EVALUATION OF THE CAROL M. WHITE PHYSICAL EDUCATION PROGRAM (PEP)

SURVEY OF 2010
LOCAL EDUCATION AGENCY
GRANT RECIPIENTS

YEAR 1

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

SURVEY OF 2010 PEP LOCAL EDUCATION AGENCY (LEA) GRANT RECIPIENTS: YEAR 1

Introduction

The U.S. Department of Education's Policy and Program Studies Service (PPSS) is conducting an evaluation of the Carol M. White Physical Education Program (PEP). As part of this evaluation, this survey asks about the design and implementation of your PEP grant. Your input is critical to understanding the implementation of PEP projects.

Survey Instructions

The survey will take approximately 60 minutes to complete. Not all items in the survey may apply to your PEP grant. Please follow the skip patterns noted next to particular items as you complete the survey – they will tell you whether or not you should skip ahead to a later question. If there is not an arrow next to your response and there is no indication that you should skip ahead, then just continue to the next item.

While this survey is designed for Project Directors of PEP grants, if necessary, please share the survey with other staff members knowledgeable about the project to ensure that the most complete and accurate information is recorded.

As a recipient of a PEP grant, your participation in the study is required under ESEA, Sec. 9306(a) (4). Your responses will be aggregated when presenting findings to the U.S. Department of Education (ED) and for reporting purposes.

Returning the Survey

When you have completed the survey, **please return it to the email address provided no later than DATE**. If you have any questions about the study or would like to request a paper copy of the survey with a pre-addressed, pre-paid envelope, please feel free to contact Ms. Andrea Coombes, Survey Coordinator, by mail, phone, or email:

Andrea Coombes

American Institutes for Research
1000 Thomas Jefferson St., NW
Washington, DC 20007
(202) 403-5278
acoombes@air.org

We look forward to receiving your responses and thank you in advance for your cooperation.

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is XXXX-XXXX. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 60 minutes per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: U.S. Department of Education, Washington, D.C. 20202-4537. If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form, write directly to: Policy and Program Studies Service, Office of Planning, Evaluation and Policy Development, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., [insert building/room number], Washington D.C. 20202-4537.

Background

What is your current occupation?

Occupation	Check all that apply
a. Project Director for a Carol M. White Physical Education Program (PEP) grant	
b. District administrator	
Please specify your job title:	
c. School administrator	
Please specify your job title:	
d. Instructor/teacher	
Please specify school level(s):	_
School level Check all that apply	
1. Elementary	
2. Middle	
3. Secondary4. College/university	
5. Other, please specify:	
e. Financial director/coordinator	
Please specify your job title:	
f. Other, please specify:	

Please indicate if your LEA engaged in or received funds from the following programs **before** and/or **since** receiving your current PEP grant award.

Program		or recipient that apply
	Before	Since
a. CDC's Coordinated School Health program		
b. USDA's Team Nutrition initiative (Team Nutrition T	raining Grant)	
c. Recovery Act Communities Putting Prevention to V Initiative	Vork-Community	
 d. Any program authorized by the Richard B. Russell Lunch Act and the Child Nutrition and WIC Reauth 2004 		

PEP Grant Target Population

Does your PEP grant serve all schools in your school district?	
a. Yesb. No	Skip to 5
Please indicate the reason(s) why your PEP grant does not serve LEA.	e all the schools in your
Reason not served	Check all that apply
a. Grant only targeted to reach certain groups of students (e.g., specific grades, students with special needs)	
b. Not enough funding	
c. Some schools did not agree to participate	
d. Other, please specify:	
Please indicate the number of schools and number of students yeat each education level to date. If your PEP grant does not target indicate "0."	or serve a given level,
Education level	Number served to date

Education level	I vallibel selved to date		
Education level	Schools	Students	
a. Elementary			
b. Middle			
c. Secondary			

Please indicate the grade levels your PEP grant serves.

Grade level	Check all that apply
a. Kindergarten	
b. 1 st grade	
c. 2 nd grade	
d. 3 rd grade	
e. 4 th grade	
f. 5 th grade	
g. 6 th grade	
h. 7 th grade	
i. 8 th grade	
j. 9 th grade	
k. 10 th grade	
I. 11 th grade	
m. 12 th grade	

Of the population your PEP grant serves, please indicate if your grant has activities specifically targeted at reaching or accommodating any of the following groups.

