Response Analysis Survey for the Green Goods and Services Survey – Cover Letter Pre-test

Final Report

Report submitted to the Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics

December 30, 2011

FINAL REPORT



Strategic Research Group, Inc. 995 Goodale Blvd Columbus, OH 43212 (614) 220-8860 www.strategicresearchgroup.com



Response Analysis Survey for the Green Goods and Services Survey

Table of Contents

TABLES AND FIGURES	III
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	4
A. METHODOLOGY AND RESPONSE RATES	5
B. RESULTS	11
C. CONCLUSION	21
APPENDIX A: ORIGINAL COVER LETTER	23
APPENDIX B: REVISED COVER LETTER	24
APPENDIX C: RAS INSTRUMENT FOR THE COVER LETTER PRE-TEST	25



Tables and Figures

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	4
A. METHODOLOGY AND RESPONSE RATES	5
Table A2.1 Final response rate and call dispositions	7
Table A2.2 Response by green status	8
Table A2.3 Response by form type	9
Table A2.4 Response by sample group	
B. RESULTS	11
Table B1.1 Means of viewing cover letters	11
Table B1.2 Rating of understanding of survey purpose	12
Table B1.3 Rating of understanding of type of businesses that should respond	13
Table B1.4a Whether company is qualified to complete survey	14
Table B1.4b Whether company is qualified to complete survey (non-green establishments only)	15
Table B1.5 Reason not qualified	16
Table B1.6 Cover letter preferred	17
Table B1.7 Comments about respondents' preferred cover letter	18
Table B1.8 Likelihood to report online	19
Table B1.9 Questions regarding survey or GGS survey	20
C. CONCLUSION	21
APPENDIX A: ORIGINAL COVER LETTER	23
APPENDIX B: REVISED COVER LETTER	24
APPENDIX C: RAS INSTRUMENT FOR THE COVER LETTER PRE-TEST	

Executive Summary

This report provides the results of interviews with employers who had not been sampled in the initial GGS RAS effort in order to pre-test a potential revision to the GGS Survey cover letter. A sample of 500 establishments was selected using a disproportionate stratified sampling approach using whether or not an establishment was considered green (those reporting green goods and services on the GGS Survey) and industry/form type received by the establishment as the stratification criteria. The survey effort yielded a response rate of 71 percent, or 352 respondents.

The two cover letters were very similar with regard to the information provided; however, they differed a bit in terms of wording and format. The presentation order of the cover letters was randomized for the sample such that a respondent would read and give feedback on the original cover letter first and the other half would read and give feedback on the revised letter first, in order to reduce the potential of biased results.

After reviewing each letter, respondents were asked to provide feedback on issues such as their perceived understanding of what the survey is about, what type of businesses should respond, and whether or not respondents felt their company was qualified to take the survey. They were also asked which of the two letters they preferred and why.

Overall, the results of this RAS indicate that, of the original and revised letter, respondents preferred the revised letter, and they tended to feel that it does a better job of explaining the survey and its expectations.

However, sending only the revised letter in its current form would not be ideal as still a fairly large minority of respondents did not feel that their establishment was qualified to respond to the survey because their establishment did not provide the appropriate goods and services.

Most mail-out survey efforts use multiple mailings, including multiple cover letters, in order to maximize response rates. The results of this survey provide compelling support for using multiple cover letters: respondents increased their understanding of the survey, their understanding the types of businesses the survey applies to, and whether they feel they are qualified to complete the survey, by seeing the message multiple times and in different formats. Based on the survey results a scenario where multiple letters are sent, it appears the best option is to send the original letter first, followed by the revised letter. Although having this message repeated seemed to help respondents realize the survey applied to them there are still a significant number of establishments who did not feel qualified to complete the survey even after reading both cover letters.

A. Methodology and Response Rates

1. Overview of Methodology

Following the initial Green Goods and Services (GGS) Response Analysis Survey (RAS), a follow-up survey was commissioned to pre-test a potential revision to the GGS Survey cover letter. A key purpose of a cover letter is to impart the importance of participating to potential survey respondents. Thus, a compelling cover letter is likely to result in a higher rate of response. The methodology utilized for this effort involved selecting a sample of 500 GGS respondents who had not been sampled in the initial GGS RAS effort and asking these individuals to review the original and revised cover letters and provide feedback on the letters. The cover letters are provided in Appendices A and B.

