## FOR HIRE CHARTER AND PARTY/HEAD BOATS

## IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION

## 1. Authorizations to Collect the Information

The National Marine Sanctuaries Act (16 USC 1431, et seq.) authorizes the Flower Gardens Bank National Marine Sanctuary to establish regulations to protect sanctuary resources or resolve user conflicts. This act also authorizes the Sanctuary to do research and collect information necessary for evaluating new regulations.

## 2. How the Information Will Be Used

The Flower Gardens Bank National Marine Sanctuary has developed a public process to evaluate and revise its current management plan and regulations. Through this public process and in consultation with the Sanctuary Advisory Council, the Flower Gardens Bank National Marine Sanctuary is evaluating several alternatives for expanding its boundaries to cover other banks in the Northwest Gulf of Mexico, establishing a research only area to test the impacts of fishing, and revise other regulations on use of dive flags by dive vessels, minimum distance and speeds for other vessels operating near dive vessels, and vessel discharges. All current and revised regulations would apply to boundary expansion areas. The Sanctuary Advisory Council or a Sanctuary Advisory Council Working Group, with members representing different user groups, will help evaluate and make recommendations to the FGBNMS on boundary expansion and research only area alternatives and revisions of other regulations.

The information collected here will be used by the Sanctuary Advisory Council or its working group and management of the Flower Gardens Bank National Marine Sanctuary in evaluating alternative boundaries for boundary expansion and research only areas alternatives. The objective will be to minimize the socioeconomic impacts of boundary expansion and research only area alternatives. The information will also be used by NOAA in completing socioeconomic impact analyses of any regulations resulting from any proposed boundary expansion, research only area or other new regulations.

### 3. Statement of Burden

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average about three hours per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing burden, to Dr. Vernon R. (Bob) Leeworthy, Chief Economist, National Ocean Service, office of National marine Sanctuaries, 1305 East West Highway, SSMC 4, 11<sup>th</sup> floor, Silver Spring, MD 20910.

### 4. Your Participation and Protections of Proprietary Information

Your participation is voluntary. Notwithstanding any other provision of the law, no person is required to respond to, nor shall any person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with, a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act, unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB Control Number.

Any information that identifies you or your business (name, name of business, address and telephone number) will not be given to anyone, including the government agencies sponsoring this information collection. The information that identifies you or your business will be destroyed by the contractor collecting the information at the end of the information collection. All other information will be available for distribution.

Date of Interview\_\_\_\_\_ Location of Interview:

Recreational For Hire Fishing Operations in the Northwest Gulf of Mexico (Part 1)

# **GENERAL INFORMATION**

Name \_\_\_\_\_ Telephone \_\_\_\_\_ E-mail: Address: 1. Which of the following includes your age? 18-30 31-40 41-50 51-60 over 60 2a. Are you Hispanic or Latino YES NO 2b. What is your race? (Mark one or more) \_\_\_\_White \_\_\_\_Black or African American \_\_\_\_ American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander 3. How many family members do you support (including yourself)? Myself only 2 3 4 5 6 7 Greater and 7 4. Are you a member of any of the following groups? YES NO \_\_\_\_ a. Coastal Conservation Association (CCA) b. Recreational Fishing Alliance (RFA) YES \_\_ NO \_\_ c. American Sports Fishing Association (ASA) YES \_\_ NO \_\_ YES \_\_ NO \_\_ d. Chamber of Commerce YES \_\_ NO \_\_ e. An Environmental Group f. Other (specify) YES NO 5. What is your primary port/marina?

6. Do you have a secondary port/marina, from where you fish part of the year? YES \_\_\_ NO \_\_\_

- If YES, then which one?

