Supporting Statement Internal Revenue Service Tax Exempt and Government Entities Generic Clearance for Compliance Check Questionnaires

The Internal Revenue Service, Tax Exempt and Government Entities Operating Division, hereby requests clearance to conduct compliance checks of tax-exempt and governmental entities using tailored compliance questionnaires. These compliance questionnaires are a critical component of TE/GE's comprehensive enforcement program. TE/GE uses these questionnaires to gain a better understanding of the compliance behavior of individual segments of the tax-exempt community and to identify and resolve specific instances of non-compliance with the laws and regulations governing tax-exempt organizations, employee pension plans, tax-exempt bonds and governmental entities. Compliance questionnaires are an invaluable tool for obtaining supplemental information to determine the compliance of specific entities without the burden for the taxpayer or cost to the IRS of a traditional, full-scale audit. The information collected is used to improve the quality of data available for monitoring compliance, to correct identified instances of non-compliance. Given the sensitive nature of IRS compliance efforts, OMB will not approve individual compliance questionnaires prior to their use by IRS. Instead, this clearance will grant IRS the authority to conduct these surveys as described in this Supporting Statement, and submit completed compliance questionnaires to OMB after their use by IRS. In subsequent requests for extension of OMB's approval of this clearance, IRS will summarize the results of previously used compliance questionnaires. For the current request for extension of this clearance, the following table captures the results of previous compliance questionnaires:

| Function | Title | Purpose | Audience | Any follow-up once results were received | Cases Started | Cases Closed | Actual Responses Received | Start Date | End Date |
|----------|------------------------------|--|--|--|---------------|-----------------|---|---------------|-------------|
| EO | Colleges and Universities | To obtain information about how colleges and university (1) report their income and expenses from trade or business activities on Form 990-T, (2) calculate and report losses on Form 990-T, (3) | A statistical sample of colleges and universities. | Examinations were selected and conducted based on TP response and Triage team determination. | 400 | 400 | 389 responded but only 381 filled out the questionnaire | 10/3/08 | 06/15/09 |

| | | allocate income and expenses in calculating unrelated business taxable income, (4) invest and use their endowments, and (5) determine their executive compensation. | | | | | | | |
|----|---|--|---|--|------|-----|------------|---------|------|
| EO | Form 990-N Post Review Program | To ensure the provisions of section 6033(j) are adhered to and not circumvented by organizations filing Forms 990-N when they were required to file Form 990 or Form 990-EZ. | Organizations whose gross receipts (per several databases) exceeded the criteria for filing Form 990N. | Follow-up actions are in the process of being determined based on responses. | 603 | 603 | 543 | 3/28/12 | Open |
| EO | (c)(27) State Workers Comp Fund | To obtain information to determine whether state-sponsored or state-affiliated entities providing workers' compensation insurance are meeting the applicable requirements of IRC in order to be entitled to tax exemption. | The entire population of state sponsored or state-affiliated entities that provide workers' compensation insurance. | Follow-up actions will be determined based on responses. | 38 | 37 | In process | 9/5/12 | Open |
| EO | Group Rulings & Returns Questionnaire | To gather sufficient information to learn more about the relationships between central | A statistical sample of group ruling holders. | Follow-up actions will be determined based on responses. | 2310 | 995 | In process | 9/21/12 | Open |

| EO | Group Rulings Subordinate Identification Phase 1 | organizations of group rulings (group ruling holders) and their subordinates, including the practices used by the group ruling holders to meet the requirements of Rev. Proc. 80-27, and the methods used by the group ruling holders and subordinates to satisfy the subordinates' annual filing Form 990-series return filing requirements. To obtain information about Group Ruling holders that do not show as having any active subordinates to verify their group exemption status. | The entire population of organizations that have group ruling exemption but IRS records do not indicate existence of any subordinates. | Follow-up actions will be determined based on responses. | 91 | 7 | In process | 10/30/12 | Open |
|----|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|-------------|------|
| EP | Section 401(k) Compliance Check Questionnaire | measure the overall compliance levels of section 401(k) plans, identify the principal tax | The questionnaire was sent to a statistically valid sample of section 401(k) retirement plans | EP did not follow-up with any plans from the project after we received | EP sent out 1,200 contact letters. | | EP received 1,060 responses (116 plans were removed | May 2010 | |

