**SUPPORTING STATEMENT**

 **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**NESHAP for Source Categories: Generic Maximum Achievable Control Technology Standards (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart YY) (Renewal)**

**1. Identification of the Information Collection**

**1(a) Title of the Information Collection**

NESHAP for Source Categories: Generic Maximum Achievable Control Technology Standards (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart YY) (Renewal), EPA ICR Number 1871.06, OMB Control Number 2060-0420

**1(b) Short Characterization/Abstract**

The National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) for Source Categories: Generic Maximum Achievable Control Technology (40 CFR part 63, subpart YY) were proposed on October 14, 1998 (63 FR 55178), promulgated on June 29, 1999 (64 FR 34854), and amended on: November 22, 1999 (64 FR 63695), November 2, 2001 (66 FR 55843), June 7, 2002 (67 FR 46257) and July 7, 2002 (67 FR 46289). These regulations apply to existing facilities and new facilities of the following four categories: Polycarbonates (PC) Production, Acrylic and Modacrylic Fibers (AMF) Production, Acetal Resins (AR) Production, and Hydrogen Fluoride (HF) Production. New facilities include those that commenced construction or reconstruction after the date of proposal. This information is being collected to assure compliance with 40 CFR part 63, subpart YY.

In general, all NESHAP standards require initial notifications, performance tests, and periodic reports by the owners/operators of the affected facilities. They are also required to maintain records of the occurrence and duration of any startup, shutdown, or malfunction in the operation of an affected facility, or any period during which the monitoring system is inoperative. These notifications, reports, and records are essential in determining compliance, and are required of all affected facilities subject to NESHAP.

Any owner/operator subject to the provisions of this part shall maintain a file of these measurements, and retain the file for at least five years following the date of such measurements, maintenance reports, and records. All reports are sent to the delegated state or local authority. In the event that there is no such delegated authority, the reports are sent directly to the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regional office.

Over the next three years, an average of 10 respondents per year will be subject to the standard, and no additional respondents per year will become subject to the standard.

 The burden to the “Affected Public” may be below found in Table 1: Annual Respondent Burden and Cost - NESHAP for Source Categories: Generic Maximum Achievable Control Technology Standards (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart YY) (Renewal). The burden to the “Federal Government” burden is attributed entirely to work performed by either Federal employees or government contractors. The burden to the “Federal Government” may be found below in Table 2: Average Annual EPA Burden and Cost- NESHAP for Source Categories: Generic Maximum Achievable Control Technology Standards (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart YY) (Renewal).

The active (previous) ICR had the following Terms of Clearance (TOC):

This ICR is approved for three years. The Agency is reminded

 to continue to update estimates of the respondent universe and

 burden as appropriate prior to resubmission of this ICR for renewal.

EPA addressed each item of concern in the TOC. The respondent universe and burden have been thoroughly checked and all estimates updated.

**2. Need for and Use of the Collection**

**2(a) Need/Authority for the Collection**

The EPA is charged under Section 112 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, to establish standards of performance for each category or subcategory of major sources and area sources of hazardous air pollutants. These standards are applicable to new or existing sources of hazardous air pollutants and shall require the maximum degree of emission reduction. In addition, section 114(a) states that the Administrator may require any owner/operator subject to any requirement of this Act to:

(A) Establish and maintain such records; (B) make such reports; (C) install, use, and maintain such monitoring equipment, and use such audit procedures, or methods; (D) sample such emissions (in accordance with such procedures or methods, at such locations, at such intervals, during such periods, and in such manner as the Administrator shall prescribe); (E) keep records on control equipment parameters, production variables or other indirect data when direct monitoring of emissions is impractical; (F) submit compliance certifications in accordance with Section 114(a)(3); and (G) provide such other information as the Administrator may reasonably require.

In the Administrator's judgment, hazardous air pollutant (HAP) emissions from PC, AMF, AR and HF source categories cause or contribute to air pollution that may reasonably be anticipated to endanger public health or welfare. Therefore, the NESHAP were promulgated for this source category at 40 CFR part 63,subpart YY.

**2(b) Practical Utility/Users of the Data**

The recordkeeping and reporting requirements in the standard(s) ensure compliance with the applicable regulations which where promulgated in accordance with the Clean Air Act. The collected information is also used for targeting inspections and as evidence in legal proceedings.

Performance tests are required in order to determine an affected facilitys initial capability to comply with the emission standard(s). Continuous emission monitors are used to ensure compliance with the standard(s) at all times. During the performance test a record of the operating parameters under which compliance was achieved may be recorded and used to determine compliance in place of a continuous emission monitor.

The notifications required in the standard(s) are used to inform the Agency or delegated authority when a source becomes subject to the requirements of the regulations. The reviewing authority may then inspect the source to check if the pollution control devices are properly installed and operated, leaks are being detected and repaired, and the standard(s) are being met. The performance test may also be observed.

