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### Introduction

The U.S. Department of Education’s Policy and Program Studies Service (PPSS) is conducting an evaluation of the Carol M. White Physical Education Program (PEP). As part of this evaluation, this survey asks about the design and implementation of your PEP grant. Your input is critical to understanding the implementation of PEP projects.

### Survey Instructions

The survey will take approximately 60 minutes to complete. Not all items in the survey may apply to your PEP grant. Please follow the skip patterns noted next to particular items as you complete the survey – they will tell you whether or not you should skip ahead to a later question. If there is not an arrow next to your response and there is no indication that you should skip ahead, then just continue to the next item.

While this survey is designed for Project Directors of PEP grants, if necessary, please share the survey with other staff members knowledgeable about the project to ensure that the most complete and accurate information is recorded.

As a recipient of a PEP grant, your participation in the study is required under ESEA, Sec. 9306(a) (4). Your responses will be aggregated when presenting findings to the U.S. Department of Education (ED) and for reporting purposes.

### Returning the Survey

When you have completed the survey, **please return it to the email address provided no later than DATE**. If you have any questions about the study or would like to request a paper copy of the survey with a pre-addressed, pre-paid envelope, please feel free to contact Ms. Andrea Coombes, Survey Coordinator, by mail, phone, or email:

Andrea Coombes

American Institutes for Research

1000 Thomas Jefferson St., NW

Washington, DC 20007

(202) 403-5278

[acoombes@air.org](mailto:acoombes@air.org)

***We look forward to receiving your responses and thank you in advance for your cooperation.***

**Background**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. | What is your current occupation? |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Occupation | Check all that apply |
| a. Project Director for a Carol M. White Physical Education Program (PEP) grant | ❑ |
| b. District administrator  Please specify your job title: | ❑ |
| c. School administrator  Please specify your job title: | ❑ |
| d. Instructor/teacher  Please specify school level(s):   |  |  | | --- | --- | | School level | Check all  that apply | | 1. Elementary | ❑ | | 2. Middle | ❑ | | 3. Secondary | ❑ | | 4. College/university | ❑ | | 5. Other, please specify: | ❑ | | ❑ |
| e. Financial director/coordinator  Please specify your job title: | ❑ |
| f. Other, please specify: | ❑ |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 2. | Please indicate if your LEA engaged in or received funds from the following programs **before** and/or **since** receiving your current PEP grant award. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Program | Participant or recipient | |
| Check all that apply | |
| Before | Since |
| a. CDC’s Coordinated School Health program | ❑ | ❑ |
| b. USDA’s Team Nutrition initiative (Team Nutrition Training Grant) | ❑ | ❑ |
| c. Recovery Act Communities Putting Prevention to Work-Community Initiative | ❑ | ❑ |
| d. Any program authorized by the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act and the Child Nutrition and WIC Reauthorization Act of 2004 | ❑ | ❑ |

**PEP Grant Target Population**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 3. | Does your PEP grant serve all schools in your school district? | | | |
|  | a. Yes | ❑ |  | **Skip to 5** |
|  | b. No | ❑ |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 4. | Please indicate the reason(s) why your PEP grant does not serve all the schools in your LEA. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Reason not served | Check all that apply |
| a. Grant only targeted to reach certain groups of students  (e.g., specific grades, students with special needs) | ❑ |
| b. Not enough funding | ❑ |
| c. Some schools did not agree to participate | ❑ |
| d. Other, please specify: | ❑ |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 5. | Please indicate the number of schools and number of students your PEP grant has served at each education level to date. If your PEP grant does not target or serve a given level, indicate “0.” |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Education level | Number served to date | |
| Schools | Students |
| a. Elementary | \_\_\_ | \_\_\_ |
| b. Middle | \_\_\_ | \_\_\_ |
| c. Secondary | \_\_\_ | \_\_\_ |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 6. | Please indicate the grade levels your PEP grant serves. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Grade level | Check all that apply |
| a. Kindergarten | ❑ |
| b. 1st grade | ❑ |
| c. 2nd grade | ❑ |
| d. 3rd grade | ❑ |
| e. 4th grade | ❑ |
| f. 5th grade | ❑ |
| g. 6th grade | ❑ |
| h. 7th grade | ❑ |
| i. 8th grade | ❑ |
| j. 9th grade | ❑ |
| k. 10th grade | ❑ |
| l. 11th grade | ❑ |
| m. 12th grade | ❑ |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 7. | Of the population your PEP grant serves, please indicate if your grant has activities specifically targeted at reaching or accommodating any of the following groups. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Group | Check all that apply |
| a. Students with physical disabilities | ❑ |
| b. Students with learning disabilities | ❑ |
| c. Boys | ❑ |
| d. Girls | ❑ |
| e. Hispanic/Latino students, of any race | ❑ |
| f. Black or African American students | ❑ |
| g. Native American students | ❑ |
| h. Students of other race/ethnicity, please specify: | ❑ |
| i. ELL/LEP students | ❑ |
| j. Students receiving free or reduced-price lunch | ❑ |
| k. Other, please specify: | ❑ |