| [] | | | | I | | 1 |
|----|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----|---------------|-------|
| | compliance issues | based on Form 5500 | their response. | | from the | |
| | affecting section | filings. | EP did perform | | sample after | |
| | 401(k) plans, | | an examination | | sending | |
| | 3. evaluate the | | on the 24 | | letters and | |
| | effectiveness of | | plans that did | | 24 plans did | |
| | EP's voluntary | | not respond. | | not respond). | |
| | compliance | | EP is in the | | | |
| | programs and | | process of | | | |
| | tools, and | | developing | | | |
| | 4. determine how | | projects and | | | |
| | the IRS can foster | | tools based on | | | |
| | greater | | the findings | | | |
| | compliance. | | from the | | | |
| | | | results to | | | |
| | | | identify | | | |
| | | | outreach | | | |
| | | | needs (both in | | | |
| | | | terms of | | | |
| | | | targeting | | | |
| | | | population | | | |
| | | | segments and | | | |
| | | | in improving | | | |
| | | | outreach | | | |
| | | | materials) and | | | |
| | | | compliance | | | |
| | | | issues relating | | | |
| | | | to both | | | |
| | | | participant | | | |
| | | | education and | | | |
| | | | plan sponsor | | | |
| | | | compliance. | | | |
| | 1 | | | I I | | |

| EP | Pilot Questionnaire for Governmental Plans Initiative - Form 14035 | The purpose was to obtain information about plans sponsored by governmental entities and to measure the effectiveness of the questionnaire and as necessary refine the questions based on the feedback from the respondents. | The pilot questionnaire was sent to a variety of Government Organizations/Plans at the State, County, and Local level throughout the US. | No further follow-up was conducted by the EPCU. | EP sent out 25 questionnaires. | | EP received 12 responses. | Feb./Mar 2009 | |
|----|---|---|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|------------------|--|
|----|---|---|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|------------------|--|

| GE | Direct Pay Bonds | to evaluate the compliance and record retention policies, procedures, and practices of issuers of direct pay bonds, principally build America bonds. | The questionnaire was sent to a statistical sample of state and local governmental entities who reported the issuance of direct pay bonds. The responses were analyzed and a report was prepared but has not yet been released to the public. However, TEB has shared trends and observations from the project through various outreach events. | Non- responders were considered for possible examinations of bond issues as part of TEB's referral program. | | | | | 2010 | |
|----|---------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|------|--|
|----|---------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|------|--|

| | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 1 | |
|----|-----------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------|--|-----|------|
| GE | Advance | to evaluate the | The questionnaire | Non- | | | 2011 |
| | Refunding | compliance and | was sent to a | responders | | | 1 |
| | Bonds | record retention | statistical samples of | were | | | I |
| | | policies, | both state and local | considered for | | | 1 |
| | | procedures, and | governmental issuer | possible | | | |
| | | practices of issuers | s and exempt | examinations | | | |
| | | of tax-exempt | organization | of bond issues | | | |
| | | advance refunding | borrowers who | as part of | | | |
| | | bonds (both | reported the | TEB's referral | | | |
| | | governmental | issuance of advance | program. | | | |
| | | bonds and qualified | refunding bonds. | | | | |
| | | 501(c)(3) bonds). | The responses were | | | | |
| | | | analyzed and a | | | | |
| | | | report is | | | | |
| | | | being prepared but is | | | | |
| | | | not yet completed. | | | | |
| | | | However, | | | | |
| | | | TEB has shared | | | | |
| | | | certain initial trends | | | | |
| | | | and observations | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | from the project | | | | 1 |
| | | | through various | | | | I |
| | | | outreach events. | | | | I |
| | | | | | | | I |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 1 |

| GE | Qualified School Construction Bonds | to evaluate the compliance and record retention policies, | The questionnaire was sent to a statistical sample of state and local | Non- responders will be considered for possible | | | 2012 |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|------|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Part A. Justification

