U.S. IMPORTERS' QUESTIONNAIRE

LOW ENRICHED URANIUM FROM FRANCE

This questionnaire must be received by the Commission by no later than July 16, 2013

See page 4 of the Instruction Booklet for filing instructions.

The information called for in this questionnaire is for use by the United States International Trade Commission in connection with its review of the antidumping duty order on low enriched uranium ("LEU") from France (Inv. No. 731-TA-909 (Second Review)). The information requested in the questionnaire is requested under the authority of the Tariff Act of 1930, title VII. This report is mandatory and failure to reply as directed can result in a subpoena or other order to compel the submission of records or information in your possession (19 U.S.C. § 1333(a)).

	a	7. 0.1
		Zip Code
World Wide Web a	address	
	ed low enriched uranium ("LEU") from any y time since January 1, 2007?	y country (as defined in the instruction booklet)
NO (Sign th	he certification below and promptly return only the	nis page of the questionnaire to the Commission)
	he instruction booklet carefully, complete all part nnaire to the Commission so as to be received by	
	link: https://dropbox.usitc.gov/oinv/.	de Commission <i>Drop Box</i> by clicking (use the following PIN: LEU2)
	CERTIFICATION	
		•
hat the information has	usin sumplied in meanance to this susstiance	
		uire is complete and correct to the best of my kno
	he information submitted is subject to audit	
f and understand that that the grant of the grant factors of this certification I als	he information submitted is subject to audit o grant consent for the Commission, and it	t and verification by the Commission. Is employees and contract personnel, to use the
f and understand that th g this certification I als on provided in this que.	he information submitted is subject to audit to grant consent for the Commission, and it stionnaire and throughout this review in an	and verification by the Commission. s employees and contract personnel, to use the sy other import-injury investigations or reviews
f and understand that th g this certification I als on provided in this que.	he information submitted is subject to audit to grant consent for the Commission, and it stionnaire and throughout this review in an	t and verification by the Commission. Is employees and contract personnel, to use the
f and understand that the stand that the standard standard in this quest by the Commission on the standard stan	he information submitted is subject to audit to grant consent for the Commission, and it stionnaire and throughout this review in an the same or similar merchandise. (If you	t and verification by the Commission. Is employees and contract personnel, to use the ny other import-injury investigations or reviews do not consent to such use, please note the certif
f and understand that the stand that the standard standard in this quest by the Commission on the standard stan	he information submitted is subject to audit o grant consent for the Commission, and it stionnaire and throughout this review in an a the same or similar merchandise. (If you n submitted in this questionnaire respon	and verification by the Commission. s employees and contract personnel, to use the sy other import-injury investigations or reviews
f and understand that the good this certification I also on provided in this quest by the Commission on the ly.) The ledge that information on the ly, its employees, and ing the records of this	the information submitted is subject to audit to grant consent for the Commission, and it stionnaire and throughout this review in an in the same or similar merchandise. (If you in submitted in this questionnaire respon a contract personnel who are acting in the review or related proceedings for which the	t and verification by the Commission. Is employees and contract personnel, to use the my other import-injury investigations or reviews do not consent to such use, please note the certifies and throughout this review may be used a capacity of Commission employees, for develophis information is submitted, or in internal and
f and understand that the stand understand that the standard in this quest by the Commission on the standard that information in the standard ing the records of this ions relating to the pro	the information submitted is subject to audit to grant consent for the Commission, and it stionnaire and throughout this review in an a the same or similar merchandise. (If you in submitted in this questionnaire respon contract personnel who are acting in the review or related proceedings for which the orgams and operations of the Commission	and verification by the Commission. Is employees and contract personnel, to use the my other import-injury investigations or reviews do not consent to such use, please note the certif makes and throughout this review may be used to capacity of Commission employees, for develo
f and understand that the general state of this certification I also on provided in this quent by the Commission on the ly.) The ledge that information in the semployees, and ing the records of this ions relating to the pro	the information submitted is subject to audit to grant consent for the Commission, and it stionnaire and throughout this review in an in the same or similar merchandise. (If you in submitted in this questionnaire respon a contract personnel who are acting in the review or related proceedings for which the	t and verification by the Commission. Is employees and contract personnel, to use the my other import-injury investigations or reviews do not consent to such use, please note the certifies and throughout this review may be used a capacity of Commission employees, for develophis information is submitted, or in internal and
f and understand that the general state of this certification I also on provided in this quent by the Commission on the ly.) The ledge that information in the semployees, and ing the records of this ions relating to the pro	the information submitted is subject to audit to grant consent for the Commission, and it stionnaire and throughout this review in an a the same or similar merchandise. (If you in submitted in this questionnaire respon contract personnel who are acting in the review or related proceedings for which the orgams and operations of the Commission	t and verification by the Commission. Is employees and contract personnel, to use the my other import-injury investigations or reviews do not consent to such use, please note the certifies and throughout this review may be used a capacity of Commission employees, for develophis information is submitted, or in internal and
f and understand that the graph of this certification I also on provided in this quest by the Commission on the ly.) I dedge that information in, its employees, and ing the records of this ions relating to the propersonnel will sign non-	the information submitted is subject to audit to grant consent for the Commission, and it stionnaire and throughout this review in an a the same or similar merchandise. (If you in submitted in this questionnaire respon to contract personnel who are acting in the review or related proceedings for which the grams and operations of the Commission performs and operations of the Commission performs agreements.	t and verification by the Commission. Is employees and contract personnel, to use the my other import-injury investigations or reviews do not consent to such use, please note the certificate and throughout this review may be used a capacity of Commission employees, for develophis information is submitted, or in internal audipursuant to 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3. I understand

PART I.—General Questions

The questions in this questionnaire have been reviewed with market participants to ensure that issues of concern are adequately addressed and that data requests are sufficient, meaningful, and as limited as possible. Public reporting burden for this questionnaire is estimated to average 40 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the questionnaire. Send comments regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW, Washington, DC 20436.

I-1a.		se report below the actual number of leply to this questionnaire and complete		e cost to your
			hours	dollars
I-1b.		are interested in any comments you mal or the clarity of specific questions. to the above address.		
I-2.	questionnaire (see page	edProvide the name and address of es 3-4 of the instruction booklet for respecify the stock exchange and trading	eporting guidelines).	
I-3.	OwnershipIs your f	irm owned, in whole or in part, by an	y other firm?	
	□ No □ Ye	sList the following information		
	Firm name	<u>Address</u>	_	Extent of ownership

PART I.—General Questions--Continued

☐ No ☐ Yes	sList the following information	
Firm name	Address	Affiliation
	<u> </u>	
domestic or foreign, wh	CT importers/exportersDoes you nich are engaged in importing LEU ich are engaged in exporting LEU	from countries other than Fran
□ No □ Yes	sList the following information	
Firm name	Address	<u>Affiliation</u>
Related producersDare engaged in the producers		ms, either domestic or foreign, v
	sList the following information	
□ No □ Yes		Affiliation
☐ No ☐ Yes	Address	Affiliation
	<u>Address</u>	Attiliation
	<u>Address</u>	Amnation
Firm name Nature of import open	Address	

PART I.—General Questions--Continued

Firm name		<u> </u>	Address	Contact person and ph number
			se indicate whether your le zones or bonded ware	r firm enters LEU into, or withdrawhouses.
Foreign tra	de zones	☐ No	YesList locati	on(s):
Bonded wa	ırehouses	□ No	YesList locati	on(s):
	se indicate v l) program.	whether your	firm imports LEU under	r the TIB (temporary importation
☐ No	☐ Ye	s		
business pl	an. Does yo	our company	or any related firm have	request a copy of your company's a business plan or any internal e market conditions for LEU?
□ No	☐ Ye		vide the requested docur documents, please expla	ments. If you are not providing the in why not.
				cts subject to this review been the d States or in any other countries?