Group	Check all that apply
a. Students with physical disabilities	
b. Students with learning disabilities	
c. Boys	
d. Girls	
e. Hispanic/Latino students, of any race	
f. Black or African American students	
g. Native American students	
h. Students of other race/ethnicity, please specify:	
i. ELL/LEP students	
j. Students receiving free or reduced-price lunch	
k. Other, please specify:	

PEP Grant Design and Implementation

From the following list, please indicate the type of personnel involved in the implementation of your PEP grant.

Position	Check all that apply
a. District administrator(s)	
b. School administrator(s)	
c. Grant writer(s)	
d. District financial director(s)/coordinator(s)	
e. Building and grounds director(s)	
f. Physical education coordinator(s)	
g. Physical education/health education teacher(s)	
h. District health/wellness coordinator(s) or committee	
i. Food/nutrition service coordinator(s)	
j. Nutritionist(s)	
k. Personnel from a local public health agency	
I. Health care professional(s; e.g., physician, RD, nurse)	
m. Official(s) from local government	
n. Official(s) from state government	
o. Mental health care professional(s; e.g., counselor, psychologist)	
p. Professional development provider(s)	
q. Community-based organization (CBO) personnel	
r. Curricula coordinator(s)	
s. Curricula developer(s)	
t. Athletic director(s)	
u. Administrative/clerical staff	
v. University personnel	
w. University students	
x. Students (beyond basic participation and self-recording)	
y. Parents	

Position	Check all that apply
z. Project evaluator(s)	
aa. Vendor(s)	
ab. Other, please specify:	

Please provide the **module score** from the overall score cards for the four modules of the School Health Index (SHI) self-assessment tool completed during the grant application process. In addition, please indicate those areas your PEP grant's School Health Improvement Plan addressed.

Area	Module Score	Addressed in School Health Improvement Plan Check all that apply
a. School health and safety policies and environment		
b. Health education		
c. Physical education and other physical activity programs		
d. Nutrition services		

10. Using the scale below, please indicate the extent to which each of the following physical fitness related components were a focus of your proposed PEP grant. If a component was not proposed to be addressed by your PEP grant, please indicate "1."

1	2	3	4
Not a	Minimal	Moderate	Significant
focus	focus	focus	focus

Ph	Physical fitness component		Select one per row		
a.	Fitness education and assessment to help students understand, improve, or maintain their physical well-being	1	2	3	4
b.	Instruction in a variety of motor skills and physical activities designed to enhance the physical, mental, and social or emotional development of every student	1	2	3	4
c.	Development of, and instruction in, cognitive concepts about motor skills and physical fitness that support a lifelong healthy lifestyle	1	2	3	4
d.	Opportunities to develop positive social and cooperative skills through physical activity participation	1	2	3	4
e.	Opportunities for professional development for teachers of physical education to stay abreast of the latest research, issues, and trends in the field of physical education	1	2	3	4

11.	Please indicate any physical activities your LEA engaged in before receiving your current
	PEP grant, as well as those your PEP project has engaged in since receiving the grant.

Dhysical activity		Check all	that apply
PI	ysical activity	Before	Since
a.	Develop or redesign physical education policies		
b.	Create a new physical education program		
c.	Improve an existing physical education program		
d.	Improve physical education instruction related to physical fitness		
e.	Improve physical education instruction specific to physical activity		
f.	Improve physical education instruction related to cognitive concepts		
g.	Improve personnel/staff capacity to provide physical education instruction (e.g., professional development)		
h.	Improve student engagement in physical activities external to school-based curricula		
i.	Increase family involvement in student physical fitness		
j.	Promote social and cooperative skills in physical fitness		
k.	Other, please specify:		

Please indicate the healthy eating habits and good nutrition activitie engaged in before receiving your current PEP grant, as well as those y has engaged in since receiving the grant.	-		
Healthy eating habits and good nutrition activity	Check all that apply		
Treating eating habits and good nathabit activity	Before	Since	
a. Promote nutrition awareness to parents and communities (e.g., seminars, nutrition information flyers)			
b. Integrate nutrition education and nutritional themes into subject areas			
c. Develop new curricula for nutrition education			
d. Revise/expand existing curricula for nutrition education			
e. Integrate school food service and nutrition education			
f. Provide nutrition education pre-service and ongoing in-service training to teachers and staff			
g. Involve parents and the community in supporting nutrition education			
h. Improve instruction on nutrition education			
i. Provide training for school staff to identify unhealthy eating behaviors in students and make referrals to appropriate services			
j. Facilitate coordination between food service and classroom instruction			
k. Encourage healthy eating habits in after-school programs			

