the hour burdens for collections of information, identifying and using appropriate wage rate categories. The cost of contracting out or paying outside parties for information

Appendix I – Federal Agency Responsibilities for Maintaining Records About Individuals, OMB M-03-22 – OMB Guidance for Implementing the Privacy Provisions of the E-Government Act of 2002, OMB M-06-15 – Safeguarding Personally Identifiable Information, OM:6-104 – Privacy Act of 1974 (Collection, Use and Protection of Personally Identifiable Information)

collection activities should not be included here. Instead, this cost should be included in Item 14.

Revised: 02/22/2012

FISAP

The FISAP data collection is composed of six parts. Parts I and II are the application. Part III is the Federal Perkins Loan Report. Part IV is the FSEOG Report. Part V is the FWS Report. Part VI is the Program Summary. The range of time required to complete the various parts of the form depends on several variables: (1) the number of programs in which respondents are currently participating; (2) whether the respondent is a "first-time" or "continuing" applicant; and (3) the type of institution.

We estimate a total of 4081 FISAP respondents, approximately 100 being "first-time" applicants, with an estimated average response time of 9.61 hours and a total of 32,943 hours for all institutions. Burden hours for new schools are minimal since they are only required to complete the first two parts (Identifying Information, Certification and Warning; and the Application to Participate).

Proprietary institutions use less time to prepare the FISAP than public and private institutions. A random sampling of institutions revealed that proprietary institutions were more likely to use servicers to prepare their FISAP. Since servicers prepare FISAPS in volume, they tend to keep their computerized data systems updated, and have totally encompassed the Department's electronic processes into their systems which results in less FISAP preparation time.

Most public and private institutions have restructured their data collection processes so that information is readily accessible to complete the FISAP electronically. Identifying information is pre-populated on the FISAP from prior year data and common mathematical computations are automatically displayed, which reduces institutional burden. Data entry and process edits notify users of erroneous data entry or potential data issues. This assists the data entry, reduces review time, and also reduces institutional burden.

The matrix below shows the respondent participation in the various parts of the submission. The total amounts shown by institutional type are unduplicated counts. The part breakdowns under each category of Institution are duplicated counts, based on the variables described above.

FTSAR Recognitions Type by	Estimated Number of	Estimated Person	Total
FISAP Respondent Type by Part	Respondents	Hours per Respondent	Hours
	пооронионео	пооронионс	
Proprietary			
Application	1073	1.65	1770
Federal Perkins Loan	228	2.34	533
FSE0G	982	1.35	1326
FWS	515	1.05	541
Summary	978	0.45	440
Total Proprietary Schools	1074	6.84	4610
D 1-11:			
Public	45.47	0.00	4.455
Application	1547	2.88	4455
Federal Perkins Loan	763	3.58	2731
FSEOG	1518	1.90	2884
FWS	1495	2.59	3872
Summary	1532	1.52	2329
Total Public Schools	1547	12.47	16271
Private			
Application	1460	2.45	3577
Federal Perkins Loan	1004	3.15	3163
FSE0G	1314	1.31	1721
FWS	1354	1.69	2288
Summary	1410	0.93	1311
Total Private Schools	1460	9.53	12060
FISAP Grand Total	4081		32941
FISAP Avg. Person Hours per Respondent	4001	9.61	32341

Reallocation Form

The Reallocation Form data collection is composed of two sections. Section A requests unexpended allocation figures for the Campus-Based Programs. Section B requests information regarding supplemental FWS funds. The range of time required to complete the two sections of the form depends on these variables: (1) the number of programs in which respondents are currently participating; and (2) whether or not an institution requests supplemental funds for community service activities.

We estimate a total of 177 Reallocation Form respondents, with an estimated average response time of 0.20 hours and a total of 19.95 hours for all institutions.

The following matrix shows the respondent participation in the two sections of the form. The amounts shown are unduplicated counts.

Revised: 02/22/2012

Reallocation Form Respondent Type by Section	Estimated Number of Respondents	Estimated Person Hours per Respondent	Total Hours
Section A			
Proprietary	51.00	0.15	8
Public	33.00	0.15	5
Private	27.00	0.15	4
Total Section A	111.00	0.15	17
Section B			
Proprietary	6.00	0.05	. 30
Public	37.00	0.05	2
Private	23.00	0.05	1
Total Section B	66.00	0.05	3
Reallocation Form Totals for Sections A &B	177.00		20
Reallocation Form Avg. Person Hours per Respondent		0.20	

Total for FISAP and Reallocation Form

The combined total for the FISAP and Reallocation Form has an estimated total of 5,862 respondents with an estimated response time of 9.81 hours for a total of 33,233 hours for all institutions. Using the Census Bureau National Industry-Specific Occupational Employment Wage Estimate for Educational Services (NAICS 611000) of \$23.09 per hour, the estimated total cost to respondents is \$1,328,270.

