Supporting Statement – Part A Durable Medical Equipment Face-to-Face Requirements CMS-10447, OMB 0938-NEW

Background

A revision is being made to §410.38(g) to require, as a condition of payment for certain covered items of DME, that a physician must have documented and communicated to the DME supplier that the physician or a physician assistant (PA), an nurse practitioner (NP), or a clinical nurse specialist (CNS) has had a face-to-face encounter with the beneficiary no more than 90 days before the order is written or within 30 days after the order is written.

During the face-to-face encounter the physician, a PA, a, NP, or a CNS must have evaluated the beneficiary, conducted a needs assessment for the beneficiary or treated the beneficiary for the medical condition that supports the need for each covered item of DME. As a matter of practice, this information would be part of the beneficiary's medical record, which identifies the practitioner who provided the face-to-face assessment. We believe that requiring a face-to-face encounter that supports the need for the covered item of DME would reduce the risk of fraud, waste, and abuse since these visits would help ensure that a beneficiary's condition warrants the covered item of DME.

Section 1834(a)(11)(B)(ii), as added by section 6407(b) of the Affordable Care Act states that a physician must document that the physician, a PA, a NP, or a CNS has had a face-to-face encounter (other than with respect to encounters that are incident to services involved) with the beneficiary. A face-to-face encounter must be documented by a physician and any encounter that is covered as an "incident to" service does not satisfy the requirements of this regulation.

We note that a face-to-face encounter may be accomplished via a telehealth encounter if all Medicare telehealth requirements as defined under section 1834(m) of the Act and the implementing regulations in §410.78 and §414.65 are met. Specifically, Medicare telehealth services can only be furnished to an eligible telehealth beneficiary in an originating site. The requirements in this proposed rule do not supersede the requirements of telehealth and merely apply to the telehealth benefit where applicable. In general, originating sites must be located in a rural health professional shortage area (HPSA) or in a county outside of a metropolitan statistical area (MSA). The practitioner at the distant site may be a physician, PA, NP, or CNS, and the encounter must be reported with a healthcare procedure common coding system (HCPCS) code for a service on the list of approved Medicare telehealth services for the applicable year.

A single face-to-face encounter, including those facilitated through the appropriate use of telehealth, can support the need for multiple covered items of DME as long as it is clearly documented in the pertinent medical record that the beneficiary was evaluated or treated

for a condition that supports the need for each covered item of DME, during the specified period of time.

To promote the authenticity and comprehensiveness of the written order and as part of our efforts to reduce the risk of waste, fraud, and abuse, we propose that as a condition of payment a written order must include: (1) the beneficiary name; (2) the item of DME ordered; (3) prescribing practitioner NPI; (4) the signature of the prescribing practitioner; (5) the date of the order; (6) the diagnosis; and (7) necessary proper usage instructions, as applicable. Examples of necessary proper usage instruction could include duration of use, method of utilization, and correct positioning. We recognize that standards of practice may require that orders contain additional information. However, for purposes of this proposed rule, which is focused on implementing section 1834(a)(11)(B) of the Act and reducing fraud, waste, and abuse, an order without these minimum elements would be considered incomplete and would not support a claim for payment. We believe including this information on the written order would be a safeguard against waste, fraud, and abuse by promoting authenticity and comprehensiveness of the order by the practitioner.

This requirement does not supersede any regulatory requirements that more specifically address a face-to-face encounter requirement for a particular item of DME.

Physician Documentation

The statute requires that a physician document that the physician or a PA, NP or CNS has had a face-to-face encounter with the beneficiary. When the face-to-face encounter is performed by a physician, the submission of the pertinent portion(s) of the beneficiary's medical record, containing sufficient information to document that the face-to-face encounter meets our requirements, would be considered sufficient and valid documentation of the face-to-face encounter when submitted to the supplier and made available to CMS or its agents upon request. Some examples of pertinent parts of the beneficiary's medical record that can demonstrate that a face-to-face encounter has occurred can include: history; physical examination; diagnostic tests; summary of findings; diagnoses; treatment plans; or other information as appropriate. Further a physician must document when a Face-to-Face encounters is performed by a Physician Assistant, Nurse Practitioner, or Clinical Nurse Specialist as part of the medical record.

Supplier Notification

Since the supplier submits the claims for the covered items of DME, the supplier must have access to the documentation of the face-to-face encounter. All documentation to support the appropriateness of the item of DME ordered including documentation of the face-to-face encounter, must be available to the supplier. As with all items and services, we require both the ordering practitioner and the supplier to maintain access to the written order and supporting documentation relating to written orders for covered items of DME and provide them to us upon our request or at the request of our contractors.

Covered Items

Section 1834(a)(11)(B)(i) of the Act (as redesignated by the Affordable Care Act authorizes us to specify covered items that require a written order prior to delivery of the item. Under section 1834(a)(11)(B)(ii) of the Act, these orders must be written pursuant to a physician documenting that a face-to-face encounter has occurred. Accordingly, to reduce the risk of fraud, waste, and abuse, we are proposing a list of Specified Covered Items that would require a written order prior to delivery.