The required semiannual reports are used to determine periods of excess emissions, identify problems at the facility, verify operation/maintenance procedures and for compliance determinations.

**3. Non-duplication, Consultations, and Other Collection Criteria**

The requested recordkeeping and reporting are required under 40 CFR part 63, subpart YY.

**3(a) Non-duplication**

 If the subject standards have not been delegated, the information is sent directly to the appropriate EPA regional office. Otherwise, the information is sent directly to the delegated state or local agency. If a state or local agency has adopted its own similar standards to implement the Federal standards, a copy of the report submitted to the state or local agency can be sent to the Administrator in lieu of the report required by the Federal standards. Therefore, no duplication exists.

**3(b) Public Notice Required Prior to ICR Submission to OMB**

An announcement of a public comment period for the renewal of this ICR was published in the Federal Register (76 FR 26900) on May 9, 2011. No comments were received on the burden published in the Federal Register.

**3(c) Consultations**

 The Agency’s industry experts have been consulted, and the Agency’s internal data sources and projections of industry growth over the next three years have been considered.

Industry trade associations and other interested parties were provided an opportunity to comment on the burden associated with the standard as it was being developed. For this renewal, EPA consulted with: 1) DuPont, at (302)773-0900; and 2) General Electric, at (864)254-2966. The estimates in this ICR have been updated based on comments received from industry.

It is our policy to respond after a thorough review of comments received since the last ICR renewal as well as those submitted in response to the first Federal Register notice.

**3(d) Effects of Less Frequent Collection**

Less frequent information collection would decrease the margin of assurance that facilities are continuing to meet the standards. Requirements for information gathering and recordkeeping are useful techniques to ensure that good operation and maintenance practices are applied and emission limitations are met. If the information required by these standards was collected less frequently, the proper operation and maintenance of control equipment and the possibility of detecting violations would be less likely.

**3(e) General Guidelines**

These reporting or recordkeeping requirements do not violate any of the regulations promulgated by OMB under 5 CFR part 1320, section 1320.5.

These standards require the respondents to maintain all records, including reports and notifications for at least five years. This is consistent with the General Provisions as applied to the standards. EPA believes that the five-year records retention requirement is consistent the Part 70 permit program and the five-year statute of limitations on which the permit program is based. The retention of records for five years allows EPA to establish the compliance history of a source, any pattern of non-compliance and to determine the appropriate level of enforcement action. EPA has found that the most flagrant violators have violations extending beyond five years. In addition, EPA would be prevented from pursuing the violators due to the destruction or nonexistence of essential records.

**3(f) Confidentiality**

Any information submitted to the Agency for which a claim of confidentiality is made will be safeguarded according to the Agency policies set forth in Title 40, chapter 1, part 2, subpart B - Confidentiality of Business Information (CBI) (see 40 CFR 2; 41 FR 36902, September 1, 1976; amended by 43 FR 40000, September 8, 1978; 43 FR 42251, September 20, 1978; 44 FR 17674, March 23, 1979).

**3(g) Sensitive Questions**

The reporting or recordkeeping requirements in the standard do not include sensitive questions.

**4. The Respondents and the Information Requested**

**4(a) Respondents/SIC Codes**

The respondents are owners and operators of Polycarbonates (PC) Production, Acrylic and Modacrylic Fibers (AMF) Production, Acetal Resins (AR) Production, and Hydrogen Fluoride (HF) Production. The U. S. Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) codes for the respondents affected by the standards, which corresponds to the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes, are listed below for the source categories:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Standard (40 CFR part 61, subpart YY)** | **SIC Codes** | **NAICS Codes** |
| Polycarbonates (PC) Production (Synthetic Rubber Manufacturing) | 2822 | 325212 |
| Acrylic and Modacrylic Fibers (AMF) Production (Manmade Organic Fibers, Except Cellulosic) | 2824 | 325222 |
| Acetal Resins (AR) Production (Plastic Materials, Synthetic and Resins, and Nonvulcanizable Elastomers) | 2821 | 325211 |
| Hydrogen Fluoride (HF) Production (Industrial Inorganic Chemicals, Not Elsewhere Classified) | 2819 | 325188 |

**4(b) Information Requested**

**(i) Data Items**

In this ICR, all the data that is recorded or reported is required by NESHAP for Source Categories: Generic Maximum Achievable Control Technology Standards (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart YY).