7. How many years have you been a charter/party boat operator? \_\_\_\_ (number of years)

8. How many years have you been a charter/party operator in the Gulf of Mexico? \_\_\_\_ (number of years)

9. Have you ever taken fishermen to the Flower Gardens Bank National Marine Sanctuary? (Interviewer—Please show map) YES \_\_ NO \_\_

- If YES, how many years have you taken fishermen to the Flower Gardens Bank National Marine Sanctuary? \_\_\_\_ (number of years)

10. What approximate percentage of your total business income is derived from the charter/party boat operation?  $\__\%$ 

11. What approximate percentage of your TOTAL personal income is derived from the charter/party boat operation?  $\___$ %

12. What approximate percentage of your TOTAL household income is derived from the charter/party boat operation?  $\__$ %

13. How would you describe your fishing operation? (Mark one or more)

\_\_\_\_ Full-time Charter Boat operation \_\_\_\_ Part-time Charter Boat operation

Seasonal Charter Boat operation If seasonal, what months

Full-time Party/Head Boat operation Part-time Party/Head boat operation

\_\_\_\_Seasonal Party/Head boat operation If seasonal, what months \_\_\_\_\_\_

## **ECONOMIC INFORMATION**

14. Number of boats/vessels at the operation: \_\_\_\_\_ (number of vessels)

15. Capacity of fishermen per vessel in operation:

Vessel 1: \_\_\_\_\_fishermen Vessel 2: \_\_\_\_\_fishermen Vessel 3: \_\_\_\_\_fishermen Vessel 4: \_\_\_\_\_fishermen

16. Number of employees at the operation:a. Full time \_\_\_\_\_ b. Part time \_\_\_\_\_ c. Seasonal \_\_\_\_\_

17. Please provide your best estimate of the replacement value of the following items that you used last year (fill in year \_\_\_\_).

a. Vessel(s) and electronic equipment:	\$
b. Diving and snorkeling gear:	\$ 
c. Compressors	\$
d. Rods/Reels	\$ 
e. Other gear (specify)	\$ 

Date of Interview	OMB No. 0648-27; 9'Exp. Date:
Location of Interview:	-
_	

 \$
\$

18. Outstanding balance on loan amounts for vessels and equipment \$

19. Please provide your best estimate for the following expenses last year:

Permits/Licenses:	\$
Docking fees:	\$
Interest payments on vessel(s):	\$
P&I insurance on vessel(s)	\$
Maintenance/repair on vessel/electronic equipment:	\$
Maintenance on rods/reels:	\$
Maintenance/repair on other equipment:	\$
Advertising:	\$
Office rent/mortgage:	\$
Office utilities (electric, water, telephone, Internet):	\$
Depreciation on vessel and equipment:	\$
Business taxes:	\$
Other:	\$
	\$
	\$

20. Please provide your best estimate for the following trip related expenses last year:

Fuel/oil:	\$
Ice:	\$
Food/Supplies:	\$
Bait:	\$
Captain wages & salaries (if not owner-captain):	\$
Crew wages & salaries Number:	\$
Other (specify)	\$
	\$

21. Please provide your best estimate of your total business revenues last year: \$\_\_\_\_\_

22. Please provide your best estimate of your total revenues and/or percent of total revenues (from Question 21) last year by each geographic area: (See map for definitions of each area)

Northwest Gulf of Mexico Study Area	\$ %
Area 1	\$ %
Area 2	\$ %
Area 3	\$ %
Area 4	\$ %
Other Gulf of Mexico not included above	\$ %

# PERSON DAYS AND TRIP COSTS

23. Please provide your best estimate of the number person days by type of activity for last year and the percentage of person days by activity and area. (Interviewer – show map of areas). A person day is one person for a whole day or any part of a day. 10 people on-board for an overnight trip would be 20 person days.

Activity	Total Person- days	NWGOM (%)	Area 1 (%)	Area 2 (%)	Area 3 (%)	Area 4 (%)
Hook-and-line fishing						
Spear fishing						
All Activities						

24. Please provide your best estimate of last years' number of person days by hook-and-line fishing and bank for the three banks in the current Flower Gardens Bank National Marine Sanctuary.