**PEP Grant Design and Implementation**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 8. | From the following list, please indicate the type of personnel involved in the implementation of your PEP grant. |

| Position | Check all that apply |
| --- | --- |
| a. District administrator(s) | ❑ |
| b. School administrator(s) | ❑ |
| c. Grant writer(s) | ❑ |
| d. District financial director(s)/coordinator(s) | ❑ |
| e. Building and grounds director(s) | ❑ |
| f. Physical education coordinator(s) | ❑ |
| g. Physical education/health education teacher(s) | ❑ |
| h. District health/wellness coordinator(s) or committee | ❑ |
| i. Food/nutrition service coordinator(s) | ❑ |
| j. Nutritionist(s) | ❑ |
| k. Personnel from a local public health agency | ❑ |
| l. Health care professional(s; e.g., physician, RD, nurse) | ❑ |
| m. Official(s) from local government | ❑ |
| n. Official(s) from state government | ❑ |
| o. Mental health care professional(s; e.g., counselor, psychologist) | ❑ |
| p. Professional development provider(s) | ❑ |
| q. Community-based organization (CBO) personnel | ❑ |
| r. Curricula coordinator(s) | ❑ |
| s. Curricula developer(s) | ❑ |
| t. Athletic director(s) | ❑ |
| u. Administrative/clerical staff | ❑ |
| v. University personnel | ❑ |
| w. University students | ❑ |
| x. Students (beyond basic participation and self-recording) | ❑ |
| y. Parents | ❑ |
| z. Project evaluator(s) | ❑ |
| aa. Vendor(s) | ❑ |
| ab. Other, please specify: | ❑ |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 9. | Please provide the **module score** from the overall score cards for the four modules of the School Health Index (SHI) self-assessment tool completed during the grant application process. In addition, please indicate those areas your PEP grant’s School Health Improvement Plan addressed. |

