OMB 3048-004 Expires: 03/31/2013

Export-Import Bank 2012 Competitiveness Report Survey



Paperwork Reduction Act Statement: We estimate that it will take you about 90 minutes to complete this form. This includes the time it will take to read the instructions, gather the necessary facts and fill out the form. However, you are not required to provide information requested unless a valid OMB control number is displayed on the form. If you have comments or suggestions regarding the above estimate or ways to simplify this form, forward correspondence to Ex-Im Bank and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project, OMB # 3048-0004 Washington, D.C. 20503.

<u>BACKGROUND</u>

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013

* Name

Title	
Phone	
* E-mail	
* Company	
1. How many years has your compan	y been in business as of December 2012?
	years
* 2. Is your company a broker, an expo	orter, or a lender?
	O Broker
	O Exporter
	O Lender
* 3. Which of the following Ex-Im Bank	terms and programs did your company use in CY 2012? (check all that apply)
_	☐ <u>Short-term</u> → continue
	☐ Medium-term - Aircraft → continue
	\square Medium-term - Other \rightarrow continue
	☐ Long-term - Aircraft → continue
	☐ Long-term – Project Finance → continue
	☐ <u>Long-term - Other</u> → continue
	\square None \rightarrow skip to question 194

EXPORTERS

OMB 3048-004

{Display section only if Q2=Exporter} Expires: 03/31/2013

* 4. How many years has your company **exported products** as of December 2012?

____ years

* 5. What was the dollar amount of your company's CY 2012 sales in each of the following categories?

Category	Amount
Total sales	\$
U.S. export sales	\$
Short-term U.S. export sales	Ś

* 6. What percentage of your company's total short-, medium- and long-term CY 2012 export sales volume were supported by Ex-Im Bank?

Short-term %
Medium-term %
Long-term %

LENDERS

{Display section only if Q2=Lender}

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013

 Category
 Amount

 Short-term credit
 \$

 Medium-term credit
 \$

 Long-term credit
 \$

* 9. What percentage of your company's total short-, medium-, and long-term CY 2012 export credit extended was supported by Ex-Im Bank?

Short-term 9
Medium-term 9
Long-term 9

SHORT-TERM EXPORTERS

{Display section only if Q2=Exporter & Q3=Short-term}

useful

0

useful

0

or play section only if Q2=Exporter & Q3=Short-termix

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013

Category				entage of erm sales				
Insured with export	credit insuran	ce	0	%				
Self-insured				<u></u> %				
Other				 %				
Why did your company use	short-term ex	port credit ins	urance in CY 20	012? (check al	l reasons t	hat apply	·)	
		mercial bank re	auires it					
		orate philosopl	•					
	-	eting tool	- 7					
		r						
How important were each	of the followin	g factors to yo	ur company wh	en selecting <u>s</u>	hort-term	export cı	edit insu	rance provid
12?								
		Not at all	Minimally		Modera	-	Very	Not
Factor		important	important	Important	importa	ant im	portant	applicable
Price/premium		0	0	0	0		0	0
Cover (e.g., 90% vs. 95%)		0	0	0	0		0	0
Terms (deductibles, risk re	tentions)	0	0	0	0		0	0
Ease of use (reporting requ	uirements)	0	0	0	0		0	0
			_	0	0		\sim	0
Documentation requireme		0	0				0	
Documentation requirement Exclusions and/or limitation	ins	0	0	0	0		0	0
Documentation requirement Exclusions and/or limitation Dependability/Predictability	ins	0	0	0	0		0	0
Documentation requirements Exclusions and/or limitation Dependability/Predictability Claims policy/Experience	ins	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0		0 0	0 0
Documentation requirement Exclusions and/or limitation Dependability/Predictability	ins	0	0	0	0		0	0
Documentation requirement Exclusions and/or limitation Dependability/Predictability Claims policy/Experience Other	ns ty	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	- 6 6	0 0 0	0 0 0
Documentation requirements Exclusions and/or limitation Dependability/Predictability Claims policy/Experience Other	ns ty	O O O O edit insurance	O O O O programs com	O O O O pare with the	O O O O programs		O O O O oy the follo	0 0 0
Documentation requirement Exclusions and/or limitation Dependability/Predictability/Claims policy/Experience Other	ns ty	O O O edit insurance	O O O programs com m Bank's short	O O O pare with the	O O O programs credit insu	irance wa	O O O O oy the follo	0 0 0
Documentation requirement Exclusions and/or limitation Dependability/Predictability/Claims policy/Experience Other How did Ex-Im Bank short-to-	ns ty	O O O edit insurance	O O O programs com m Bank's short rse Sa	O O O pare with the -term export me B	O O O programs credit insu	irance wa Don't kn	O O O O oy the follo	0 0 0
Documentation requirement Exclusions and/or limitation Dependability/Predictability Claims policy/Experience Other How did Ex-Im Bank short-to ECA EDC (Canada)	ons ty <u>term</u> export cr	O O O edit insurance <u>Ex-l</u> Wo	O O O programs com m Bank's short rse Sa O O	O O O pare with the term export B O	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	irance wa Don't kn	O O O O oy the follo	0 0 0
Documentation requirement Exclusions and/or limitation Dependability/Predictability Claims policy/Experience Other How did Ex-Im Bank short-to ECA EDC (Canada) ECGD (United King	ons ty term export cr dom)	O O O edit insurance <u>Ex-l</u> Wo	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	O O O pare with the -term export me B O	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Don't kn	O O O O oy the follo	0 0 0
Documentation requirement Exclusions and/or limitation Dependability/Predictability Claims policy/Experience Other How did Ex-Im Bank short-to ECA EDC (Canada) ECGD (United King EulerHermes (Germann)	ons ty term export cr dom)	O O O edit insurance <u>Ex-l</u> Wo	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	O O O pare with the -term export me B O O	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Don't kn O O O	O O O O oy the follo	0 0 0
Documentation requirement Exclusions and/or limitation Dependability/Predictability Claims policy/Experience Other How did Ex-Im Bank short-Interpretable ECA	ons ty term export cr dom)	O O O edit insurance <u>Ex-l</u> Wo	Programs comm Bank's short rse Sa	O O O pare with the c-term export me B O O O	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Don't kn	O O O O oy the follo	0 0 0
Documentation requirement Exclusions and/or limitation Dependability/Predictabili	ons ty term export cr dom) many)	O O O edit insurance Ex-l Wo	programs comm Bank's short rse Sa	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	Don't kn	O O O O oy the follo	0 0 0
Documentation requirement Exclusions and/or limitation Dependability/Predictability Claims policy/Experience Other How did Ex-Im Bank short-in ECA EDC (Canada) ECGD (United King EulerHermes (Gerr Finnerva (Finland)	ons ty term export cr dom) many)	O O O edit insurance Ex-l Wo	programs com m Bank's short rse Sa O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	O O O pare with the c-term export me B O O O	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Don't kn	O O O O oy the follo	0 0 0

EB 00-02 5

Useful

0

useful

0

know

0

useful

0

SHORT-TERM EXPORTERS

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013

16. Please provide comments, suggestions, observations, or recommendations regarding Ex-Im Bank's short-term export credit insurance products/programs.

