### DISTRICT-LEVEL SCREENING PROTOCOL

## **Paperwork Burden Statement**

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is XXXX–XXXX. The time required to complete this voluntary information collection is estimated to average 30 minutes per response. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: U.S. Department of Education, Washington, D.C. 20202–4537. If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of this review of your district's eligibility for study participation, write directly to: Melanie Ali, U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, Room 502B, 555 New Jersey Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20208-5500.

#### **Protocol**

The telephone call should be made to one of the following people:

- The district person responsible for the system evaluating teachers and leaders.
- A senior human resource person in charge of teacher/leader compensation and/or career development.

These individuals may be identified on the district's website under Human Resources. In the rare case in which a contact is no longer current, you may need to call the district's general number for Human Resources and ask for the best person to talk to about teacher and leader evaluation or teacher and principal career development. If the person you reach does not agree that he or she is the right person to provide the necessary information, ask that person to recommend someone else.

Before the call, please send to the district contact(s) a scheduling e-mail with the materials that describe the study. When you're ready for the call, start with the following conversation:

Good morning {or afternoon}, my name is \_\_\_\_\_\_, I am with the American Institutes for Research (AIR), an independent research firm in Washington, D.C. AIR is launching the initial phase of a U. S. Department of Education research project on teacher and leader evaluation systems. As a first step, we are contacting a number of districts to learn more about their teacher and leader evaluation systems, including the different components of the systems. We exchanged e-mails a few days ago, and I sent you materials describing the study.

Do you have time to talk now? My questions will take about 30 minutes. All the information collected will be kept confidential. We follow the confidentiality and data protection requirements of IES (The Education Sciences Reform Act of 2002, Title I, Part E, Section 183). We will protect the confidentiality of all information collected for the study and will use it for research purposes only. The reports prepared for the study will summarize findings across the sample and will not associate responses with a specific program, district, school, or individual. We will not provide information that identifies any study participant to anyone outside the study team, except as required by law.

Before we get started, do you have any general questions about the study? I would be happy to describe the study briefly. (Refer to the provided two-page description of the study to explain main points.)

## **PART I: Current Teacher Evaluation System Used by District**

First, I would like to ask a few general questions about the teacher evaluation system currently used by your district.

1. Briefly, what goes into a teacher's rating under your district's evaluation system? (Open-ended description, probe using categories below.) Different categories: Rating on classroom practice (e.g., classroom management, specific instructional practices) Rating on other aspects of teacher practice (e.g., parent communication, collegiality) Rating on student growth (e.g., value-added modeling) Other, please specify\_\_\_\_\_ 2. What are the different sources of information used for evaluating teachers? (Open-ended description, probe using categories below.) Different sources of information: ☐ Portfolios ☐ Classroom Observation ☐ Surveys ☐ Student growth ☐ Walkthroughs ☐ Other, please specify\_\_\_\_\_ 3. How often do teachers receive a summative rating from the evaluation system? (Open-ended description, probe using categories below.) Once a year Less than once a year If category Classroom Observation is marked in Question 2, then proceed to Question 4. If category Classroom Observation is not marked, probe: Can you reconfirm that classroom observations are not used as a part of the teacher evaluation system? If reconfirmed, proceed to Question 17. Next, I would like to ask you some more specific questions about how you determine ratings of teachers' classroom practice. 4. Is there a form used to record ratings of classroom practice? What is this form called? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, proceed to Question 4 a. If No, probe: is there any system for determining ratings of teacher practice? Then proceed to Question 6. 4 a. For how many years has this form been in use? Number or years: \_\_\_\_\_

#### 5. How detailed is the form?

5 a. Specifically, how many aspects of classroom practice are rated? For example, an aspect of classroom
practice might be "classroom management". Can you name few of the aspects? (Assess whether aspects relate to
classroom practice; if not, redirect respondent.)
Number of aspects:
Aspect 1:
•
Aspect 2:
Aspect 3:
5 b. How many rating levels are available for these different aspects? For example, an observation tool might have two rating levels for each aspect of a classroom practice (e.g., classroom management could be rated "satisfactory" or "unsatisfactory"). Alternatively, an observation tool might have four rating levels for each aspect of classroom practice (e.g., "1" "2" "3" and "4") or different rating levels may be used depending aspects rated (Openended description, list rating levels.)
Different rating levels used for different aspects: $\square$ Yes $\square$ No
Rating levels:
5 c. How detailed is the guidance provided to raters to help them determine what ratings to select? I'd like to understand if there is general guidance (e.g., a general definition of "satisfactory" that applies to all aspects that are rated), or if there is guidance specific to each aspect of practice that is rated (e.g., a definition of "satisfactory" that is specific to "classroom management," another definition of "satisfactory" that is specific to "clarity of explanations," and so on for each aspect on the form. (Open-ended response, mark categories if appropriate, probe for examples.)
$\square$ The same general definition is used for all aspects rated (describe the definition.)
$\square$ A specific tailored definition is used for each of the aspects rated (describe the definitions.)