| Area | Module Score | Addressed in School Health Improvement Plan |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Check all that apply |
| a. School health and safety policies and environment | \_\_ | ❑ |
| b. Health education | \_\_ | ❑ |
| c. Physical education and other physical activity programs | \_\_ | ❑ |
| d. Nutrition services | \_\_ | ❑ |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 10. | Using the scale below, please indicate the extent to which each of the following physical fitness related components were a focus of your proposed PEP grant. If a component was not proposed to be addressed by your PEP grant, please indicate “1.” |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1**  Not a focus | **2**  Minimal  focus | **3**  Moderate focus | **4**  Significant focus |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Physical fitness component | Select one per row | | | |
| a. Fitness education and assessment to help students understand, improve, or maintain their physical well-being | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| b. Instruction in a variety of motor skills and physical activities designed to enhance the physical, mental, and social or emotional development of every student | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| c. Development of, and instruction in, cognitive concepts about motor skills and physical fitness that support a lifelong healthy lifestyle | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| d. Opportunities to develop positive social and cooperative skills through physical activity participation | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| e. Opportunities for professional development for teachers of physical education to stay abreast of the latest research, issues, and trends in the field of physical education | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 11. | Please indicate any **physical** activitiesyour LEA engaged in **before** receiving your current PEP grant, as well as those your PEP project has engaged in **since** receiving the grant. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Physical activity | Check all that apply | |
| Before | Since |
| a. Develop or redesign physical education policies | ❑ | ❑ |
| b. Create a new physical education program | ❑ | ❑ |
| c. Improve an existing physical education program | ❑ | ❑ |
| d. Improve physical education instruction related to physical fitness | ❑ | ❑ |
| e. Improve physical education instruction specific to physical activity | ❑ | ❑ |
| f. Improve physical education instruction related to cognitive concepts | ❑ | ❑ |
| g. Improve personnel/staff capacity to provide physical education instruction (e.g., professional development) | ❑ | ❑ |
| h. Improve student engagement in physical activities external to school-based curricula | ❑ | ❑ |
| i. Increase family involvement in student physical fitness | ❑ | ❑ |
| j. Promote social and cooperative skills in physical fitness | ❑ | ❑ |
| k. Other, please specify: | ❑ | ❑ |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 12. | Please indicate the **healthy eating habits and good nutrition** activitiesyour LEA engaged in **before** receiving your current PEP grant, as well as those your PEP project has engaged in **since** receiving the grant. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Healthy eating habits and good nutrition activity | Check all that apply | |
| Before | Since |
| a. Promote nutrition awareness to parents and communities  (e.g., seminars, nutrition information flyers) | ❑ | ❑ |
| b. Integrate nutrition education and nutritional themes into subject areas | ❑ | ❑ |
| c. Develop new curricula for nutrition education | ❑ | ❑ |
| d. Revise/expand existing curricula for nutrition education | ❑ | ❑ |
| e. Integrate school food service and nutrition education | ❑ | ❑ |
| f. Provide nutrition education pre-service and ongoing in-service training to teachers and staff | ❑ | ❑ |
| g. Involve parents and the community in supporting nutrition education | ❑ | ❑ |
| h. Improve instruction on nutrition education | ❑ | ❑ |
| i. Provide training for school staff to identify unhealthy eating behaviors in students and make referrals to appropriate services | ❑ | ❑ |
| j. Facilitate coordination between food service and classroom instruction | ❑ | ❑ |
| k. Encourage healthy eating habits in after-school programs | ❑ | ❑ |
| l. Establish a district-wide nutrition education committee | ❑ | ❑ |
| m. Other, please specify: | ❑ | ❑ |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 13. | Has your PEP grant proposed to develop, revise, or enhance physical education and/or nutrition education curricula? | | | |
|  | a. Yes | ❑ |  |  |
|  | b. No | ❑ |  | **Skip to 16** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 14. | Please select the best response related to your PEP grant’s use of the Physical Education Curriculum Analysis Tool (PECAT) or the healthy eating module of the Health Education Curriculum Analysis Tool (HECAT) to inform curricula development or revision. |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Use | PECAT | HECAT |  |  |
| Select one per column | Select one per column |  |  |
| a. Did not use as part of the grant application and do not plan to use over the course of the PEP grant period | ❑ | ❑ |  | **Skip to 16** |
| b. Have not used, but plan to use during the PEP grant period | ❑ | ❑ |  | **Skip to 16** |
| c. Did not use as part of the grant application but have used during the period since the PEP grant was awarded | ❑ | ❑ |  |  |
| d. Used and submitted results as part of the PEP grant application | ❑ | ❑ |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 15. | Please indicate how your PEP grant used the PECAT and/or HECAT to inform any curricula development or revision. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Use | PECAT | HECAT |
| Check all that apply | Check all that apply |
| a. Assessed the accuracy of the health, medical, and scientific information in written curriculum | ❑ | ❑ |
| b. Determined whether the curriculum content matches national standards | ❑ | ❑ |
| c. Determined whether there are protocols matched with each national standard to guide the assessments of student skills and abilities | ❑ | ❑ |
| d. Analyzed curriculum alignment with social norms among students, families, and community members | ❑ | ❑ |
| e. Assessed affordability of curriculum | ❑ | ❑ |
| f. Determined if curriculum content, materials, and instructional strategies can be successfully implemented by teachers within available time and with existing facilities and equipment | ❑ | ❑ |
| g. Created a PE curriculum revision or development committee | ❑ | ❑ |
| h. Developed new lessons, lesson plans, or learning activities | ❑ | ❑ |
| i. Developed new student assessment protocols to align with existing or new lessons, lesson plans, or learning activities | ❑ | ❑ |
| j. Developed a scope and sequence | ❑ | ❑ |
| k. Other, please specify: | ❑ | ❑ |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 16. | Please indicate how your PEP grant intends to develop, revise, or enhance **physical activity** policiesand **food- and nutrition-related** policies. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Policy action | Physical activity | Nutrition |
| Check all that apply | Check all that apply |
| a. Develop new policies | ❑ | ❑ |
| b. Revise or expand covered areas in current policies | ❑ | ❑ |
| c. Update mandates of the current policies according to state/federal standards | ❑ | ❑ |
| d. Improve implementation of physical education policies | ❑ | ❑ |
| e. Strengthen policy review | ❑ | ❑ |
| f. Strengthen policy monitoring | ❑ | ❑ |
| g. Other, please specify: | ❑ | ❑ |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 17. | Using the scale below, please indicate the extent to which your LEA’s **physical activity** **policy elements** have changed as a result of your PEP grant. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1**  No  changes | **2**  Minor  changes | **3**  Moderate  changes | **4**  Significant  changes |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Physical activity policy element | Select one per row | | | |
| a. Require the use of a standards-based sequential physical education (PE) curriculum | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| b. Require daily PE classes | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| c. Require that students are physically active for at least 50% of PE class time | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| d. Require that all PE classes are taught by credentialed, certified, and/or licensed PE instructors | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| e. Require daily recess periods | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| f. Recommend or offer physical activity through before- and/or after-school programs (e.g., clubs, intramurals) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| g. Require the establishment of safer routes to school through coordination with the community | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| h. Require annual professional development and/or training for PE teachers | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| i. Require and provide training to classroom teachers on how to incorporate physical activity into the classroom | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| j. Other, please specify: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 18. | Using the scale below, please indicate the extent to which your LEA’s **food- and nutrition-related policy elements** have changed as a result of your PEP grant. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1**  No  changes | **2**  Minor  changes | **3**  Moderate  changes | **4**  Significant  changes |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Food- and nutrition-related policy element | Select one per row | | | |
| a. Require the use and integration of a standards-based nutrition education curriculum into exiting health education | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| b. Increase consistent access to free, potable water for students | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| c. Require the integration of nutrition/healthy eating concepts into other academic subjects (e.g., science, language arts) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| d. Require annual professional development and/or training for teachers/staff who provide nutrition education | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| e. Require annual professional development and/or training for nutrition services staff | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| f. Require the adoption and implementation of strong nutrition standards for all foods sold and served in schools (e.g., vending machines, school stores, fundraisers, classroom parties) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| g. Reduce availability of foods of minimal nutritional value (FMNV) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| h. Restrict the marketing of unhealthy foods on school campuses | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| i. Other, please specify: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 19. | Did your LEA have a local wellness policy established prior to applying for your current PEP grant? | | | |
|  | a. Yes | ❑ |  | **Skip to 21** |
|  | b. No | ❑ |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 20. | Does your LEA plan to develop a local wellness policy during the current PEP grant period? | | | |
|  | a. Yes | ❑ |  |  |
|  | b. No | ❑ |  | **Skip to 23** |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 21. | Prior to the PEP grant application, did your LEA know about your local wellness policy? | | | |
|  | a. Yes | ❑ |  |  |
|  | b. No | ❑ |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 22. | Using the scale below, please indicate the extent to which your local wellness policy relates or will relate to the following **nutrition- and physical fitness-related** activities. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1**  No  relation | **2**  Minor  relation | **3**  Moderate  relation | **4**  Significant  relation |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Nutrition- and physical fitness-related activity | Select one per row | | | |
| a. Fitness education and assessment | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| b. Instruction in healthy eating habits and good nutrition | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| c. Instruction in motor skills and physical activities | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| d. Instruction in cognitive concepts about motor skills and physical fitness | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| e. Opportunities to develop positive social and cooperative skills through physical activity participation | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| f. Opportunities for professional development for teachers of physical education | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| g. Other, please specify: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 23. | Did your PEP grant project propose to align its goals with the goals and principles of the U.S. Department of Agriculture’s (USDA) HealthierUS School Challenge (HUSSC) initiative? | | | |
|  | a. Yes | ❑ |  |  |
|  | b. No | ❑ |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 24. | Did your LEA use various technologies for physical fitness and/or healthy eating habits and good nutrition activities **before** your PEP grant was awarded? | | | |
|  | a. Yes | ❑ |  |  |
|  | b. No | ❑ |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 25. | Does your PEP grant use and/or plan to use technology related to its activities? | | | |
|  | a. Yes | ❑ |  |  |
|  | b. No | ❑ |  | **Skip to 27** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 26. | Please indicate the types of technologies that will be used during your PEP grant and if these will be supported with PEP grant funds. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Technology | Use | Supported by PEP funds |
| Check all that apply | Check all that apply |
| a. Computers for teachers (specifically affiliated with grant-related activities) | ❑ | ❑ |
| b. Exergaming  Please specify type(s):   |  |  | | --- | --- | | Exergame | Check all  that apply | | 1. Dance Dance Revolution | ❑ | | 2. Wii | ❑ | | 3. Other, please specify: | ❑ | | ❑ | ❑ |
| c. HopSports | ❑ | ❑ |
| d. Smart Boards | ❑ | ❑ |
| e. Foot cameras | ❑ | ❑ |
| f. Electronic devices (e.g., heart rate monitor, accelerometer) | ❑ | ❑ |
| g. Personal fitness tracking software | ❑ | ❑ |
| h. Other, please specify: | ❑ | ❑ |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 27. | Did your LEA conduct professional development activities for physical fitness and/or healthy eating habits and good nutrition **before** your PEP grant was awarded? | | | |
|  | a. Yes | ❑ |  |  |
|  | b. No | ❑ |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 28. | Are professional development activities planned as part of your PEP grant? | | | |
|  | a. Yes | ❑ |  |  |
|  | b. No | ❑ |  | **Skip to 33** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 29. | Please indicate the professional development topics that have been or will be offered by your PEP grant. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Professional development topic | Check all that apply |
| a. Curricula development or improvement | ❑ |
| b. Pedagogy training | ❑ |
| c. Research in good nutrition | ❑ |
| d. Research in physical education | ❑ |
| e. Technology or equipment related | ❑ |
| f. Instructional strategies | ❑ |
| g. Student assessment | ❑ |
| h. Other, please specify: | ❑ |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 30. | Please indicate your PEP grant’s approaches to professional development training. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Professional development approach | Check all that apply |
| a. Individually guided development | ❑ |
| b. Inquiry | ❑ |
| c. Involvement in a development or improvement process | ❑ |
| d. Observation and assessment | ❑ |
| e. Training (e.g., train-the-trainer, train everyone) | ❑ |
| f. Online resources (e.g., webinars) | ❑ |
| g. Other, please specify: | ❑ |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 31. | Please indicate who has been and/or will be the providers of the professional development training your PEP grant plans to offer. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Professional development provider | Check all that apply |
| a. College or university | ❑ |
| b. CBO | ❑ |
| c. Federal government resource | ❑ |
| d. LEA or local private or public school | ❑ |
| e. National association | ❑ |
| f. State association | ❑ |
| g. State or local health department | ❑ |
| h. State or local education agency | ❑ |
| i. State or local government resource | ❑ |
| j. Vendor or contractor | ❑ |
| k. Other, please specify: | ❑ |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 32. | Please provide the percent of your PEP grant’s **proposed year 1 budget** that is allocated to professional development activities: | |
|  |  | % of Year 1 Budget |