A source must make the following reports:

| **Notifications** |
| --- |
| Application for approval of construction/reconstruction | 63.5(d), 63.1110(a) |
| Notification of initial startup | 63.1110(b) |
| Notification of initial applicability | 63.09(b), 63.1110(a), 63.1110(c) |
| Notification of compliance status | 63.9(h), 63.1110(d) 63.1110(a) |
| Notification of performance test and performance evaluation results | 63.7(b), 63.9(e), 63.10(d)(2), 63.1110(d),63.1110(a) |
| Rescheduled initial performance test | 63.7(b)(2) |
| Demonstration of continuous monitoring system | 63.9(g) |
| Physical or operational change | 63.8(a) |
| Opacity or visible emissions | 63.10(d)(3) |
| Develop startup, shutdown, malfunction plan and periodic reports | 63.10(d)(5)(i), 63.1110(b), 63.1111 |
| Excess emissions and continuous parameter monitoring systems (CPMS) performance reports | 63.1110(a) |

| **Reports** |
| --- |
| Periodic reports (Semiannual or according to the schedule for Title V) with information on excess emissions and on the implementation of leak detection and repair standard provisions | 63.1110(e), 63.1108(a), 63.1109 |
| Startup, shutdown and malfunction reports | 63.1110(a), 63.1111(b) |

A source must keep the following records:

| **Recordkeeping** |
| --- |
| Maintain records of startup, shutdown, malfunctions periods when excess emissions have occurred during the reporting period | 63.10(b)(2), 63.1109(a) |
| Maintain records of performance test and performance evaluation results | 63.1109(a) |
| Maintain records of all reports and notifications | 63.10(b), 63.1109(a) |
| Maintain record of applicability | 63.10(b)(3), 63.1109(d) |
| Maintain records of initial and compliance status notifications | 63.9(h), 63.1109(d) |
| Records of CPMS operation adjustments, calibration checks, and maintenance | 63.10(b)(2)(vii), 63.1109(a) |
| Records of implementation of leak detection and repair (LDAR) standards provision | 63.1107 |
| Records are required to be retained for five years | 63.10(b)(2) |

Electronic Reporting

Some of the respondents are using monitoring equipment that automatically records parameter data. Although personnel at the affected facility must still evaluate the data, internal automation has significantly reduced the burden associated with monitoring and recordkeeping at a plant site.

Also, regulatory agencies in cooperation with the respondents continue to create reporting systems to transmit data electronically. However, electronic reporting systems are still not widely used. At this time, it is estimated that approximately 10 percent of the respondents use electronic reporting.

**(ii) Respondent Activities**

| **Respondent Activities** |
| --- |
| Read instructions. |
| Install, calibrate, maintain, and operate CMS for opacity, or for pressure drop and liquid supply pressure for CPMS. |
| Perform initial performance test, and repeat performance tests if necessary. |
| Write the notifications and reports listed above. |
| Enter information required to be recorded above. |
| Submit the required reports developing, acquiring, installing, and utilizing technology and systems for the purpose of collecting, validating, and verifying information. |
| Develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purpose of processing and maintaining information. |
| Develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purpose of disclosing and providing information. |
| Train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information. |
| Transmit, or otherwise disclose the information. |

Currently sources are using monitoring and reporting equipment that provided parameter data in an automated way (e.g. continuous parameter monitoring system). Although personnel at the source still need to evaluate the data, this type of monitoring equipment has significantly reduced the burden associated with monitoring and recordkeeping.

**5. The Information Collected: Agency Activities, Collection Methodology, and Information Management**

**5(a) Agency Activities**

EPA conducts the following activities in connection with the acquisition, analysis, storage, and distribution of the required information.

| **Agency Activities** |
| --- |
| Review notifications and reports, including performance test reports, and excess emissions reports, required to be submitted by industry. |
| Audit facility records. |
| Input, analyze, and maintain data in the Online Tracking Information System (OTIS). |

**5(b) Collection Methodology and Management**

Following notification of startup, the reviewing authority could inspect the source to determine whether the pollution control devices are properly installed and operated. Performance test reports are used by the Agency to discern a source’s initial capability to comply with the emission standard. Data and records maintained by the respondents are tabulated and published for use in compliance and enforcement programs. The semiannual reports are used for problem identification, as a check on source operation and maintenance, and for compliance determinations.

Information contained in the reports is entered into OTIS which is operated and maintained by EPA's Office of Compliance. OTIS is EPA’s database for the collection, maintenance, and retrieval of compliance data for approximately 125,000 industrial and government-owned facilities. EPA uses the OTIS for tracking air pollution compliance and enforcement by local and state regulatory agencies, EPA regional offices and EPA headquarters. EPA and its delegated Authorities can edit, store, retrieve and analyze the data.

 The records required by this regulation must be retained by the owner/operator for five years.

**5(c) Small Entity Flexibility**

All of the respondents are large entities (i.e. large businesses). There are no small entities (i.e., small businesses) affected by this regulation. However, the impact on small entities (i.e. small businesses) was taken into consideration during the development of the regulation. Due to technical considerations involving the process operations and the types of control equipment employed, the recordkeeping and reporting requirements are the same for both small and large entities. The Agency considers these to be the minimum requirements needed to ensure compliance and, therefore, cannot reduce them further for small entities. To the extent that larger businesses can use economies of scale to reduce their burden, the overall burden will be reduced.