(1) Circumstances necessitating collection of information

Federal tax law provides substantial tax benefits to tax-exempt and governmental entities. However, the law governing these entities is complex, giving rise to inadvertent non-compliance. Furthermore, because of the favorable tax treatment of these entities, they may become attractive targets for abuse or misuse by third parties. Therefore, it is essential that the Internal Revenue Service maintain a broad and visible enforcement presence in these communities to promote voluntary compliance and identify instances of non-compliance. Because IRS's ability to conduct widespread, full-scale audits of these entities is limited by resource constraints and burden considerations, compliance questionnaires were conceived as a less costly and less burdensome means to gather critical information about compliance questionnaires supplements the limited information reported on annual information returns to support the identification and resolution of non-compliance within these regulated communities.

The collection of this information by IRS is authorized by the following provisions:

- (a) Section 7601 of the Internal Revenue Code, which provides broad authority for the Secretary or officers and employees of the Department of the Treasury to inquire about the potential liability of all persons who may be liable to pay *any* internal revenue tax (including employment and excise taxes to which tax-exempt and governmental entities are subject);
- (b) Section 6033 of the Internal Revenue Code, which generally requires exempt organizations and qualified plans to file annual information returns;
- (c) Treasury Regulation §1.6001-1(c), which requires organizations exempt from tax under IRC 501(a) to keep books and records required to substantiate the information required IRC 6033;
- (d) Treasury Regulation §1.6033-2(i)(2), which provides that every organization exempt from tax, regardless of whether it is required to file an annual return, "shall submit such additional information as may be required by the IRS for the purpose of inquiring into its exempt status."

(2) Use of information

Compliance questionnaires are used to gather supplemental information from selected segments of the regulated community that is not currently available or accessible through existing information returns and databases. TE/GE uses this information to further compliance within its regulated community and to improve its overall effectiveness in several ways:

- (a) To ensure that specific entities are classified appropriately and fully understand the requirements to maintain their particular status.
- (b) To correct specific instances of non-compliance identified through the questionnaire or to develop them for subsequent enforcement action (e.g., examination, sanction or revocation).

- (c) To identify common compliance challenges within a given segment of the regulated community that might be addressed through education or enforcement.
- (d) To inform management decisions about allocating resources and setting compliance priorities.

Longstanding methods of data collection (i.e., filing of annual information returns) are not adequate to support these goals. The data collected capture only high-level information generally relevant to the broader regulatory community. Moreover, the availability of even this limited data for evaluation and analysis is constrained by processing costs and systems limitations. Through compliance questionnaires, IRS can gather specific information pertinent only to a targeted set of taxpayers, without imposing additional filing requirements and burden on the broader population.

(3) Information technology

The collection of this information does not involve the use of automated, electronic, mechanical or other technological collection techniques. Questionnaires are sent out and returned to the IRS in a paper format. Electronic filing, which is a valuable tool for reducing the burden of recurring IRS filing requirements, is not suitable to this specialized information collection effort. Questionnaires are unique to each project, reach only a limited number of respondents and may have a substantial narrative component. Therefore, the underlying costs of designing and using electronic data collection tools would exceed any potential burden savings. In the future, we may consider using facsimile or e-mail to reduce burden for some questionnaires, but only once current security and privacy concerns can be resolved.

(4) Non-duplication

TE/GE will attempt to eliminate duplication wherever possible; however, it cannot rely solely on existing data sources. Internal data sources are inadequate due to the limited nature of data provided on information returns, incomplete data transcription due to cost constraints on data processing and logistical burdens associated with obtaining original returns from multiple recordkeeping locations. Furthermore, because requested information may be of a confidential nature, it is not available from third-party data sources.

IRS will have a process in place to identify potential duplication of data gathering efforts on proposed questionnaires; duplicative efforts will be combined or eliminated. Questionnaires will be compared to annual tax and information returns filed with the IRS (e.g., Forms 941, 990, 5500, etc.) to identify and minimize duplicative information requests. In some instances, however, a questionnaire may request *similar* information because the filing information is not accessible to TE/GE personnel (for the reasons noted above) and should be readily available to the respondent with minimal burden. Furthermore, because the purpose of these questionnaires is to verify compliance behavior, TE/GE may, where appropriate, request certain similar information reported on past returns.