The requirement for documenting a face-to-face encounter and communicating this to the supplier is part of a Congressional statute, section 6407 of the Affordable Care Act, which requires the orders for certain items of DME be written pursuant to a face-to-face encounter. While we believe that many of the practitioners addressed in this proposed rule are already conducting a needs assessment and evaluating or treating the beneficiary for conditions relevant to the covered item of DME, this proposed rule may require some changes in their procedures to ensure that their documentation fulfills Medicare's regulatory requirements. Suppliers should already be receiving written orders and documentation to support the appropriateness of certain items of DME.

A. Justification

1. Need and Legal Basis

Section 1834(a)(11)(B)(i) of the Act, as redesignated by the Affordable Care Act, authorizes for specified covered items, that payment may only be made under section 1834(a) if a physician has communicated to the supplier a written order for the item, before delivery of the item.

2. Information Users

The information collection is required under section 1834(a)(11)(B)(i) of the Act, as redesignated by the Affordable Care Act, authorizes us to require, for specified covered items, that payment may only be made under section 1834(a) if a physician has communicated to the supplier a written order for the item, before delivery of the item. The

information may be requested by Medicare contractors to determine if there are improper payments or if there is a suspicion of fraud for current covered items of DME.

3. <u>Use of Information Technology</u>

Some of this collection of information could involve the use of automated, electronic, or other forms of information technology at the discretion of the submitter. CMS has encourages compliance with all Electronic Health Record initiatives for medical documentation.

There is a signature required, at this time CMS does not accept electronic signatures. CMS does offers electronic submission of medical documents (esMD) to many providers and suppliers who wish to explore this alternative for sending in medical documents. Additional information on esMD can be found at <u>www.cms.gov/esMD</u>.

4. Duplication of Efforts

This will represent the first face-to-face encounter requirement for the list of covered items. Along with this will be clarification of the requirements for a written order associated with these items. All other documentation requirements remain the same. A single face-to-face encounter can apply for multiple items of DME. This represents a new statutory requirement and therefore there is no other way to obtain this information.

5. Small Businesses

This collection will impact small businesses or other entities to the extent that those small businesses order and bill Medicare for covered items of DME. The retention of the information by physicians is a routine business practice.

6. Less Frequent Collection

Since this information is only collected when potential program vulnerability exists, less frequent collections of this information would be imprudent. CMS and its agents continue to refine their tools for identifying improper billing practices.

7. Special Circumstances

<u>More often than quarterly</u> - This information is collected on an as-needed basis based on when the items are ordered. This process occurs on a continual basis, and delaying the collection of this information will result in additional improper Medicare payments.

Response within 30 days – Providers and suppliers are notified that they have 30 days to respond, as discussed in the Program Integrity Manual (100-08), Chapter 3, Section 2.3.2.

More than original and two copies - There is no requirement to submit more than 1 copy of

the requested documentation.

<u>Retain records more than three years</u> - This estimate does not impose any new or additional record retention requirements beyond those requirements currently in place. Providers and suppliers are reminded that Medicare claims can be reopened for review at any time where fraud is suspected, or within 4 years of an initial determination for good cause or within 1 year for any reason.

<u>Conjunction with a statistical survey</u> - This information collection is not associated with a statistical survey.

<u>Use of statistical data classification</u> - This collection does not require a statistical data classification.

<u>Pledge of confidentiality</u> - This collection does not require a pledge of confidentiality.

<u>**Confidential Information</u>** - The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act Privacy Rule allows for the disclosure of health records for payment purposes. Medicare contractors have procedures in place to assure the protection of the health information provided.</u>

8. Federal Register/Outside Consultation

The 60-day Federal Register document (proposed rule 0938-AR11) published in the Federal Register on July 30, 2012 (77 FR 44722).

9. Payments/Gifts to Respondents

No payments or gifts will be given to respondents to encourage their response to any request for information under this control number.

10. Confidentiality

Medicare contractors will safeguard all protected health information collected.

11. Sensitive Questions

There are no questions of a sensitive nature.

12. Burden Estimates (Hours & Wages)

We have obtained and are using in our analysis calendar year (CY) 2009 data on utilization for the covered items of DME to determine burden. These numbers have been adjusted for the growth rate of the Medicare beneficiary population. We are using 29 million claim lines as our estimate for the burden associated with these items in year 1 and 158 million over 5 years.

All 5 year numbers are adjusted for the growth rate in the Medicare population. In order to determine costs associated with the impact we utilized the Bureau of Labor Statistics mean hourly rates for the professional, analyzed for the year that the original data was received. The hourly rate for a physician, including fringe benefits and overhead is estimated at \$118 per hour. The hourly rate, including fringe benefits and overhead, for a NP, PA, CNS is estimated at \$55 per hour. The hourly rate for administrative assistant, including fringe benefits and overhead, is estimated at \$23 per hour.

In 42 CFR 410.38(g), we would require (as a condition of payment for certain covered items of DME) that a physician must have documented and communicated to the DME supplier that the physician or a physician assistant (PA), a nurse practitioner (NP), or a clinical nurse specialist (CNS) has had a face-to-face encounter with the beneficiary no more than 90 days before the order is written or within 30 days after the order is written.