{End survey}

SHORT-TERM LENDERS

{Display section only if Q2=Lender & Q3=Shor	t-term}
17. For how many years has your co	mpany provided short-term trade finance?
, , , ,	years
18. How much short-term trade fina	ance did your company extend in CY 2012?
<u> </u>	O Less than \$20 million
	O \$20 million to less than \$75 million
	O \$75 million to less than \$150 million
	O \$150 million to less than \$300 million
	O \$300 million or more
	2 \$300 million of more
19. Did your company require expor	t credit insurance for all of its CY 2012 short-term trade finance transactions?
	O Yes → skip to question 21
	O No → continue
20. What percentage of your total C	Y 2012 short-term trade finance portfolio was insured by either Ex-Im Bank or a private insurer?
. 5 ,	<u> </u>
21. What percentage of your compa	ny's CY 2012 short-term trade finance transactions were insured with the following institutions?
	Percentage
Institution	of insurance
U.S. Ex-Im Bank	%
Private export credit insu	rance companies %
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
22. Why did your company obtain cr	redit insurance for its CY 2012 <u>short-term</u> trade finance transactions? (check all reasons that apply)
, , , , ,	□ Risk
	☐ Reduce financing costs
	□ Corporate philosophy
	□ Marketing tool

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013

* 23. How important were each of the following factors to your company in choosing short-term export credit insurance in CY 2012?

☐ Exposure management tool

☐ Other__

_	Not at all	Minimally		Moderately	Very	Not
Factor	important	important	Important	important	important	applicable
Price/premium	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cover (e.g., 90% vs. 95%)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Terms (deductibles, risk retentions)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ease of use (reporting requirements)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Documentation requirements	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exclusions and/or limitations	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dependability/Predictability	0	0	0	0	0	0
Claims policy/Experience	0	0	0	0	0	0
Credit/Cover policy	0	0	0	0	0	0
Individual credit limits	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clarity of policy language	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0

SHORT-TERM LENDERS

operated in the United States in CY 2012?

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013

24. How did Ex-Im Bank short-term export credit insurance programs compare with the programs offered by the following insurers who

Ex-Im Bank's short-term export credit insurance was

Insurer Worse Same Better Don't know 0 Atradius 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Chartis/AIG 0 0 O O Coface 0 0 0 0 **FCIA** O O 0 0 Zurich 0 0 Other 0 O * 25. If you rated Ex-Im Bank's short-term export credit insurance as "worse" or "better" than any insurer, please provide a short explanation for your rating (please mention the insurer in your explanation) * 26. Did your company use short-term export credit insurance offered by other governments in CY 2012? O Yes → continue O No → skip to question 29 * 27. How did Ex-Im Bank short-term export credit insurance programs compare with the programs offered by the following ECAs in CY 2012? Ex-Im Bank's short-term export credit insurance was Worse Same **Better** Don't know 0 O EDC (Canada) 0 O 0 0 0 0 ECGD (United Kingdom) 0 EulerHermes (Germany) 0 0 О 0 0 0 O Finnerva (Finland) O O 0 O NEXI (Japan) 0 0 0 0 Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China) O 0 0 0 Other * 28. If you rated Ex-Im Bank's short-term export credit insurance as "worse" or "better" than any ECA, please provide a short explanation for your rating. (please mention the ECA in your explanation) 29. Please identify any competitive issues among the official government ECAs in the short-term export credit insurance space that your company or your clients experienced in CY 2012. (please provide suggestions, observations, or recommendations regarding Ex-Im Bank's short-term export credit insurance products/programs)

{End survey}

SHORT-TERM BROKERS

{Display section only if Q2=Broker & Q3=Short-term}

30. How many years has your company <i>brokered</i> <u>short-term</u> years	export credit insurance as of December 2012?
31. Did your company broker any Ex-Im Bank short-term expo	ort credit insurance in CY 2012?
O Yes → continue	
O No \rightarrow skip to questio	on 34
32. Regarding the total CY 2012 <u>short-term</u> export credit insulenders, what percentage was from Ex-Im Bank and what percentage Ex-Im Bank	urance you company brokered for the benefit of U.S. exporters and/or their centage was from private sector insurers?%
Private sector insurers	%
33. What percentage of your company's total CY 2012 short-t product types? (<i>enter 0 for non-applicable product types</i>)	term export credit insured by Ex-Im Bank applied to each of the following
Multibuyer	%
Single buyer exporter	%

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013

* **34.** How important were each of the following factors to your company when advising clients seeking **short-term export credit insurance** in CY 2012?

	Not at all	Minimally		Moderately	Very	Not
Factor	important	important	Important	important	important	applicable
Price/premium	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cover (e.g., 90% vs. 95%)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Terms – deductibles, risk retentions	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ease of use (reporting requirements	0	0	0	0	0	0
Documentation requirements	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exclusions and/or limitations	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dependability/Predictability	0	0	0	0	0	0
Claims policy/Experience	0	0	0	0	0	0
Credit/Cover policy	0	0	0	0	0	0
Individual credit limits	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clarity of policy language	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0

* 35. Did your company broker short-term export credit insurance offered by other governments in CY 2012?

O Yes → continue

Single buyer lender

Others

O No → skip to question 38

* 36. How did Ex-Im Bank short-term export credit insurance programs compare with the programs offered by the following ECAs in CY 2012?

	Ex-Im Bank's short-term export credit insurance was				
ECA	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know	
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0	
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0	
EulerHermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0	
Finnerva (Finland)	0	0	0	0	
NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0	
Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0	
Other	0	0	0	0	

SHORT-TERM BROKERS

OMB 3048-004

27.16			<i>"</i> " "	"	504	Expires: 03/31/2013
•	rated Ex-Im Bank's short-term export cred ing. (please mention the ECA in your explanate		"worse" or "be	etter" than an	y ECA, please prov	vide a short explanation for
your ratiii	g. (pieuse memion the ECA in your explanat	1011)				
38. How d	lid Ex-Im Bank <u>short-term</u> insurance progra	ms compare w	ith the prograi	ms offered by	insurers operatin	g in the United States in CY
2012?						
		Ex-Im Bank's	Short-term e	xport credit in	isurance was	
	Insurer	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know	<u>.</u>
	Atradius	0	0	0	0	
	Chartis/AIG	0	0	0	0	
	Coface	0	0	0	0	
	FCIA	0	0	0	0	
	Zurich	0	0	0	0	
	Other	0	0	0	0	
export cre	our company or your company's clients expe edit insurance space in CY 2012? O Yes → contir O No → skip to	nue o question 41				
your clien	e identify competitive issues among the offi ts experienced in CY 2012. (<i>please provide sedit insurance products/programs</i>)					
more usef	e offer insights or comments regarding Ex-Inful to U.S. exporters, especially small- and nand solutions you think will most effectively	nedium-sized e	nterprises (SM			
{End survey}	l.					