Total Respondents for FISAP and Reallocation Form	Estimated Number of Respondents	Estimated Person Hours per Respondent	Total Hours
FISAP	4081	9.61	32941
Reallocation Form	177	0.20	20
Total	4258		32,961
Total Avg. Person Hours		9.81	
for FISAP and Reallocation Form			

Total Hour-Burden Cost		
Total Respondents	4258	
Total Estimated Response	9.81	
Time (hrs.)		
Estimated hourly wage	\$22.86	
Cost per Respondent	\$224.26	
(9.81 x 22.86)		
Estimated Total Hour-	\$954,884.60	
Burden Cost – all		
respondents		
(224.26 x 4258)		

- 13. Provide an estimate of the total annual cost burden to respondents or record keepers resulting from the collection of information. (Do not include the cost of any hour burden shown in Items 12 and 14.)
 - The cost estimate should be split into two components: (a) a total capital and start-up cost component (annualized over its expected useful life); and (b) a total operation and maintenance and purchase of services component. The estimates should take into account costs associated with generating, maintaining, and disclosing or providing the information. Include descriptions of methods used to estimate major cost factors including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life of capital equipment, the discount rate(s), and the time period over which costs will be incurred. Capital and start-up costs include, among other items, preparations for collecting information such as purchasing computers and software; monitoring, sampling, drilling and testing equipment; and acquiring and maintaining record storage facilities.
 - If cost estimates are expected to vary widely, agencies should present ranges of cost burdens and explain the reasons for the variance. The cost of contracting out information collection services should be a part of this cost burden estimate. In developing cost burden estimates, agencies may consult with a sample of respondents (fewer than 10), utilize the 60-day pre-OMB submission public comment process and use existing economic or regulatory impact analysis associated with the rulemaking containing the information collection, as appropriate.
 - Generally, estimates should not include purchases of equipment or services, or portions thereof, made: (1) prior to October 1, 1995, (2) to achieve regulatory compliance with requirements not associated with the information collection, (3) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the government or (4) as part of customary and usual business or private practices. Also, these estimates should not include the hourly costs (i.e., the monetization of the hours) captured above in Item 12

Total Annualized Capital/Startup Cost Total Annual Costs (O&M) :	:
Total Annualized Costs Requested :	

The nature of business for Title IV institutions (the respondents) is such that purchases of equipment and provision of services that are required for electronic processing of the FISAP and Reallocation form are a part of their customary and usual business practice. They are the type of equipment and services normally necessary to successfully operate any educational entity.

Revised: 02/22/2012

The requirement to transmit the FISAP and Reallocation Form data electronically through eCB is not considered an increase in burden for most institutions. Because thousands of institutions are already participating in other Title IV, they would have access to the Internet (web) in order to do business with the Department.

14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government. Also, provide a description of the method used to estimate cost, which should include quantification of hours, operational expenses (such as equipment, overhead, printing, and support staff), and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information. Agencies also may aggregate cost estimates from Items 12, 13, and 14 in a single table.

The matrix below describes the separate costs for the FISAP and the Reallocation Form and the combined total annual cost to the Federal Government of \$1,622,131.24.

		_		
	-	~	Λ	•
_		•	4	-

FISAP Cost for Development of eCampus-Based FISAP on the Web Many tasks must be performed in order to develop the FISAP on the Web and make it available to campus-based participants. Some of the major costs include the costs for contractor services, the development of web server programs, the Technical Reference, documents for posting on the web (i.e. Dear Partner Letters), and the FISAP Form and Instructions Booklet. The amount below reflects all of these campus-based programs cost to the Department. There are no funds designated for Development at this time.

FISAP Operations & Maintenance Contract Costs

2020, LLC 3110 Fairview Park Drive Suite 950 Falls Church, VA 22042

The cost of collecting and maintaining the data is justified because FISAP data is made available to, and widely used, throughout the Department for analysis, historical research, budget evaluations, audits, program reviews, OIG inquiries, etc. In addition, the information collected justifies institutional funding for the next award period.

The current annual O&M costs for the eCB system include the FISAP and Reallocation forms as well as the TCLI Directory*, Work Colleges Application and Expenditure forms**, and the CTP-Intellectual disabilities form***.

*The TCLI Directory is a separate data collection with OMB control number 1845-0077.

**The number of schools participating in the Work Colleges program is static at 7 participants. There is no OMB control number for this data collection.

***The CTP is a new data collection that is being submitted under separate cover for a separate/new OMB control number.

Note:

Annual O&M Contract cost - \$1,576,390 = monthly cost \$131,366 allotted as:

FISAP (9 months) = \$1,050,926

Reallocation (1 month) = \$131,366

TCLI Directory (1 month) = \$131,366

Work Colleges (1 month) = \$131,366

CTP-Intellectual Disabilities (1 month) = \$131,366

Total (9 months) \$1,050,926.00

Revised: 02/22/2012

FISAP Staffing Operations and Overhead Costs

A staff of 9 FTE's is required for the effective and complete operation of the campus-based programs and the eCB system. This includes the FISAP and Reallocation forms as well as the TCLI Directory, Work Colleges Application and Expenditure forms , and the CTP-Intellectual disabilities form as footnoted on page 18. As the driving force in these operations, the FISAP is the instrument by which institutions request campus-based funding and report program expenditures. The FISAP provides the data that is required to perform a variety of processes such as funding calculations, program compliance, analysis, customer service, information publication and distribution, accounting and fund control, etc. The types of program staff include managers, accountants, financial management specialists, technicians, systems analysts, and clerical staff. The total amount of annual salaries devoted to eCampus-Based activities is \$1,417,773.00.