**5(d) Collection Schedule**

The specific frequency for each information collection activity within this request is shown in below Table 1: Annual Respondent Burden and Cost - NESHAP for Source Categories: Generic Maximum Achievable Control Technology Standards (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart YY) (Renewal).

**6. Estimating the Burden and Cost of the Collection**

Table 1 documents the computation of individual burdens for the recordkeeping and reporting requirements applicable to the industry for the subpart included in this ICR. The individual burdens are expressed under standardized headings believed to be consistent with the concept of burden under the Paperwork Reduction Act. Wherever appropriate, specific tasks and major assumptions have been identified. Responses to this information collection are mandatory.

The Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB Control Number.

**6(a) Estimating Respondent Burden**

The average annual burden to industry over the next three years from these recordkeeping and reporting requirements is estimated to be 3,718 ( Total Labor Hours from Table 1 below). These hours are based on Agency studies and background documents from the development of the regulation, Agency knowledge and experience with the NESHAP program, the previously approved ICR, and any comments received.

**6(b) Estimating Respondent Costs**

1. **Estimating Labor Costs**

This ICR uses the following labor rates:

Managerial $121.42 ($57.82 + 110%)

Technical $99.14 ($47.21 + 110%)

Clerical $49.81 ($23.72 + 110%)

These rates are from the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, September 2011, “Table 2. Civilian Workers, by occupational and industry group.” The rates are from column 1, “Total compensation.” The rates have been increased by 110 percent to account for the benefit packages available to those employed by private industry.

**(ii) Estimating Capital/Startup and Operation and Maintenance Costs**

The type of industry costs associated with the information collection activities in the subject standard is both labor costs which are addressed elsewhere in this ICR and the costs associated with continuous monitoring. The capital/startup costs are one-time costs when a facility becomes subject to the regulation. The annual operation and maintenance costs are the ongoing costs to maintain the monitor and other costs such as photocopying and postage.

**(iii) Capital/Startup vs. Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Costs**

| **Capital/Startup vs. Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Costs** |
| --- |
| (A)Continuous Monitoring Device | (B)Capital/Startup Cost for One Respondent | (C)Number of New Respondents | (D)TotalCapital/ Startup Cost(B X C) | (E)AnnualO&M Costs for One Respondent | (F)Number of Respondents with O&M | (G)TotalO&M,(E X F) |
| PC (use of non-contractor) 1 | $0 | 0 | $0 | $144.55 | 2 | $289.10 |
| PC (us contractor)2 | $0 | 0 | $0 | $53,128.85 | 2 | $106,257.70 |
| AMF1 | $0 | 0 | $0 | $144.55 | 3 | $433.65 |
| AR1, 3 | $0 | 0 | $0 | $144.55 | 2 | $289.10 |
| $7,500  | 2 | $15,000.00 |
| HF1, 4 | $0 | 0 | $0 | $144.55 | 1 | $144.55 |
| $7,931.20  | 1 | $7,931.20 |
| Total |  |  | $0 |  |  | $130,345.30 |
| Total (rounded) |  |  | $0 |  |  | $130,345 |

1 We have assumed that each source will respond 5 times per year to comply with the rule at a total cost of $144.55 per source to cover O&M costs. This estimate is based on the assumption that it takes 0.5 hours to conduct these tasks at a clerical labor rate of $42.55 per hour for a total labor cost of $21.28 per response. First-class postage is estimated at $7.63 per response. Thus, the total storage, filing, photocopying, and postage cost per response is $28.91.

2 Based on information we obtained, we have determined that two PC sources out of a total of four sources will use contractor support for CPMS O&M, at a total cost of approximately $53,000 per source.

3 Based on our consultation with affected entities, we estimate that $7,500 per year are required on LDAR monitoring for the AR MACT.

4 Based on our consultation with affected entities, we estimate that 80 technical hours per year are required to maintain and calibrate the scrubber, monitor, and related instruments for the HF MACT unit ($99.14/hr x 80 hr = $7,931.20).

The total capital/startup costs for this ICR are zero. This is the total of column D in the above table.

The total operation and maintenance (O&M) costs for this ICR are $130,345. This is the total of column G.

 The average annual cost for capital/startup and operation and maintenance costs to industry over the next three years of the ICR is estimated to be $130,345.

**6(c) Estimating Agency Burden and Cost**

The only costs to the Agency are those costs associated with analysis of the reported information. EPA's overall compliance and enforcement program includes activities such as the examination of records maintained by the respondents, periodic inspection of sources of emissions, and the publication and distribution of collected information.

The average annual Agency cost during the three years of the ICR is estimated to be $4,025.

This cost is based on the average hourly labor rate as follows:

 Managerial $62.27 (GS-13, Step 5, $38.92 + 60%)

 Technical $46.21 (GS-12, Step 1, $28.88 + 60%)

 Clerical $25.01 (GS-6, Step 3, $15.63 + 60%)

These rates are from the Office of Personnel Management (OPM), 2011 General Schedule, which excludes locality, rates of pay. The rates have been increased by 60 percent to account for the benefit packages available to government employees. Details upon which this estimate is based appear below in Table 2: Average Annual EPA Burden and Cost- NESHAP for Source Categories: Generic Maximum Achievable Control Technology Standards (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart YY) (Renewal).