Activity	East Flower Gardens	West Flower Gardens	Stetson
Hook-and-line fishing			

25. Please provide your best estimate of the cost per day for a typical day of operation by activity.

Activity	Fuel/Oil	Ice	Bait	Food/	Other	Crew	Captain
				Supplies			(if not owner)
Hook-and-line fishing							
Spear fishing							

26. Please provide the percentage of your person days of operation across each 1-minute by 1-minute grid cell for each activity. This is a forward looking rather than just your past activity patterns. We want to know where you expect you will conduct your activities in the future. This will be used to assess the possible impacts of expanding the boundaries of the Flower Gardens Bank National Marine Sanctuary or assessing a possible research only area. All hook-an-line fishing will be allowed in boundary expansion areas as in the current FGBNMS. All activities will be eliminated from a research only area. (refer to detailed maps with grid overlays and nautical chart reference points).

Coding sheet attached.

#### For Hire Fishing Operators in NWGOM Part 2. SOURCES OF INFORMATION AND PERCEPTIONS

1. Please list the sources of information that you have received in the past on the FGBNMS and rank the sources in terms of their usefulness. In terms of ranking, please rank only those sources that you used, and where 1 is the most important source, 2 the second most important source, and so on.

	Sources	RANK
SOURCE	Used	Sources
a. FGBNMS website		
b. FGBNMS Staff		
c. Sanctuary Advisory Council		
d. FGBNMS brochures/literature		
e. FGBNMS signage		
f. Information in newspapers		
g. Radio		
h. TV		
i. Word of mouth		

For the next set of questions, please provide your answer on a 1 to 5 scale, where 1 means **Strongly agree**, 2 means **Moderately agree**, 3 means **Neutral**, 4 means **Moderately disagree**, and 5 means **Strongly disagree** 

2. The process that NOAA has used to develop rules and regulations for the FGBNMS was open and fair to all groups.

1 2 3 4 5 I don't know

3. The process has used by NOAA to develop boundaries and regulations for the FGBNMS zones was open and fair to all groups.

1 2 3 4 5 I don't know

4. It has not mattered whether the average person participated in the workshops and meeting on the FGBNMS because the average person could not influence the final decisions.

1 2 3 4 5 I don't know

5. NOAA has not addressed the concerns of other federal and state governments in developing rules and regulations for the FGBNMS.

1 2 3 4 5 I don't know

6. NOAA has not addressed the concerns of individual citizens in developing rules and regulations for the FGBNMS.

1 2 3 4 5 I don't know

7. Once that the FGBNMS regulations have been in effect, there has been no way that the average person to voice his/her opinion on the usefulness of the regulations.

1 2 3 4 5 I don't know

8. The procedures that NOAA has established to deal with violations of FGBNMS regulations have been fair and just.

1 2 3 4 5 I don't know

#### NOTES

## OMB No. 0648-07; 9. Expiration date: .

### ATTITUDES ABOUT MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES AND REGULATION

In this section, we want to know what you think about current and proposed management strategies in the FGBNMS, the effects of those management strategies and regulations, and how you think the FGBNMS management has performed.

In management plan review public scoping and Sanctuary Advisory Council meetings, FGBNMS is thinking about expanding its current boundaries and creating a research only area. For boundary expansion, there are a number of alternatives, but all would extend the current regulations in FGBNMS to the boundary expansion areas. Hook-and-line fishing is currently allowed in the FGBNMS. For the research only area, all activities would be prohibited. See map of areas proposed for potential boundary expansion.

