Supporting Statement Exportation of Used Self-Propelled Vehicles 1651-0054

A. Justification:

1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary. Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection. Attach a copy of the appropriate section of each statute and regulation mandating or authorizing the collection of information.

The U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) regulations require an individual attempting to export a used self propelled vehicle to furnish documentation to CBP at the port of export. Exportation of a vehicle is permitted only upon compliance with these requirements. The required documentation includes, but is not limited to, a Certificate of Title or a Salvage Title, the Vehicle Identification Number (VIN), a Manufacturer's Statement of Origin, etc. CBP will accept originals or certified copies of Certificate of Title. The purpose of this information is to help ensure that stolen vehicles or vehicles associated with other criminal activity are not exported.

Collection of this information is authorized by 19 U.S.C.1627a which provides CBP with authority to impose export reporting requirements on all used selfpropelled vehicles. It is also authorized by Title IV, Section 401 of the Anti-Car Theft Act of 1992, 19 U.S.C. 1646(c) which requires all persons or entities exporting a used self-propelled vehicle to provide to CBP, at least 72 hours prior to export, the VIN and proof of ownership of each automobile. This information collection is provided for by 19 CFR Part 192. Further guidance regarding these requirements is provided at:

http://www.cbp.gov/xp/cgov/trade/basic_trade/export_docs/motor_vehicle.xml.

2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection.

CBP uses this documentation to verify ownership and to interdict stolen vehicles.

3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision for adopting this means of collection. Also describe any consideration of using information technology to reduce burden.

This information is submitted to CBP 72 hours in advance of export through the Automated Export System (AES).

4. Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described in Item 2 above.

This information is not duplicated in any other place or any other form.

5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities describe any methods used to minimize burden.

This information collection does not have an impact on small business.

6. Describe consequences to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently.

Requiring this information enables CBP to identify and interdict stolen vehicles.

7. Explain any special circumstances.

This information is collected in a manner consistent with the guidelines of 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2).

8. If applicable, provide a copy and identify the date and page number of publication in the Federal Register of the agency's notice, required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB. Summarize public comments received in response to that notice and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments. Specifically address comments received on cost and hour burden.

Public comments were solicited through two Federal Register notices dated July 27, 2012 (Volume 77, Page 44258) on which no comments were received, and on October 2, 2012 (Volume 77, Page 60134) on which no comments have been received.

Comments were received from Robert Resetar, Manager, Customs and Trade Compliance, Porsche Cars North America, Inc. In his comments Mr. Resetar states that it is difficult for Porsche to comply with 19 CFR 192 because they import new cars that may not have proof of ownership such as a title or a Manufacturer's Statement of Origin (MSO). Because this provision does not apply to the importation of new cars, Porsche should not have to provide information to CBP under 19 CFR 192 in the instances they describe in their comments. CBP contacted Mr. Resetar to find out which ports have been requesting ownership documentation from them so the situation can be corrected. Mr. Resetar stated that the problem has been resolved and there is no need for CBP to contact any ports.

9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees.

There is no offer of a monetary or material value for this information collection.

10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy.

There are no assurances of confidentiality provided to the respondents of this information collection. There is no PII collected.

11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private. This justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.

There are no questions of a sensitive nature.

12. Provide estimates of the hour burden of the collection of information.

INFORMATIO N COLLECTION	TOTAL ANNUAL BURDEN HOURS	NO. OF RESPONDENT S	NO. OF RESPONSES PER RESPONDENT	TOTAL RESPONSES	TIME PER RESPONSE
Exportation of Self- Propelled Vehicles	125,000	750,000	1	750,000	10 minutes (.166667 hours)

Public Cost

The estimated cost to the respondents is \$2,500,000. This is based on the estimated burden hours (125,000) multiplied (x) hourly rate (\$20.00).

13. Provide an estimate of the total annual cost burden to respondents or record keepers resulting from the collection of information.

There are no record keeping, capital, start-up or maintenance costs associated with this information collection.

14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal Government. Also provide a description of the method used to estimate cost, which should include quantification of hours, operational expenses (such as equipment overhead, printing, and support staff), and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information.

The estimated annual cost to the Federal Government associated with the review of these records is **\$5,229,000**. This is based on the number of responses (750,000) that must be reviewed multiplied (x) by the time to review and process each response (.166 hours) = 124,500 hours multiplied (x) by the average hourly rate (\$42.00)= \$5,229,000.

15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments reported in Items 12 or 13.

There has been no increase or decrease in the estimated annual burden hours previously reported for this information collection and no change to the information collected.

16. For collection of information whose results will be published, outline plans for tabulation, and publication.

This information collection will not be published for statistical purposes.

17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date, explain the reasons that displaying the expiration date would be inappropriate.

CBP will display the expiration date for OMB approval of this information collection.

18. "Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions."

CBP does not request an exception to the certification of this information collection.

B. Collection of Information Employing Statistical Methods

No statistical methods were employed.