PART II.—TRADE AND RELATED INFORMATION -- Continued

Further information on this part of the questionnaire can be obtained from Chris Cassise (202-708-5408; chris.cassise@usitc.gov). Supply all data requested on a calendar-year basis.

Name		
Title		
Email		
Telephone		
Fax		
CI.		
		te whether your firm has experienced any of the following
in relation to the	e importation of LEU sin	nce January 1, 2007.
(check as many	v as appropriate)	(please describe)
C office/wa	mahaysa amaminas	
office/wa	renouse openings	••
Office/we	rahaysa alasinas	
Office/wa	renouse closings	•
T relegation	20	
	18	•
O avnoncio	na	
		·
	nne	
acquisitio	ліз	*-
Consolida	tions	
consona		··
nrolonge	d shutdowns or	
	on curtailments	
production		
revised le	hor agreements	
1cviscu ič	iooi agreements	

PART II.—<u>TRADE AND RELATED INFORMATION</u>--Continued

	ion (as noted above) relating to the import	ation of LEO in the future?
□ No □ Yes	sSupply details as to the time, nature, and and provide underlying assumptions, al- plans or other supporting documentation	ong with relevant portions of
Inticipated changes in operations in the event the order is revokedWould your firm anti- hanges in the character of your operations or organization (as noted above) relating to the imp EU in the future if the antidumping duty order on LEU from France were to be revoked? No YesSupply details as to the time, nature, and significance of such changes and provide underlying assumptions, along with relevant portions of plans or other supporting documentation, that address this issue.		
NoYe:	and provide underlying assumptions, ale	ong with relevant portions of
NoYe	and provide underlying assumptions, ale	ong with relevant portions of
Arranged importsH	and provide underlying assumptions, ale	ong with relevant portions of n, that address this issue.
Arranged imports,H LEU from France for d	and provide underlying assumptions, alphans or other supporting documentation	ong with relevant portions of n, that address this issue.
Arranged importsH LEU from France for d	and provide underlying assumptions, alphans or other supporting documentation last your firm imported, contracted or other elivery after March 31, 2013? sIndicate when such orders are to be deli	ong with relevant portions of n, that address this issue.
Arranged imports,H LEU from France for d	and provide underlying assumptions, alphans or other supporting documentation last your firm imported, contracted or other elivery after March 31, 2013? sIndicate when such orders are to be deli	ong with relevant portions of n, that address this issue. rwise arranged for the importative and the quantities invo

PART II.—<u>TRADE AND RELATED INFORMATION</u>--Continued

II-8. If ther	the average product and tail assays for the LEU for which you report quantities in que is a significant variation in these assays, please attach a description of the variation page and identify which quantities reported the variation applies to.
	r firm deliver imported French LEU to other firms inside or outside the United States erchandise in the United States?
resell the mo	erchandise in the United States?
resell the mo	erchandise in the United States? Yes—Please explain. receive non-French LEU from other firms that you or other firms could import into the state of the country of the co
No No	erchandise in the United States? Yes—Please explain. receive non-French LEU from other firms that you or other firms could import into the state of the country of the co

PART II.—TRADE AND RELATED INFORMATION -- Continued

II-9. <u>IMPORTS FROM FRANCE</u>.--Report your firm's imports and your firm's shipments and inventories of LEU imported by your firm during the specified periods. (See definitions in the instruction booklet.)

.,		-	Calend	ar years			Jan-N	March
Item	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2012	2013
BEGINNING-OF-PERIOD INVENTORIES:	- U	•		U.		•		
Quantity of LEU (kgs of enriched U)								
Quantity of SWUs required to produce inventories (SWUs)								
IMPORTS OF LEU UNDER1	· · ·	•		···				
SWU CONTRACTS:								
Quantity of SWUs (SWUs)								
Estimated quantity of LEU imported (kgs of enriched U)								
Value of SWUs								
Quantity of natural UF ₆ received (kgs of U)								
Estimated value of natural UF ₆ received								
EUP CONTRACTS:	•		•	•		•		
Quantity of EUP (kgs of enriched U)								
SWU component (estimated SWUs)								
Total Value of EUP								
Estimated value of SWU component								
U.S. SHIPMENTS OF LEU UNDER	•		•	•		•		
SWU CONTRACTS:								
Quantity of SWUs sold (SWUs)								
Estimated quantity delivered (kgs of enriched U)								
Value of SWUs sold								
Quantity of natural UF ₆ received (kgs of U)								
Estimated value of natural UF ₆ received								
EUP CONTRACTS:	'	•		•	•	•		
Quantity of EUP sold (kgs of enriched U)								
SWU component (estimated SWUs)								
Total Value of EUP sold								
Estimated value of SWU component								

PART II.—TRADE AND RELATED INFORMATION--Continued

II-9. **IMPORTS FROM FRANCE**.--Continued

II-9. <u>IMPORTS FROM FRANC</u>	<u>.L</u> C <i>Onti</i>	пиеа						
(<i>Quantity</i> in 1,000 SV	VUs and 1,	000 kgs of	U or enricl	hed U and v	<i>alu</i> e in \$1,	000)		
Item	Calendar years					1	Jan-Marc	
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2012	2013
EXPORT SHIPMENTS OF LEU UNDER ²								
SWU CONTRACTS:								
Quantity of SWUs sold (SWUs)								
Estimated quantity delivered (kgs of enriched U)								
Value of SWUs sold								
Quantity of natural UF ₆ received (kgs of U)								
Estimated value of natural UF ₆ received								
EUP CONTRACTS:								
Quantity of EUP sold (kgs of enriched U)								
SWU component (estimated SWUs)								
Total Value of EUP sold								
Estimated value of SWU component								
END-OF-PERIOD INVENTORIES ³ :								
Quantity of LEU (kgs of enriched U)								
Quantity of SWUs required to produce inventories (SWUs)								
U.S. SHIPMENTS REPORTED ABOVE TO UTILITIE	ES							
SWU CONTRACTS								
Quantity of SWUs sold (SWUs)								
Estimated quantity delivered (kgs of enriched U)								
Value of SWUs sold								
Quantity of natural UF ₆ received (kgs of U)								
Estimated value of natural UF ₆ received								
EUP CONTRACTS			•	·		1		1
Quantity of EUP sold (kgs of enriched U)								
SWU component (estimated SWUs)								
Total Value of EUP sold								
Estimated value of SWU component								
U.S. SHIPMENTS REPORTED ABOVE TO OTHER	S		•	•		•	-	
Specify customers:								
SWU CONTRACTS								
Quantity of SWUs sold (SWUs)								
Estimated quantity delivered (kgs of enriched U)								
Value of SWUs sold								
Quantity of natural UF ₆ received (kgs of U)								
Estimated value of natural UF ₆ received								
								•

Table continued.

Page 10

PART II.—TRADE AND RELATED INFORMATION -- Continued

II-9. <u>IMPORTS FROM FRANCE</u>.--Continued

(<i>Quantity</i> in 1,000 S	WUs and 1	,000 kgs of	U or enrich	ned U and v	alue in \$1,0	000)		
lta	Calendar years							/larch
Item	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2012	2013
EUP CONTRACTS	•							•
Quantity of EUP sold (kgs of enriched U)								
SWU component (estimated SWUs)								
Total Value of EUP sold								
Estimated value of SWU component								
¹ Identify, by quantity, your principal export markets for	2012:							
² Reconciliation of dataPlease note that the quantiti shipments, equals end-of-period inventories. Do the data SWUs □ Yes □ NoPlease explain: □	reported reco		econcile as fo	ollows: begini	ning-of-perioc	I inventories,	plus productic	on, less tot
Kgs of enriched U ☐ Yes ☐ NoPlease explain:								

PART II.—TRADE AND RELATED INFORMATION -- Continued

II-10. <u>IMPORTS FROM RUSSIA UNDER HEU AGREEMENT</u>.--Report your firm's imports and your firm's shipments and inventories of LEU imported by your firm during the specified periods.