**Partnerships and Collaborations**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 33. | Had your LEA established collaborations with community entities **prior** to receiving the current PEP grant? | | | |
| a. Yes | ❑ |  |  |
| b. No | ❑ |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 34. | Did your PEP grant application include an **official partner agreement**? | | | |
| a. Yes | ❑ |  |  |
| b. No | ❑ |  | **Skip to 40** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 35. | Please identify the type of community entities that your PEP project partnered with **as part of an official partner agreement**. In addition, using the scale provided, please indicate the average level of involvement each has had in implementing your PEP grant project to date. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1**  Not involved  at all | **2**  Minor  involvement | **3**  Moderate  involvement | **4**  Significant  involvement |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Community entity | Official partner | Involvement | | | |
| Check all that apply | Select one per row | | | |
| a. College or university | ❑ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| b. CBO | ❑ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| c. External evaluation/monitoring agency | ❑ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| d. Hospital or clinic | ❑ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| e. LEA’s food service or child nutrition director | ❑ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| f. Local or State public health department/board of public health | ❑ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| g. Public park or recreational authority | ❑ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| h. Other LEA(s) | ❑ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| i. Other State or local government department | ❑ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| j. Other, please specify: | ❑ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 36. | Please indicate the average level of involvement your PEP grant partners have had in the following areas. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1**  No  involvement | **2**  Minor  involvement | **3**  Moderate  involvement | **4**  Significant  involvement |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Area | Select one per row | | | |
| a. Fitness education and assessment | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| b. Instruction in healthy eating habits and good nutrition | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| c. Instruction in motor skills and physical activities | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| d. Instruction in cognitive concepts about motor skills and physical fitness | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| e. Policy development | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| f. Providing nutrition services | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| g. Providing opportunities for students to develop positive social and cooperative skills through physical activity participation | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| h. Providing teachers with professional development opportunities related to nutrition or physical fitness | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| i. Other, please specify: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 37. | Please indicate any benefits related to your PEP grant’s partnerships. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Benefit | Check all that apply |
| a. Allows personnel to focus on specific areas of expertise | ❑ |
| b. Builds upon knowledge base | ❑ |
| c. Capability of reaching more of the targeted population | ❑ |
| d. Contributes additional personnel | ❑ |
| e. Offers access to additional resources | ❑ |
| f. Provides additional funding, either directly or through funding opportunities | ❑ |
| g. Other, please specify: | ❑ |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 38. | Please describe any factors that have facilitated your PEP grant’s partnership relationship(s). |
|  |  |
|  |
|  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 39. | Please indicate the extent to which the following have been challenges in maintaining your PEP grant’s partnerships to date. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1**  Not a  challenge | **2**  Minor  challenge | **3**  Moderate  challenge | **4**  Significant  challenge |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Challenge | Select one per row | | | |
| a. Difficulty coordinating meetings and activities | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| b. Diversion of time and resources away from other priorities or obligations of the PEP grant | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| c. Entities are not knowledgeable of project goals | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| d. Difficulty communicating efficiently and in a timely manner | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| e. Diminished interest in project goals and activities | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| f. Lack of established effective communication channels | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| g. The governance structure of the partnerships does not function effectively | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| h. Lack of commitment | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| i. Different or conflicting perspectives | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| j. Dissimilarity in partners’ expectations on project activities | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| k. Interruption due to personnel turnover within community entities | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| l. Not perceived as mutually beneficial | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| m. Inadequate staff support | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| n. Interruption due to personnel turnover in the primary PEP LEA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| o. Other, please specify: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 40. | Has your PEP project attempted to establish collaborations with community entities since being awarded the grant (i.e., collaborations or partnerships that are **not part of an official partner agreement**)? | | | |
| a. Yes, we have established collaborations | ❑ |  |  |
| b. Yes, but we have been unable to establish collaborations | ❑ |  | **Skip to 44** |
| c. No, we have not attempted to establish collaborations | ❑ |  | **Skip to 45** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 41. | Please identify any community entities that your PEP project has collaborated with that are**not part of an official partner agreement**. In addition, using the scale provided, please indicate the average level of involvement each has had in implementing your PEP grant project to date. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1**  Not involved  at all | **2**  Minor  involvement | **3**  Moderate  involvement | **4**  Significant  involvement |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Community entity | Collaborator | Involvement | | | |
| Check all that apply | Select one per row | | | |
| a. College or university | ❑ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| b. CBO | ❑ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| c. External evaluation/monitoring agency | ❑ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| d. Hospital or clinic | ❑ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| e. LEA’s food service or child nutrition director | ❑ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| f. Local or State public health department/board of public health | ❑ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| g. Public park or recreational authority | ❑ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| h. Other LEA(s) | ❑ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| i. Other State or local government department | ❑ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| j. Other, please specify: | ❑ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 42. | Please indicate the average level of involvement your PEP grant collaborators (i.e., community entities **not part of an official partner agreement)** have had in the following areas. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1**  No  involvement | **2**  Minor  involvement | **3**  Moderate  involvement | **4**  Significant  involvement |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Area | Select one per row | | | |
| a. Fitness education and assessment | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| b. Instruction in healthy eating habits and good nutrition | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| c. Instruction in motor skills and physical activities | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| d. Instruction in cognitive concepts about motor skills and physical fitness | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| e. Policy development | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| f. Providing nutrition services | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| g. Providing opportunities for students to develop positive social and cooperative skills through physical activity participation | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| h. Providing teachers with professional development opportunities related to nutrition or physical fitness | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| i. Other, please specify: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 43. | Please indicate any benefits related to your PEP grant’s collaborations with community entities. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Benefit | Check all that apply |
| a. Allows personnel to focus on specific areas of expertise | ❑ |
| b. Builds upon knowledge base | ❑ |
| c. Capability of reaching more of the targeted population | ❑ |
| d. Contributes additional personnel | ❑ |
| e. Offers access to additional resources | ❑ |
| f. Provides additional funding, either directly or through funding opportunities | ❑ |
| g. Other, please specify: | ❑ |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 44. | Please indicate the extent to which the following have been challenges in establishing collaborations with community entities. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1**  Not a  challenge | **2**  Minor  challenge | **3**  Moderate  challenge | **4**  Significant  challenge |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Challenge | Select one per row | | | |
| a. Difficulty coordinating meetings and activities | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| b. Diversion of time and resources away from other priorities or obligations of the PEP grant | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| c. Entities are not knowledgeable of project goals | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| d. Difficulty communicating efficiently and in a timely manner | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| e. Diminished interest in project goals and activities | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| f. Lack of established effective communication channels | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| g. The governance structure of the collaboration(s) does not function effectively | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| h. Lack of commitment | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| i. Different or conflicting perspectives | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| j. Dissimilarity in expectations by different partners on project activities | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| k. Interruption due to personnel turnover within community entities | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| l. Not perceived as mutually beneficial | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| m. Inadequate staff support | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| n. Interruption due to personnel turnover in the primary PEP LEA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| o. Other, please specify: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