MEDIUM- AND LONG-TERM EX-IM BANK EXPERIENCE

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013 {Display section only if Q2 = (Exporter or Lender) & Q3 = (Medium-term-Aircraft, Medium-term-Other Long-term-Aircraft, Long-term-Project finance or Long-term-Other)}

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	r company use for performance of export contracts in CY 2012? O None → skip to question 44
	O 1-25
	O 26-50
	O 51-75
	O 76-100
	O 100 or more
43. Of these sub-suppliers , how man	y had 500 or fewer employees in CY 2012?
	O 1-25

O 1-25 O 26-50 O 51-75 O 76-100 O 100 or more

44. For each of the following program areas, how many **applications** and how many **approved applications** did your company have with Ex-Im Bank in CY 2012?

Program area	Number of applications	Number of approvals
<u>Long-term – Aircraft</u>		
<u>Long-term – Project Finance</u>		
Long-term – Other		
Medium-term – Aircraft		
<u>Medium-term – Other</u>		

LONG-TERM – AIRCRAFT

{Display section only if Q3=Long-term Aircraft}

Expires: 03/31/2013

OMB 3048-004

Long-term aircraft refers to Ex-Im Bank support for financing civil and large aircraft on terms of more than 7 years and over \$10 million. Such aircraft financings are governed by the OECD's Aircraft Sector Understanding (ASU).

* **45.** Generally speaking, how did **Ex-Im Bank support** for <u>long-term aircraft</u> transactions in CY 2012 compare with that offered by other major ECAs in CY 2012?

				Equal to		
Does not		A Notch	Equal to the	Most	Don't	
have Program	Far Below	Below	Average	Competitive	know	
0	0	0	0	0	0	_

* 46. How did Ex-Im Bank support for long-term aircraft transactions in CY 2012 compare with the support offered by the following ECAs?

	Ex-Im Bank's support for long-term aircraft was					
ECA	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know		
BNDES (Brazil)	0	0	0	0		
CESCE (Spain)	0	0	0	0		
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0		
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0		
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0		
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0		
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0		
KEXIM/KEIC (South Korea)	0	0	0	0		
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0		
Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0		
Other	0	0	0	0		

Cover Policy and Risk Taking

Cover policy refers to the extent to which an ECA is open for business in a country across tenors and buyer types. It should represent an ECA's general attitude about a country.

Risk taking refers to the extent to which an ECA is willing to provide export credit support in a market and tends to be more transaction-specific than general.

* 47. Generally speaking, how did Ex-Im Bank cover policy and risk taking for long-term aircraft transactions in CY 2012 compare with that offered by other major ECAs in CY 2012?

				Equal to	
Does not		A Notch	Equal to the	Most	Don't
have Program	Far Below	Below	Least	Competitive	know
	0	0	Ω	0	0

* 48. For each of the following aspects, how did Ex-Im Bank's cover policy for long-term aircraft transactions compare with that of all other ECAs in CY 2012?

Ex-Im Bank's cover policy for lo	ong-term aircraft was
----------------------------------	-----------------------

Aspect	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know
Overall willingness to take risk	0	0	0	0
Availability of cover by market	0	0	0	0
Use of country exposure limits	0	0	0	0

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013

* 49. For each of the following aspects, how did Ex-Im Bank's willingness to take risk in long-term aircraft transactions compare with the willingness of all other ECAs in CY 2012?

	Ex-Im Bank's willingness to take risk in long-term aircraft was					
Aspect	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know		
Security/Collateral requirements	0	0	0	0		
Use of additional <u>risk mitigants</u>	0	0	0	0		
Percentage cover	0	0	0	0		

Interest Rates

Interest rates refer to either the fixed rate CIRR for direct loans as established by the OECD rules on export credits or floating rates charged by guaranteed or insured lenders.

ASU Commercial Interest Reference Rate (CIRR) is the minimum fixed interest rate that an ECA charges for all official aircraft finance transactions (i.e., direct loans), as outlined by the OECD Aircraft Sector Understanding (ASU).

Floating interest rate is the interest rate offered by banks using Ex-Im Bank's guarantee program.

* 50. Generally speaking, how did Ex-Im Bank interest rates for <u>long-term aircraft</u> transactions in CY 2012 compare with those offered by other major ECAs in CY 2012?

				Equal to		
Does not		A Notch	Equal to the	Most	Don't	
have Program	Far Below	Below	Least	Competitive	know	
0	0	0	0	0	0	

* **51.** Considering only <u>long-term aircraft</u> transactions, were Ex-Im Bank's **ASU CIRR-based fixed interest rates** less available, just as available, or more available than the following ECAs' fixed-rate programs in CY 2012?

	Ex-Im Bank's ASU CIRR-based fixed rates were					
	Less	Just as	More	Don't		
ECA	available	available	available	know		
BNDES (Brazil)	0	0	0	0		
CESCE (Spain)	0	0	0	0		
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0		
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0		
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0		
EXIAR (Russia)	0	0	0	0		
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0		
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0		
KEXIM/KEIC (South Korea)	0	0	0	0		
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0		
Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0		
Other	0	0	0	0		

LONG-TERM – AIRCRAFT

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013

52. Considering only <u>long-term aircraft</u> transactions, were Ex-Im Bank's **CIRR-based fixed interest rates for direct loans** and **floating interest rates with Ex-Im Bank's guarantees** direct loans lower, the same, or higher than other ECAs in CY 2012?

	Ex-Im Ba	ank's CIR I	R-based fix	rates	<u>Floa</u>	ting rate:	s with Ex-In	<u>n Bank's</u>
		<u>W</u>	<u>rere</u>			guara	ntees were	<u>-</u>
				Don't				Don't
ECA	Lower	Same	Higher	know	Lower	Same	Higher	know
BNDES (Brazil)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CESCE (Spain)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EXIAR (Russia)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KEXIM/KEIC (South Korea)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Exposure Fees

Exposure fees cover the risk of nonpayment for a transaction and are also known as risk premia.