5 d. I'd also like to understand whether the form for rating classroom practice draws on a framework distributed by a national organization of some kind or on a framework that is commercially available? I will read aloud names of some of these frameworks. Can you tell me if the currently used observation tool draws on any of the mentioned frameworks? (Read the list of frameworks in the table and probe, mark down answers.)

Table 1. List of Classroom Observation Frameworks

Name of the observation frameworks	5 d. District form based/drawn on (Yes/No)
Classroom Assessment Scoring System (CLASS)	
Framework for Teaching (FFT)  a.k.a The Danielson Framework  a.k.a Danielson's Framework for Teaching (FFT)	
McREL's Teacher Evaluation System	
The Marzano Teacher Evaluation Model	
The System for Teacher and Student Advancement a.k.a Teacher Advancement Program (TAP)	
Any other commercial evidence-based system (Please identify the system.)	

Thank for you answering my questions about your forms for recording ratings of classroom practice. If it is available
publicly, could you send me the rating form for my reference, or point me to where to find it on your Website?
Web-site address:

My remaining questions are about the observations and observers—specifically who observes classroom practice, and how they are trained as well as the district's future plans for evaluating teachers' classroom practice.

6. Who conducts	the observations that determine	ratings of classroom practice?	
7. How much tra	ining is provided for the observer	s? (Probe about the number of he	ours of training.)
	Hours	S	
	vers required to reach a specific sing together with a 'gold standard'		
	☐ Yes	□ No	
9. Are those who years, to maintai	o conduct the observations requirent their skills?	ed to do additional training durin	g the school year, or every few
	☐ Yes, additional training durin	ng school year	litional training annually
	☐ Yes, additional training ever	y few years $\hfill\Box$ No add	itional training is required
10. How often d	oes the current evaluation systen	n require that new teachers are o	bserved?
	☐ Less than once a year	☐ Once a year	☐ 2 times a year
	☐ 3 times a year	4 or more times a year	
11. How often d	oes the current evaluation systen	n require that veteran teachers a	re observed?
	Less than once a year	☐ Once a year	☐ 2 times a year
	☐ 3 times a year	4 or more times a year	
	at for various reasons, from scheo teacher may vary. Can you tell m	-	
	☐ Less than once a year	☐ Once a year	☐ 2 times a year
	☐ 3 times a year	4 or more times a year	
13. How many m	inutes does an observation typica	ally last?	
	Minu	tes	
14. Are teachers	given feedback based on the obs	ervations conducted?	
	☐ Yes	□ No	
15. How often ar each observation		on the observations? For exampl	e, are teachers given feedback after
	Less than once a year	☐ Once a year	☐ 2 times a year
	☐ 3 times a year	4 or more times a year	☐ After each observation

16. We're also interested in your experience with frameworks that your district is currently using or has used for any other purposes, including providing information for a teacher compensation incentive system — not for evaluative purpose. I will read aloud names of some of these frameworks. Can you tell me if you are currently using or have used in the past an observation tool based on any of the mentioned frameworks? (Open-ended description, mark on the table as appropriate; probe: for what purpose was the tool used and in how many schools; frequency of use and the length of the observation.)

Table 1. List of Classroom Observation Frameworks

Name of the observation frameworks	16. Non-evaluative use of the framework (purpose, frequency, length of observation)	16. Number of schools	16. When used and for how long
Classroom Assessment Scoring System (CLASS)			
Framework for Teaching (FFT)  a.k.a The Danielson Framework  a.k.a Danielson's Framework for Teaching (FFT)			
McREL's Teacher Evaluation System			
The Marzano Teacher Evaluation Model			
The System for Teacher and Student Advancement  a.k.a Teacher Advancement Program (TAP)			
Any other commercial evidence- based system (Please identify the system.)			

different approach to giving teachers ratings of classroom practice - either a different rating system, a different approach to selecting or training observers, or changing the number of observations. Are you aware of any initiatives to pilot or develop a new approach to giving teachers ratings of classroom practice? (Open-ended response, probe and mark down answers as appropriate). Probe: Do you know whether there are plans to pilot the system with any specific grade levels or schools in the district? What kind of plans? Probe: Regarding what you just described, we would be interested in knowing the approximate timeline and the type and number of schools that would be affected. Timeline, type and number of schools: ☐ 2012-2013 school year ☐ 2013-2014 school year ☐ 2014-2015 school year Number:  $\Box$  All ☐ Most ☐ Few Elementary Schools Middle Schools Number: \_\_\_\_\_  $\square$  All ☐ Most ☐ Few Number: **High Schools**  $\Box$  All ☐ Most ☐ Few **PART II: Current leader evaluation system** Next, I would like to ask questions about the current principal evaluation system used by the district. 18. Does the district have a system in place for principal evaluations? ☐ Yes ☐ No If the answer is No proceed to Question 28. 19. Is the principal evaluation system aligned with any particular standards (such as the ISLLC standards or state standards)? ☐ Yes □ No 20. Briefly, what aspects of principal performance are used to determine a principal's rating under your district's evaluation system? (Open-ended description, probe using categories below.) Different aspects: ☐ Aspects related to instructional leadership (e.g., instructional quality, curriculum rigor) Aspect related to other leadership practices (e.g., school culture, parent and community relations) ☐ Aspects related to accountability (e.g., improving conditions of schools) ☐ Student growth (e.g., value-added models) Other, please specify\_\_\_\_\_