**PEP Grant Budget**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 45. | What was the total amount of your PEP award for the entire grant period? | | |
|  | $ |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 46. | Please provide the following information regarding your PEP grant budget.  i) Indicate the percent of your **proposed** PEP grant year 1 budget that was allocated to the following categories; these should total to 100%.  ii) Using the scale below, please indicate the option that best describes how much the **proposed** budget has needed to be revised to date for each of the categories. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1**  No  revision | **2**  Minor  revision | **3**  Moderate  revision | **4**  Significant  revision |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Budget categories | % Allocated | Select one per row | | | |
| a. Personnel | \_\_\_\_\_% | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| b. Fringe benefits | \_\_\_\_\_% | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| c. Travel | \_\_\_\_\_% | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| d. Equipment | \_\_\_\_\_% | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| e. Supplies | \_\_\_\_\_% | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| f. Contractual | \_\_\_\_\_% | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| g. Training stipends | \_\_\_\_\_% | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| h. Indirect costs | \_\_\_\_\_% | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| i. Other, please specify: | \_\_\_\_\_% | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| **Total Funds:** | **100%** |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 47. | Please select the reason(s) budget revisions have been or will be necessary for the first year of your PEP grant. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Reason for budget revision | Check all that apply |  |  |
| a. No revisions have been necessary | ❑ |  | **Skip to 48** |
| b. Matched funds not provided as expected | ❑ |  |  |
| c. Underestimated costs | ❑ |  |  |
| d. Unexpected costs | ❑ |  |  |
| e. Unexpected savings | ❑ |  |  |
| f. Other, please specify: | ❑ |  |  |