* **53.** Generally speaking, how did **Ex-Im Bank exposure fees** for <u>long-term aircraft</u> transactions in CY 2012 compare with those offered by other major ECAs in CY 2012?

				Equal to		
Does not		A Notch	Equal to the	Most	Don't	
have Program	Far Below	Below	Least	Competitive	know	
0	0	0	0	0	0	

* **54.** Considering only <u>long-term aircraft</u> transactions, how did the **exposure fees** that Ex-Im Bank charged buyers <u>for their repayment risk</u> compare to exposure fees of the following ECAs in CY 2012?

Ex-Im Bank's **exposure fees** for huyer risk were

	Ex-Im Bank's exposure fees for buyer risk were				
ECA	Lower	Same	Higher	Don't know	
BNDES (Brazil)	0	0	0	0	
CESCE (Spain)	0	0	0	0	
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0	
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0	
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0	
EXIAR (Russia)	0	0	0	0	
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0	
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0	
KEXIM/KEIC (South Korea)	0	0	0	0	
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0	
Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0	
Other	0	0	0	0	

* **55.** Considering only <u>long-term aircraft</u> transactions, did Ex-Im Bank's method of collecting **exposure fees** (upfront) pose any competitive implications for your company's ability to finance transactions through Ex-Im Bank in CY 2012?

O Yes → continue

O No → skip to question 57

O Don't know → skip to question 57

LONG-TERM – AIRCRAFT

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013 56. How did Ex-Im Bank's method of collecting exposure fees (upfront) pose competitive implications for your company's ability to finance long-term aircraft transactions through Ex-Im Bank in CY 2012? **Services** Services refer to economic output that is intangible in nature and that is generally produced and consumed at the same time. * 57. Did your company attempt to obtain Ex-Im Bank financing for long-term aircraft services exports in CY 2012? O Yes → continue O No → skip to question 62 58. Generally speaking, how did Ex-Im Bank support for services for long-term aircraft transactions in CY 2012 compare with that offered by other major ECAs in CY 2012? Equal to Does not A Notch Equal to the Most Don't have Program **Below** Least Competitive know **Far Below** 59. In which of the following areas did your company seek to obtain Ex-Im Bank financing for long-term aircraft services exports in CY 2012? (check all that apply) □ Rehabilitation ☐ Maintenance ☐ Other Availability is whether the program is offered and if so, the degree of accessibility to financing. **Flexibility** is the degree of eligibility of and adaptability to customer needs. 60. How would your company rate the availability and flexibility of Ex-Im Bank financing for long-term aircraft services relative to other ECAs in CY 2012? Ex-Im Bank's services financing was **Aspect** Less Same More Don't know Availability 0 0 0 0 Flexibility 0 0 O 0 61. Please provide general comments on your experience with Ex-Im Bank's long-term aircraft program for CY 2012.

{Display section only if Q3=Long-term Project Finance}

Expires: 03/31/2013

OMB 3048-004

Long-term project finance refers to transactions in which the revenues from the project itself are being relied upon and represent the sole source of revenues for repayment of the debt and are financed on terms of more than 7 years and over \$10 million.

* **62.** Generally speaking, how did **Ex-Im Bank support** for <u>long-term project finance</u> transactions in CY 2012 compare with that offered by other major ECAs in CY 2012?

				Equal to	
Does not		A Notch	Equal to the	Most	Don't
have Program	Far Below	Below	Least	Competitive	know
0	0	0	0	0	0

* **63.** How did Ex-Im Bank **support** for <u>long-term project finance</u> transactions compare with the support offered by the following ECAs in CY 2012?

	Ex-Im Bank's support for long-term project finance was					
ECA	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know		
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0		
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0		
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0		
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0		
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0		
KEXIM/KEIC (South Korea)	0	0	0	0		
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0		
Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0		
Other	0	0	0	0		

64. If you rated Ex-Im	Bank's support for long-term project finance as '	"worse" or "better" than an	ıy ECA, please provide a sh	nort explanation
for your rating. (pleas	se mention the ECA in your explanation)			

65. For each of the following aspects, how did Ex-Im Bank's **core program features** for <u>long-term project finance</u> compare with those of all other ECAs in CY 2012?

	Ex-Im Bank's core program features for long-term project finance were					
Aspect	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know		
Financing of local costs	0	0	0	0		
Support for all risks during construction &						
repayment phase	0	0	0	0		

66. For each of the following aspects, how did Ex-Im Bank's repayment flexibility for long-term project finance compare with that of all other ECAs in CY 2012?

	Ex-Im Bank's repayment flexibility for long-term project finance were					
Aspect	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know		
Willingness to utilize financing flexibilities provided by OECD Arrangement ¹	0	0	0	0		
Willingness to Capitalize interest during construction	0	0	0	0		

¹Includes 24-month deferral of first repayment, 14-year tenor; allows support of project finance transactions in high-income OECD countries for up to 50% of the syndication value.

Cover Policy and Risk Taking

Cover policy refers to the extent to which an ECA is open for business in a country across tenors and buyer types. It should represent an ECA's general attitude about a country.

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013

Risk taking refers to the extent to which an ECA is willing to provide export credit support in a market and tends to be more transaction-specific than general.

* 67. Generally speaking, how did Ex-Im Bank cover policy and risk taking for long-term project finance transactions in CY 2012 compare with that offered by other major ECAs in CY 2012?

		Somewhat		Somewhat	Far		
	Far below	below		above	above	Don't	
	average	average	Average	average	average	know	
_	0	0	0	0	0	0	_

* **68.** For each of the following aspects, how did Ex-Im Bank's **cover policy** in <u>long-term project finance</u> transactions compare with that of all other ECAs for CY 2012?

	Ex-Im Bank's cover policy for long-term project finance was					
Aspect	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know		
Overall willingness to take risk	0	0	0	0		
Availability of cover by market	0	0	0	0		
Use of country exposure limits	0	0	0	0		

* 69. For each of the following aspects, how did Ex-Im Bank's willingness to take risk in <u>long-term project finance</u> transactions compare with that of all other ECAs in CY 2012?

Aspect	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know
Security/Collateral requirements	0	0	0	0
Use of other <u>risk mitigants</u>	0	0	0	0
Percentage cover	0	0	0	0

Interest Rates

Interest rates refer to either the fixed rate CIRR for direct loans as established by the OECD rules on export credits or floating rates charged by guaranteed or insured lenders.

Commercial Interest Reference Rate (CIRR) is the official fixed interest rate offered under Ex-Im Bank's direct loan program.

Floating interest rate is the interest rate offered by banks using Ex-Im Bank's guarantee program.

