Supporting Statement T.D. 9263

1. CIRCUMSTANCES NECESSITATING COLLECTION OF INFORMATION

This document contains final regulations concerning the deduction for income attributable to domestic production activities under section 199 of the Internal Revenue Code. Section 199 was enacted as part of the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (Act). The regulations will affect taxpayers engaged in certain domestic production activities.

2. <u>USE OF DATA</u>

The information is required so that a taxpayer who is a patron in a cooperative may claim the section 199 deduction amount and the IRS can determine the accuracy of the deduction amount.

3. <u>USE OF IMPROVED INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY TO REDUCE BURDEN</u>

IRS Publications, Regulations, Notices and Letters are to be electronically enabled on an as practicable basis in accordance with the IRS Reform and Restructuring Act of 1998.

4. <u>EFFORTS TO IDENTIFY DUPLICATION</u>

We have attempted to eliminate duplication within the agency wherever possible.

5. <u>METHODS TO MINIMIZE BURDEN ON SMALL BUSINESSES OR OTHER</u> <u>SMALL ENTITIES</u>

Not applicable.

6. <u>CONSEQUENCES OF LESS FREQUENT COLLECTION ON FEDERAL</u> <u>PROGRAMS OR POLICY ACTIVITIES</u>

Not applicable.

7. <u>SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES REQUIRING DATA COLLECTION TO BE</u> INCONSISTENT WITH GUIDELINES IN 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2)

Not applicable.

2

8. <u>CONSULTATION WITH INDIVIDUALS OUTSIDE OF THE AGENCY ON</u> <u>AVAILABILITY OF DATA, FREQUENCY OF COLLECTION, CLARITY OF</u> <u>INSTRUCTIONS AND FORMS, AND DATA ELEMENTS</u>

On January 19, 2005, the IRS and Treasury Department issued Notice 2005–14 (2005–1 C.B. 498) providing interim guidance on section 199. On November 4, 2005, the IRS and Treasury Department published in the Federal Register proposed regulations under section 199 (70 FR 67220) (proposed regulations). On January 11, 2006, the IRS and Treasury Department held a public hearing on the proposed regulations. Written and electronic comments responding to the proposed regulations were received. Final regulation (TD 9263), was published on June 1, 2006.

In response to the **Federal Register notice dated November 8, 2011, (76 FR 69331),** we received no comments during the comment period regarding these proposed and temporary regulations.

9. <u>EXPLANATION OF DECISION TO PROVIDE ANY PAYMENT OR GIFT TO</u> <u>RESPONDENTS</u>

Not applicable.

10. ASSURANCE OF CONFIDENTIALITY OF RESPONSES

Generally, tax returns and tax return information are confidential as required by 26 U.S.C. 6103.

11. JUSTIFICATION OF SENSITIVE QUESTIONS

Not applicable.

12. ESTIMATED BURDEN OF INFORMATION COLLECTION

We estimate that there are 3,000 agricultural cooperatives that will be eligible to pass-through the section 199 deduction amount to its patrons. There are approximately 3,000,000 members of those cooperatives. We estimate that it will take an average of 3 hours (between 15 minutes and 10 hours) for each cooperative to comply with the notice requirements in §1.199-6(b) for a total burden estimated to be 9,000 hours.

Estimates of the annualized cost to respondents for the hour burdens associated with the information collection are not available at this time.

13. ESTIMATED TOTAL ANNUAL COST BURDEN TO RESPONDENTS

As suggested by OMB, our Federal Register notice **Federal Register notice dated November 8, 2011, (76 FR 69331),** requested public comments on estimates of cost burden that are not captured in the estimates of burden hours, i.e., estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information. However, we did not receive any responses from taxpayers on this subject. As a result, estimates of these cost burdens are not available at this time.

14. ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED COST TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

Not applicable.

15. REASONS FOR CHANGE IN BURDEN

There were no changes to the burden previously reported to OMB.

We are making this submission to renew the OMB approval.

16. PLANS FOR TABULATION, STATISTICAL ANALYSIS AND PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

17. REASONS WHY DISPLAYING THE OMB EXPIRATION DATE IS INAPPROPRIATE

We believe that displaying the OMB expiration date is inappropriate because it could cause confusion by leading taxpayers to believe that the regulation sunsets as of the expiration date. Taxpayers are not likely to be aware that the Service intends to request renewal of OMB approval and obtain a new expiration date before the old one expires.

18. EXCEPTIONS TO THE CERTIFICATION STATEMENT ON OMB FORM 83-I

Not applicable.

<u>Note</u>: The following paragraph applies to all of the collections of information in this submission:

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a valid OMB control number. Books or records relating to a collection of information must be retained as long as their contents may become material in the administration of any internal revenue law. Generally, tax returns and tax return information are confidential, as required by 26 U.S.C. 6103.