The two cover letters were very similar with regard to the information provided; however, they differed a bit in terms of wording and format. For example:

- In the original letter, the statement about how employers need to participate regardless of whether they are involved in producing green goods and services is bolded.
- The revised letter had an indented question and answer (Q&A) section with bolded questions.
- The revised letter provided a stronger rationale for why employers should participate.
- The revised letter offered an option to visit the GGS website.
- The revised letter offered an option to participate via the web.
- The original letter concludes with "Thanks for your participation" whereas the revised letter concludes with "Thank you for your help."

The sampling scheme for this survey effort involved selecting a disproportionate stratified sample by whether or not an establishment was considered green (those reporting green goods and services on the GGS Survey) and industry/form type received by the establishment. The green/non green strata consisted of 30 percent green establishments and 70 percent non-green establishments. This selection criterion was utilized because the initial RAS effort revealed that some non-green establishments were uncertain their participation was appropriate or necessary; therefore, an important goal of this effort was to determine how to effectively encourage participation to this group. Additionally, the sampling scheme involved an oversample of the less prevalent industries (form types C, F, J, and L) in order to ensure each form type was represented in sufficient numbers should it be desirable to conduct analysis capable of detecting group differences.

For sample cases where the original contact person was no longer available (i.e., the contact was either no longer with the establishment or had relocated without further contact information), SRG attempted to interview the person currently at the contact number. There were six establishments where the individual interviewed was a new contact.

The presentation order of the cover letters was randomized for the entire sample such that half of the sample would read and give feedback on the original cover letter first and the other half would read and give feedback on the revised letter first. By randomizing the presentation order of the messages, we avoid two key threats that could bias the findings: primacy and recency.¹ Primacy occurs when earlier messages are perceived as more important because they were presented first. The converse effect, recency, occurs when more recent messages are given greater weight because they were the last ones presented. Randomizing the presentation order of the cover letters controls for the presence of either effect in this survey effort.

¹ Hovland, Carl I., Enid H. Campbell, and Timothy C. Brock. (1957). The Effects of 'Commitment' on Opinion Change Following Communication. *The Order* of *Presentation in Persuasion*. (eds. Carl I. Hovland et al.), New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 23-32.

2. Response Rates

Response rates and final call dispositions for this GGS RAS effort are provided in Table A2.1 below. Cases with invalid telephone numbers were removed from the calculated response rate. The overall response rate for this effort was 71 percent which met the targeted response rate of 70 percent.

	Ove	erall				
	%	Ν				
Response Rate	70.	7%				
Completes	70.4%	70.4% 352				
Partial interview – unwilling to finish	0.2%	1				
Busy or no answer	0.0%	0				
Voicemail	10.6%	53				
Call back	2.8%	14				
Disconnected	0.2%	1				
Fax Machine	0.2%	1				
Refused	15.6% 78					
Total Establishments Contacted	100.0%	500				

Table A2.1 Final response rate and call dispositions

Tables A2.2 and A2.3 below provide a condensed set of response dispositions for the two stratification variables: whether the establishment reported green goods and services, and form type. The response categories provided are: completed cases, cases where contact with someone at the establishment was made but the RAS was never completed, cases where no contact was made, and refusals. The tables present percentage totals across the disposition categories, allowing completion rates to be compared by establishment category and form type.

As Table A2.2 shows, green establishments were a bit more likely to complete the survey and non-green establishments were more likely to refuse to participate. The RAS form captured information regarding green products and services so it is likely that green establishments were more likely to respond because they felt the form was more appropriate to them.

Establishment Green Status			Contac com	t but no plete	pers	ntacted a on at shment	Ref	usal	Total		
	%	Ν	%	% N % N		%	N	%	Ν		
Green	73.3%	118	9.3%	15	5.0%	8	12.4%	20	100.0%	161	
Non-Green	69.0%	234	9.7%	33	4.1%	14	17.1%	58	100.0%	339	
Total	70.4%	352	9.6%	48	4.4%	22	15.6%	78	100.0%	500	

Table A2.2 Response by green status

Response rates for form types (Table A2.3) ranged from 58 percent (Form N) to 81 percent (Form B). The variation in response rates is somewhat exaggerated due to the small numbers within each stratum.