(Quantity in 1,000 S	T			lar years	· · ·	•	Jan-N	/larch
Item	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2012	2013
BEGINNING-OF-PERIOD INVENTORIES:	· ·		I	I	·I			
Quantity of LEU (kgs of enriched U)								
Quantity of SWUs required to produce inventories (SWUs)								
IMPORTS OF LEU UNDER1	1		1	1	•			
SWU CONTRACTS:								
Quantity of SWUs (SWUs)								
Estimated quantity of LEU imported (kgs of enriched U)								
Value of SWUs								
Quantity of natural UF ₆ received (kgs of U)								
Estimated value of natural UF ₆ received								
EUP CONTRACTS:	•					•		
Quantity of EUP (kgs of enriched U)								
SWU component (estimated SWUs)								
Total Value of EUP								
Estimated value of SWU component								
U.S. SHIPMENTS OF LEU UNDER								
SWU CONTRACTS:								
Quantity of SWUs sold (SWUs)								
Estimated quantity delivered (kgs of enriched U)								
Value of SWUs sold								
Quantity of natural UF ₆ received (kgs of U)								
Estimated value of natural UF ₆ received								
EUP CONTRACTS:	•				•	•		
Quantity of EUP sold (kgs of enriched U)								
SWU component (estimated SWUs)								
Total Value of EUP sold								
Estimated value of SWU component								

PART II.—TRADE AND RELATED INFORMATION--Continued

II-10. IMPORTS FROM RUSSIA UNDER HEU AGREEMENT.--Continued

II-10. IMPORTS FROM RUSSIA						•••		
(<i>Quantity</i> in 1,000 SV	vus and 1,	UUU Kgs of			/alue in \$1,	UUU)	1	lau-l
Item	2007	2008	2009	dar years 2010	2011	2012	2012	/larch 2013
EXPORT SHIPMENTS OF LEU UNDER ²	2007	2000	2003	2010	2011	2012	2012	2010
SWU CONTRACTS:								
Quantity of SWUs sold (SWUs)								
Estimated quantity delivered								
(kgs of enriched U)								
Value of SWUs sold								
Quantity of natural UF ₆ received (kgs of U)								
Estimated value of natural UF ₆ received								
EUP CONTRACTS:								
Quantity of EUP sold (kgs of enriched U)								
SWU component (estimated SWUs)								
Total Value of EUP sold								
Estimated value of SWU component								
END-OF-PERIOD INVENTORIES ³ :								
Quantity of LEU (kgs of enriched U)								
Quantity of SWUs required to produce inventories (SWUs)								
U.S. SHIPMENTS REPORTED ABOVE TO UTILITIE	ES							
SWU CONTRACTS:								
Quantity of SWUs sold (SWUs)								
Estimated quantity delivered (kgs of enriched U)								
Value of SWUs sold								
Quantity of natural UF ₆ received (kgs of U)								
Estimated value of natural UF ₆ received								
EUP CONTRACTS:			•	•	•	•		
Quantity of EUP sold (kgs of enriched U)								
SWU component (estimated SWUs)								
Total Value of EUP sold								
Estimated value of SWU component								
U.S. SHIPMENTS REPORTED ABOVE TO OTHER	S							
Specify customers:								
SWU CONTRACTS:								
Quantity of SWUs sold (SWUs)								
Estimated quantity delivered (kgs of enriched U)								
Value of SWUs sold								
Quantity of natural UF ₆ received (kgs of U)								
Estimated value of natural UF ₆ received							1	

Table continued.

PART II.—TRADE AND RELATED INFORMATION -- Continued

II-10. IMPORTS FROM RUSSIA UNDER HEU AGREEMENT.--Continued

14			Calend	ar years			Jan-N	lan-March	
ltem	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2012	2013	
EUP CONTRACTS:	•			•					
Quantity of EUP sold (kgs of enriched U)									
SWU component (estimated SWUs)									
Total Value of EUP sold									
Estimated value of SWU component									
¹ Identify, by quantity, your principal export markets fo									
Reconciliation of dataPlease note that the quantit shipments, equals end-of-period inventories. Do the data	a reported reco		econcile as fo	ollows: begini	ning-of-period	l inventories,	plus productic	on, less to	
SWUs ☐ Yes ☐ NoPlease explain: Kgs of enriched U ☐ Yes ☐ NoPlease explain:									

PART II.—TRADE AND RELATED INFORMATION--Continued

II-11. **IMPORTS FROM ALL OTHER SOURCES COMBINED**¹.--Report your firm's imports and your firm's shipments and inventories of LEU imported by your firm during the specified periods.

(<i>Quantity</i> in 1,000 S	SWUs and 1,	,000 kgs of	U or enricl	ned U and v	/alue in \$1,0	000)		
Item				lar years	•	,	Jan-N	/larch
Item	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2012	2013
BEGINNING-OF-PERIOD INVENTORIES:								
Quantity of LEU (kgs of enriched U)								
Quantity of SWUs required to produce inventories (SWUs)								
IMPORTS OF LEU UNDER ²								
SWU CONTRACTS:								
Quantity of SWUs (SWUs)								
Estimated quantity of LEU imported (kgs of enriched U)								
Value of SWUs								
Quantity of natural UF ₆ received (kgs of U)								
Estimated value of natural UF ₆ received								
EUP CONTRACTS:								
Quantity of EUP (kgs of enriched U)								
SWU component (estimated SWUs)								
Total Value of EUP								
Estimated value of SWU component								
U.S. SHIPMENTS OF LEU UNDER								
SWU CONTRACTS:								
Quantity of SWUs sold (SWUs)								
Estimated quantity delivered (kgs of enriched U)								
Value of SWUs sold								
Quantity of natural UF ₆ received (kgs of U)								
Estimated value of natural UF ₆ received								
EUP CONTRACTS:	<u> </u>	l.	···	1	···	II.	•	I.
Quantity of EUP sold (kgs of enriched U)								
SWU component (estimated SWUs)								
Total Value of EUP sold								
Estimated value of SWU component								

PART II.—TRADE AND RELATED INFORMATION--Continued

II-11. IMPORTS FROM ALL OTHER SOURCES COMBINED.--Continued

(<i>Quantity</i> in 1,000 S	WUs and 1,	000 kgs of	U or enric	hed U and v	value in \$1,	000)		
Item			Calend	dar years		_	Jan-N	/larch
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2012	2013
EXPORT SHIPMENTS OF LEU UNDER ³								
SWU CONTRACTS:								
Quantity of SWUs sold (SWUs)								
Estimated quantity delivered (kgs of enriched U)								
Value of SWUs sold								
Quantity of natural UF ₆ received (kgs of U)								
Estimated value of natural UF ₆ received								
EUP CONTRACTS:								
Quantity of EUP sold (kgs of enriched U)								
SWU component (estimated SWUs)								
Total Value of EUP sold								
Estimated value of SWU component								
END-OF-PERIOD INVENTORIES ³ :								
Quantity of LEU (kgs of enriched U)								
Quantity of SWUs required to produce inventories (SWUs)								
U.S. SHIPMENTS REPORTED ABOVE TO UTILIT	TES							
SWU CONTRACTS:								
Quantity of SWUs sold (SWUs)								
Estimated quantity delivered (kgs of enriched U)								
Value of SWUs sold								
Quantity of natural UF ₆ received (kgs of U)								
Estimated value of natural UF ₆ received								
EUP CONTRACTS:	'			•	•	•		
Quantity of EUP sold (kgs of enriched U)								
SWU component (estimated SWUs)								
Total Value of EUP sold								
Estimated value of SWU component								
U.S. SHIPMENTS REPORTED ABOVE TO OTHE	RS				•	•		
Specify customers:								
SWU CONTRACTS:								
Quantity of SWUs sold (SWUs)								
Estimated quantity delivered (kgs of enriched U)								
Value of SWUs sold								
Quantity of natural UF ₆ received (kgs of U)								
Estimated value of natural UF ₆ received	1		İ		1	1	1	

Table continued.