(5) Small entities

Small entities may be asked to respond to compliance questionnaires. Our experience has shown that smaller entities can be particularly susceptible to misuse by third parties. Compliance questionnaires provide a mechanism to monitor this compliance risk with less burden to the respondent than would be the case under a traditional audit. Nevertheless, all efforts will be made to minimize the burden on smaller entities in the event they are subject to a compliance questionnaire. Current public information and filing records will be reviewed for any available information pertaining to the compliance issue prior to mailing of the questionnaires.

(6) **Consequences of non-collection**

The inability to collect this information will significantly reduce TE/GE's visible enforcement presence and hinder its ability to deliver an effective and comprehensive compliance program. Over the past several years, there has been a growing awareness of increased compliance risks in the tax-exempt sectors of the economy. These risks may range from inadvertent non-compliance due to the complexity of the tax law to the misuse of tax-exempt entities by third parties for tax evasion.

Compliance questionnaires provide a flexible mechanism both for responding to identified compliance risks and for detecting emergent risks. Compliance questionnaires provide a lower-cost and less intrusive means to interact with specific segments of the population in response to identified compliance risks. Because they can be quickly tailored to emerging issues and efficiently distributed to the target population, compliance questionnaires provide a "rapid response" tool to address new compliance risks that could not be accomplished through traditional examinations. Moreover, besides identifying specific instances of non-compliance for resolution, the findings from compliance questionnaires can, in some instances, help identify emerging compliance trends that may warrant additional attention. Without this tool, TE/GE's ability to detect and understand these risks will be constrained. As a result, resources may not be allocated where they are most needed, and the development and delivery of effective educational and enforcement responses will be hindered.

Most projects will occur only once; thus, it is unlikely that the same entity will receive multiple compliance questionnaires. Subsequent versions of a project, if any, will be refined based on past experience and focus on different members of the targeted segment. In all cases, the IRS will make clear to respondents whether they are required to respond to the questionnaires, and how the IRS will use the responses.

(7) Special circumstances

Not applicable.

(8) **Consultations**

Not applicable. Because compliance questionnaires are an enforcement tool used to assess the compliance behaviors of individual entities and particular populations, it is not appropriate to publicize compliance questionnaires prior to execution of a project.

In response to the Federal Register notice (77 FR 38714), dated August 28, 2012, we received no comments during the comment period regarding this collection of information.

(9) **Payments to respondents**

Not applicable.

(10) **Confidentiality**

All personnel are responsible for maintaining the confidentiality of all data collected. Tax returns and tax return information generally are confidential as required by 26 USC 6103, and notice to this effect will be included on each questionnaire. All data collection will conform to IRS disclosure regulations.

(11) Sensitive information

Not applicable. The information requested pertains only to the financing and operations of tax-exempt and governmental entities. No information on *personal* issues of a sensitive nature will be requested or considered.

(12) Estimated hour burden

The total respondent burden during this three year approval period is estimated not to exceed 37,530 hours. The exact number of different questionnaires, the length of each questionnaire, and the number of respondents per questionnaire are unknown at this time. This estimate combines our experience with the OMB-approved Hospital Questionnaire sent out last year and all compliance questionnaires planned for this year. The estimates cover the time that each respondent is expected to spend completing the questionnaires, including gathering relevant records, filling out responses, returning the questionnaire to IRS and maintaining a file copy. There are no additional recordkeeping burdens imposed by this questionnaire, since responses should rely on materials that are already maintained as a "customary and usual" business practice. The frequency of data collection is only once per respondent.

| | Annual Respondents | Hours per Respondent | Annual Burden Hours | 3-Yr Burden |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| Short questionnaires (2-3 pages) | 2,500 | 3 | 7,500 | 22,500 |
| Long questionnaires (8-10 pages) | 500 | 10 | 5,000 | 15,000 |
| Total | 3,000 | 4.17 (avg) | 12,510 | 37,530 |

(13) Estimated annual cost burden

As suggested by OMB, our Federal Register notice dated August 28, 2012, requested public comments on estimates of cost burden that are not captured in the estimates of burden hours, i.e., estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information. However, we did not receive any responses from taxpayers on this subject. As a result, estimates of these cost burdens are not available at this time.