Form Type	Com	plete	Contact com			ntacted a on at shment	Ref	usal	Total		
	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	
Α	69.4%	25	2.8%	1	5.6%	2	22.2%	8	100.0%	36	
В	80.6%	29	2.8%	1	5.6%	2	11.1%	4	100.0%	36	
С	60.0%	21	8.6%	3	5.7%	2	25.7%	9	100.0%	35	
D	69.4%	25	2.8%	1	5.6%	2	22.2%	8	100.0%	36	
E	66.7%	24	13.9%	5	5.6%	2	13.9%	5	100.0%	36	
F	72.2%	26	13.9%	5	0.0%	0	13.9%	5	100.0%	36	
G	77.8%	28	11.1%	4	2.8%	1	8.3%	3	100.0%	36	
н	63.9%	23	13.9%	5	2.8%	1	19.4%	7	100.0%	36	
I	66.7%	24	5.6%	2	13.9%	5	13.9%	5	100.0%	36	
J	77.1%	27	5.7%	2	2.9%	1	14.3%	5	100.0%	35	
к	71.4%	25	8.6%	3	0.0%	0	20.0%	7	100.0%	35	
L	77.1%	27	14.3%	5	0.0%	0	8.6%	3	100.0%	35	
Μ	75.0%	27	13.9%	5	2.8%	1	8.3%	3	100.0%	36	
Ν	58.3%	21	16.7%	6	8.3%	3	16.7%	6	100.0%	36	
Total	70.4%	352	9.6%	48	4.4%	22	15.6%	78	100.0%	500	

Table A2.3 Response by form type

Table A2.4 below provides a condensed set of response dispositions by sample group. The results show that there were no significant differences between those who read the original letter first and those who read the revised letter first with regard to call disposition. This indicates that there is no systematic bias between the two groups.

Sample Group	Com	plete	Contact com	t but no plete	pers	ntacted a on at shment	Refu	usal	Total		
	%	Ν	% N		%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	
Read Original Letter First	71.0%	181	11.0%	28	3.5%	9	14.5%	37	100.0%	255	
Read Revised Letter First	69.8%	171	8.2%	20	5.3%	13	16.7%	41	100.0%	245	
Total	70.4%	352	9.6%	48	4.4%	22	15.6%	78	100.0%	500	

Table A2.4 Response by sample group

B. Results

In the final respondent pool, 181 respondents read the original letter first, and 171 respondents read the revised letter first. The vast majority of respondents were able to view the letters online at SRG's web address and nearly all of the respondents who did not view the cover letters online had the letters faxed to them (Table B1.1).

Magna of viewing cover letters	Ove	erall	Read Origina	al Letter First	Read Revised Letter First		
Means of viewing cover letters	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	
Online	90.9%	320	89.0%	161	93.0%	159	
Fax	8.8%	31	10.5%	19	7.0%	12	
Email	0.3%	1	0.6%	1	0.0%	0	
Total	100.0%	352	100.0%	181	100.0%	171	

Table B1.1 Means of viewing cover letters

After reading each of the cover letters, respondents were asked to provide feedback. First, they were asked to rate their overall understanding of the purpose of the GGS survey based on their review of that cover letter. Table B1.2 presents these responses.

Overall, the majority of respondents reported a high level of understanding of the purpose of the GGS survey regardless of whether they read the original letter first or the revised letter first. Also, for both groups, level of understanding increased after having read the second letter. However, understanding increased a great deal more for the group that read the original letter first and then the revised letter second than the group that read the revised letter first and the original letter first and then the revised letter second than the group that read the revised letter first and the original letter second.

Additional analyses (not shown) found the same pattern in results regardless of whether of green/non-green status.

How would you rate		С	original Co	over Lette	er	Revised Cover Letter						
your understanding of what the survey is	Overall		Original Letter First		Revised Letter First		Overall		Revised Letter First		Original Letter First	
about?	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N
5—Understand completely	52.3%	184	51.9%	94	52.6%	90	58.5%	206	51.5%	88	65.2%	118
4	30.4%	107	30.9%	56	29.8%	51	27.6%	97	29.2%	50	26.0%	47
3	11.6%	41	11.0%	20	12.3%	21	9.4%	33	12.9%	22	6.1%	11
2	3.7%	13	3.9%	7	3.5%	6	2.6%	9	3.5%	6	1.7%	3
1—Little or no understanding	2.0%	7	2.2%	4	1.8%	3	2.0%	7	2.9%	5	1.1%	2
Mean	4.27 (N=352) 4.27 (N=181)			N=181)	4.28 (1	N=171)	4.38 (N=352)		4.23 (N=171)		4.52 (N=181)	

Table B1.2 Rating of understanding of survey purpose

Respondents were next asked to rate their understanding of what type of businesses should respond to the GGS survey. Table B1.3 presents these results.