**6(d) Estimating the Respondent Universe and Total Burden and Costs**

Based on our research for this ICR, on average over the next three years, approximately 10 existing respondents will be subject to the standard. It is estimated that no additional respondents per year will become subject. The overall average number of respondents, as shown in the table below is 10 per year.

The number of respondents is calculated using the following table that addresses the three years covered by this ICR.

| **Number of Respondents** |
| --- |
|  | Respondents That Submit Reports | Respondents That Do Not Submit Any Reports |  |
| Year | (A)Number of New Respondents 1 | (B)Number of Existing Respondents | (C)Number of Existing Respondents that keep records but do not submit reports | (D)Number of Existing Respondents That Are Also New Respondents | (E)Number of Respondents(E=A+B+C-D) |
| 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Average | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 |

1 New respondent include sources with constructed, reconstructed and modified affected facilities.

.

Column D is subtracted to avoid double-counting respondents. As shown above, the average Number of Respondents over the three year period of this ICR is 10.

The total number of annual responses per year is calculated using the following table:

| **Total Annual Responses** |
| --- |
| (A)Information Collection Activity | (B)Number of Respondents  | (C)Number of Responses | (D)Number of Existing Respondents That Keep Records But Do Not Submit Reports | (E)Total Annual Responses E=(BxC)+D |
| Initial requirements for PC Production | 0 | 1 | N/A | 0 |
| Initial requirements for AMF Production | 0 | 1 | N/A | 0 |
| Initial requirements for AR Production | 0 | 1 | N/A | 0 |
| Initial requirements for HF Production | 0 | 1 | N/A | 0 |
| SSM reports for PC Production | 4 | 1 | N/A | 4 |
| SSM reports for AMF Production | 3 | 1 | N/A | 3 |
| SSM reports for AR Production | 2 | 1 | N/A | 2 |
| SSM reports for HF Production | 1 | 1 | N/A | 1 |
| Periodic reports for PC Production | 4 | 2 | N/A | 8 |
| Periodic reports for AMF Production | 3 | 2 | N/A | 6 |
| Periodic reports for AR Production | 2 | 2 | N/A | 4 |
| Periodic reports for HF Production | 1 | 2 | N/A | 2 |
| LDAR reports for PC Production | 0 | 2 | N/A | 0 |
| LDAR reports for AMF Production | 0 | 2 | N/A | 0 |
| LDAR reports for AR Production | 0 | 2 | N/A | 0 |
| LDAR reports for HF Production | 0 | 2 | N/A | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total | 30 |

The number of Total Annual Responses is 30.

The total annual labor costs are $424,571. Details regarding these estimates may be found below in Table 1: Annual Respondent Burden and Cost - NESHAP for Source Categories: Generic Maximum Achievable Control Technology Standards (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart YY) (Renewal).

**6(e) Bottom Line Burden Hours and Cost Tables**

The detailed bottom line burden hours and cost calculations for the respondents and the Agency are shown below in Tables 1 and 2, respectively, and summarized below.

**(i) Respondent Tally**

The total annual labor hours are 3,718 at a cost of $424,571. Details regarding these estimates may be found below in Table 1: Annual Respondent Burden and Cost - NESHAP for Source Categories: Generic Maximum Achievable Control Technology Standards (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart YY) (Renewal).

Furthermore, the annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 124 hours per response.

The total annual capital/startup and O&M costs to the regulated entity are $130,345. The cost calculations are detailed in Section 6(b)(iii), Capital/Startup vs. Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Costs.

**(ii) The Agency Tally**

The average annual Agency burden and cost over next three years is estimated to be 69 labor hours at a cost of $4,025. See below Table 2: Average Annual EPA Burden and Cost- NESHAP for Source Categories: Generic Maximum Achievable Control Technology Standards (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart YY) (Renewal).

**6(f) Reasons for Change in Burden**

There is an increase in costs for both the respondents and the Agency from the most recently approved ICR. The increase in burden cost is due to adjustments in labor rates. This ICR uses updated labor rates from the Bureau of Labor Statistics to calculate burden costs.

There is a decrease of 286 labor hours for the respondents related to a typographical error in the previous ICR. There is no change in the estimation methodology for labor hours to the respondents. This is due to two considerations: 1) the regulations have not changed over the past three years and are not anticipated to change over the next three years; and 2) the growth rate for respondents is very low, negative, or non-existent.

There is an increase in O&M costs to the respondents as compared to the previous ICR. The O&M costs were updated based on comments received during consultation with the affected entities, and the increase reflects the costs associated with the maintenance and calibration of emission controls and monitors.