17. For purposes of our study, we would also be interested in knowing if your district is piloting or considering a

be used? (Open-	ended description, probe u	ising categories be	elow.)		
Different sources	s of information:				
	☐ Surveys		☐ Observations		☐ Interviews
	☐ Student growth mode	els	☐ Testimonials		☐ School walkthroughs
How often requi	red to be used:				
	Less than once a year	r	☐ Once a year		
	☐ 2 times a year		☐ 3 or more tim	es a year	
	e to ask you some more spe d in principal evaluations.	ecific questions ab	out how you deter	mine ratings	for the different
22. Is there a for	rm or an instrument used t	o record ratings o	f principal practice?	? What is thi	s form called?
	☐ Yes	□ No			
Name of the form	m/instrument:				
If Yes, proceed to proceed to Ques	o Question 23. If No, prob tion 28.	e: is there any sys	tem for determini	ng ratings of	principal practice? Then
23. For how man	y years has this form/instr	ument been in use	??		
Number of Years	::				
24. Who fills out	the instrument(s)/form(s)	used for principal	evaluations?		
	☐ Principal	☐ Principal's su	pervisor	☐ Principal	and principal's supervisor
	☐ Parents	☐ Students		_	teachers, principal and upervisor (e.g., 360 degree
25. Are principal	s provided feedback based	on the instrumen	t(s)/form(s) used?		
	☐ Yes	□ No			
	es the feedback and how o	ften is the feedba	ck provided? (Ope	n-ended des	cription of the feedback,
	☐ Less than once a year	☐ Once	a year	☐ 2 tin	nes a year
			•		

21. What are the different sources of information required for evaluating principals and how often are they required to

27. I'd also like to understand whether the form for rating principal practice draws on a framework distributed by a national organization of some kind or on a framework that is commercially available. I will read aloud names of some of these frameworks. Can you tell me if the currently used principal evaluation form is based/drawn on any of the mentioned principal evaluation systems?

Table 2. List of Principal Evaluation Systems

Name of the principal evaluation system	District system based on (Yes/No)
Vanderbilt Assessment of Leadership (VAL-ED)	
McREL's Principal Evaluation System	
The Marzano Principal Evaluation Model	
The Doug Reeves Model	
The System for Teacher and Student Advancement	
a.k.a Teacher Advancement Program (TAP)	
The Marshall System	
Any other commercial evidence-based system	
(Please identify the system.)	

Thank for you answering my questions about your principal evaluation system. If it is available publicly, could you send me the rating form for my reference, or point me to where to find it on your Website?

My remaining questions are about implementing the principal evaluation system and your district's future plans for the system.

28. For purposes of our study, we would also be interested in knowing if your district is piloting or considering a different approach to evaluating principals. Are you aware of any initiatives to pilot or develop a new approach for evaluati

ine and

0.	ed response, probe and ma	,		а пем арргоас
,	ou just described, we would chools that would be affect		knowing the appro	oximate timeli
Timeline, type and number of schools:				
$\square$ 2012-2013 school year $\square$ 2013-2014 school year $\square$ 2014-2015 school year				
Elementary Schools	Number:	□ All	□ Most	☐ Few
Middle Schools	Number:	□ All	□ Most	☐ Few
High Schools	Number:	□ All	□ Most	☐ Few

• • • •	organizations, such as local universities or New Leaders for New u tell me the name of the organization, and the nature of the effort?
☐ Yes	□ No
Name of the organization:	
Nature of the effort:	
<b>PART III: Need for Contact with</b>	Teacher or Administrator Union
	ipating and chooses to participate in the study, would we need to applicable, the school administrators' union, to pilot a m in your district?
☐ Yes	□ No
31. Do you know who would be the appropriate tea union person(s) to contact?	cher's union person or, if applicable, the school administrators'
☐ Yes	□ No
Name	
Contact Information:	
	istrict's data systems. Are you the correct person to answer these person? (If the correct person to ask about district's data systems, and contact information.)
Name:	
Phone:	
Email:	

Thank you for your time. We will carefully review the information you gave us today. If your district qualifies for participation in the study, what are the appropriate channels for further communication? For example, can we call you for further information or should we contact a specific district employee? (Open-ended description.)