U.S. Importers' Questionnaire - LEU from France (731-TA-909 (Second Review))

PART II.—TRADE AND RELATED INFORMATION -- Continued

II-11. IMPORTS FROM ALL OTHER SOURCES COMBINED.--Continued

14			Calenda	ar years			Jan-N	larch
Item	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2012	2013
ACTS:								
EUP sold (kgs of enriched U)								
onent (estimated SWUs)								
of EUP sold								
alue of SWU component								
antity, your principal export marke	ts for 2012:							
end-of-period inventories. Do the Yes NoPlease explain: _	data reported reco	oncile?					plus productio	n, less tota
imports of LEU from Fran	ice in terms of	its effect o	n your firm	n's imports	, U.S. ship	ments of	ne	
			firm antici	nate any c	hanges in i			
	EUP sold (kgs of enriched U) onent (estimated SWUs) of EUP sold alue of SWU component other sources: antity, your principal export market of dataPlease note that the qua end-of-period inventories. Do the Yes NoPlease explain: Yes NoPlease explain: imports of LEU from Fran imports, and inventories. imposition of the order. Likely effect of revocation	EUP sold (kgs of enriched U) onent (estimated SWUs) of EUP sold alue of SWU component other sources: antity, your principal export markets for 2012: of dataPlease note that the quantities reported all end-of-period inventories. Do the data reported recomply established by the significant imports of LEU from France in terms of imports, and inventories. You may wish imposition of the order. Likely effect of revocation of order,V	EUP sold (kgs of enriched U) onent (estimated SWUs) of EUP sold alue of SWU component other sources: antity, your principal export markets for 2012: of dataPlease note that the quantities reported above should rend-of-period inventories. Do the data reported reconcile? Yes NoPlease explain: Yes NoPlease explain: Feffect of orderDescribe the significance of the eimports of LEU from France in terms of its effect of imports, and inventories. You may wish to comparimposition of the order.	EUP sold (kgs of enriched U) onent (estimated SWUs) of EUP sold alue of SWU component other sources: antity, your principal export markets for 2012: of dataPlease note that the quantities reported above should reconcile as for end-of-period inventories. Do the data reported reconcile? Yes NoPlease explain: Yes NoPlease explain: Fifect of orderDescribe the significance of the existing antiimports of LEU from France in terms of its effect on your firm imports, and inventories. You may wish to compare your firm imposition of the order.	EUP sold (kgs of enriched U) onent (estimated SWUs) of EUP sold alue of SWU component other sources: antity, your principal export markets for 2012: of dataPlease note that the quantities reported above should reconcile as follows: beginnend-of-period inventories. Do the data reported reconcile? Yes NoPlease explain: Yes NoPlease explain: Effect of orderDescribe the significance of the existing antidumping dimports of LEU from France in terms of its effect on your firm's imports, and inventories. You may wish to compare your firm's operation imposition of the order.	EUP sold (kgs of enriched U) onent (estimated SWUs) of EUP sold alue of SWU component other sources: antity, your principal export markets for 2012: of dataPlease note that the quantities reported above should reconcile as follows: beginning-of-period end-of-period inventories. Do the data reported reconcile? Yes NoPlease explain: Yes NoPlease explain: Effect of orderDescribe the significance of the existing antidumping duty order of imports of LEU from France in terms of its effect on your firm's imports, U.S. ship imports, and inventories. You may wish to compare your firm's operations before a imposition of the order. Likely effect of revocation of orderWould your firm anticipate any changes in i	EUP sold (kgs of enriched U) Interest (estimated SWUs) of EUP sold alue of SWU component other sources: antity, your principal export markets for 2012: of dataPlease note that the quantities reported above should reconcile as follows: beginning-of-period inventories, end-of-period inventories. Do the data reported reconcile? Yes NoPlease explain: Yes NoPlease explain: Teffect of orderDescribe the significance of the existing antidumping duty order covering imports of LEU from France in terms of its effect on your firm's imports, U.S. shipments of imports, and inventories. You may wish to compare your firm's operations before and after the imposition of the order.	EUP sold (kgs of enriched U) chent (estimated SWUs) of EUP sold alue of SWU component other sources: antity, your principal export markets for 2012: of dataPlease note that the quantities reported above should reconcile as follows: beginning-of-period inventories, plus production end-of-period inventories. Do the data reported reconcile? Yes NoPlease explain: NoPlease explain: Effect of orderDescribe the significance of the existing antidumping duty order covering imports of LEU from France in terms of its effect on your firm's imports, U.S. shipments of imports, and inventories. You may wish to compare your firm's operations before and after the imposition of the order. Likely effect of revocation of order,Would your firm anticipate any changes in its imports,

PART III.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS

Further information on this part of the questionnaire can be obtained from Aimee Larsen (202-205-3179, aimee.larsen@usitc.gov) III-1. Who should be contacted regarding the requested pricing and related information? Company contact: Name and title Phone number E-mail address Section III-A.—ENRICHMENT PRICE FACTORS FOR LEU IMPORTED FROM FRANCE If your firm sells its imported LEU to U.S. customers, report net U.S. f.o.b. (or equivalent delivery) selling prices; if your firm is a U.S. electric utility, enricher, fuel-assembly fabricator, or other U.S. firm that imports LEU for its own use, report **U.S. f.o.b. import price data**. Indicate below what your firm does with its imports of LEU from France: IMPORT FOR RESALE. IMPORT FOR OWN USE. III-2. Since January 1, 2007, has your firm imported LEU into the United States under a re-export program? □ No Yes--Please discuss by each country of origin/enrichment of the LEU 1) the products you exported that contained the LEU that you previously imported, 2) the quantity, quality, and tails assay of the imported LEU, and 3) the reason(s) why you imported the LEU instead of purchasing U.S.-produced product (including a comparison of prices). Include any other information that would be helpful in understanding your firm's re-export activities and the impact on the market for LEU.