**6(g) Burden Statement**

The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 124 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB Control Number. The OMB Control Numbers for EPA regulations are listed at 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR chapter 15.

 To comment on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including the use of automated collection techniques, EPA has established a public docket for this ICR under Docket ID Number EPA-HQ-OECA-2011-0251. An electronic version of the public docket is available at http://www.regulations.gov/ which may be used to obtain a copy of the draft collection of information, submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. When in the system, select “search,” then key in the docket ID number identified in this document. The documents are also available for public viewing at the Enforcement and Compliance Docket and Information Center in the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the docket center is (202) 566-1752. Also, you can send comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA. Please include the EPA Docket ID Number EPA-HQ-OECA-2011-0251 and OMB Control Number 2060-0420 in any correspondence.

**Part B of the Supporting Statement**

This part is not applicable because no statistical methods were used in collecting this information.

**Table 1: Annual Respondent Burden and Cost - NESHAP for Source Categories: Generic Maximum Achievable Control**

 **Technology Standards (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart YY) (Renewal)**

| Burden item | (A) Person-hours per occurrence | (B) No. of occurrences per respondent per year | (C) Person-hours per respondent per year (C)=(AxB)  | (D) Respondents per year a | (E) Technical person-hours per year(E)=(CxD) | (F) Management person-hours per year (E) x 0.05 | (G) Clerical person-hours per year (E) x 0.1 | (H) Cost, $ b |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Applications | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2. Survey and Studies | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| 3. Reporting Requirements  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  A. Read Instructions c |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  Polycarbonated (PC) Production | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  Acrylic and Modacrylic Fibers (AMF) Production  | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  Acetal Resins (AR) Production | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  Hydrogen Fluoride (HF) Production | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  B. Required Activities for PC, AMF, AR, & HF c | Included in 4C |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  C. Create Information for PC, AMF, AR, & HF c | Included in 4 C |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  D. Gather existing information for PC, AMF, AR & HF c | Included in 4C |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  E. Write report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  i Initial requirements: notifications, initial compliance determination, performance tests c |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  PC Production | 52 | 1 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  AMF Production | 52 | 1 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  AR Production | 52 | 1 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  HF Production | 52 | 1 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  ii Startup, Shutdown malfunction reports d |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  PC Production | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 0.4 | 0.8 | $1,050.87 |
|  AMF Production | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | $788.15 |
|  AR Production | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | $525.43 |
|  HF Production | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | $262.71 |
|  iii Periodic reports e |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  PC Production | 8 | 2 | 16 | 4 | 64 | 3.2 | 6.4 | $8,406.91 |
|  AMF Production | 8 | 2 | 16 | 3 | 48 | 2.4 | 4.8 | $6,305.19 |
|  AR Production | 8 | 2 | 16 | 2 | 32 | 1.6 | 3.2 | $4,203.45 |
|  HF Production | 8 | 2 | 16 | 1 | 16 | 0.8 | 1.6 | $2,101.73 |
|  iv Leak detection and repair (LDAR) reports c, f |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  PC Production | 8 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  AMF Production | 8 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  AR Production | 8 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  HF Production | 8 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
| **Reporting Subtotal** | **207** |  |
| 4. Recordkeeping Requirements a |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  A. Read Instructions for c | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  PC Production | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  AMF Production | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  AR Production | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  HF Production | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  B. Plan Activities for c | 40 | 1 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  PC Production | 40 | 1 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  AMF Production | 40 | 1 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  AR Production | 40 | 1 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  HF Production | 40 | 1 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  C. Implement Activities a |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  i Material determinations c |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  PC Production | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  AMF Production | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  AR Production | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  HF Production | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  ii Control equipment inspection |  |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  a. Tanks |  |  |  |  |   |   |   |   |
|  PC Production | N/A |  |  |  |   |   |   |   |
|  AMF Production | 2 | 12 | 24 | 3 | 72 | 3.6 | 7.2 | $9,457.77 |
|  AR Production | 2 | 12 | 24 | 2 | 48 | 2.4 | 4.8 | $6,305.19 |
|  HF Production | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  b. Closed-vent system |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  PC Production | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 0.4 | 0.8 | $1,050.87 |
|  AMF Production | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 12 | 0.6 | 1.2 | $1,576.29 |
|  AR Production | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 0.4 | 0.8 | $1,050.87 |
|  HF Production | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | $525.43 |
|  iii Control equipment leak monitoring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  a. Cover vented to control device |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  PC Production | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | $525.43 |
|  AMF Production | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | $788.15 |
|  AR Production | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | $525.43 |
|  HF Production | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | $262.71 |
|  b. Closed-vent system |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  PC Production | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | $525.43 |
|  AMF Production | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | $788.15 |
|  AR Production | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | $525.43 |