I. Establish a district-wide nutrition education committee	
m. Other, please specify:	
Has your PEP grant proposed to develop, revise, or enhance poutrition education curricula?	physical education
	ohysical education

14.	Please select the best response related to your PEP grant's use of the Physical Education
	Curriculum Analysis Tool (PECAT) or the healthy eating module of the Health Education
	Curriculum Analysis Tool (HECAT) to inform curricula development or revision.

				,
		PECAT	HECAT	
Us	se	Select one per column	Select one per column	
a.	Did not use as part of the grant application and do not plan to use over the course of the PEP grant period			Skip to
b.	Have not used, but plan to use during the PEP grant period			Skip to
C.	Did not use as part of the grant application but have used during the period since the PEP grant was awarded			
d.	Used and submitted results as part of the PEP grant application			

15. Please indicate how your PEP grant used the PECAT and/or HECAT to inform any curricula development or revision.

Use	PECAT Check all that apply	HECAT Check all that apply
Assessed the accuracy of the health, medical, and scientific information in written curriculum		
b. Determined whether the curriculum content matches national standards		
c. Determined whether there are protocols matched with each national standard to guide the assessments of student skills and abilities		
d. Analyzed curriculum alignment with social norms among students families, and community members	5,	
e. Assessed affordability of curriculum		
f. Determined if curriculum content, materials, and instructional strategies can be successfully implemented by teachers within available time and with existing facilities and equipment		
g. Created a PE curriculum revision or development committee		
h. Developed new lessons, lesson plans, or learning activities		
i. Developed new student assessment protocols to align with existing or new lessons, lesson plans, or learning activities	ng 🔲	
j. Developed a scope and sequence		
k. Other, please specify:		



16.	Please indicate how your PEP grant intends to develop, revise, or enhance physical
	activity policies and food- and nutrition-related policies.

Policy action	Physical activity Check all that apply	Nutrition Check all that apply
a. Develop new policies		
b. Revise or expand covered areas in current policies		
c. Update mandates of the current policies according to state/federal standards		
d. Improve implementation of physical education policies		
e. Strengthen policy review		
f. Strengthen policy monitoring		
g. Other, please specify:		

Using the scale below, please indicate the extent to which your LEA's **physical activity policy elements** have changed as a result of your PEP grant.

1234NoMinorModerateSignificantchangeschangeschangeschanges

Ph	Physical activity policy element				row
a.	Require the use of a standards-based sequential physical education (PE) curriculum	1	2	3	4
b.	Require daily PE classes	1	2	3	4
C.	Require that students are physically active for at least 50% of PE class time	1	2	3	4
d.	Require that all PE classes are taught by credentialed, certified, and/or licensed PE instructors	1	2	3	4
e.	Require daily recess periods	1	2	3	4
f.	Recommend or offer physical activity through before- and/or after- school programs (e.g., clubs, intramurals)	1	2	3	4
g.	Require the establishment of safer routes to school through coordination with the community	1	2	3	4
h.	Require annual professional development and/or training for PE teachers	1	2	3	4
i.	Require and provide training to classroom teachers on how to incorporate physical activity into the classroom	1	2	3	4
j.	Other, please specify:	1	2	3	4

.8.		Using the scale below, please indicate the extent to which your LEA nutrition-related policy elements have changed as a result of your							
		1 No changes	2 Minor changes	3 Moderate changes	4 Significant changes		icant		
	Foo	od- and nutrition-relate	ed policy element		Sel	ect on	e per	row	
			integration of a standard into exiting health educ		1	2	3	4	
	b.	Increase consistent a	access to free, potable w	ater for students	1	2	3	4	
			on of nutrition/healthy ea e.g., science, language a	nting concepts into other arts)	1	2	3	4	
		d. Require annual professional development and/or training for teachers/staff who provide nutrition education					3	4	
		 e. Require annual professional development and/or training for nutrition services staff f. Require the adoption and implementation of strong nutrition standards for all foods sold and served in schools (e.g., vending machines, school stores, fundraisers, classroom parties) 				2	3	4	
						2	3	4	
	g.	Reduce availability o	f foods of minimal nutriti	onal value (FMNV)	1	2	3	4	
	h.	Restrict the marketin	g of unhealthy foods on	school campuses	1	2	3	4	
	i.	Other, please specify	<i>r</i> :		1	2	3	4	
19.	PEP	grant?	cal wellness policy es	tablished prior to applyin	g for y		urrent		
20.	Doe	b. No							
	a. Yo	es		📮					
	b. N	0				S	kip to	23	