We propose that when the face-to-face encounter is performed by a physician, the submission of the pertinent portion(s) of the beneficiary's medical record (portions containing sufficient information to document that the face-to-face encounter meets our requirements) would be considered sufficient and valid documentation of the face-to-face encounter when submitted to the supplier and made available to CMS or its agents upon request. While we believe that many of the practitioners addressed in this proposed rule are already conducting a needs assessment and evaluating or treating the beneficiary for conditions relevant to the covered item of DME, this proposed rule may require some changes in their procedures to ensure that their documentation fulfills Medicare's regulatory requirements. Suppliers should already be receiving written orders and documentation to support the appropriateness of certain items of DME.

To promote the authenticity and comprehensiveness of the written order and as part of our efforts to reduce the risk of waste, fraud, and abuse, we propose that as a condition of payment a written order must include: (1) the beneficiaries' name; (2) the item of DME ordered; (3) prescribing practitioner NPI; (4) the signature of the prescribing practitioner; (5) the date of the order; (6) the diagnosis; and (7) necessary proper usage instructions, as applicable.

In order to determine costs associated with the impact we utilized the Bureau of Labor Statistics mean hourly rates for the professional, analyzed for the year that the original data was received. The hourly rate for a physician, including fringe benefits and overhead is estimated at \$118 per hour. The hourly rate, including fringe benefits and overhead, for a NP, PA, CNS is estimated at \$55 per hour. The hourly rate for administrative assistant, including fringe benefits and overhead, is estimated at \$23 per hour.

Physicians are now required to document the face-to-face encounter if it was performed by a PA, NP, or CNS. In order to allow payment for this documentation, a G code is established for this service. There are approximately 10 million DME users and it was assumed that roughly 5 percent of face-to-face encounters are actually performed by these other provider

types, thereby requiring documentation of the encounter. Therefore, it was assumed that about 500,000 of these documentation services would be billed. We estimate the time for a physician to review each one of these encounters that results in an order is 10 minutes. Therefore, we estimate that the physician documentation burden to review and document when a PA, NP or CNS performed the face-to-face encounter in year 1 would be nearly 83,333 hours and a total of 700,000 million hours over 5 years. The associated cost in year 1 is nearly \$9.8 million and over 5 years has associated costs of nearly \$82.6 million based on the growth rate of the Medicare population. The increase is slightly more than five-fold because the number of Medicare beneficiaries would increase over time.

	Year 1	5 Years
Number of claims affected	500,000	4,200,000
Time for physician review of each claim	10 min	10 min
Total Time	83,333 hours	700,000 hours
Estimated Total Cost (Hours times \$118)	\$ 9,833,333	\$ 82,600,000

Physician Time to Document Occurrence of a Face-to-Face Encounter

We assume it will take 3 minutes for a PA, NP, or CNS to prepare the medical record for the review of the face-to-face encounter. For the 500,000 orders used in the previous estimate, this creates a total of 25,000 hours at a cost of about \$1.4 million in year 1 and nearly 210,000 hours over 5 years at a cost of nearly \$11.6 million based on the growth rate of the Medicare population. Though consistent with previous estimates, we believe that using a PA, NP, or CNS hourly rate creates a high burden impact estimate since most of these tasks would more than likely be completed by administrative personnel.

Inforeium risolotumi, runoe rrueduloner or emmeur runoe opeenunot rime		
	Year 1	5 Years
Number of claims affected	500,000	4,200,000
Time for PAs, NPs, or CNSs to gather and provide	3 min	3 min
each claim		
Total Time	25,000 hours	210,000 hours
Estimated Total Cost (Hours times \$55)	\$ 1,375,000.00	11,550,000

Physician Assistant, Nurse Practitioner or Clinical Nurse Specialist Time

This proposed rule would create only a minimal change in the normal course of business activities in regards to recordkeeping. Although we believe the documentation of a needs assessment, evaluation, and or treatment of a beneficiary for a condition relevant to an item of DME is a common practice, it is possible that some practitioners may not be documenting the results of all encounters; and therefore, there may be additional impact for some practitioners.

This regulation requires that the supplier have access to the documentation of the face-to-face encounter, which is required when CMS conducts an audit. CMS already accounts for the audit burden associated with the exchange of documentation for claims subject to prepayment review (approved under OCN 0938-0969). As a business practice we recognize that some suppliers may receive the documentation of the face-to-face for all applicable claims, voluntarily.

13. Capital Costs

There are no capital costs associated with this collection. Providers and suppliers maintain these medical records and routinely submit them to various healthcare entities.

14. Cost to Federal Government

CMS estimates that costs associated with the face-to-face requirement is \$233 million per year.

15. <u>Changes to Burden</u>

This is a new information collection.

16. Publication/Tabulation Dates

There are no plans to publish or tabulate the information collected.

17. Expiration Date

This collection does not lend itself to the displaying of an expiration date.

18. <u>Certification Statement</u>

There are no exceptions to the certification statements.

B. Collection of Information Employing Statistical Methods

This ICR does not contain any surveys or censuses, and does not employ any statistical methods.