|  HF Production | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | $262.71 |
|  iv. Control devices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  a. Initial requirements design analysis, performance c  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  Test |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  PC Production | 80 | 1 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  AMF Production | 80 | 1 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  AR Production | 80 | 1 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  HF Production | 80 | 1 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  b. Operate and maintain CMS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  PC Production | 8 | 12 | 96 | 2 | 192 | 9.6 | 19.2 | $25,220.73 |
|  AMF Production | 8 | 12 | 96 | 3 | 288 | 14.4 | 28.8 | $37,831.11 |
|  AR Production | 8 | 12 | 96 | 2 | 192 | 9.6 | 19.2 | $25,220.73 |
|  HF Production | 8 | 12 | 96 | 1 | 96 | 4.8 | 9.6 | $12,610.37 |
|  v. LDAR Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  a. Initial requirement: Identify all effected streams c |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  PC Production | 20 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  AMF Production | 20 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  AR Production | 20 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  HF Production | 20 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  b. Perform monitoring/repairs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  PC Production  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  1) In-house g | 0.1 | 1,092 | 109.2 | 2 | 218.4 | 10.92 | 21.84 | $28,688.59 |
|  2) Use of contractor support h |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | $8,000.00 |
|  AMF Production | 16 | 12 | 192 | 3 | 576 | 28.8 | 57.6 | $75,662.21 |
|  AR Production | 16 | 12 | 192 | 2 | 384 | 19.2 | 38.4 | $50,441.47 |
|  HF Production g | 0.1 | 1,092 | 109 | 1 | 109.2 | 5.46 | 10.92 | $14,219.69 |
|  vi. Container vapor tightness certification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  PC Production | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  AMF Production | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  AR Production | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  HF Production | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  D. Develop Record System |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  i. Develop startup, shutdown, malfunction plan c |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  PC Production | 20 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  AMF Production | 20 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  AR Production | 20 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  HF Production | 20 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  ii. Control equipment c |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  PC Production | 16 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  AMF Production | 16 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  AR Production | 16 | 1 | 16 |  |  |  |  | $0 |
|  HF Production | 16 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  iii. LDAR Program c |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  PC Production | 40 | 1 | 40.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  AMF Production | 40 | 1 | 40.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  AR Production | 40 | 1 | 40.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  HF Production | 40 | 1 | 40.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  E. Record Information (all information required by standard) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  i. Initial requirements: cover design, control device design, and control equipment testing c |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  PC Production | 17 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  AMF Production | 17 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  AR Production | 17 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  HF Production | 17 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  ii. Control equipment inspection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  PC Production | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | $525.43 |
|  AMF Production | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | $788.15 |
|  AR Production | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | $525.43 |
|  HF Production | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | $262.71 |
|  iii. Control equipment monitoring i |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  PC Production | 1 | 52 | 52 | 2 | 104 | 5.2 | 10.4 | $13,661.23 |
|  AMF Production | 1 | 52 | 52 | 3 | 156 | 7.8 | 15.6 | $20,491.85 |
|  AR Production | 1 | 52 | 52 | 2 | 104 | 5.2 | 10.4 | $13,661.23 |
|  HF Production | 1 | 52 | 52 | 1 | 52 | 2.6 | 5.2 | $6,830.61 |
|  iv. Control device CMS  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  PC Production | 1 | 12 | 12 | 2 | 24 | 1.2 | 2.4 | $3,152.59 |
|  AMF Production | 1 | 12 | 12 | 3 | 36 | 1.8 | 3.6 | $4,728.89 |
|  AR Production | 1 | 12 | 12 | 2 | 24 | 1.2 | 2.4 | $3,152.59 |
|  HF Production | 1 | 12 | 12 | 1 | 12 | 0.6 | 1.2 | $1,576.29 |
|  v. LDAR program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  PC Production g | 0.02 | 1,092 | 21.84 | 2 | 43.68 | 2.18 | 4.37 | $5,737.42 |
|  AMF Production  | 2 | 12 | 24 | 3 | 72 | 3.6 | 7.2 | $9,457.77 |
|  AR Production | 2 | 12 | 24 | 2 | 48 | 2.4 | 4.8 | $6,305.19 |
|  HF Production g | 0.02 | 1,092 | 21.84 | 1 | 21.84 | 1.09 | 2.18 | $2,868.45 |
|  F. Time to Train Personnel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  i. Material determination methods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  PC Production | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  AMF Production | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  AR Production | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  HF Production | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  ii. Control equipment inspection and monitoring j |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  PC Production | 8 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 32 | 1.6 | 3.2 | $4,203.45 |
|  AMF Production | 8 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 24 | 1.2 | 2.4 | $3,152.59 |
|  AR Production | 8 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 16 | 0.8 | 1.6 | $2,101.73 |
|  HF Production | 8 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 8 | 0.4 | 0.8 | $1,050.87 |
|  iii. LDAR program f, j |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  PC Production | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 0.4 | 0.8 | $1,050.87 |
|  AMF Production | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | $788.15 |
|  AR Production | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | $525.43 |
|  HF Production | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | $262.71 |
|  iv. Container leak tight method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  PC Production | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  AMF Production | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  AR Production | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  HF Production | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| **Recordkeeping Subtotal** |  |  |  |  |  | **3,511** |  |  |
| **Subtotals Labor Burdens and Costs** |  |  |  |  | **3,233.12** | **161.65** | **323.31** |  **$424,570.83** |
| **TOTAL LABOR BURDEN AND COST (rounded)** | **3,718** | **$424,571** |