21.	Prior to the PEP grant application, did your LEA know about your local wellness policy?							
	a. Yesb. No							
22.	Using the scale below, please indicate the extent to which your local was relates or will relate to the following nutrition- and physical fitness-relation 1 2 3 No Minor Moderate relation relation	elated		/ities.				
	Nutrition- and physical fitness-related activity	Sel	ect on	e per i	row			
	a. Fitness education and assessment	1	2	3	4			
	b. Instruction in healthy eating habits and good nutrition	1	2	3	4			
	c. Instruction in motor skills and physical activities	1	2	3	4			
	d. Instruction in cognitive concepts about motor skills and physical fitness	1	2	3	4			
	e. Opportunities to develop positive social and cooperative skills through physical activity participation	1	2	3	4			
	f. Opportunities for professional development for teachers of physical education	1	2	3	4			
	g. Other, please specify:	1	2	3	4			
23.	Did your PEP grant project propose to align its goals with the goals an U.S. Department of Agriculture's (USDA) HealthierUS School Challenginitiative? a. Yes				e			

24.	Did your LEA use various technologies for physical fitness and good nutrition activities before your PEP grant was aw a. Yes		ating habits
25.	Does your PEP grant use and/or plan to use technology rel a. Yes	ated to its activit	ies? Skip to 27
26.	Please indicate the types of technologies that will be used of these will be supported with PEP grant funds. Technology a. Computers for teachers (specifically affiliated with grant-related activities) b. Exergaming Please specify type(s): Exergame 1. Dance Dance Revolution 2. Wii 3. Other, please specify:	Use Check all that apply	Supported by PEP funds Check all that apply
	c. HopSports		
	d. Smart Boards		
	e. Foot cameras		
	f. Electronic devices (e.g., heart rate monitor, accelerometer)		
	g. Personal fitness tracking software		
	h. Other, please specify:		

27.	Did your LEA conduct professional development activities for physical healthy eating habits and good nutrition before your PEP grant was a a. Yes			
28.	Are professional development activities planned as part of your PEP of a. Yes	grant? Skip to 33		
29. Please indicate the professional development topics that have been or will be offered your PEP grant.				
	Professional development topic	Check all that apply		
	a. Curricula development or improvement			
	b. Pedagogy training			
	c. Research in good nutrition			
	d. Research in physical education			
	e. Technology or equipment related			
	f. Instructional strategies	Ц		
	g. Student assessment	<u> </u>		
	h. Other, please specify:	Ц		

Professional development approach	Check all that apply
a. Individually guided development	
b. Inquiry	

Please indicate your PEP grant's approaches to professional development training.

30.

b. Inquiry

c. Involvement in a development or improvement process

d. Observation and assessment

e. Training (e.g., train-the-trainer, train everyone)

31. Please indicate who has been and/or will be the providers of the professional development training your PEP grant plans to offer.

f. Online resources (e.g., webinars)

g. Other, please specify: _

Professi	onal development provider	Check all that apply
a. Colle	ge or university	
b. CBO		
c. Fede	ral government resource	
d. LEA	or local private or public school	
e. Natio	nal association	
f. State	association	
g. State	or local health department	
h. State	or local education agency	
i. State	or local government resource	
j. Vend	or or contractor	
k. Othe	r, please specify:	

32.	Please provide the percent of your PEP grant's proposed year 1 budget that is allocated to professional development activities:
	to professional development activities:
	% of Year 1 Budget

Partnerships and Collaborations

Not involved

at all

33.	Had your LEA establish current PEP grant?	ed collaborations with	community entities pr i	or to receiving the
	a. Yesb. No			
34.	Did your PEP grant appa. Yesb. No		<u> </u>	nt? Skip to 40
35.	Please identify the type of an official partner a the average level of inv date.	igreement . In addition,	using the scale provid	ded, please indicate
	1	2	3	4