For the next set of questions, please provide your answer on a 1 to 5 scale, where 1 means **Strongly agree**, 2 means **Moderately agree**, 3 means **Neutral**, 4 means **Moderately disagree**, and 5 means **Strongly disagree** 

9. I support the FGBNMS as it is currently established.

1 2 3 4 5 I don't know

10. I support the establishment of a research only area in the FGBNMS.

1 2 3 4 5 I don't know

11. A research only area in the FGBNMS would have a positive impact on the marine environment.

1 2 3 4 5 I don't know

12. There should be more than one bank set aside as a research only area in the FGBNMS.

1 2 3 4 5 I don't know

13. I support establishment of boundary expansion of FGBNMS for the banks in AREA 1.

1 2 3 4 5 I don't know

14. I support establishment of boundary expansion of FGBNMS for the banks in AREA 2.

1 2 3 4 5 I don't know

15.	I suppo	rt estab	lishmen	nt of bo	undary expansion of FGBNMS for the banks in AREA 3.
1	2	3	4	5	I don't know
16.	I suppo	rt estab	lishmen	nt of bo	undary expansion of FGBNMS for the banks in AREA 4.
1	2	3	4	5	I don't know
17 I	suppo	rt establ	ishmen	t of a re	esearch only area on Stetson bank.
1	2	3	4	5	I don't know
18. I	suppo	rt establ	ishmen	t of a re	esearch only area on East Flower Garden Bank.
1	2	3	4	5	I don't know
19. I	suppo	rt establ	ishmen	t of a re	esearch only area on West Flower Garden Bank.
1	2	3	4	5	I don't know
	Bounda ronmen		nsion o	f the F0	GBNMS would have a positive impact on the marine
1	2	3	4	5	I don't know
		tson, Ea It by the			wer Garden Banks have benefited environmentally from
1	2	3	4	5	I don't know
		as been nt of th			ic benefit to the coastal Texas economy from the