**PEP Grant Measures and Outcomes**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 48. | Please indicate if your LEA collected Body Mass Index (BMI) data **prior** to being awarded the current PEP grant. | | | |
|  | a. Yes | ❑ |  |  |
|  | b. No | ❑ |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 49. | Please select from the following options related to BMI data collection those that apply to your PEP grant. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| BMI measures | Check all that apply |  |  |
|  |  |
| a. BMI data collection **was not proposed** as part of the PEP grant and there currently are no plans to collect BMI data | ❑ |  | **Skip to 52** |
| b. BMI data collection was included as part of the PEP grant proposal | ❑ |  |  |
| c. BMI data collection was implemented after the PEP grant was awarded | ❑ |  |  |
| d. BMI data were collected at the start of the  2010–2011 school year (i.e., baseline/start of PEP project) | ❑ |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 50. | Please indicate the number of BMI data collections your PEP project plans to conduct over the course of the first year of the grant: | |
|  |  | Number of times data has been collected to date |
|  |  | Number of additional times data will be collected |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 51. | Please indicate how your PEP grant plans to use BMI measurements. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| BMI use | Check all that apply |
| a. To assess the weight status of the student population across time | ❑ |
| b. To calculate percentage of students of different weight statuses among the population | ❑ |
| c. To assess outcomes related to PEP grant activities | ❑ |
| d. To compare the population trends at different sites/schools | ❑ |
| e. To assess the weight status of individual students to identify those at risk for weight-related health problems | ❑ |
| f. To provide parents with information about their children’s BMI to help them take appropriate action | ❑ |
| g. To guide physical activity program development | ❑ |
| h. To guide nutrition-related program development | ❑ |
| i. To provide the data to school administrator(s)/board(s) to inform policy change | ❑ |
| j. Other, please specify: | ❑ |