Minor

involvement

	Official partner		nvolv	emer	nt
Community entity	Check all that apply	Select one per row			
a. College or university		1	2	3	4
b. CBO		1	2	3	4
c. External evaluation/monitoring agency		1	2	3	4
d. Hospital or clinic		1	2	3	4
e. LEA's food service or child nutrition director		1	2	3	4
f. Local or State public health department/board of public health		1	2	3	4
g. Public park or recreational authority		1	2	3	4
h. Other LEA(s)		1	2	3	4
i. Other State or local government department		1	2	3	4
j. Other, please specify:		1	2	3	4

Moderate

involvement

Significant involvement

36. Please indicate the average level of involvement your PEP grant partners have had in the following areas.

1234NoMinorModerateSignificantinvolvementinvolvementinvolvementinvolvement

Area			Select one per row			
a. Fitness education and assessment	1	2	3	4		
b. Instruction in healthy eating habits and good nutrition	1	2	3	4		
c. Instruction in motor skills and physical activities	1	2	3	4		
d. Instruction in cognitive concepts about motor skills and physical fitness	1	2	3	4		
e. Policy development	1	2	3	4		
f. Providing nutrition services	1	2	3	4		
g. Providing opportunities for students to develop positive social and cooperative skills through physical activity participation	1	2	3	4		
h. Providing teachers with professional development opportunities related to nutrition or physical fitness	1	2	3	4		
i. Other, please specify:	. 1	2	3	4		

37. Please indicate any benefits related to your PEP grant's partnerships.

Benefit	Check all that apply
a. Allows personnel to focus on specific areas of expertise	
b. Builds upon knowledge base	
c. Capability of reaching more of the targeted population	
d. Contributes additional personnel	
e. Offers access to additional resources	
f. Provides additional funding, either directly or through funding opportunities	
g. Other, please specify:	

Please describe any factors that have facilitated your PEP grant's partnership relationship(s).

39. Please indicate the extent to which the following have been challenges in maintaining your PEP grant's partnerships to date.

1234Not aMinorModerateSignificantchallengechallengechallengechallenge

Challenge Select one p				e per	row
a.	Difficulty coordinating meetings and activities	1	2	3	4
b.	Diversion of time and resources away from other priorities or obligations of the PEP grant	1	2	3	4
c.	Entities are not knowledgeable of project goals	1	2	3	4
d.	Difficulty communicating efficiently and in a timely manner	1	2	3	4
e.	Diminished interest in project goals and activities	1	2	3	4
f.	Lack of established effective communication channels	1	2	3	4
g.	The governance structure of the partnerships does not function effectively	1	2	3	4
h.	Lack of commitment	1	2	3	4
i.	Different or conflicting perspectives	1	2	3	4
j.	Dissimilarity in partners' expectations on project activities	1	2	3	4
k.	Interruption due to personnel turnover within community entities	1	2	3	4
l.	Not perceived as mutually beneficial	1	2	3	4
m.	Inadequate staff support	1	2	3	4
n.	Interruption due to personnel turnover in the primary PEP LEA	1	2	3	4
0.	Other, please specify:	1	2	3	4

40.	Has your PEP project attempted to establish collaborations with community entities since being awarded the grant (i.e., collaborations or partnerships that are not part of an official partner agreement)?				e:e			
	a. Yes, we have establish	ed collaborations	[
	b. Yes, but we have been collaborations		[Skip	to 4	4
	c. No, we have not attempt collaborations	oted to establish				Skip	to 4	5
41.	Please identify any common are not part of an official please indicate the average grant project to date.	partner agreemen	t . In additid	on, using the sca	le pro	ovide	ed,	ΈP
	1 Not involved	2 Minor	Mod	3 derate	Sic	4 gnifica	ant	
	at all	involvement		vement	•	olvem		
	Community entity			Collaborator Check all that apply			emen one po w	
	a. College or university				1	2	3	4
	b. CBO				1	2	3	4
	c. External evaluation/mor	itoring agency			1	2	3	4
	d. Hospital or clinic				1	2	3	4
	e. LEA's food service or ch	nild nutrition director			1	2	3	4
	f. Local or State public health	alth department/board	of public		1	2	3	4
	g. Public park or recreation	nal authority			1	2	3	4
	h. Other LEA(s)				1	2	3	4
	i. Other State or local gov	ernment department			1	2	3	4

j. Other, please specify: _

1 2

3

4

42.	Please indicate the average level of involvement your PEP grant collaborators (i.e.,
	community entities not part of an official partner agreement) have had in the following
	areas.