Understanding what type of businesses should respond was lower for whichever letter was read first; however, understanding was slightly higher when the original letter was read first compared to the revised letter. It appears that, when viewed first, the revised letter received the lowest rating for understanding which companies should respond to the survey, but when viewed after the original letter, the revised letter received the highest rating for understanding.

Also of note, overall understanding of what type of businesses should respond to the GGS survey was lower than the ratings for understanding the purpose of the GGS survey (Table B1.2).

How would you rate your understanding of what type of businesses should respond to the survey?		C	Driginal Co	over Lette	er	Revised Cover Letter						
	Ove	erall	Original Letter First		Revised L	Revised Letter First		Overall		etter First.	Original Letter First	
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
5—Understand completely	50.6%	178	48.1%	87	53.2%	91	52.8%	186	42.7%	73	62.4%	113
4	22.2%	78	21.0%	38	23.4%	40	21.0%	74	22.8%	39	19.3%	35
3	18.5%	65	20.4%	37	16.4%	28	15.9%	56	19.9%	34	12.2%	22
2	5.4%	19	6.1%	11	4.7%	8	4.8%	17	8.8%	15	1.1%	2
1—Little or no understanding	3.1%	11	3.9%	7	2.3%	4	5.1%	18	5.8%	10	4.4%	8
Don't Know	0.3%	1	0.6%	1	0.0%	0	0.3%	1	0.0%	0	0.6%	1
Mean	4.12 (N=351) 4.04 (N=180)		4.20 (N=171)		4.12 (N=351)		3.88 (1	N=171)	4.35 (N=180)			

Table B1.3 Rating of understanding of type of businesses that should respond

Following the rating of understanding the purpose of the GGS survey and what type of businesses should respond to the survey, respondents were asked if they thought their company was qualified to complete the survey. These results are shown in Table B1.4a.

Overall, there was no significant difference between responses to viewing the two cover letters. However, much like the question rating the understanding of what type of businesses should respond to the survey, the revised letter appeared to be perceived differently depending on whether it was viewed first or last. Those that viewed the revised letter first were least likely to say their company was qualified to complete the survey and those that viewed it following the original letter were most likely to say their company was qualified to complete the survey.

If you received this cover letter, based on what it says, do you think your company is qualified to complete the survey?		0	original Co	over Lette	er	Revised Cover Letter						
	Overall Origin			ginal Letter First Revised Let			Ove	erall	Revised Letter First		Original Letter First	
	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	Ν
Yes	72.4%	255	71.3%	129	73.7%	126	73.9%	260	64.9%	111	82.3%	149
No	27.6%	97	28.7%	52	26.3%	45	26.1%	92	35.1%	60	17.7%	32
Total	100.0%	352	100.0%	181	100.0%	171	100.0%	352	100.0%	171	100.0%	181

Table B1.4a Whether company is qualified to complete survey

Next, the same analysis was conducted but limited to non-green establishments (Table B1.4b). The results generally follow the same pattern as seen for the entire respondent pool; however, there is a much larger increase in agreement that their company is qualified to complete the survey for the group that reads the revised letter first and then the revised letter second than for the group that reads the original letter first and the revised letter second.

If you received this cover letter, based on what it says, do you think your company is qualified to complete the survey?		O	original Co	over Lette	er	Revised Cover Letter						
	Ove	erall	Original Letter First		Revised Letter First		Overall		Revised Letter First		Original Letter First	
	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N
Yes	68.4%	160	66.9%	79	69.8%	81	68.4%	160	61.2%	71	75.4%	89
No	31.6%	74	33.1%	39	30.2%	35	31.6%	74	38.8%	45	24.6%	29
Total	100.0%	234	100.0%	118	100.0%	116	100.0%	234	100.0%	116	100.0%	118

If respondents stated that their company was not qualified to complete the survey, they were asked why not. The reasons for stating the business was not qualified to complete the survey are listed in Table B1.5. Over three-fourths of the responses given were some variation of a response indicating that the establishment or location does not have green goods and services. Another roughly seven percent of respondents said they were not clear on the definition of green goods and services; this likely indicates that the respondent thought involvement in green goods and services was a factor in qualifying to take the survey.

Respondents coded as not having producing or being involved in green goods or services at their establishment or location included those who said they did not produce *any* goods and services or simply stated what their business does, without explicitly stating that they did not produce green goods or services.