* **70.** Generally speaking, how did **Ex-Im Bank interest rates** for <u>long-term project finance</u> transactions in CY 2012 compare with those offered by other major ECAs in CY 2012?

				Equal to		
Does not		A Notch	Equal to the	Most	Don't	
have Program	Far Below	Below	Least	Competitive	know	
0	0	Ω	Ω	0	Ω	_

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013

* **71.** Considering only <u>long-term project finance</u> transactions, were Ex-Im Bank's **CIRR-based fixed interest rates** less available, just as available, or more available than the following ECAs fixed rate programs in CY 2012?

Ex-im Bank's Cirk-based fixed rates were				
Less	Just as	More	Don't	
vailable	available	available	know	
0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	
	vailable O O O O O	vailable available O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	vailable available available O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	

* 72. Considering only <u>long-term project finance</u> transactions, were Ex-Im Bank's CIRR-based fixed interest rates for direct loans and floating interest rates with Ex-Im Bank's guarantees lower, the same, or higher than the following ECAs in CY 2012?

	Ex-Im Bank's CIRR-based fixed			Floating rates with Ex-Im Bank's				
	<u>rates were</u>			guarantees were				
				Don't				Don't
ECA	Lower	Same	Higher	know	Lower	Same	Higher	know
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EKF (Denmark)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KEXIM/KEIC (South Korea)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Exposure Fees

Exposure fees cover the risk of nonpayment for a transaction and are also known as risk premia.

* 73. Generally speaking, how did Ex-Im Bank exposure fees for <u>long-term project finance</u> transactions in CY 2012 compare with those offered by other major ECAs in CY 2012?

				Equal to	
Does not		A Notch	Equal to the	Most	Don't
have Program	Far Below	Below	Least	Competitive	know

OMB 3048-004

* 74. Considering only long-term project finance transactions, how did the exposure fees that Ex-Im Bank charges buyers compare with the following ECAs in CY 2012?

	Ex-Im Bank's exposure fees for buyer risk were			
ECA	Lower	Same	Higher	Don't know
BNDES (Brazil)	0	0	0	0
CESCE (Spain)	0	0	0	0
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0
KEXIM/KEIC (South Korea)	0	0	0	0
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0
Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

75. Considering only long-term project finance transactions, did Ex-Im Bank's method of collecting exposure fees (upfront) pose any
competitive implications for your company's ability to finance transactions through Ex-Im Bank in CY 2012?

- O Yes → continue
- O No \rightarrow skip to question 77
- O Don't know → skip to question 77

•	76. How did Ex-Im Bar	ik's method of collectin	g exposure fees	(upfront) po	se competitive	e implications for	r your compan	y's ability t	to finance
	long-term project fina	<u>nce</u> transactions throug	gh Ex-Im Bank in	CY 2012?					

_		
60	rvice	·
JE	<i>i vi</i> cc	

Services refer to economic output that is intangible in nature and that is generally produced and consumed at the same time.

- * 77. Did your company attempt to obtain Ex-Im Bank financing for long-term project finance services exports in CY 2012?
 - O Yes → continue
 - O No → skip to question 83
- * 78. Generally speaking, how did Ex-Im Bank support for services for long-term project finance transactions in CY 2012 compare with that offered by other major ECAs in CY 2012?

				Equal to	
Does not		A Notch	Equal to the	Most	Don't
have Program	Far Below	Below	Least	Competitive	know

79. In which of the following areas did your company seek to obtain Ex-Im Bank financing for <u>long-term project finance</u> **services exports** in CY 2012? (*check all that apply*)

- ☐ Construction
- ☐ Engineering and Consulting
- ☐ Oil and Gas Drilling and Mining
- ☐ Information Technologies and Telecommunications
- ☐ Transportation
- ☐ Legal and Banking
- ☐ Management
- ☐ Rentals and leasing
- ☐ Other

Availability is the degree of accessibility to financing.

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013

Flexibility is the degree of eligibility of and adaptability to customer needs.

* 80. How did the availability and flexibility of Ex-Im Bank financing for <u>long-term project finance</u> services compare with that of all other ECAs in CY 2012?

Ex-Im Bank's services financing for long-term project finance was								
Aspect	Less	Same	More	Don't know				
Availability	0	0	0	0				
Flexibility	0	0	0	0				

81. Please provide gen	neral comments on your experience with Ex-Im Bank's long-term project finance program for CY 2012.

LONG-TERM – OTHER

{Display section only if Q3=Long-term Other}

OMB 3048-004 Expires: 03/31/2013

Long-term other refers to Ex-Im Bank support for financing non-Aircraft and non-Project Finance transactions that are financed on terms of more than 7 years and over \$10 million.

* 82. Generally speaking, how did Ex-Im Bank support for long-term other transactions in CY 2012 compare with that offered by other major ECAs in CY 2012?

				Equal to		
Does not		A Notch	Equal to the	Most	Don't	
have Program	Far Below	Below	Least	Competitive	know	
0	0	0	0	0	0	_

* 83. How did Ex-Im Bank support for <u>long-term other</u> transactions compare with the support offered by the following ECAs in CY 2012?

	Ex-Im Bank's support for long-term other was						
ECA	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know			
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0			
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0			
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0			
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0			
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0			
KEXIM/KEIC (South Korea)	0	0	0	0			
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0			
Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0			
Other	0	0	0	0			

Cover Policy and Risk Taking

Cover policy refers to the extent to which an ECA is open for business in a country across tenors and buyer types. It should represent an ECA's general attitude about a country.

Risk taking refers to the extent to which an ECA is willing to provide export credit support in a market and tends to be more transaction-specific than general.

* 84. Generally speaking, how did Ex-Im Bank cover policy and risk taking for long-term other transactions in CY 2012 compare with that offered by other major ECAs in CY 2012?

				Equal to		
Does not		A Notch	Equal to the	Most	Don't	
have Program	Far Below	Below	Least	Competitive	know	
0	0	0	0	0	0	

* 85. For each of the following aspects, how did Ex-Im Bank's cover policy in <u>long-term other</u> transactions compare with that of all other ECAs in CY 2012?

Ev Im Pank's cover policy for long term other was

	Ex-iiii Bank 5 cover policy for long-term other was						
Aspect	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know			
Overall willingness to take risk	0	0	0	0			
Availability of cover by market	0	0	0	0			
Use of country exposure limits	0	0	0	0			

LONG-TERM – OTHER

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013

* 86. Considering only long-term other transactions, how did Ex-Im Bank's risk taking for sovereign risk, non-sovereign/public sector risk, and corporate risk compare with that of the following ECAs in CY 2012?