1	2	3	4
No	Minor	Moderate	Significant
involvement	involvement	involvement	involvement

Area			Select one per row		
a. Fitness education and assessment	1	2	3	4	
b. Instruction in healthy eating habits and good nutrition	1	2	3	4	
c. Instruction in motor skills and physical activities	1	2	3	4	
d. Instruction in cognitive concepts about motor skills and physical fitness	1	2	3	4	
e. Policy development	1	2	3	4	
f. Providing nutrition services	1	2	3	4	
g. Providing opportunities for students to develop positive social and cooperative skills through physical activity participation	1	2	3	4	
h. Providing teachers with professional development opportunities related to nutrition or physical fitness	1	2	3	4	
i. Other, please specify:	1	2	3	4	

43. Please indicate any benefits related to your PEP grant's collaborations with community entities.

Benefit	Check all that apply
a. Allows personnel to focus on specific areas of expertise	
b. Builds upon knowledge base	
c. Capability of reaching more of the targeted population	
d. Contributes additional personnel	
e. Offers access to additional resources	
f. Provides additional funding, either directly or through funding opportunities	
g. Other, please specify:	

44. Please indicate the extent to which the following have been challenges in establishing collaborations with community entities.

1234Not aMinorModerateSignificantchallengechallengechallengechallenge

Challenge		Sel	ect on	e per	row
a. Difficulty coordinating meetings and activities		1	2	3	4
b. Diversion of time and resources away from other priorities or obligations of the PEP grant		1	2	3	4
c. Entities are not knowledgeable of project goals		1	2	3	4
d. Difficulty communicating efficiently and in a timely manner		1	2	3	4
e. Diminished interest in project goals and activities		1	2	3	4
f. Lack of established effective communication channels		1	2	3	4
g. The governance structure of the collaboration(s) does not fun effectively	ction	1	2	3	4
h. Lack of commitment		1	2	3	4
i. Different or conflicting perspectives		1	2	3	4
j. Dissimilarity in expectations by different partners on project ac	ctivities	1	2	3	4
k. Interruption due to personnel turnover within community entiti	es	1	2	3	4
I. Not perceived as mutually beneficial		1	2	3	4
m. Inadequate staff support		1	2	3	4
n. Interruption due to personnel turnover in the primary PEP LEA	4	1	2	3	4
o. Other, please specify:		1	2	3	4

PEP Grant Budget

45. What was the total amount of your PEP award for the entire grant period?

\$			
Ψ			

- 46. Please provide the following information regarding your PEP grant budget.
 - i) Indicate the percent of your **proposed** PEP grant year 1 budget that was allocated to the following categories; these should total to 100%.
 - ii) Using the scale below, please indicate the option that best describes how much the **proposed** budget has needed to be revised to date for each of the categories.

1	2	3	4
No	Minor	Moderate	Significant
revision	revision	revision	revision

Budget categories	% Allocated	Sele	ect on	e per	row
a. Personnel	%	1	2	3	4
b. Fringe benefits	%	1	2	3	4
c. Travel	%	1	2	3	4
d. Equipment	%	1	2	3	4
e. Supplies	%	1	2	3	4
f. Contractual	%	1	2	3	4
g. Training stipends	%	1	2	3	4
h. Indirect costs	%	1	2	3	4
i. Other, please specify:	%	1	2	3	4
Total Funds:	100%				

47.	Please select the reason(s) budget revisions have been or will be necessary for the first
	year of your PEP grant.

Reason for budget revision	Check all that apply
a. No revisions have been necessary	
b. Matched funds not provided as expected	
c. Underestimated costs	
d. Unexpected costs	
e. Unexpected savings	
f. Other, please specify:	

Skip to 48

PEP Grant Measures and Outcomes

48.	Please indicate if your LEA collected Body Mass Index the current PEP grant.	k (BMI) data p	rior to being awarded
	a. Yes	. 🗖	
	b. No	. 🗖	
49.	Please select from the following options related to BM your PEP grant.	I data collectio	on those that apply to
	BMI measures	Check all that apply	
	a. BMI data collection was not proposed as part of the PEP grant and there currently are no plans to collect BMI data		Skip to 52
	b. BMI data collection was included as part of the PEP grant proposal		
	c. BMI data collection was implemented after the PEP grant was awarded		
	d. BMI data were collected at the start of the 2010–2011 school year (i.e., baseline/start of PEP project)		
50.	Please indicate the number of BMI data collections yo over the course of the first year of the grant:	ur PEP projec	t plans to conduct
	Number of times data has been collected	to date	
	Number of additional times data will be co	llected	

51.	Please indicate I	how your PFP	grant plans to us	se BMI measurements	2
JI.	r icase indicate i	IOW your I LI	grant plans to u	se bivii illeasureillellis	э.