If you have any questions for me, you can reach me at [contact information]. Thank you again for your time.

# PART IV. Current Use of Student Data System for Teacher and Leader Evaluation

This portion of the protocol must be answered by a person who is knowledgeable about the district data systems. It is likely that this person is different from the contact person who answered the questions in Parts I, II and III. If a different contact person is required to answer questions about district's data systems, Part IV interview will only be scheduled after it is clear that information received in Parts I and II will not make the district ineligible to participate.
Good morning {or afternoon}, my name is, I am with the American Institutes for Research (AIR), an independent research firm in Washington, D.C. AIR is launching the initial phase of a U. S. Department of Education research project on teacher and leader evaluation systems. As a first step, we are contacting a number of districts to learn more about their teacher and leader evaluation systems, including the different components of the systems. You were referred as the correct contact to ask questions about your district's data systems by [add name].
Do you have time to talk now? My questions will take about 10 minutes. All the information collected will be kept confidential. We follow the confidentiality and data protection requirements of IES (The Education Sciences Reform Act of 2002, Title I, Part E, Section 183). We will protect the confidentiality of all information collected for the study and will use it for research purposes only. The reports prepared for the study will summarize findings across the sample and will not associate responses with a specific program, district, school, or individual. We will not provide information that identifies any study participant to anyone outside the study team, except as required by law.
Before we get started, do you have any general questions about the study? I would be happy to describe the study briefly.
32. I would like to ask you some questions about the student data systems in your district and how they are currently used. Before we get started I would like to confirm that your district [does/does not] use student growth (e.g., measured through value-added modeling) to evaluate teachers and/or principals. [Use information from Questions 1, 2 and 20 to determine the correct statement] Is that correct?
☐ Yes – Use student growth ☐ No – Does not use student growth
If the answer is Yes, proceed to Question 33. If the answer is No, proceed to Question 34.
33. How are student growth scores used as a part of your teacher or leader evaluation systems? (Open-ended description, probe: is the student growth model done by district or are the growth scores provided by the state? How much weight do the student growth scores have in teacher or principal evaluations (e.g., 30% of their effectiveness score is based on student growth)? Is there a website page or other publicly available materials that describes the student growth model used by the district?) <b>Proceed to the end of the protocol.</b>
34. Is your district or state using a student growth model, but the results are not currently used as a part of your teacher or leader evaluation systems? For example, is a student growth model used to provide information for a teacher compensation incentive system?
☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, write down what scores are used for:

If the answer is Yes, proceed to Question 43. If the answer is No, proceed to Question 35.

		yearly student assessment ered tests) since the school		ading and mathematics for grades 3 to 8 (such as 011?
	☐ Yes		□ No	
If the a	nswer is Y	es, proceed to Question 3	6. If the answer	is No, proceed to Question 43.
36. In its current	data syste	ems, does the district use u	unique IDs for the	following? (Use categories below.)
37. Are student, from school to se			chool IDs? For exa	ample, can students and teachers be followed
Student	ts	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Linked to school ID
Teache	rs	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Linked to school ID
Principa	als	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Linked to school ID
Schools		☐ Yes	□ No	
	_	nique student and teache Os proceed to Question 43		Question 38, if No to having unique student
38. Has the unic	ηue ID syst	em for teachers and stude	ents been in place	at least since the school year of 2010-2011?
	☐ Yes		□ No	
39. Are the assig	ned IDs ke	ept the same from year to	year?	
	☐ Yes		□ No	
40. Can the distr	ict link stu	dents to teachers and cou	rses?	
☐ Yes		□ No		
41. Are course co	odes consi	stent over time and across	s schools?	
	☐ Yes		□ No	
			_	nique and consistent student IDs since fall 2010? tion is available? (Probe using categories below.)
	$\Box$ An indicator of whether the student is considered to have attended for the full academic year (or entry/exit dates and rules for determining full academic year status).			
	☐ Attendance (e.g., days present/absent)			
	☐ Student demographic information (e.g., ethnicity, gender.)			y, gender.)
	$\square$ Program participation information (e.g., special education status, English language learner statu			education status, English language learner status.)
	☐ Exit/entry dates into schools and/or courses			

43. Does the district have any initiatives underw	ay to use student growth for teacher or leader evaluations?
☐ Yes	□ No
If the answer is Yes, proceed to Questio	n 44. If the answer is No, proceed to the end.
44. What does the initiative involve, and when we	ould it begin? (Open-ended description.)
participation in the study, what are the appropria	the information you gave us today. If your district qualifies for te channels for further communication? For example, can we call you ecific district employee? (Open-ended description.)
If you have any questions for me, you can reach n	ne at [contact information]. Thank you again for your time.