PART II.—TRADE AND RELATED INFORMATION--Continued

III-4. Report the requested annual data for transactions we France to U.S. customers during 2007-2012 but the customers' natural UF ₆ or concentrates to you as the LEU and 2) a separate payment in dollars for the enunction LEU. Report similarly if you imported the LEU for your payment consisted of a transfer of your natural payment for the enrichment portion of the subject in Country of origin: France Report for each year, total U.S. shipments of your subject imported 2007 2008	Discuss how your firm determines the purchase price for the LEU that it imports and how payment is made.												
France to U.S. customers during 2007-2012 but the customers' natural UF ₆ or concentrates to you as th LEU and 2) a separate payment in dollars for the en LEU. Report similarly if you imported the LEU for your payment consisted of a transfer of your natura payment for the enrichment portion of the subject in Country of origin: France Report for each year, total U.S. shipments of your subject imported 2007 2008													
France to U.S. customers during 2007-2012 but the customers' natural UF ₆ or concentrates to you as th LEU and 2) a separate payment in dollars for the en LEU. Report similarly if you imported the LEU for your payment consisted of a transfer of your natura payment for the enrichment portion of the subject in Country of origin: France Report for each year, total U.S. shipments of your subject imported 2007 2008													
France to U.S. customers during 2007-2012 but the customers' natural UF ₆ or concentrates to you as th LEU and 2) a separate payment in dollars for the en LEU. Report similarly if you imported the LEU for your payment consisted of a transfer of your natura payment for the enrichment portion of the subject in Country of origin: France Report for each year, total U.S. shipments of your subject imported 2007 2008													
your payment consisted of a transfer of your natural payment for the enrichment portion of the subject in Country of origin: France Report for each year, total U.S. shipments of your subject imported 2007 2008	their payment the feedstock enrichment	included 1) to a portion of the portion of the	ransfers of the ne subject impos subject impos	e oorted rted									
Report for each year, total U.S. shipments of your subject imported 2007 2008 Sold to U.S. customers Imported for your own use For the annual quantities of the subject imported LEU you reported The average U ²³⁵ product assay The average U ²³⁵ tails assay The total number of SWUs required Also show, for the annual quantities of subject imported LEU reported in the following: Transfers of natural UF ₆ for the feedstock portion, in kgs of U in the natural UF ₆ Separate payments in U.S. dollars for the enrichment portion To the extent that additional factors associated with the information	ıral UF ₆ or co	oncentrates an	•										
Sold to U.S. customers Imported for your own use For the annual quantities of the subject imported LEU you reporte The average U ²³⁵ product assay The average U ²³⁵ tails assay The total number of SWUs required Also show, for the annual quantities of subject imported LEU repoconsisting of the following: Transfers of natural UF ₆ for the feedstock portion, in kgs of U in the natural UF ₆ Separate payments in U.S. dollars for the enrichment portion To the extent that additional factors associated with the information													
Imported for your own use For the annual quantities of the subject imported LEU you reporte The average U ²³⁵ product assay The average U ²³⁵ tails assay The total number of SWUs required Also show, for the annual quantities of subject imported LEU reported consisting of the following: Transfers of natural UF ₆ for the feedstock portion, in kgs of U in the natural UF ₆ Separate payments in U.S. dollars for the enrichment portion To the extent that additional factors associated with the informatical transfers of the extent that additional factors associated with the informatical transfers of the extent that additional factors associated with the informatical transfers of the extent that additional factors associated with the informatical transfers of the extent that additional factors associated with the informatical transfers of the subject imported LEU reported to the factors associated with the informatical transfers of the subject imported LEU reported to the factors associated with the informatical transfers of the subject imported LEU reported to the factors associated with the informatical transfers of the subject imported LEU reported to the factors associated with the informatical transfers of the subject imported LEU reported to the factors associated with the informatical transfers of the subject imported to the factors associated with the informatical transfers of the subject imported to the factors associated with the informatical transfers of the subject imported to the factors associated with the informatical transfers of the subject imported to the subject imported to the factors associated with the informatical transfers of the subject imported to the su	rted LEU (kgs	of U in the LE	U) that your fi	rm									
For the annual quantities of the subject imported LEU you reporte The average U ²³⁵ product assay The average U ²³⁵ tails assay The total number of SWUs required Also show, for the annual quantities of subject imported LEU repoconsisting of the following: Transfers of natural UF ₆ for the feedstock portion, in kgs of U in the natural UF ₆ Separate payments in U.S. dollars for the enrichment portion To the extent that additional factors associated with the information	2009	2010	2011	2012									
The average U ²³⁵ product assay The average U ²³⁵ tails assay The total number of SWUs required Also show, for the annual quantities of subject imported LEU reports to stail the following: Transfers of natural UF ₆ for the feedstock portion, in kgs of U in the natural UF ₆ Separate payments in U.S. dollars for the enrichment portion To the extent that additional factors associated with the information													
The average U ²³⁵ product assay The average U ²³⁵ tails assay The total number of SWUs required Also show, for the annual quantities of subject imported LEU reports to stail the following: Transfers of natural UF ₆ for the feedstock portion, in kgs of U in the natural UF ₆ Separate payments in U.S. dollars for the enrichment portion To the extent that additional factors associated with the information													
The average U ²³⁵ tails assay The total number of SWUs required Also show, for the annual quantities of subject imported LEU reportant consisting of the following: Transfers of natural UF ₆ for the feedstock portion, in kgs of U in the natural UF ₆ Separate payments in U.S. dollars for the enrichment portion To the extent that additional factors associated with the information	rted, show the	following:											
The total number of SWUs required Also show, for the annual quantities of subject imported LEU reportant processing of the following: Transfers of natural UF ₆ for the feedstock portion, in kgs of U in the natural UF ₆ Separate payments in U.S. dollars for the enrichment portion To the extent that additional factors associated with the information													
Also show, for the annual quantities of subject imported LEU reportant consisting of the following: Transfers of natural UF ₆ for the feedstock portion, in kgs of U in the natural UF ₆ Separate payments in U.S. dollars for the enrichment portion To the extent that additional factors associated with the information													
Transfers of natural UF ₆ for the feedstock portion, in kgs of U in the natural UF ₆ Separate payments in U.S. dollars for the enrichment portion To the extent that additional factors associated with the inform													
feedstock portion, in kgs of U in the natural UF ₆ Separate payments in U.S. dollars for the enrichment portion To the extent that additional factors associated with the information	ported, the br	eakdown of th	ne total payme	nts									
To the extent that additional factors associated with the information and the extent that additional factors associated with the information.													
discuss the information below. In addition, please discuss an subject LEU during 2007-12 that are not covered above and i	any other typ	es of transacti	ions involving										

PART II.—TRADE AND RELATED INFORMATION--Continued

Section III-B.—ENRICHMENT PRICES FOR LEU IMPORTED FROM FRANCE

This section requests quarterly price and quantity data, unless otherwise specified, concerning enrichment in France of uranium hexafluoride (UF₆) in the natural state to low enriched uranium that your firm imported pursuant to supply contracts with U.S. customers unrelated to you or imported for your own use during January 2007-March 2013. **Report the requested SWU price and quantity data only for your SWU production from France.**

<u>Quantities</u>.--Quantities of the subject imported LEU are requested net of returns and are requested on two bases:

- 1) For enrichment contracts of LEU, quantities of LEU are requested in SWUs contracted to produce these kilograms of enriched U.
- 2) For EUP, quantities are requested in kilograms of U in your LEU that you produced during that period, net of any returns. If your firm uses pounds for the quantity of U in LEU, please convert to kilograms at the rate of 0.45359 kgs per pound when reporting the enrichment fee data.

<u>Prices</u>.—The net U.S. f.o.b. (or equivalent delivery) value should be the selling price you invoice your U.S. customers for LEU imported from France, from your U.S. selling locations. Prices are **net of all discounts, allowances (including any freight allowances), rebates, and any other deductions or premiums** and based on commercial quantities, not introductory offers. Express prices of the subject imported LEU in U.S. dollars per kilogram (kg) of uranium (U) in the low enriched UF₆.

<u>Spot sales/import agreement.</u>--An agreement, usually written, to supply LEU on an immediate or near-term basis (within 12 months) from the time of order.

<u>Contract sales/import agreements.</u>--A written agreement to supply LEU during a specified period of time, with deliveries of the LEU scheduled into the first calendar year or beyond from the contract-signing year. The total amount of this product may be specified exactly or approximately. Prices may or may not be fixed.

IF FIXED, prices may be set at a specified level during the entire contract period or may initially be set at some level but subject to increases at intervals and amounts specified in the contract.

IF NOT FIXED, prices are based on some agreed upon prevailing market price indicator at the time of delivery and--

- (a) may be subject to a contract requirement of a floor price (based on market prices or your costs), a ceiling price, a discount from the market price, or some combination of these, or
- (b) may not be subject to such contract price requirements but subject to escalator clauses.

PART II.—TRADE AND RELATED INFORMATION--Continued

Sales Agreement Categories:

- (1) Combined spot sales and those contract sales of the subject imported LEU where prices are based on market prices at the time of shipment and the contracts do not specify a price/cost-based floor or a price ceiling.
- (2) Contract sales of the subject imported LEU where prices are based on market prices at the time of shipment and the contracts <u>do</u> specify a price/cost-based floor, a price ceiling, a discount from the market price, or some combination of these.
- (3) Contract sales of the subject imported LEU where prices are fixed or subject to escalator clauses specified in the contract.

PRODUCT DESCRIPTION:

Low enriched uranium hexafluoride.--Uranium hexafluoride enriched in the U^{235} isotope, usually in the range of 3-5 percent enrichment, but always less than 20 percent (as defined in the instructions).

NOTE: Report in question III-6, unless otherwise indicated, the information requested for the LEU where your firm imported the LEU from France that was produced ONLY on a SWU contract basis. See part III-7 for information requested for your shipments/imports of the imports of enriched uranium product (EUP) from France (EUP is LEU that is sold or purchased where the customer does not provide any natural UF₆).