BMI use		Check all that apply
a. To assess the weight status of the	student population across time	
b. To calculate percentage of student the population	s of different weight statuses among	
c. To assess outcomes related to PE	P grant activities	
d. To compare the population trends	at different sites/schools	
e. To assess the weight status of indirisk for weight-related health proble		
f. To provide parents with information them take appropriate action	n about their children's BMI to help	
g. To guide physical activity program	development	
h. To guide nutrition-related program	development	
i. To provide the data to school admi change	nistrator(s)/board(s) to inform policy	
j. Other, please specify:		

	series of questions asks about your PEP grant's plans and experiences regarding data overnment Performance and Results Act (GPRA) performance measures based on the				
Measure 1.1	The percentage of students served by the grant who engage in 60 minutes of daily physical activity.				
Measure 1.2	The percentage of students served by the grant who achieve age-appropriate cardiovascular fitness levels.				
Measure 1.3					

52. For each GPRA measure, please indicate whether data was collected from the entire population served by your PEP project or from a sample of the population served.

Data collection		1.2	1.3
		Check	Check
	one	one	one
a. Collected data from the entire population served			
b. Collected data from a sample of the population served			

53. For each GPRA measure, please indicate if the data collection period has taken place to date.

Collection time	1.1	1.2	1.3
Collection time	Check all that apply	Check all that apply	Check all that apply
a. Baseline			
b. 1 st data collection			
c. 2 nd data collection			
d. 3 rd data collection			
e. 4 th data collection			
f. Additional data collection			

54.	Please indicate which of the uniform data collection methods your PEP grant used. If the
	method was used, please indicate how difficult it was to collect the required GPRA
	performance measures using the scale provided.

1	2	3	4
Not	Slightly	Moderately	Extremely
difficult	difficult	difficult	difficult

Da	ta collection method	Check all that apply	:	Selec per		
a.	Pedometer data for Measure 1.1		1	2	3	4
b.	3-Day Physical Activity Recall (3DPAR) data for Measure 1.1		1	2	3	4
C.	20-meter shuttle run data for Measure 1.2		1	2	3	4
d.	Nutrition-related questions from the Youth Risk Behavior Survey Measure 1.3		1	2	3	4

Please indicate if your LEA had used any of the data collection methods that are being used to collect GPRA performance measures **prior** to receiving your current PEP grant.

Data collection method	
a. Pedometer	
b. 3-Day Physical Activity Recall (3DPAR)	
c. 20-meter shuttle run	
d. Nutrition-related questions from the Youth Risk Behavior Survey Measure	

56.	Please indicate any additional data collection methods your PEP grant has used to collect
	physical activity, fitness, and/or nutrition information for elementary, middle, and
	secondary school-aged students to date.

	Elementary	Middle	Secondary
Measurement method	Check all that apply	Check all that apply	Check all that apply
a. Logs kept by parents			
b. Logs kept by students			
c. Observations by school personnel/staff			
d. Survey(s), please specify:			
e. Accelerometers			
f. Heart rate monitors			
g. Other device(s), please specify:			
h. Other, please specify:			

57. Please indicate if your LEA had used any of the additional data collection methods **prior** to receiving your current PEP grant.

Measurement method		Check all that apply
a. Logs kept by parents		
b. Logs kept by students		
c. Observations by school personnel/staff		
d. Survey(s), please specify:		
e. Accelerometers		
f. Heart rate monitors		
g. Other device(s), please specify:		
h. Other, please specify:		

58.	For each school level (i.e., elementary, middle, and secondary), please indicate if your
	PEP grant includes plans to collect any of the following measures and if they were
	collected during the first grant year to date.

