

Q1. What is your full name?	
Q2. Select your role with regard to EPSCoR	
Project Director	
Project Administrator	
 Principal Investigator 	
Other	
Q3. What years have you served in this capacity?	
Q4. For how many funding iterations have you served in this capacity?	
Q5. Please include the names and titles for all respondents who contributed toward answering the questions in this	s funding iteration.
	//
Q6. Has there been an overarching strategy used to unite this EPSCoR RII funding iteration with previo	us ones?
Yes	
⊚ No	
Not Applicable	

	How are these decisions made? For example, did your jurisdiction build on strengths established in previous funding cycles? Your jurisdiction identify that sufficient strength had been built in an area, thus the decision was made to shift toward something? ?
Q7.	How did your jurisdiction identify research themes for this RII proposal submission?
0	There were no overarching themes identified
0	Research themes were selected based on white papers submitted by investigators
0	The state committee identified research themes and invited investigators to participate
0	Other, please describe
	How has EPSCoR contributed toward aligning the jurisdiction's science and technology needs with the research priorities of the ersity and its researchers?
	What strategies have been most successful in bridging jurisdiction/university needs and priorities around research petitiveness, workforce- and economic- development?

Q10.
For Doctoral Granting Institutions: How has the academic infrastructure of your university been strengthened during this funding iteration?
Q11. For Primarily Undergraduate Institutions: How has the academic infrastructure of your college or university been strengthened durin
this funding iteration?
Q12.
For Minority Serving Institutions (Historically Black Colleges and Universities, Hispanic Serving Institutions, Tribal Colleges): How hat the academic infrastructure of your college or university been strengthened during this funding iteration?
Q13.
For Community Colleges: How has the academic infrastructure of your college been strengthened during this funding iteration?
Q14. Has there been investment in large-scale facilities or infrastructure (\$50k or above) during this funding iteration?
⊚ No

14a. Please describe how those acquisitions have affected both EPSCoR-supported and non-EPSCoR-supported research	ners
cross the jurisdiction.	
15. In this funding iteration, did your jurisdiction initiate any independent research entities (e.g. centers or institutes)?	
Yes	
○ No	

following the sun-setting of any given EPSC details on whether and how other forms of g	oR award. Please detail how the EPSCo grant-supported activities were leveraged	or institutes) have been (or will be) sustained oR award facilitated establishing these, including for the attainment of new support. Please er to sustain any activities borne out of EPSCoR.
Q16. In this funding iteration, has there been a dit	fference in the support offered by the follo Yes	owing offices at your institution?
Office of Sponsored Research	©	©
Office of Technology Transfer	•	•
Institutional Review Board	•	•
Other	0	©
Other	•	©
Other	0	©
Q16a. For those offices that you answered "	yes," how has their support changed ove	r time?
Q17. Are there new offices on campus which Yes No	h provide research or policy support whic	h EPSCoR was instrumental in starting?

Q17a. Please describe how	these offices are currently supported on your campus.
018. Have you been able to a vailability of EPSCoR funds	attract more competitive tenure track candidates than other searches at your institution due to the ?
Yes	
⊚ No	
19. How has EPSCoR chan	nged the culture of research collaboration amongst researchers in the jurisdiction?
	scale and ongoing collaborations built between researchers in your jurisdiction and major federal DOE national laboratories) or national resources (e.g. TeraGrid, NEON) to conduct EPSCoR-related
No No	
Oa. Please describe and s	specify the nature of the collaboration.
1. Is there anything else yo	ou would like to report with specific reference to research collaborations?

15 (51 till 1875) (51 till 1875) (52 till 1875)	nnovation.
 Are there specific examples of EPSCoR research that have been taken forward in to commercial development Yes 	t?
) No	
23a. Please Describe specific examples of EPSCoR research that have been taken forward in to commercial develo	pment.
	//
24. Please describe any examples of EPSCoR research that has already influenced public policy decisions in your judges may be related to land use, urban planning, watershed remediation, or other types of activities with ties back to pported research).	
	1
25. Is there anything else which was not covered in these questions that you would like to share with regard to risdiction has been on since first becoming eligible for EPSCoR?	o the trajectory