Business Proprietary

U.S. Importers' Questionnaire - LEU from France (731-TA-909 (Second Review))

PART III.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS

III-5. (a) Show in the following tabulation, by category sales/import agreements (see previous page for descriptions), the total quantity (in kgs of U in LEU) of your firm's imports of LEU from France that were shipped (book transfers or physical movement) to U.S. fabricators during January 2007-March 2013 for its U.S. customers.

Category of sales/import agreement	Kgs of U in LEU
Category 1	
Category 2	
Category 3	
Other (specify:)	

PART III.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS

III- 6. (a) Quarterly shipments under sales agreement **category 1**: Combined spot sales of imported LEU from France and those contract sales of the subject imported LEU where prices are based on market prices at the time of shipment and the contracts <u>do not</u> specify a price/cost-based floor or a price ceiling.

		Quantity (in number of SWUs) and Value (in dollars)												
		Contract y	year 2002	Contract	year 2003	Contract	year 2004	Contract	year 2005	Contract	year 2006			
Year	Quarter	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value			
2007	JanMar.													
	AprJune													
	July-Sept.													
	OctDec.													
2008	JanMar.													
	AprJune													
	July-Sept.													
	OctDec.													
2009	JanMar.													
	AprJune													
	July-Sept.													
	OctDec.													
2010	JanMar.													
	AprJune													
	July-Sept.													
	OctDec.													
2011	JanMar.													
	AprJune													
	July-Sept.													
	OctDec.													
2012	JanMar.													
	AprJune													
	July-Sept.													
	OctDec.													
2013	JanMar.													
Averag of cont	e tails assay ract (<i>percent</i>)	,	%		%		%		%					

PART III.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS--Continued

III- 6. (a) Quarterly shipments under sales agreement category 1: Continued.

					Quantity (in	number of SV	VUs) and Value	e (in dollars)			
		Contract	year 2007	Contract	year 2008	Contract	year 2009	Contract	year 2010	Contract	year 2011
Year	Quarter	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value
2007	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2008	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2009	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2010	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2011	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2012	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2013	JanMar.										
Averag of cont	e tails assay ract (<i>percent</i>)		%		%		%		%		9

PART III.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS--Continued

III-6. (b) Quarterly shipments under sales agreement category 2: Contract sales of the subject imported LEU where prices are based on market prices at the time of shipment and the contracts <u>do</u> specify a price/cost-based floor, a price ceiling, a discount from the market price, or some combination of these.

					Quantity (in n	umber of SWL	Js) and Value (in dollars)			
		Contract y	ear 2002	Contract	year 2003	Contract	year 2004	Contract	year 2005	Contract	year 2006
Year	Quarter	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value
2007	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2008	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2009	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2010	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2011	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2012	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2013	JanMar.										
Averag	e tails assay of ct (percent)		%		%		%		%		
	er of contracts:										

PART III.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS--Continued

III-6. (b) Quarterly shipments under sales agreement category 2: Continued.

	Quarterly ship			*		number of SW	/Us) and Valu	e (in dollars)			
		Contract	year 2007	Contract	year 2008	Contract	year 2009	Contract	year 2010	Contract y	ear 2011/
Year	Quarter	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value
2007	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2008	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2009	JanMar.										
I	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.							1			
2010	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2011	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2012	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2013	JanMar.										
	e tails assay ract (<i>percent</i>)		%		%		%		%		C
Numbe contrac	r of										

PART III.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS--Continued

III-6. (c) Quarterly shipments under sales agreement category 3: Contract sales of the subject imported LEU where prices are fixed or subject to escalator clauses specified in the contract.

					Quantity (in n	umber of SWL	Js) and Value (in dollars)			
		Contract y	ear 2002	Contract	year 2003	Contract	year 2004	Contract	year 2005	Contract	t year 2006
Year	Quarter	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value
2007	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2008	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2009	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2010	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2011	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2012	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2013	JanMar.										
verag	e tails assay of ct (percent)		%		%		%		%		
	r of contracts:										

PART III.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS--Continued

III-6. (c) Quarterly shipments under sales agreement category 3: Continued.

111 0. ((c) Quarterly s	inpinents und	er s <u>ares agree</u>	ment categor		number of SV	VUs) and Value	e (in dollars)			
		Contract	year 2007	Contract			year 2009		year 2010	Contract	ear 2011
Year	Quarter	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value
2007	JanMar.						•				
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2008	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2009	JanMar.							1			
1	AprJune							1			
	July-Sept.							1			
	OctDec.							1			
2010	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2011	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
1	OctDec.										
2012	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2013	JanMar.										
	e tails assay ract (<i>percent</i>)		%		%		%		%		9/
Numbe contrac	r of										

PART III.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS--Continued

III-7. (a) Quarterly shipments of EUP under sales agreement category 1: For EUP, combined spot sales and those contract sales of the subject imported LEU where prices are based on market prices at the time of shipment and the contracts do not specify a price/cost-based floor or a price ceiling.

cennig.					Quantit	y (in kgs of U)	and Value (in	dollars)			
Year	Quarter	Contract	year 2002	Contract	year 2003		year 2004		year 2005	Contract	year 2006
		Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value
2007	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2008	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2009	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2010	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2011	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2012	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2013	JanMar.										
Averag of cont	e tails assay ract (percent)		%		%		%		%		C

III-7. (a) Quarterly shipments of EUP under sales agreement category 1: Continued.

PART III.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS--Continued

					Quantit	y (in kgs of U)	and Value (in	dollars)			
		Contract	year 2007	Contract	year 2008		year 2009		year 2010	Contract	year 2011
Year	Quarter	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value
2007	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2008	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2009	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2010	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2011	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2012	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2013	JanMar.										
Averag	e tails assay ract (<i>percent</i>)		%		%		%		%		%

PART III.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS--Continued

III-7. (b) Quarterly shipments of EUP under sales agreement category 2: For EUP, contract sales of the subject imported LEU where prices are based on market prices at the time of shipment and the contracts do specify a price/cost-based floor, a price ceiling, a discount from the market price, or some combination of these.

					Quantity	(in kgs of U) a	nd Value (in do	ollars)			
	Quarter	Contract y	ear 2002	Contract	year 2003	Contract	year 2004	Contract	year 2005	Contract	year 2006
Year		Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value
2007	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2008	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2009	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2010	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2011	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2012	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2013	JanMar.										
Average tails assay of contract (percent)			%	1	%		%		%		(
Number of contracts:											

PART III.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS--Continued

III-7. (b) Quarterly shipments of EUP under sales agreement category 2: Continued.

	Quarterly sin	<u> </u>					and Value (in	dollars)			
		Contract	year 2007	Contract	year 2008	Contract	year 2009	Contract	year 2010	Contract	year 2011
Year	Quarter	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value
2007	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2008	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2009	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2010	JanMar.										
	AprJune]	
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2011	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2012	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2013	JanMar.										
	e tails assay ract (<i>percent</i>)		%		%		%		%		%
Numbe contrac											

PART III.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS--Continued

III-7. (c) Quarterly shipments of EUP under sales agreement category 3: For EUP, contract sales/imports of the subject imported LEU where prices are fixed or subject to escalator clauses specified in the contract.

					Quantity	(in kgs of U) a	nd Value (in do	ollars)			
	Quarter	Contract y	ear 2002	Contract	year 2003	Contract	year 2004	Contract	year 2005	Contract	year 2006
Year		Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value
2007	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2008	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2009	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2010	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2011	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2012	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2013	JanMar.										
	e tails assay of										
contrac	ct (percent)		%		%		%		%		9
Numbe	r of contracts:										

PART III.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS--Continued

III-7. (c) Quarterly shipments of EUP under sales agreement category 3: Continued.