|  |
| --- |
| The following series of questions asks about your PEP grant’s plans and experiences regarding data collection of **Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA)** performance measures based on the following:  Measure 1.1 The percentage of students served by the grant who engage in 60 minutes of daily physical activity.  Measure 1.2 The percentage of students served by the grant who achieve age-appropriate cardiovascular fitness levels.  Measure 1.3 The percentage of students served by the grant who consume fruit two or more times per day and vegetables three or more times per day. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 52. | For each GPRA measure, please indicate whether data was collected from the entire population served by your PEP project or from a sample of the population served. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Data collection | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| Check one | Check one | Check one |
| a. Collected data from the entire population served | ❑ | ❑ | ❑ |
| b. Collected data from a sample of the population served | ❑ | ❑ | ❑ |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 53. | For each GPRA measure, please indicate if the data collection period has taken place to date. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Collection time | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| Check all that apply | Check all that apply | Check all that apply |
| a. Baseline | ❑ | ❑ | ❑ |
| b. 1st data collection | ❑ | ❑ | ❑ |
| c. 2nd data collection | ❑ | ❑ | ❑ |
| d. 3rd data collection | ❑ | ❑ | ❑ |
| e. 4th data collection | ❑ | ❑ | ❑ |
| f. Additional data collection | ❑ | ❑ | ❑ |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 54. | Please indicate which of the uniform data collection methods your PEP grant used. If the method was used, please indicate how difficult it was to collect the required GPRA performance measures using the scale provided. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1**  Not  difficult | **2**  Slightly difficult | **3**  Moderately difficult | **4**  Extremely difficult |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Data collection method | Check all that apply | Select one per row | | | |
| a. Pedometer data for Measure 1.1 | ❑ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| b. 3-Day Physical Activity Recall (3DPAR) data for Measure 1.1 | ❑ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| c. 20-meter shuttle run data for Measure 1.2 | ❑ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| d. Nutrition-related questions from the Youth Risk Behavior Survey Measure 1.3 | ❑ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 55. | Please indicate if your LEA had used any of the data collection methods that are being used to collect GPRA performance measures **prior** to receiving your current PEP grant. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Data collection method | Check all that apply |
| a. Pedometer | ❑ |
| b. 3-Day Physical Activity Recall (3DPAR) | ❑ |
| c. 20-meter shuttle run | ❑ |
| d. Nutrition-related questions from the Youth Risk Behavior Survey Measure | ❑ |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 56. | Please indicate any additional data collection methods your PEP grant has used to collect physical activity, fitness, and/or nutrition information for elementary, middle, and secondary school-aged students to date. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Measurement method | Elementary | Middle | Secondary |
| Check all that apply | Check all that apply | Check all that apply |
| a. Logs kept by parents | ❑ | ❑ | ❑ |
| b. Logs kept by students | ❑ | ❑ | ❑ |
| c. Observations by school personnel/staff | ❑ | ❑ | ❑ |
| d. Survey(s), please specify: | ❑ | ❑ | ❑ |
| e. Accelerometers | ❑ | ❑ | ❑ |
| f. Heart rate monitors | ❑ | ❑ | ❑ |
| g. Other device(s), please specify: | ❑ | ❑ | ❑ |
| h. Other, please specify: | ❑ | ❑ | ❑ |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 57. | Please indicate if your LEA had used any of the additional data collection methods **prior** to receiving your current PEP grant. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Measurement method | Check all that apply |
| a. Logs kept by parents | ❑ |
| b. Logs kept by students | ❑ |
| c. Observations by school personnel/staff | ❑ |
| d. Survey(s), please specify: | ❑ |
| e. Accelerometers | ❑ |
| f. Heart rate monitors | ❑ |
| g. Other device(s), please specify: | ❑ |
| h. Other, please specify: | ❑ |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 58. | For each school level (i.e., elementary, middle, and secondary), please indicate if your PEP grant includes plans to collect any of the following measures and if they were collected during the first grant year to date. |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Outcome measure | Elementary | Middle | Secondary | Collected 1st year | |
| Check all that apply | Check all that apply | Check all that apply | Select one per row | |
| a. Aerobic capacity  (e.g., timed walking/running) | ❑ | ❑ | ❑ | Yes | No |
| b. Balance | ❑ | ❑ | ❑ | Yes | No |
| c. Cardio-vascular measures  (e.g., blood pressure, heart rate) | ❑ | ❑ | ❑ | Yes | No |
| d. Flexibility | ❑ | ❑ | ❑ | Yes | No |
| e. Muscular endurance | ❑ | ❑ | ❑ | Yes | No |
| f. Muscular strength | ❑ | ❑ | ❑ | Yes | No |
| g. Nutrition | ❑ | ❑ | ❑ | Yes | No |
| h. Obesity rate | ❑ | ❑ | ❑ | Yes | No |
| i. FITNESSGRAM entire battery | ❑ | ❑ | ❑ | Yes | No |
| j. Youth Risk Behavior Survey  (other than nutrition-related items) | ❑ | ❑ | ❑ | Yes | No |
| k. Other, please specify: | ❑ | ❑ | ❑ | Yes | No |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 59. | Please indicate if your LEA collected any of the following measures **prior** to receiving your current PEP grant. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Outcome measure | Check all that apply |
| a. Aerobic capacity (e.g., timed walking/running) | ❑ |
| b. Balance | ❑ |
| c. Cardio-vascular measures (e.g., blood pressure, heart rate) | ❑ |
| d. Flexibility | ❑ |
| e. Muscular endurance | ❑ |
| f. Muscular strength | ❑ |
| g. Nutrition | ❑ |
| h. Obesity rate | ❑ |
| i. FITNESSGRAM entire battery | ❑ |
| j. Youth Risk Behavior Survey (other than nutrition-related items) | ❑ |
| k. Other, please specify: | ❑ |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 60. | Using the scale below, please indicate how the GPRA performance measures relate to your PEP grant’s goals. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1**  Not  related | **2**  Minimally  related | **3**  Moderately related | **4**  Significantly related |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| GPRA performance measure | Select one  per row | | | |
| a. **Measure 1.1:** The percentage of students served by the grant who engage in 60 minutes of daily physical activity | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| b. **Measure 1.2:** The percentage of students served by the grant who achieve age-appropriate cardiovascular fitness levels | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| c. **Measure 1.3:** The percentage of students served by the grant who consume fruit two or more times per day and vegetables three or more times per day | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