	Original Cover Letter						Revised Cover Letter					
If not qualified: Why?	Overall		Original Letter First		Revised Letter First		Overall		Revised Letter First		Original Letter First	
	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N
The establishment/location does not produce or is not involved with green goods and services	79.4%	77	80.8%	42	77.8%	35	81.5%	75	83.3%	50	78.1%	25
Not clear on the definition of green goods and services	7.2%	7	3.8%	2	11.1%	5	6.5%	6	6.7%	4	6.3%	2
It is just unclear who needs to respond	4.1%	4	3.8%	2	4.4%	2	4.3%	4	5.0%	3	3.1%	1
Reason/purpose/use/benefit of survey is unclear	4.1%	4	5.8%	3	2.2%	1	2.2%	2	1.7%	1	3.1%	1
Other (establishment is a sub- contractor; is small; is a government agency)	5.2%	5	5.8%	3	4.4%	2	5.4%	5	3.3%	2	9.4%	3
Total	100.0%	97	100.0%	52	100.0%	45	100.0%	92	100.0%	60	100.0%	32

Table B1.5 Reason not qualified

After reviewing both cover letters, respondents were asked which letter they preferred. These responses are given in Table B1.6 below.

The findings indicate that, overall, respondents strongly preferred the revised letter over the original letter. However, it is also clear that respondents preferred the letter that they viewed second.

W/bish latter de veu prefer?	Ove	erall	Read Origina	al Letter First	Read Revised Letter First		
Which letter do you prefer?	%	N	%	N	%	N	
Original	34.4%	121	14.4%	26	55.6%	95	
Revised	58.5%	206	80.7%	146	35.1%	60	
Neither/Don't Know	7.1%	25	5.0%	9	9.4%	16	
Total	100.0%	352	100.0%	181	100.0%	171	

Table B1.6 Cover letter preferred

Respondents were then asked to comment on why they preferred their chosen letter (or if they had no preference, why that was the case). The responses to this question are given in Table B1.7 below. Many respondents had several comments; therefore, percentages in the tables below will have totals greater than 100 percent. There were 144 respondents who commented about the original letter and 244 who commented on the revised letter.

For this analysis, only overall results are provided. Presenting results by sample group here is not useful, given that each group is not being asked what they liked about each letter; rather, each group is being asked to comment on their preferred letter.

Overall, respondents favoring the original letter most liked the letter's simplicity, while those favoring the revised letter most liked its formatting, particularly the indented Q&A portion.

	Origina	I Letter	Revised Letter		
	%	N	%	N	
Liked the letter's simplicity	39.6%	57	4.9%	12	
Liked the letter's formatting	23.4%	35	54.5%	133	
Thought the letter was clear	16.7%	24	9.8%	24	
Thought the letter explained things well	11.1%	16	16.8%	41	
Did not like the content of the letter	5.6%	8	1.6%	4	
Liked the content of the letter	4.9%	7	1.6%	4	
Liked the letter's detail	2.1%	3	25.0%	61	
Liked the response options given	1.4%	2	5.7%	14	
Thought the letter was unclear	0.7%	1	0.8%	2	
Did not like the letter's formatting	0.0%	0	3.7%	9	
Thought the content of both letters was similar	16.7%	24	9.8%	24	

Table B1.7 Comments about respondents' preferred cover letter

When asked if they would be likely to report GGS information online should BLS offer on online option, most respondents said they would be likely to do so (Table B1.8).

If you had the option to report this data online, how likely would you have been to use this	Overall			
option?	%	N		
Very likely	51.4%	181		
Likely	30.1%	106		
Somewhat unlikely	9.7%	34		
Not at all likely	7.7%	27		
Don't Know	1.1%	4		
Total	100.0%	352		

Table B1.8 Likelihood to report online

Lastly, respondents were asked if they had any questions about this survey or the GGS survey (Table B1.9). Less than 10 percent of respondents had any final questions. Among the small number who did, the two most common questions related to why the establishment received the GGS survey or what the purpose of the GGS survey was and how the information would be utilized.

Table B1.9 Questions regarding survey or GGS survey

	Overall		
Do you have any questions about this survey or the BLS Green Goods and Services Survey?	%	N	
No	90.6%	318	
Not sure survey applies/why they received it		8	
What is the purpose/utility of the GGS survey	1.4%	5	
Needed government involvement clarified		4	
Questioned who is gathering data/where will info be available		4	
Comment that survey is wasteful/not sure of surveys use		3	
Wanted general information about the survey	0.6%	2	
Comments about where or how survey should be administered		2	
Commented could not recall doing the survey		2	
Survey needs more info on what to report on or better understanding of business		2	
Cover letter is poorly worded and vague	0.3%	1	
Total	100.0%	352	