Using the Salary Table 2011-DCB Effective January 2011, the average hourly wage of the current staff composition is \$48.46.

Note:

The total amount of annual salaries devoted eCB activities is divided as:

Reallocation (3 staff @ 120 hrs ea x \$48.46) = \$17,445.60 TCLI Directory (1 staff @ 80 hrs x \$48.46) = \$3,876.80 Work Colleges (1 staff @ 160 hrs x \$48.46) = \$7,753.60 CTP-Intellectual Disabilities (1 staff @ 160 hrs x \$48.46) = \$7,753.60

FISAP (Total salaries less Reallocation, TCLI Directory, Work Colleges, CTP-Intellectual Disabilities) = \$1,380,943.40

Yearly Staff Costs \$1,380,943.40

Revised: 02/22/2012

FISAP Yearly Overhead Costs

A standard budget estimate of 16% was used to determine the overhead costs of staff operations including such items as equipment, utilities, work materials, etc. $(\$1,380,943.40 \times 16\% = \$220,950.94)$

_0,000.0.,			
	Yearly	Overhead Costs	\$ 220,950.94
	Total Staff and	Overhead Costs	\$1,601,894.34

Reallocation Form

Reallocation Form Publication and Distribution

Publication and distribution cost to the campus-based programs has been eliminated. The conversion to the eCampus-Based FISAP on the web has transferred all costs, including software production and distribution, into the overall contract for services made by the Department.

Total \$0

Revised: 02/22/2012

Reallocation Form Operations & Maintenance Contract Costs

3110 Fairview Park Drive Suite 950 Falls Church, VA 22042

Total (1 month) \$ 131,366.00

Reallocation Form Staffing Operations and Overhead Costs

A staff of 3 is required for the effective and complete operation of the campus-based programs Reallocation process. While the FISAP is the instrument by which institutions request campus-based funding and report program expenditures, the Campus-Based Reallocation Form is used to provide information that is needed prior to the annual submission of the FISAP.

The 3 program staff members include managers, accountants and systems analysts. Of that staff, each of the three employees worked 120 hours per year on the Reallocation process for a total of 360 hours worked for the office. This process takes approximately two half-months to complete for a yearly cost of \$17,348.40 (120 hours x 3 employees x \$48.46 average hourly rate).

Yearly Staff Costs \$ 17,445.60

Reallocation Form Yearly Overhead Costs

A standard budget estimate of 16% was used to determine the overhead costs of staff operations including such items as equipment, utilities, work materials, etc. $(\$17,445.60 \times 16\% = \$2,791.30)$

Yearly Overhead Costs \$ 2,791.30

Total Staff and Overhead Costs \$ 20,236.90

FISAP and Reallocation Form Combined	Total Cost to		
Federal Government			
Cost for Development of eCB FISAP on the Web	\$ 0.00		
O&M Costs to the Federal Government	\$1,182,292.00		
Staff Operations and Overhead Costs	\$1,622,131.24		
Total	\$3,204,023.24		

15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments. Generally, adjustments in burden result from re-estimating burden and/or from economic phenomenon outside of an agency's control (e.g., correcting a burden estimate or an organic increase in the size of the reporting universe). Program changes result from a deliberate action that materially changes a collection of information and generally are result of new statute or an agency action (e.g., changing a form, revising regulations, redefining the respondent universe, etc.). Burden changes should be disaggregated by type of change (i.e., adjustment, program change due to new statute, and/or program change due to agency discretion), type of collection (new, revision, extension, reinstatement with change, reinstatement without change) and include totals for changes in burden hours, responses and costs (if applicable).

The total annual reporting hour burden being adjusted from the current OMB inventory of 33,232 to 32,961 (a reduction of 271 hours and 1,604 responses) due to a change in the composition of respondents from the different types of Title IV institutions on various sections of the forms.

The total reporting and recording keeping cost burden is being adjusted from the current OMB inventory of \$3,525,202 to \$3,204,423 (a decrease of \$320,799) due to a combined change in the staff composition and contract costs.

16. For collections of information whose results will be published, outline plans for tabulation and publication. Address any complex analytical techniques that will be used. Provide the time schedule for the entire project, including beginning and ending dates of the collection of information, completion of report, publication dates, and other actions.

This information collected on the FISAP and the Reallocation form is not collected for statistical publication

17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate.

The Department is not seeking this approval.

18. Explain each exception to the certification statement identified in the Certification of Paperwork Reduction Act.

There are no exceptions to the certification statement.