(1)			P under s <u>ales a</u>			y (in kgs of U)	and Value (in	dollars)			
V		Contract	year 2007	Contract	year 2008	Contract	year 2009	Contract	year 2010	Contract year 2011	
Year	Quarter	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value
2007	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2008	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2009	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.							1			
2010	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2011	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
	OctDec.										
2012	JanMar.										
	AprJune										
	July-Sept.										
1	OctDec.										
2013	JanMar.										
	e tails assay ract (<i>percent</i>)		%		%		%		%		Ç
Numbe contrac	r of										

PART III.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS--Continued

Unless otherwise requested, please answer all questions in the rest of Part III based on your firm's total U.S. imports of LEU, both enrichment and EUP, from France during January 2007-March 2013. If your response differs by import contract/agreement or type of customer, please explain in the space provided or attach a separate explanation, noting the question number. Unless otherwise specified, shipments or imports refer to book transfers and physical movements of LEU.

III-7.	Price setting How does your firm determine the prices that it charges for sales of LEU (check
	all that apply)? If your firm issues price lists, please submit sample pages of a recent list.

Transaction by transaction	Contracts	Set price lists	Other	If other, describe

III-8. **Discount policy.--** Please indicate and describe your firm's discount policies (*check all that apply*).

Quantity discounts	Annual total volume discounts	No discount policy	Other	Describe

III-9. Pricing terms for LEU.--

(a) What are your firm's typical sales terms for its imported LEU?

Net 30 days	Net 60 days	2/10 net 30 days	Other	Other (specify)

(b) On what basis are your prices of imported LEU usually quoted? (check one)

Delivered	F.o.b.	If f.o.b., specify point

PART III.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS--Continued

III-10. <u>Contract versus spot.</u>--Approximately what share of your firm's sales of its imported LEU from France in 2012 was on a (1) long-term contract basis, (2) short-term contract basis, and (3) spot sales basis?

Type of sale	<u>Share</u> 2012 s	
Long-term contracts (multiple deliveries for more than 12 months)		%
Short-term contracts (multiple deliveries up to and including 12 months)		%
Spot sales (for a single delivery)		%
Total	100	%

III-11. <u>Contract provisions.</u>— Please fill out the table with respect to provisions of your typical sales contracts for LEU from France (or check "not applicable" if your firm does not sell on a long-term and/or short-term contract basis).

Typical sales contract provisions	Item	Short-term contracts (multiple deliveries up to and including 12 months)	Long-term contracts (multiple deliveries for more than 12 months)
Average contract duration	Number of days		
Price renegotiation (during the contract period)	Yes		
	No		
Fixed quantity and/or price	Quantity		
	Price		
	Both		
Meet or release provision	Yes		
	No		
Standard quantity requirements	Yes		
	No		
Not applicable			

PART III.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS--Continued

_	
p 2 re	Please describe if your firm has had to renegotiate long-term contracts, due to meet-or-reprovisions or other contract specifications, for imported LEU from France since January 1 007. Include information about the number of contracts and percent of the total that have enegotiated, the reason(s) why they were renegotiated, and the quantities involved (in number of SWUs and/or kgs of U in EUP).
	c) Identify and explain the typical factors discussed between your firm and its U.S. custor
L p	nd/or factors that you consider in arriving at a SPOT enrichment fee/EUP price for import. EU from France since January 1, 2007. Include the role of published enrichment fees/E rices, and if your firm issues price lists, please include a copy of your most recent list without submission. Also discuss the tails assay range allowed by your firm.
2	If you did not satisfy all or a part of your contractual obligations to U.S. end users during 007-12 with imports of LEU from France, please explain how you did satisfy your obligation provide LEU to U.S. end users during the period of review. If your response for this

PART III.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS--Continued

III-13. (a) Please discuss below the three largest multi-year sales/purchase contracts for enrichment that you negotiated since January 1, 2007 involving your imported LEU from France. Report sale contract information if you sold the subject imported product to a U.S. customer and report purchase contract information if you imported the subject product for your own use.

Item	Contract 1	Contract 2	Contract 3
Type of sales contract			
Name and type (electric utility, trader,			
etc.) of U.S. customer			
Total contract quantity (in kgs of U			
contained in the LEU and in SWUs)			
Beginning and ending production			
dates of the full contract			
Description of the enrichment			
fee/product price provisions, including			
any fee floors, ceilings, escalator			
clauses, and any meet-or-release fee			
provisions. Identify and explain the			
factors considered by your firm and/or			
discussed with your customer in			
arriving at the contract's enrichment			
fee provisions			
Report total quantity (in kgs of U and			
in SWUs) and the weighted-average			
enrichment fee/product price for			
production of LEU under this contract			
that 1) occurred during 2007-12, and			
2) are expected to occur during 2013-			
14			
Is this enrichment contract linked to	□No	☐ No	☐ No
contracts for the supply of natural			
uranium or for conversion? If yes,	∐ Yes	∐ Yes	∐ Yes
please describe those contract			
provisions, including the contract	Explain:	Explain:	Explain:
quantity and the weighted average			
price involved.			

PART III.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS -- Continued

III-13..-- Continued.

(b) Please discuss below the three largest multi-year sales/purchase contracts to provide EUP that you negotiated since January 1, 2007 involving your imported LEU from France. Report sale contract information if you sold the subject imported product to a U.S. customer and report purchase contract information if you imported the subject product for your own use.

lto	0 1 1 - 1	0 1 1 0	0
Item	Contract 1	Contract 2	Contract 3
Type of sales contract			
Name and type (electric utility, trader,			
etc.) of U.S. customer			
Total contract quantity (in kgs of U			
contained in the LEU and in SWUs)			
Beginning and ending production			
dates of the full contract			
Description of the enrichment			
fee/product price provisions, including			
any fee floors, ceilings, escalator			
clauses, and any meet-or-release fee			
provisions. Identify and explain the			
factors considered by your firm and/or			
discussed with your customer in			
arriving at the contract's enrichment			
fee provisions			
Report total quantity (in kgs of U and			
in SWUs) and the weighted-average			
enrichment fee/product price for			
production of LEU under this contract			
that 1) occurred during 2007-12, and			
2) are expected to occur during 2013-			
14			
Is this enrichment contract linked to	□No	□No	□No
contracts for the supply of natural			
uranium or for conversion? If yes,	☐ Yes	☐ Yes	☐ Yes
please describe those contract			
provisions, including the contract	Explain:	Explain:	Explain:
quantity and the weighted average			
price involved.			

III-14. Lead times.—

(a) What is the average lead time between a customer's order and the date of delivery for your firm's sales of LEU imported from France?

<u>Source</u>	Share of 2012 sales	<u>Lead time</u> (days)
From U.S. inventory	%	
From foreign manufacturers' inventory	%	
Produced to order	%	
Total	100 %	

III-14. Lead times. — Continued	III-14.	Lead	times.—	- Continuec
--	---------	------	---------	-------------

(b) Please discuss the extent to which delivery lead times are important when your firm offers its spot market sales of LEU imported from France to U.S. customers. In addition, discuss whether your imports of LEU from France are generally available with either shorter or longer lead times than U.Sproduced LEU, and please take into consideration U.S. inventories of U.Sproduced and imported LEU.
(c) Please report your firm's average delivery lead time (in number of days) for U.S. spot market delivery of LEU imported from France in 2012. Have average lead times changed sinc January 1, 2007? If yes, note dates of and reason(s) for any changes.