C. Conclusion

Overall, the results of this RAS indicate that, of the original and revised letter, respondents preferred the revised letter, and they tended to feel that it does a better job of explaining the survey and its expectations. At the point in the interview when respondents are asked about their preference and why, they have had a chance to read and review both cover letters and have had time to reflect and compare the merits and drawbacks of both letters. Whereas, for the questions about their level of understanding and their qualification to complete the survey, half of the respondents in each case were answering based upon the first cover letter they read, without the frame of reference of having seen the second letter yet. Therefore, since respondents indicated a definite preference for the revised letter, this should be taken into consideration. Although sending only the revised letter in its current form would not be ideal, if only one letter was being sent, the revised letter would be the better choice.

Most mail-out survey efforts, however, use multiple mailings, including multiple cover letters, in order to maximize response rates. The results of this survey provide compelling support for using multiple cover letters: respondents increased their understanding of the survey, their understanding the types of businesses the survey applies to, and whether they feel they are qualified to complete the survey, by seeing the message multiple times and in different formats. For all of those questions, understanding improved after having read both letters, regardless of which came first. With regard to letter order, for most of the questions, the greatest improvement was shown when the respondent read the original letter first and the revised letter second. Thus, in a scenario where multiple letters are sent, it appears the best option is to send the original letter first, followed by the revised letter.

Despite the benefits of multiple cover letters, however, the results of this survey effort revealed an important obstacle in achieving a high response to the GGS survey: there is a large minority of respondents—from both green and non-green establishments—who did not think that their company is qualified to participate in the survey. A significant number of respondents indicated that they believe the survey does not apply to them, just based on reading the cover letters. Given the results above, the original cover letter appears to do a better job of conveying this message, but it still is not conveying the message to all respondents.

Furthermore, with regards to respondents believing they were qualified to complete the survey, non-green establishments tended to increase their perception of qualification more when they read the original letter second, and perceptions shifted less when they read the original letter first. However, their initial feeling of qualification was higher when they read the original letter first. These two results, when examined together, tell us that the original letter did a better job of making those establishments aware that they should complete the survey; this may be a result of the original letter having a

statement in bold print indicating that all establishments should complete the survey, regardless of whether they have green goods and services. Consequently, having this message repeated seemed to help respondents realize the survey applied to them—but again, not enough, as there are still a significant number of establishments who did not feel qualified to complete the survey even after reading both cover letters.

Appendix A: Original Cover Letter





Dear Employer:

The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) of the U.S. Department of Labor needs your help. We need to hear from businesses like yours as we measure employment involved in the production of green goods and services. We need to hear from every company, even if your company is not involved in producing green goods or services, to get an accurate picture of the economy.

Green goods and services are defined as those that benefit the environment or conserve natural resources. Examples are listed on the following pages.

We are requesting that you participate by responding to the attached survey. Please complete and return the survey **within 30 days** of receipt.

Your business may have more than one location, and each location may be involved in a different activity. Please respond for the activities performed at the individual worksite identified in Question 1 of the survey.

If you have any questions, please contact our helpdesk by calling toll free at 1-866-840-3801 (TTY at 1-866-841-3259) or by emailing GGSHelp@bls.gov.

Thank you for your participation.

Sincerely yours,

Patricia MDat

Patricia M. Getz Assistant Commissioner Office of Industry Employment Statistics, Office of Employment and Unemployment Statistics

Confidentiality Statement. The Bureau of Labor Statistics, its employees, agents, and partner statistical agencies, will use the information you provide for statistical purposes only and will hold the information in confidence to the full extent permitted by law. In accordance with the Confidential Information Protection and Statistical Efficiency Act of 2002 (Title 5 of Public Law 107-347) and other applicable Federal laws, your responses will not be disclosed in identifiable form without your informed consent. This report is authorized by law 29 U.S.C.2. Paperwork Reduction Act Statement. Your voluntary cooperation is needed to make the results of this survey comprehensive, accurate, and timely. We estimate that completing this form will take an average of 15 minutes. This estimate takes into account time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and minianing the data needed, and completing and reviewing the information. If you have any comments regarding this survey, including suggestions for reducing the burden, send them to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, Office of Industry Employment Statistics, Paperwork Reduction Project, 2 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E., Room 4840, Washington, DC 20212. The OMB control number for this voluntary survey is 1220-0183 and expires on April 30, 2014. Without a currently valid number BLS would not be able to conduct this survey.