					<u>Ex-Im</u>	ı Bank's ı	non-sover	eign/	Ex-Im B	ank's co r	porate ris	k taking
	Ex-Im Bai	nk's sover	eign risk ta	king was	publ	ic sector	risk taking	<u>g was</u>		<u>v</u>	<u>vas</u>	
				Don't				Don't				Don't
ECA	Worse	Same	Better	know	Worse	Same	Better	know	Worse	Same	Higher	know
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ECGD (United												
Kingdom)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KEXIM/KEIC (South												
Korea)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sinosure/China Ex-												
Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* 87. For each of the following aspects, how did Ex-Im Bank's willingness to take risk in <u>long-term other</u> transactions compare with all other ECAs in CY 2012?

	<u>Ex-Im Bank's willingness to take risk in long-term other was</u>						
Aspect	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know			
Security/Collateral requirements	0	0	0	0			
Additional <u>risk mitigants</u>	0	0	0	0			
Percentage cover	0	0	0	0			

Interest Rates

Interest rates refer to either the fixed rate CIRR for direct loans as established by the OECD rules on export credits or floating rates charged by guaranteed or insured lenders.

Commercial Interest Reference Rate (CIRR) is the official fixed interest rate offered under Ex-Im Bank's direct loan program.

Floating interest rate is the interest rate offered by banks using Ex-Im Bank's guarantee program.

* 88. Generally speaking, how did Ex-Im Bank interest rates for <u>long-term other</u> transactions in CY 2012 compare with those offered by other major ECAs in CY 2012?

				Equal to		
Does not		A Notch	Equal to the	Most	Don't	
have Program	Far Below	Below	Least	Competitive	know	
0	0	0	0	0	0	

* 89. Considering only <u>long-term other</u> transactions, were Ex-Im Bank's **CIRR-based fixed interest rates** less available, just as available, or more available than the fixed-rate programs of the following ECAs in CY 2012?

	Ex-Im Ba	ank's CIRR-ba	sed fixed rate	s were
	Less	Just as	More	Don't
<u>ECA</u>	available	available	available	know
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0
KEXIM/KEIC (South Korea)	0	0	0	0
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0
Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

LONG-TERM – OTHER

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013

⁶ 90. Considering only long-term other transactions, were Ex-Im Bank's CIRR-based fixed interest rates for direct loans and floating interest rates with Ex-Im Bank's guarantee lower, the same, or higher than the following ECAs in CY 2012?

Ç	Ex-Im Ba	nk's CIRR	k-based fix	ed rates were	Floa	ating rate	s with Ex-	<u>Im Bank's</u>
						guar	antee wer	<u>e</u>
ECA	Lower	Same	Higher	Don't know	Lower	Same	Higher	Don't know
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KEXIM/KEIC (South Korea)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Exposure Fees

Exposure fees cover the risk of nonpayment for a transaction and are also known as risk premia.

Sovereign entities are entities that are explicitly and legally mandated to enter into a debt payment obligation on behalf of a sovereign state, typically the ministry of finance or central bank.

Non-sovereign entities are public or private entities that do not carry the full faith and credit of a sovereign state.

Category 0 markets are high-income OECD countries (e.g., Germany, France, Japan, and Australia).

* 91. Generally speaking, how did Ex-Im Bank exposure fees for long-term other transactions in CY 2012 compare with those offered by other major ECAs in CY 2012?

				Equal to		
Does not		A Notch	Equal to the	Most	Don't	
have Program	Far Below	Below	Least	Competitive	know	
0	0	0	0	0	0	_

* 92. Considering only <u>long-term other</u> transactions, how did the exposure fees that Ex-Im Bank charged sovereign buyers, non-sovereign buyers, and buyers in Category 0 markets compare to the following ECAs in CY 2012?

	Ex-Im Bank's exposure fees for sovereign buyers were					Ex-Im Bank's exposure fees for non-sovereign buyers were			Ex-Im Bank's exposure fees for buyers in category 0 markets were			
				Don't				Don't				Don't
ECA	Lower	Same	Higher	know	Lower	Same	Higher	know	Lower	Same	Higher	know
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ECGD (United												
Kingdom)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KEXIM/KEIC (South												
Korea)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sinosure/China Ex-Im												
Bank (China)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* 93. Considering only <u>long-term other</u> transactions, did Ex-Im Bank's method of collecting **exposure fees** (upfront) pose any competitive implications for your company's ability to finance transactions through Ex-Im Bank in CY 2012?

O Yes → continue

O No → skip to question 95

O Don't know → skip to question 95

LONG-TERM – OTHER OMB 3048-004 Expires: 03/31/2013 94. How did Ex-Im Bank's method of collecting exposure fees (upfront) pose competitive implications for your company's ability to finance long-term other transactions through Ex-Im Bank in CY 2012? **Services** Services refer to economic output that is intangible in nature and that is generally produced and consumed at the same time. * 95. Did your company attempt to obtain Ex-Im Bank financing for long-term other services exports in CY 2012? O Yes → continue O No \rightarrow skip to question 100 96. Generally speaking, how did Ex-Im Bank support for services for long-term other transactions in CY 2012 compare with that offered by other major ECAs in CY 2012? Equal to Does not A Notch Equal to the Most Don't have Program **Below** Least Competitive know **Far Below** 97. In which of the following areas did your company seek to obtain Ex-Im Bank financing for long-term other services exports in CY 2012? (check all that apply) ☐ Construction ☐ Engineering and Consulting ☐ Oil and Gas Drilling and Mining ☐ Information Technologies and Telecommunications ☐ Transportation ☐ Legal and Banking ☐ Management ☐ Rentals and leasing ☐ Other Availability is the degree of accessibility to financing. **Flexibility** is the degree of eligibility of and adaptability to customer needs. 98. How did the availability and flexibility of Ex-Im Bank financing for long-term other services compare with that all other ECAs in CY 2012? Ex-Im Bank's long-term other services financing was Don't know **Aspect** Less Same More Availability 0 0 0 0 Flexibility 0 0 O 0

99. Please provide general comments on your experience with Ex-Im Bank's long-term other program for CY 2012.

{Display section only if Q3=Medium-term Aircraft}

OMB 3048-004 Expires: 03/31/2013

Medium-term aircraft refers to Ex-Im Bank support for financing for civil aircraft for terms of 2 to 7 years and under \$10 million. Such aircraft financings are governed by the OECD's Aircraft Sector Understanding (ASU).

* 100. Generally speaking, how did Ex-Im Bank support for medium-term aircraft transactions in CY 2012 compare with that offered by other major ECAs in CY 2012?

				Equal to		
Does not		A Notch	Equal to the	Most	Don't	
have Program	Far Below	Below	Least	Competitive	know	
0	0	0	0	0	0	_

* 101. How did Ex-Im Bank support for medium-term aircraft transactions compare with the support offered by the following ECAs in CY 2012?