III-15.		Have individual U.S. producers, importers, or foreign producers/exporters influenced U.S. market prices since January 1, 2007?							
		YesPlease identify the production origin. Also discuss the specific influenced the U.S. market praise the price, and why your producer/importer were responsentire U.S. market Please discussed in times are important when you and EUP to U.S. customers. LEU is generally available with than imported LEU from Franch and please take into consideration well as U.S. importers' inventions.	ific time period(s) vice, whether the effirm feels that actionsible for price flucuss the extent to war firm offers its spound in addition, discuss ith either shorter or note when competination your firm's in	when the firm fect was to lower or ons of an individual ctuations in the chich delivery lead of market enrichment whether imported longer lead times g in the spot market,					
III-16.	Shippir	ng information							
	(a)	What is the approximate percentage of the total defrance that is accounted for by U.S. inland transport							
	(b)	Who generally arranges the transportation to your your firm purchaser (check one)	customers' locatio	ns?					
	(c)	When you sell LEU imported from France, from v point of importation storage facility (check		,					
	(d)	Indicate the approximate percentage of your sales delivered the following distances from your U.S. I		From France that are					
		Distance from your U.S. point of shipment	Share						
		Within 100 miles	%						
		101 to 1,000 miles	%						
		Over 1,000 miles	%						
		Total	100 %						

PART III.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS--Continued

III-17. <u>Geographical shipments.--</u> What is the geographic market area in the United States served by your firm's shipments of LEU imported from France? (check all that apply)

Geographic area	if applicable
NortheastCT, ME, MA, NH, NJ, NY, PA, RI, and VT.	
MidwestIL, IN, IA, KS, MI, MN, MO, NE, ND, OH, SD, and WI.	
SoutheastAL, DE, DC, FL, GA, KY, MD, MS, NC, SC, TN, VA, and WV.	
Central SouthwestAR, LA, OK, and TX.	
MountainsAZ, CO, ID, MT, NV, NM, UT, and WY.	
Pacific CoastCA, OR, and WA.	
Other .—All other markets in the United States not previously listed, including AK, HI, PR, and VI, among others.	

III-18. **End uses.--**List the end uses of the LEU that you import from France. For each end-use product, what percentage of the <u>total cost</u> is accounted for by LEU and other inputs?

	Share of total cost of end use product accounted for by		
End use product	LEU (percent)	Other inputs (percent)	Total
	%	%	100%
	%	%	100%
	%	%	100%

III-19. <u>Changes in end uses.</u>—Have there been any changes in the end uses of LEU since January 1, 2007? Do you anticipate any future changes?

Changes in end uses	No	Yes	Explain
Changes since 2007			
Anticipated changes			

PART III.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS -- Continued III-20. **Substitutes.--** Can other products be substituted for LEU? ☐ No Yes--Please fill out the table. Have changes in the prices of this substitute affected the price for LEU? End use in which this No Yes **Substitute** substitute is used **Explanation** 2. 3. III-21. Changes in substitutes.-- Have there been any changes in the number or types of products that can be substituted for LEU since January 1, 2007? Do you anticipate any future changes? Changes in substitutes No Yes Explain Changes since 2007 Anticipated changes III-22. Raw materials.— To what extent have changes in the prices of raw materials affected your firm's selling prices for LEU since January 1, 2007? (b) Do you anticipate changes in your raw material costs in the foreseeable future? Yes—Please explain. □ No

III-23.	supply produc produc	es in factors affecting supplyHave any changes occurred in any other factors affecting (e.g., changes in availability or prices of energy or labor; transportation conditions; tion capacity and/or methods of production; technology; export markets; or alternative tion opportunities) that affected the availability of U.Sproduced LEU in the U.S. market anuary 1, 2007?
	□ No	YesPlease provide details.
III-24.	Availa	bility of "subject" import supply
	(a)	Do you anticipate any changes in terms of the availability of LEU imported from France in the U.S. market?
		☐ Increase ☐ No change ☐ Decrease
	(b)	If you anticipate changes in supply, please identify the changes, and why you anticipate these changes in supply.
III-25.		bility of "nonsubject" import supply Has the availability of <u>NONSUBJECT</u> LEU (<i>i.e.</i> nported from countries other than France) changed since January 1, 2007?
	□ No	YesPlease explain.

	J.S. producers, importers, or fore t fees or EUP prices since Janua	eign producers/exporters influenced U.S. ary 1, 2007?	
□ No	origin. Also discuss the influenced the U.S. mark raise the price, and why	ne producer/importer and the country of e specific time period(s) when the firm eket price, whether the effect was to lower your firm feels that actions of an individ responsible for price fluctuations in the experiments of the experiments o	ual
been an increase o	•	hanged since January 1, 2007? If there ha effect it has had, including information fo delivery in 2013-14.	
has been an incre		arket changed since January 1, 2007? If the the effect it has had, including information delivery in 2013-14.	
France (e.g., your	r U.S. warehouse, fabricator's lo	U.S. inventories of its imports of LEU fro ocation, etc.). Also explain how much of its selling prices of French LEU.	

III-29.				ventories of uranium held by USEC have had any effect on current S.S. market since January 1, 2007 and/or whether any effects are
III-30.	market and alterna sales arrangement	ative s, or	count other	ribe your firm's ability to shift its sales of LEU between the U.S. try markets. In your discussion, please describe any contracts, other constraints that would prevent or retard your firm from shifting LEU ative country markets within a 12-month period.
III-31.				here been any significant changes in the product range, product mix, anuary 1, 2007? Do you anticipate any future changes?
	Changes in product range, product mix, or marketing	No	Yes	Explain
	Changes since 2007			
	Anticipated changes			

PART III.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS--Continued

No

III-32. <u>Demand trends.--</u> Indicate how demand within the United States and outside of the United States (if known) for LEU has changed since January 1, 2007, and how you anticipate demand will change in the future. Describe the principal factors that affect demand.

f competitude inctive to be	ion	Anticipat	ted future de					
f competit J market si								
f competit J market si								
f competit J market si								
f competit J market si								
f competit J market si								
J market sı								
No (skip to question III-34.) Yes Please describe and then answer part								
(b) If yes, have there been changes in the business cycles or conditions of compet since January 1, 2007? No Yes Please describe.								

PART III.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS -- Continued

III-34.	Price comparisonsPlease compare market prices of LEU in U.S. and non-U.S. markets.
	Provide information as to time periods and regions for any price comparisons.

- III-35. Market studies.--Please provide as a separate attachment to this request any studies, surveys, etc. that you are aware of that quantify and/or otherwise discuss LEU supply (including production capacity and capacity utilization) and demand in (1) the United States, (2) each of the other major producing/consuming countries, including France, and (3) the world as a whole. Of particular interest is such data from 2007 to the present and forecasts for the future.
- III-36. <u>Interchangeability by country-pair</u>.--Is LEU produced in the United States and in other countries interchangeable (*i.e.*, can they physically be used in the same applications)?

Please indicate A, F, S, N, or 0 in the table below:

- A = the products from a specified country-pair are *always* interchangeable
- F = the products are *frequently* interchangeable
- S = the products are *sometimes* interchangeable
- N = the products are *never* interchangeable
- 0 = no familiarity with products from a specified country-pair

Country-pair	France	Other countries				
United States						
France						
¹ For any country-pair producing LEU which is <i>sometimes or never</i> interchangeable, please explain the factors that limit or preclude interchangeable use. In your response relating to "othe countries," if the degree of interchangeability differs for different nonsubject countries, please note this below.						
² Please note the country/countries of origin of the LEU that are included in the "other countrie category.						

PART III.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS -- Continued

III-37. <u>Differences other than price by country-pair</u>.--Are differences other than price (*i.e.*, quality, availability, transportation network, product range, technical support, *etc.*) between LEU produced in the United States and in other countries a significant factor in your firm's sales of the products?

Please indicate A, F, S, N, or 0 in the table below:

A = such differences are *always* significant

F = such differences are *frequently* significant

S = such differences are *sometimes* significant

N =such differences are *never* significant

0 = no familiarity with products from a specified country-pair

Country-pair	France	Other countries ²
United States		
France		
always or frequentl		act characteristics or sales conditions are ales of U.Sproduced LEU, please report s.
² Please note th countries" category	e country/countries of origin of the LI	EU that are included in the "other

PART III.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS--Continued

III-38. Please provide the names and addresses of your firm's 10 largest customers for your imports of LEU since January 1, 2007. Please also provide the name and telephone number of a contact person and the share of the quantity of your firm's imported LEU that each of these customers accounted for in 2012.

No.	Customer's Name	Street address (not P.O. box), city, state, and zip code	Contact Person	Area code and telephone number	Share of 2012 sales(%)
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9	_				
10					