Appendix B: Revised Cover Letter





Dear Employer:

The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) of the U.S. Department of Labor needs your help measuring the number of jobs involved in the production of green goods and services. By completing a brief survey, you will help us determine the number, growth, and distribution of green jobs by industry, occupation, and geography in the United States.

What if your company does not produce green goods or services? We still need to hear from you to publish an accurate picture of the economy.

What are green goods and services? They are goods and services that benefit the environment or conserve natural resources. Examples are listed on the following pages.

What if your business has more than one location? Your business may have more than one location, and each location may be involved in a different activity. Please respond for the individual worksite identified in Question 1 of the survey.

What if you want to report using the internet? Please go to the web address <u>www.idcf.bls.gov</u> and use report number **123456789012** to access the data collection form.

Please help us better understand our current economy by completing and returning the attached survey within 30 days.

If you have any questions, please contact our helpdesk by calling toll free at: 1-866-840-3801 (TTY at 1-866-841-3259), send an email to: GGSHelp@bls.gov, or visit our website (http://www.bls.gov/green/).

Thank you for your help.

Sincerely yours,

Patricia MDat

Patricia M. Getz Assistant Commissioner Office of Industry Employment Statistics, Office of Employment and Unemployment Statistics

Confidentiality Statement. The Bureau of Labor Statistics, its employees, agents, and partner statistical agencies, will use the information you provide for statistical purposes only and will hold the information in confidence to the full extent permitted by law. In accordance with the Confidential Information Protection and Statistical Efficiency Act of 2002 (Title 5 of Public Law 107-347) and other applicable Federal laws, your responses will not be disclosed in identifiable form without your informed consent. This report is authorized by law 29 U.S.C.2. Paperwork Reduction Act Statement. Your voluntary cooperation is needed to make the results of this survey comprehensive, accurate, and timely. We estimate that completing this form will take an average of 15 minutes. This estimate takes into account time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the information. If you have any comments regarding this survey, including suggestions for reducing the burden, send them to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, Office of Industry Employment Statistics, Paperwork Reduction Project, 2 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E., Room 4840, Washington, DC 20212. The OMB control number for this voluntary survey is 1220-0183 and expires on April 30, 2014. Without a currently valid number BLS would not be able to conduct this survey.

Appendix C: RAS Instrument for the Cover Letter Pre-test



Introduction

Intro1. Hello, may I speak with (INSERT CONTACT NAME)?

My name is ______. I am calling on behalf of the Bureau of Labor Statistics in reference to the Bureau's Green Goods and Services survey you completed.

First, we want to thank you for taking the time to complete the survey form. We are calling you now to obtain feedback on revisions to the cover letter that came with the survey form, and if you have any suggestions for improving it. Your opinions are very important to us, so if you can spare a few minutes, we'd like to hear your reactions.

Section 1. Set up

Q1. Before we begin, let me assure you that this call is strictly for informational purposes to help us evaluate the Green Goods and Services survey forms and your participation is completely voluntary and you can decline to answer any question at any time. This call is being recorded for quality assurance purposes. Depending on the number of comments, the questions usually take about 10 minutes.

READ ONLY IF ASKED: The Bureau of Labor Statistics, its employees and agents, will use the information you provide for statistical purposes only and will hold the information in confidence to the full extent permitted by law. In accordance with the Confidential Information Protection and Statistical Efficiency Act of 2002 and other applicable federal laws, your responses will not be disclosed in identifiable form without your informed consent.

Q2: We would like to get your feedback on two different versions of the cover letter. Do you have access to the internet?

□ YES □ NO (goto S1 Q4)

Q3: Great! Just go to www.websrg.com/ggs.

WHEN THEY ARE READY TO CONTINUE: Our records indicate that you filled out form [FORM NAME]. Please find and click on the tab that says form [FORM NAME] and let me know when you are ready to continue. (**goto S1 Q6**)

Q4: Can I fax you copies of the cover letter instead?

□ YES (FAX THE COVER LETTERS) (goto S1 Q6) □ NO (goto S3 Q5)

Q5: Can I e-mail you copies of the cover letter?

□ YES (FAX THE COVER LETTERS) (goto S1 Q6) □ NO (goto S1 Q7)

Q6: DOES THE RESPONDENT HAVE A COPY OF THE COVER LETTERS IN FRONT OF THEM?

□ YES (goto S3 PURPLE OR ORANGE) □ NO (goto S1 Q7)

Q7: I'd like to set up a time to call you back when you are able to have access to the cover letters. When is a good time to call you to complete this short survey? SET UP TIME IF R IS WILLING AND RECORD CALL INFO.