	<u>Ex-Im Banl</u>	k's support for	<u>Medium-term</u>	Aircraft was
ECA	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know
BNDES (Brazil)	0	0	0	0
CESCE (Spain)	0	0	0	0
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0
KEXIM/KEIC (South Korea)	0	0	0	0
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0
Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

Cover Policy and Risk Taking

Cover policy refers to the extent to which an ECA is open for business in a country *across tenors and buyer types*. It should represent an ECA's general attitude about a country.

Risk taking refers to the extent to which an ECA is willing to provide export credit support in a market and tends to be more transaction-specific than general.

* 102. Generally speaking, how did Ex-Im Bank cover policy and risk taking for <u>medium-term aircraft</u> transactions in CY 2012 compare with that offered by other major ECAs in CY 2012?

				Equal to		
Does not		A Notch	Equal to the	Most	Don't	
have Program	Far Below	Below	Least	Competitive	know	
0	0	0	0	0	0	_

* 103. For each of the following aspects, how did Ex-Im Bank's cover policy in <u>medium-term aircraft</u> transactions compare with that of all other ECAs in CY 2012?

	<u>Ex-Im Bank</u>	's cover policy fo	or Medium-term	<u>i Aircraft was</u>
Aspect	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know
Overall willingness to take risk	0	0	0	0
Availability of cover by market	0	0	0	0
Use of country exposure limits	0	0	0	0

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013

104. Considering only <u>medium-term aircraft</u> transactions, how did Ex-Im Bank's risk taking for **sovereign** risk, **non-sovereign/public sector** risk, and **corporate** risk compare with that of the following ECAs in CY 2012?

					<u>Ex-Im</u>	Bank's ı	non-sover	eign/	Ex-Im B	ank's cor	porate ris	k taking
	Ex-Im Bar	nk's sover	eign risk ta	king was	publi	ic sector	risk taking	<u>was</u>		W	<u>/as</u>	
				Don't				Don't				Don't
ECA	Worse	Same	Better	know	Worse	Same	Better	know	Worse	Same	Higher	know
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ECGD (United												
Kingdom)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KEXIM/KEIC (South												
Korea)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sinosure/China Ex-												
Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* 105. For each of the following aspects, how did Ex-Im Bank's willingness to take risk in medium-term aircraft transactions compare with that of all other ECAs in CY 2012?

	Ex-Im Bank's	willingness to take	risk in Medium-tei	rm Aircraft was
Aspect	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know
Security/Collateral requirements	0	0	0	0
Additional <u>risk mitigants</u>	0	0	0	0
Percentage cover	0	0	0	0

Interest Rates

Interest rates refer to either the fixed-rate CIRR for direct loans as established by the OECD rules on export credits or floating rates charged by guaranteed or insured lenders.

ASU Commercial Interest Reference Rate (CIRR) is the minimum fixed interest rate that an ECA charges for all non-defense official aircraft finance transactions (i.e., direct loans), as outlined by the OECD Aircraft Sector Understanding (ASU).

Floating interest rate is the interest rate offered by banks using Ex-Im Bank's Medium-term guarantee or insurance program.

* 106. Generally speaking, how did Ex-Im Bank interest rates for medium-term aircraft transactions in CY 2012 compare with those offered by other major ECAs in CY 2012?

				Equal to	
Does not		A Notch	Equal to the	Most	Don't
have Program	Far Below	Below	Least	Competitive	know
	\cap	\cap	0	0	$\overline{}$

OMB 3048-004 Expires: 03/31/2013

* 107. Considering only <u>medium-term aircraft</u> transactions, were Ex-Im Bank's **ASU CIRR-based fixed interest rates** less available, just as available, or more available than the following ECAs' fixed-rate programs in CY 2012?

	Ex-Im Bank's ASU CIRR-based fixed rates were			
	Less	Just as	More	Don't
ECA	available	available	available	know
BNDES (Brazil)	0	0	0	0
CESCE (Spain)	0	0	0	0
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0
KEXIM/KEIC (South Korea)	0	0	0	0
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0
Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

108. If you rated Ex-Im	n Bank's ASU CIRR-based fixed interest rates "less available" or "more available" than any ECA, please	e provide a short
explanation for your r	ating. (please mention the ECA in your explanation)	

* 109. Considering only <u>medium-term aircraft</u> transactions, were Ex-Im Bank's CIRR-based fixed interest rates for direct loans and floating interest rates with Ex-Im Bank's guarantee/insurance lower, the same, or higher than other ECAs in CY 2012?

	Ex-Im Bank's CIRR-based fixed		<u>Floatir</u>	Floating rates w/ Ex-Im Bank				
		<u>rates were</u>			guarantee/insurance wer			<u>ere</u>
				Don't				Don't
ECA	Lower	Same	Higher	know	Lower	Same	Higher	know
BNDES (Brazil)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CESCE (Spain)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EKF (Denmark)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KEIC (South Korea)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Exposure Fees

Exposure fees cover the risk of nonpayment for a transaction and are also known as risk premia.

* 110. Generally speaking, how did Ex-Im Bank exposure fees for medium-term aircraft transactions in CY 2012 compare with those offered by other major ECAs in CY 2012?

				Equal to	
Does not		A Notch	Equal to the	Most	Don't
have Program	Far Below	Below	Least	Competitive	know
0	0	0	0	0	0

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013

* 111. Considering only medium-term aircraft transactions, how did the exposure fees that Ex-Im Bank charged buyers compare with those of the following ECAs in CY 2012?

	Ex-Im Bank exposure fees for buyer risk were					
ECA	Lower	Same	Higher	Don't know		
BNDES (Brazil)	0	0	0	0		
CESCE (Spain)	0	0	0	0		
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0		
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0		
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0		
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0		
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0		
KEXIM/KEIC (South Korea)	0	0	0	0		
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0		
Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0		
Other	0	0	0	0		

Services

Services refer to economic output that is intangible in nature and that is generally produced and consumed at the same time.

* 112. Did your company attempt to obtain Ex-Im Bank financing for medium-term aircraft services exports in CY 2012?

O Yes → continue

O No \rightarrow skip to question 117

* 113. Generally speaking, how did Ex-Im Bank support for services for <u>medium-term aircraft</u> transactions in CY 2012 compare with that offered by other major ECAs in CY 2012?

				Equal to	
Does not		A Notch	Equal to the	Most	Don't
have Program	Far Below	Below	Least	Competitive	know
0	0	0	0	0	0

114. In which of the following areas did your company seek to obtain Ex-Im Bank financing for <u>medium-term aircraft</u> **services exports** in CY 2012? (*check all that apply*)

☐ Rehabilitation

☐ Maintenance

☐ Other

Availability is the degree of accessibility to financing.