(14) Annualized cost to the Federal government

Not applicable. Information collection will be conducted by existing staff who are already engaged in other correspondence interactions with TE/GE entities. Costs for personnel and operational expenses are already in place and are not contingent on this data collection effort. Therefore, there are no additional expenses associated with this data collection that would not have been incurred without this collection of information.

(15) **Program changes**

There were no changes made to the document that resulted in any change to the burden previously reported to OMB.

We are making this submission to renew the OMB approval.

(16) Plans for tabulation and publication

Some data collections may culminate in the publication of project reports that summarize the findings of the project and communicate conclusions about compliance issues in the affected sector. These reports may include summary data compiled based on the compliance questionnaire; however, this is not their primary intent. The reports will further summarize categories of issues identified through the compliance questionnaire and subsequent IRS activity to address those issues. Activities may include outreach to alert members of the sector and stakeholders to potential pitfalls, publication of guidance to

clarify complicated tax issues that may be contributing to inadvertent compliance failures, changes to forms to enhance transparency with regard to identified issues and, if appropriate, examinations.

The development and publication of project reports is contingent upon the schedule for a given compliance project. In general, project reports will be published one year after a questionnaire is first issued. To ensure that no entity-specific identifying information is published, the IRS will have a process in place whereby, prior to publication, all reports based on information obtained via questionnaires will be thoroughly reviewed and approved by both the Director of the appropriate function and his or her designee.

(17) Approval not to display expiration date

Displaying the expiration date may cause problems with data collections that overlap the three-year approval period or are implemented close to the expiration date. Would-be respondents might be inclined to refuse to participate if the questionnaire displays a date that is expired or soon to expire.

(18) Exceptions to the certification statement

Not applicable. The following paragraph applies to all of the collections of information in this submission:

An agency may not conduct or sponsor data gathering efforts, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information, unless the collection of information displays a valid OMB Control Number. Books or records relating to a collection of information must be retained as long as the contents may become material in the administration of any Internal Revenue law. Generally, tax returns and tax return information are confidential, as required by 26 USC 6103.

(19) Basis for Emergency Submission

Not applicable.

Part B. Collection of information employing statistical methods

Statistical methods will not be necessary for the administration of all compliance questionnaires, but will be employed when appropriate. In cases where a compliance risk is already known, questionnaires will be sent to individual taxpayers because the available data indicate that they are at risk for that specific compliance failure. Sampling would be inappropriate in this case, because respondents already have indicators on their returns of potential non-compliance and the purpose is to correct specific problems, not to gauge compliance risks in a broader population.

In cases where compliance questionnaires are being used to assess compliance risks in a segment or determine workload priorities, the IRS will either contact the entire population or use statistical sampling. Many of these populations are quite small (fewer than 2,000 entities), so statistical sampling in those cases would offer few benefits in terms of reduced burden or cost. If statistical sampling is used, standard statistical methodologies will be employed. IRS will first determine the size of the sample required to achieve the desired confidence level. We will then randomly select a *larger* number of entities from the IRS dataset that match the profile required by the study (e.g., type of organization [community foundation, 509(a)(3) supporting organization]; size [assets or revenues] or other characteristics). The randomly generated list will be reviewed to remove any misclassified entities and the first *n* entities that are properly classified will be selected to receive the compliance questionnaire. This will ensure that the sample remains random, but includes only valid entities so that results can be extrapolated to the larger population and no entities are inappropriately burdened by receiving questionnaires intended for a class of entities to which they do not belong.

For both the latter cases (a census or a random sample), non-response bias is a potential concern. However, our past experience with compliance questionnaires has demonstrated a very high response level, well over 90%. Therefore, response bias is not expected to be a significant concern in future compliance projects. If non-response becomes a concern in later projects, IRS will evaluate the use of follow-up contacts (e.g., duplicate letters or telephone contact) to improve responsiveness.