Section 2. Rating the two cover letters - Purple first

Q1: Now I am going to ask you a few questions about the two versions of the cover letter accompanying the Green Goods and Services Survey. Let's start with the Purple form. Please click on the form and read the cover letter of the Purple form. Just let me know when you have finishing reading the cover letter.

WAIT UNTIL RESPONDENT IS READY On a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is little or no understanding and 5 is understand completely, how would you rate your understanding of what the survey is about?

- □ 5 COMPLETE UNDERSTANDING
- □ 4
- □ 3
- $\square 2$

□ 1 LITTLE OR NO UNDERSTANDING □ DON'T KNOW □ RF

Q2: On a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is little or no understanding and 5 is understand completely, how would you rate your understanding of what type of businesses should respond to the survey?

5 COMPLETE UNDERSTANDING
4
3
2
1 LITTLE OR NO UNDERSTANDING
DON'T KNOW
RF

Q3: If you received this cover letter, based on what it says, do you think your company is qualified to complete the survey?

□ YES (goto S2 Q5) □ NO

Q4: Why?

Q5: Now let's look at the Orange form. Please click on the form and read the cover letter for the Orange form. Just let me know when you have finishing reading the cover letter. WAIT UNTIL RESPONDENT IS READY On a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is little or no understanding and 5 is understand completely, how would you rate your understanding of what the survey is about?

- □ 5 COMPLETE UNDERSTANDING

- $\square 2$

□ 1 LITTLE OR NO UNDERSTANDING □ DON'T KNOW □ RF

Q6: On a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is little or no understanding and 5 is understand completely, how would you rate your understanding of what type of businesses should respond to the survey?

5 COMPLETE UNDERSTANDING
4
3
2
1 LITTLE OR NO UNDERSTANDING
DON'T KNOW
RF

Q7: If you received this cover letter, based on what it says, do you think your company is qualified to complete the survey?

□ YES (goto S4 Q1) □ NO

Q8: Why?

Section 3. Rating the two cover letters - Orange first

Q1: Now I am going to ask you a few questions about the two versions of the cover letter accompanying the Green Goods and Services Survey. Let's start with the Orange form. Please click on the form and read the cover letter for the Orange form. Just let me know when you have finishing reading the cover letter.

WAIT UNTIL RESPONDENT IS READY On a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is little or no understanding and 5 is understand completely, how would you rate your understanding of what the survey is about?

5 COMPLETE UNDERSTANDING
4
3
2
1 LITTLE OR NO UNDERSTANDING
DON'T KNOW
RF

Q2: On a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is little or no understanding and 5 is understand completely, how would you rate your understanding of what type of businesses should respond to the survey?

5 COMPLETE UNDERSTANDING
4
3
2
1 LITTLE OR NO UNDERSTANDING
DON'T KNOW
RF

Q3: If you received this cover letter, based on what it says, do you think your company is qualified to complete the survey?

```
□ YES (goto S3 Q5)
□ NO
```

Q4: Why?

Q5: Now let's look at the Purple form. Please click on the form and read the cover letter for the Purple form. Just let me know when you have finishing reading the cover letter.

WAIT UNTIL RESPONDENT IS READY On a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is little or no understanding and 5 is understand completely, how would you rate your understanding of what the survey is about?

5 COMPLETE UNDERSTANDING
4
3
2
1 LITTLE OR NO UNDERSTANDING
DON'T KNOW
RF

Q6: On a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is little or no understanding and 5 is understand completely, how would you rate your understanding of what type of businesses should respond to the survey?

5 COMPLETE UNDERSTANDING
4
3
2
1 LITTLE OR NO UNDERSTANDING
DON'T KNOW
RF

Q7: If you received this cover letter, based on what it says, do you think your company is qualified to complete the survey?

```
□ YES (goto S4 Q1)
□ NO
```

Q8: Why?

Section 4. General Questions

Q1: Which letter do you prefer?

PURPLE
ORANGE
NEITHER / DON'T KNOW

Q2: Why?

Q3: If you had the option to report this data on the internet, how likely would you have been to use this option? Very likely, likely, somewhat unlikely, not at all likely?

VERY LIKELY
LIKELY
SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY
NOT AT ALL LIKELY
DON'T KNOW
RF

Q4: Do you have any questions about this survey or the BLS Green Goods and Services Survey?

IF YES, what questions do you have?

That is all the questions I have. Thank you for taking the time to speak with me today and help BLS improve the survey effort.