1 2 3 4 5 I don't know

# OMB No. 0648-597 Expiration date: .

1       2       3       4       5       I don't know         24. FGBNMS regulations have had no effect on my business.       34. How much do you estimate it will cost you estat you estimate it will cost you estat you es	ls fly a Bl t know		and	Alp	pha c	ive f	tlag
24. FGBNMS regulations have had no effect on my business.       Flag regulation? \$							
25. I support the no anchoring regulations in the FGBNMS.       I 2 3 4 5 I don't know       Garden Banks and Stetson Bank by their status/GFGBNMS (1996 for East & West flower Garden is much better and 5 is much worse.         1       2       3 4 5 I don't know       RESOURCE         26. I support the current no bischarge regulations in the FGBNMS.       a. Water quality       b. Sea-based pollution/marine of c. Coral reefs         1       2       3       4 5 I don't know       c. Coral reefs       d. Other bottom habitat         1       2       3       4 5 I don't know       e. Fisheries       d. Other bottom habitat         1       2       3       4 5 I don't know       g. Fewer vessel groundings       g. Fewer vessel groundings         1       2       3       4 5 I don't know       36. The FGBNMS is mostly responsible for the rated in the previous question.       1       2       3       4 5 I don't know         20       1 support the routient of using a mooring buoy instead of anchoring in the FGBNMS with the limit of vessel size for mooring use of 100 feet or less.       36. The FGBNMS has the FGBNMS been no         1       2       3       4 5 I don't know       37. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been no         1       2       3       4 5 I don't know       37. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been no         1       2       3       4 5 I do	business	ss per	t yea	ar to	o con	nply	with th
<ul> <li>25. I support the no anchoring regulations in the FGBNMS.</li> <li>1 2 3 4 5 I don't know</li> <li>26. I support the current no discharge regulations in the FGBNMS.</li> <li>27. I support the current no harvest of bottom formations or taking of invertebrates inside the FGBNMS.</li> <li>23. 4 5 I don't know</li> <li>24. 3 4 5 I don't know</li> <li>25. I don't know</li> <li>26. I support the current no harvest of bottom formations or taking of invertebrates inside the FGBNMS.</li> <li>28. I support the hook-and-line only fishing regulation in the FGBNMS.</li> <li>23. 3 4 5 I don't know</li> <li>24. 3 4 5 I don't know</li> <li>25. I don't know</li> <li>26. I support the requirement of using a mooring buoy instead of anchoring in the FGBNMS with the limit of vessel size for mooring use of 100 feet or less.</li> <li>23. 4 5 I don't know</li> <li>24. 3 4 5 I don't know</li> <li>25. I support stricter regulations on discharging of pollutants in the FGBNMS.</li> <li>24. 3 4 5 I don't know</li> <li>25. I support stricter regulations on discharging of pollutants in the FGBNMS.</li> <li>25. I support stricter regulations on minimum distance and speed from vessels flying a Blue and</li> </ul>	ing resou	ource	es at	: Eas	st &	West	t Flowe
1       2       3       4       5       I don't know         26. I support the current no discharge regulations in the FGBNMS.       a.       Water quality         1       2       3       4       5       I don't know         27. I support the current no harvest of bottom formations or taking of invertebrates inside the FGBNMS.       a.       Water quality         1       2       3       4       5       I don't know         28. I support the hook-and-line only fishing regulation in the FGBNMS.       f.       Mooring buoys       g.         1       2       3       4       5       I don't know       36. The FGBNMS is mostly responsible for the rated in the previous question.         1       2       3       4       5       I don't know       1       2       3         29. I support the requirement of using a mooring buoy instead of anchoring in the FGBNMS with the limit of vessel size for mooring use of 100 feet or less.       1       2       3       4       5       I don't know       37. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been no         31. I support stricter regulations on discharging of pollutants in the FGBNMS.       38. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been lead         32. I support regulations on minimum distance and speed from vessels flying a Blue and       38. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been lead							
<ul> <li>a. Water quality</li> <li>b. Sea-based pollution/marine of c. Coral reefs</li> <li>d. Other bottom habitat</li> <li>e. Fisheries</li> <li>d. Other bottom habitat</li> <li>e. Fisheries</li> <li>f. Mooring buoys</li> <li>g. Fewer vessel groundings</li> </ul> 1 2 3 4 5 I don't know 29. I support the no taking of marine mammals and turtles in the FGBNMS. 1 2 3 4 5 I don't know 30. I support the requirement of using a mooring buoy instead of anchoring in the FGBNMS with the limit of vessel size for mooring use of 100 feet or less. 1 2 3 4 5 I don't know 30. I support the requirement of using a mooring buoy instead of anchoring in the FGBNMS with the limit of vessel size for mooring use of 100 feet or less. 1 2 3 4 5 I don't know 31. I support stricter regulations on discharging of pollutants in the FGBNMS. 1 2 3 4 5 I don't know 32. I support regulations on minimum distance and speed from vessels flying a Blue and	Be	Better	r ←	·	<u>-</u>	<b>→</b> Wo	orse