**PEP Grant Implementation and Challenges**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 61. | Please indicate the degree to which your PEP grant’s planned **year 1**activities were able to be implemented to date. |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** |
|  | Very few of the activities | Less than half of the activities | Half of the activities | Majority of the activities | All of the activities |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 62. | Have you implemented any approved unplanned activities since the grant cycle started? | | | |
|  | a. Yes | ❑ |  |  |
|  | b. No | ❑ |  | **Skip to 64** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 63. | Please describe any approved unplanned activities your PEP grant has been able to implement over the course of the first year. |
|  |  |
|  |
|  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 64. | Please indicate any challenges you have encountered **to date** while implementing the first year of your PEP grant. |

| Implementation challenge | Check all that apply |
| --- | --- |
| a. Budget-related obstacles (e.g., dry-up of matching funds) | ❑ |
| b. Challenge(s) collecting GPRA measures  Please specify type of challenge(s):   |  |  | | --- | --- | | Challenge collecting measures | Check all that apply | | 1. Coordinating data collection across sites | ❑ | | 2. Failure to return requested information | ❑ | | 3. Lack of personnel/staff | ❑ | | 4. Lack of preparation time | ❑ | | 5. Loss or theft of equipment | ❑ | | 6. Malfunctioning/faulty equipment | ❑ | | 7. Lack of proper data collection/reporting by personnel/staff | ❑ | | 8. Lack of proper data collection/reporting by students | ❑ | | 9. Problems with sampling | ❑ | | 10. Requirements not clear | ❑ | | 11. Other, please specify: | ❑ | | ❑ |
| c. Delays  Please specify type of delay(s):   |  |  | | --- | --- | | Delay | Check all that apply | | 1. Administrative approval/requirements | ❑ | | 2. Arrival of ordered equipment/materials | ❑ | | 3. Hiring personnel/staff | ❑ | | 4. Other, please specify: | ❑ | | ❑ |
| d. Difficulty coordinating across sites | ❑ |
| e. Difficulty with partners and/or external collaborators | ❑ |
| f. Equipment installation and/or set-up problems | ❑ |
| g. Federal grant monitors or other federal administrative obstacles  (e.g., accessing funds) | ❑ |
| h. Lack of time to prepare for the start of the PEP grant following award notification | ❑ |
| i. Staff turnover | ❑ |
| j. Training obstacles (e.g., low attendance, longer than planned) | ❑ |
| k. Competing academic priorities or pressures | ❑ |
| l. Lack of facilities | ❑ |
| m. Other, please specify: | ❑ |
| n. No challenges | ❑ |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 65. | Please describe the greatest difficulties your PEP grant has encountered in implementing the project as designed. |
|  |  |
|  |
|  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 66. | Has your PEP grant implemented any changes and/or strategies to address these challenges? | | | |
|  | a. Yes | ❑ |  |  |
|  | b. No | ❑ |  | **Skip to 68** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 67. | Please indicate the strategies your PEP grant has implemented to address the challenges encountered to date. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Strategy | Check all that apply |
| a. Adjusted timeline | ❑ |
| b. Changed goals | ❑ |
| c. Eliminated activities/components | ❑ |
| d. Implemented alternative activities | ❑ |
| e. Identified alternate and/or additional partners/collaborators | ❑ |
| f. Reorganized personnel/staff responsibilities | ❑ |
| g. Revised data collection methods | ❑ |
| h. Other, please specify: | ❑ |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 68. | Please provide any additional information you found important related to your efforts in implementing the PEP grant as designed to date. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Thank you very much for completing this survey!**