**Assumptions:**

a We have assumed that there are 10 existing sources, and that no additional new sources will become subject to the rule over the next three years. It is also assumed that affected facility owners and operators have already complied with the initial requirements including the installation of any required equipment. In addition, we have assumed that two sources under this category will hire contractors to comply with the monitoring and recordkeeping requirements of the rule while reports are developed by the source personnel.

b This ICR uses the following labor rates: $121.42 per hour for Executive, Administrative, and Managerial labor; $99.14 per hour for Technical labor, and $49.81 per hour for Clerical labor. These rates are from the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, September 2011, “Table 2. Civilian Workers, by occupational and industry group.” The rates are from column 1, “Total Compensation.” The rates have been increased by 110% to account for the benefit packages available to those employed by private industry.

c We have assumed that there will be no new sources over the next three years of this ICR. We have also assumed that all existing sources have already complied with this one-time activity.

d All major sources must submit startup, shutdown, malfunction reports semiannually when actions are taken in the event of a startup, shutdown, or malfunction that are consistent with the source’s SSM plans. Sources can submit this information with the periodic reports.

e The rules requires that all sources submit periodic reports (semiannually or according to the schedule for Title V).

f The standards for equipment leak requires the submittal of an initial report and semiannual reports of leak detection and repair (LDAR) and any changes to the processes, monitoring frequency and initiation of a quality improvement program. We have assumed that sources are submitting the required LDAR information with the periodic reports.

g Visual inspections are required once per shift with a total of three shifts per day, at seven days per week, for 52 weeks per year. (3x7x52) for a total of 1,092 inspections per year.

h We have determined that, based on the industry representative in the PC category, the two sources’ use of contractor support to complete the LDAR related monitoring will cost an estimated $8,000.

i We have assumed that control equipment monitoring should be done on a weekly basis.

j We have assumed that there will be some labor hours associated with rule analysis and training per year.

**Table 2: Average Annual EPA Burden and Cost- NESHAP for Source Categories: Generic Maximum Achievable Control**

 **Technology Standards (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart YY) (Renewal)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Burden item | (A) Person-hours per occurrence | (B) No. of occurrences per respondent per year | (C) Person-hours per respondent per year (C)=(A) x (B) | (D) Respondents per year a | (E) Technical person-hours per year (E)=(C) x (D) | (F) Management person-hours per year (E) x 0.05 | (G) Clerical person-hours per year (E) x 0.1 | (H) Cost, $ b |
| Review Reports  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  1. Initial requirements  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  a. Initial notification c | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  b. Performance test c, d  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  c. Compliance status c | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 00 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  d. Performance test reports c, d | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  2. Periodic requirements |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  a. Startup, shutdown, malfunction reports e | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  b. Periodic reports e | 3 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 60 | 3 | 6 | $4,024.89 |
|  c. Leak detection and repair (LDAR) reports f | 3 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
| d. Title V compliance reports |   | 2 |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| **Subtotals Labor Burden and Cost** | **60** | **3** | **6** | **$4,024.89** |
| **TOTAL LABOR BURDEN AND COST (rounded)** | **69** | **$4,025** |

**Assumptions:**

a We have assumed that there are 10 existing sources, and that no additional new sources will become subject to the rule over the next three years.

b This cost is based on the following hourly labor rates times a 1.6 benefits multiplication factor to account for government overhead expenses: $62.27 for Managerial (GS-13, Step 5, $38.92 x 1.6), $46.21 for Technical (GS-12, Step 1, $28.88 x 1.6) and $25.01 Clerical (GS-6, Step 3, $15.63 x 1.6). These rates are from the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) “2011 General Schedule” which excludes locality rates of pay.

c We have assumed that there will be no new sources over the next three years of this ICR, We have also assumed that all existing sources have already complied with this one-time activity.

d We have assumed that the Agency will not have additional burden from sources conducting performance tests due to a process change that may or may not result in the source meeting additional requirements.

e We have assumed that all sources have already developed a startup, shutdown and malfunction (SSM) plan. We have further assumed that sources are submitting their information on SSM with the periodic report which is submitted on a semiannual basis.

f The equipment leak standards require the submittal of an initial report and semiannual report of leak detection and repair (LDAR) program experiencing any changes to the processes, monitoring frequency and initiation of a quality improvement program. We have assumed that sources are submitting the required LDAR information with the periodic reports.