1       2       3       4       5       I don't know       b.       Sea-based pollution/marine of Correction of Correction of Correction habitat         27. I support the current no harvest of bottom formations or taking of invertebrates inside the FGBNMS.       c.       Coral reefs         1       2       3       4       5       I don't know       e.       Fisheries         28. I support the hook-and-line only fishing regulation in the FGBNMS.       f.       Mooring buoys       g.       Fewer vessel groundings         1       2       3       4       5       I don't know       36. The FGBNMS is mostly responsible for the rated in the previous question.         1       2       3       4       5       I don't know       36. The FGBNMS is mostly responsible for the rated in the previous question.         1       2       3       4       5       I don't know       37. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been no         30. I support stricter regulations on discharging of pollutants in the FGBNMS.       37. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been no         1       2       3       4       5       I don't know       38. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been lead         31. I support stricter regulations on minimum distance and speed from vessels flying a Blue and       38. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been lead				-			
<ul> <li>27. I support the current no harvest of bottom formations or taking of invertebrates inside the FGBNMS.</li> <li>1 2 3 4 5 I don't know</li> <li>28. I support the hook-and-line only fishing regulation in the FGBNMS.</li> <li>1 2 3 4 5 I don't know</li> <li>29. I support the no taking of marine mammals and turtles in the FGBNMS.</li> <li>1 2 3 4 5 I don't know</li> <li>30. I support the requirement of using a mooring buoy instead of anchoring in the FGBNMS with the limit of vessel size for mooring use of 100 feet or less.</li> <li>1 2 3 4 5 I don't know</li> <li>30. I support the requirement of using a mooring buoy instead of anchoring in the FGBNMS with the limit of vessel size for mooring use of 100 feet or less.</li> <li>1 2 3 4 5 I don't know</li> <li>31. I support stricter regulations on discharging of pollutants in the FGBNMS.</li> <li>1 2 3 4 5 I don't know</li> <li>32. I support regulations on minimum distance and speed from vessels flying a Blue and</li> </ul>		1	2	2 3	3		5 N/.
FGBNMS.       d. Other bottom habitat         1       2       3       4       5       I don't know       e. Fisheries         28. I support the hook-and-line only fishing regulation in the FGBNMS.       f. Mooring buoys       g. Fewer vessel groundings         1       2       3       4       5       I don't know       36. The FGBNMS is mostly responsible for the rated in the previous question.         1       2       3       4       5       I don't know       1       2       3         20. I support the no taking of marine mammals and turtles in the FGBNMS.       1       2       3       4       5       I don't know       36. The FGBNMS is mostly responsible for the rated in the previous question.         1       2       3       4       5       I don't know       1       2       3         30. I support the requirement of using a mooring buoy instead of anchoring in the FGBNMS with the limit of vessel size for mooring use of 100 feet or less.       37. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been mooring use of 100 rest.         1       2       3       4       5       I don't know       38. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been leading and the requirement of using a mooring use of form vessels flying a Blue and	ebris	1	2	2 3	3	4 :	5 N/.
1       2       3       4       5       I don't know       d. Other bottom habitat         28. I support the hook-and-line only fishing regulation in the FGBNMS.       e. Fisheries       f. Mooring buoys         1       2       3       4       5       I don't know         29. I support the no taking of marine mammals and turtles in the FGBNMS.       36. The FGBNMS is mostly responsible for the rated in the previous question.         1       2       3       4       5       I don't know         30. I support the requirement of using a mooring buoy instead of anchoring in the FGBNMS with the limit of vessel size for mooring use of 100 feet or less.       1       2       3       4       5       I don't know         31. I support stricter regulations on discharging of pollutants in the FGBNMS.       37. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been moor and ischarging of pollutants in the FGBNMS.         1       2       3       4       5       I don't know       38. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been lead         32. I support regulations on minimum distance and speed from vessels flying a Blue and       38. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been lead		1	2	2 3	3	4 :	5 N/.
28. I support the hook-and-line only fishing regulation in the FGBNMS.   1 2 3 4 5 I don't know   29. I support the no taking of marine mammals and turtles in the FGBNMS. 36. The FGBNMS is mostly responsible for the rated in the previous question.   1 2 3 4 5 I don't know   30. I support the requirement of using a mooring buoy instead of anchoring in the FGBNMS with the limit of vessel size for mooring use of 100 feet or less. 37. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been mo   1 2 3 4 5 I don't know   31. I support stricter regulations on discharging of pollutants in the FGBNMS. 38. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been lead   32. I support regulations on minimum distance and speed from vessels flying a Blue and 38. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been lead		1	2	2 :	3	4 5	5 N/.