O. 4	Elementary	Middle	Secondary	Colle 1 st y	
Outcome measure	Check all that apply	Check all that apply	Check all that apply	Selec per	
a. Aerobic capacity (e.g., timed walking/running)				Yes	No
b. Balance				Yes	No
c. Cardio-vascular measures (e.g., blood pressure, heart rate)				Yes	No
d. Flexibility				Yes	No
e. Muscular endurance				Yes	No
f. Muscular strength				Yes	No
g. Nutrition				Yes	No
h. Obesity rate				Yes	No
i. FITNESSGRAM entire battery				Yes	No
j. Youth Risk Behavior Survey (other than nutrition-related items)				Yes	No
k. Other, please specify:				Yes	No

59.	Please indicate if your LEA collected any of the following measures prior to receiving your
	current PEP grant.

Outcome measure	Check all that apply
a. Aerobic capacity (e.g., timed walking/running)	
b. Balance	
c. Cardio-vascular measures (e.g., blood pressure, heart rate)	
d. Flexibility	
e. Muscular endurance	
f. Muscular strength	
g. Nutrition	
h. Obesity rate	
i. FITNESSGRAM entire battery	
j. Youth Risk Behavior Survey (other than nutrition-related items)	
k. Other, please specify:	

60. Using the scale below, please indicate how the GPRA performance measures relate to your PEP grant's goals.

1	2	3	4
Not	Minimally	Moderately	Significantly
related	related	related	related

GF	PRA performance measure		elec per		
a.	Measure 1.1: The percentage of students served by the grant who engage in 60 minutes of daily physical activity	1	2	3	4
b.	Measure 1.2: The percentage of students served by the grant who achieve age-appropriate cardiovascular fitness levels	1	2	3	4
C.	Measure 1.3: The percentage of students served by the grant who consume fruit two or more times per day and vegetables three or more times per day	1	2	3	4

PEP Grant Implementation and Challenges

61.	Please indicate the to be implemented		our PEP grant's	planned year 1 activ	rities were able
	1 Very few of the activities	2 Less than half of the activities	3 Half of the activities	4 Majority of the activities	5 All of the activities
62.	Have you implem	ented any approved	unplanned activ	rities since the grant	cycle started?
	a. Yes			. 📮	
	b. No			. ப	Skip to 64
63.		any approved unpla the course of the firs	•	our PEP grant has b	peen able to
	_				

64. Please indicate any challenges you have encountered **to date** while implementing the first year of your PEP grant.

Im	plementation challenge	Check all that apply
a.	Budget-related obstacles (e.g., dry-up of matching funds)	
b.	Challenge(s) collecting GPRA measures Please specify type of challenge(s): Challenge collecting measures 1. Coordinating data collection across sites 2. Failure to return requested information 3. Lack of personnel/staff 4. Lack of preparation time 5. Loss or theft of equipment 6. Malfunctioning/faulty equipment 7. Lack of proper data collection/reporting by personnel/staff 8. Lack of proper data collection/reporting by students 9. Problems with sampling 10. Requirements not clear 11. Other, please specify:	
C.	Please specify type of delay(s): Delay 1. Administrative approval/requirements 2. Arrival of ordered equipment/materials 3. Hiring personnel/staff 4. Other, please specify:	
d.	Difficulty coordinating across sites	
e.	Difficulty with partners and/or external collaborators	
f.	Equipment installation and/or set-up problems	
g.	Federal grant monitors or other federal administrative obstacles (e.g., accessing funds)	
h.	Lack of time to prepare for the start of the PEP grant following award notification	
i.	Staff turnover	
j.	Training obstacles (e.g., low attendance, longer than planned)	
k.	Competing academic priorities or pressures	

	Implementation challenge	Check all that apply
	I. Lack of facilities	
	m. Other, please specify:	
	n. No challenges	
65.	Please describe the greatest difficulties your PEP grant has encountered ir implementing the project as designed.	1
66.	Has your PEP grant implemented any changes and/or strategies to address challenges? a. Yes	Skip to 68
	Please indicate the strategies your PEP grant has implemented to address tencountered to date.	the challenges
	Strategy	eck all that apply
	a. Adjusted timeline	
	b. Changed goals	
	c. Eliminated activities/components	Ų
	d. Implemented alternative activities	
	e. Identified alternate and/or additional partners/collaborators	
	f. Reorganized personnel/staff responsibilities	
	g. Revised data collection methods	
	h. Other, please specify:	
	Please provide any additional information you found important related to you implementing the PEP grant as designed to date.	ur efforts in

Thank you	very much for c	ompleting tl	his survey!