<ul> <li>25. I support the hook-and-line only fishing regulation in the FOBNMS.</li> <li>26. I support the hook-and-line only fishing regulation in the FOBNMS.</li> <li>27. I support the no taking of marine mammals and turtles in the FGBNMS.</li> <li>27. I support the requirement of using a mooring buoy instead of anchoring in the FGBNMS with the limit of vessel size for mooring use of 100 feet or less.</li> <li>27. I support stricter regulations on discharging of pollutants in the FGBNMS.</li> <li>27. I support regulations on minimum distance and speed from vessels flying a Blue and</li> </ul>		1	2	2 3	3	4 4	5 N/.
1       2       3       4       5       I don't know       36. The FGBNMS is mostly responsible for the rated in the previous question.         1       2       3       4       5       I don't know       36. The FGBNMS is mostly responsible for the rated in the previous question.         1       2       3       4       5       I don't know       1       2       3         30. I support the requirement of using a mooring buoy instead of anchoring in the FGBNMS with the limit of vessel size for mooring use of 100 feet or less.       37. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been models.         1       2       3       4       5       I don't know       37. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been models.         1       2       3       4       5       I don't know       38. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been lead         32. I support regulations on minimum distance and speed from vessels flying a Blue and       38. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been lead		1	2	2 :	3	4	5 N/.
<ul> <li>29. I support the no taking of marine mammals and turtles in the FGBNMS.</li> <li>1 2 3 4 5 I don't know</li> <li>30. I support the requirement of using a mooring buoy instead of anchoring in the FGBNMS with the limit of vessel size for mooring use of 100 feet or less.</li> <li>1 2 3 4 5 I don't know</li> <li>31. I support stricter regulations on discharging of pollutants in the FGBNMS.</li> <li>1 2 3 4 5 I don't know</li> <li>33. I support stricter regulations on discharging of pollutants in the FGBNMS.</li> <li>34 5 I don't know</li> <li>35. The FGBNMS is mostly responsible for the rated in the previous question.</li> <li>36. The FGBNMS is mostly responsible for the rated in the previous question.</li> <li>36. The FGBNMS is mostly responsible for the rated in the previous question.</li> <li>36. The FGBNMS is mostly responsible for the rated in the previous question.</li> <li>37. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been model.</li> <li>38. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been lead</li> <li>39. I support regulations on minimum distance and speed from vessels flying a Blue and</li> </ul>		1	2	2 3	3	4 :	5 N/2
29. I support the no taking of marine maninals and turtles in the FGBNMS.rated in the previous question. $1$ $2$ $3$ $4$ $5$ I don't know $1$ $2$ $3$ 30. I support the requirement of using a mooring buoy instead of anchoring in the FGBNMS with the limit of vessel size for mooring use of 100 feet or less. $1$ $2$ $3$ $4$ $5$ I don't know $37$ . In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been model. $1$ $2$ $3$ $4$ $5$ I don't know $37$ . In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been model. $1$ $2$ $3$ $4$ $5$ I don't know $38$ . In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been lead $2$ $3$ $4$ $5$ I don't know $38$ . In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been lead $32$ . I support regulations on minimum distance and speed from vessels flying a Blue and $38$ In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been lead							
30. I support the requirement of using a mooring buoy instead of anchoring in the FGBNMS with the limit of vessel size for mooring use of 100 feet or less.       31. I support stricter regulations on discharging of pollutants in the FGBNMS.         1       2       3       4       5       I don't know       37. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been model.         31. I support stricter regulations on discharging of pollutants in the FGBNMS.       37. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been model.         1       2       3       4       5       I don't know         32. I support regulations on minimum distance and speed from vessels flying a Blue and       38. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been lead	status/cor	ondit	tion	ı of t	the r	esou	rces tha
<ul> <li>with the limit of vessel size for mooring use of 100 feet or less.</li> <li>1 2 3 4 5 I don't know</li> <li>31. I support stricter regulations on discharging of pollutants in the FGBNMS.</li> <li>1 2 3 4 5 I don't know</li> <li>32. I support regulations on minimum distance and speed from vessels flying a Blue and</li> </ul>	4	5		Ιc	don'	't kno	w
1       2       3       4       5       I don't know         31. I support stricter regulations on discharging of pollutants in the FGBNMS.							
1       2       3       4       5       I don't know       38. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been lead         32. I support regulations on minimum distance and speed from vessels flying a Blue and       38. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been lead	t successf	ssful?	?				
38. In which area(s) has the FGBNMS been lea 32. I support regulations on minimum distance and speed from vessels flying a Blue and							
	st success	ssful	?				
1 2 3 4 5 I don't know							