Flexibility is the degree of eligibility of and adaptability to customer needs.

* 115. How did the availability and flexibility of Ex-Im Bank financing for medium-term aircraft services compare with that of other ECAs in CY 2012?

	<u>Ex-Im Ba</u>	<u>nk's services financing</u>	<u>g for Medium-term A</u>	<u> Aircraft was</u>
Aspect	Less	Same	More	Don't know
Availability	0	0	0	0
Flexibility	0	0	0	0

116. Please provide general comments on your experience with Ex-Im Bank's medium-term aircraft program for CY 2012.

	<u>, </u>	•	 0

{Display section only if Q3=Medium-term Other}

Expires: 03/31/2013

OMB 3048-004

Medium-term other refers to Ex-Im Bank support for financing non-aircraft transactions on terms of 2-7 years and under \$10 million.

* 117. Generally speaking, how did Ex-Im Bank support for <u>medium-term other</u> transactions in CY 2012 compare with that offered by other major ECAs in CY 2012?

				Equal to		
Does not		A Notch	Equal to the	Most	Don't	
have Program	Far Below	Below	Least	Competitive	know	
0	0	0	0	0	0	_

* 118. How did Ex-Im Bank support for medium-term other transactions compare with the support offered by the following ECAs in CY 2012?

	Ex-Im Bank's support for medium-term other was			n other was
ECA	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0
KEXIM/KEIC (South Korea)	0	0	0	0
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0
Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

Cover Policy and Risk Taking

Cover policy refers to the extent to which an ECA is open for business in a country *across tenors and buyer types*. It should represent an ECA's general attitude about a country.

Risk taking refers to the extent to which an ECA is willing to provide export credit support in a market and tends to be more transaction-specific than general.

* 119. Generally speaking, how did Ex-Im Bank cover policy and risk taking for medium-term other transactions in CY 2012 compare with that offered by other major ECAs in CY 2012?

				Equal to		
Does not		A Notch	Equal to the	Most	Don't	
harra Dua auana	Fan Dalann	Dalassi	Looot	Commoditive	lea a see	
have Program	Far Below	Below	Least	Competitive	know	

* 120. For each of the following aspects, how did Ex-Im Bank's cover policy in medium-term other transactions compare with that of all other ECAs in CY 2012?

	Ex-Im Bank's cover policy for medium-term other was					
Aspect	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know		
Overall willingness to take risk	0	0	0	0		
Availability of cover by market	0	0	0	0		
Use of country exposure limits	0	0	0	0		

* 121. For each of the following aspects, how did Ex-Im Bank's willingness to take risk in medium-term other transactions compare with that of all other ECAs in CY 2012?

	<u>Ex-Im Bank's willingness to take risk for medium-term other was</u>						
Aspect	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know			
Security/Collateral requirements	0	0	0	0			
Use of additional <u>risk mitigants</u>	0	0	0	0			
Percentage cover	0	0	0	0			

Interest Rates

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013

Interest rates refer to either the fixed-rate CIRR for direct loans as established by the OECD rules on export credits or floating rates charged by guaranteed or insured lenders.

Commercial Interest Reference Rate (CIRR) is the official fixed interest rate offered under Ex-Im Bank's direct loan program.

Insurance cover is the interest rate offered by banks using Ex-Im Bank's export credit insurance program.

Floating interest rate is the interest rate offered by banks using Ex-Im Bank's guarantee program.

- * 122. Which of Ex-Im Bank's medium-term programs did your company use in CY 2012?
 - O Medium-term direct loan → continue
 - O Medium-term guarantee insurance → skip to question 125
 - O Both → continue
 - O Don't know → skip to question 127
- * 123. Considering only medium-term other transactions, were Ex-Im Bank's CIRR-based fixed interest rates less available, just as available, or more available than those of the following ECAs fixed rate programs in CY 2012?

	Ex-Im Bank's CIRR-based fixed rates were						
	Less	Just as	More	Don't			
ECA	available	available	available	know			
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0			
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0			
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0			
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0			
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0			
KEXIM/KEIC (South Korea)	0	0	0	0			
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0			
Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0			
Other	0	0	0	0			

* 124. Considering only <u>medium-term other</u> transactions, were Ex-Im Bank's CIRR-based fixed interest rates for direct loans lower, the same, or higher than that of the following ECAs in CY 2012?

	<u>Ex-Im</u>	Bank's CIRE	R-based fixed	d rates were
ECA	Lower	Same	Higher	Don't know
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0
KEXIM/KEIC (South Korea)	0	0	0	0
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0
Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013

125. Considering only medium-term other transactions, were Ex-Im Bank's floating interest rates under Ex-Im Bank's guarantee lower, the same, or higher than those of the following ECAs in CY 2012?

,	Floating rates with Ex-Im Bank's guarantee were					
ECA	Lower	Same	Higher	Don't know		
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0		
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0		
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0		
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0		
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0		
KEXIM/KEIC (South Korea)	0	0	0	0		
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0		
Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0		
Other	0	0	0	0		

* 126. Generally speaking, how did Ex-Im Bank interest rates for <u>medium-term other</u> transactions in CY 2012 compare with those offered by other major ECAs in CY 2012?

				Equal to	
Does not		A Notch	Equal to the	Most	Don't
have Program	Far Below	Below	Least	Competitive	know

Exposure Fees

Exposure fees cover the risk of nonpayment for a transaction and are also known as risk premia.

Sovereign entities are entities that are explicitly and legally mandated to enter into a debt payment obligation on behalf of a sovereign state, typically the ministry of finance or central bank.

Non-sovereign entities are public or private entities that do not carry the full faith and credit of a sovereign state.

Category 0 markets are high-income OECD countries (e.g., Germany, France, Japan, and Australia).

* 127. Generally speaking, how did Ex-Im Bank exposure fees for <u>medium-term other</u> transactions in CY 2012 compare with those offered by other major ECAs in CY 2012?

				Equal to		
Does not		A Notch	Equal to the	Most	Don't	
have Program	Far Below	Below	Least	Competitive	know	
0	0	0	0	0	0	

* 128. Considering only medium-term other transactions, how did the exposure fees that Ex-Im Bank charged sovereign buyers, non-sovereign buyers, and buyers in category 0 markets compare with those of the following ECAs in CY 2012?

	Ex-Im	Bank's e	xposure fe	ees for			exposure 1				xposure fe	
	sovereign buyers were			non-sovereign buyers were			buyers in category 0 markets were					
				Don't	Low			Don't				Don't
ECA	Lower	Same	Higher	know	er	Same	Higher	know	Lower	Same	Higher	know
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KEXIM/KEIC (South Korea)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sinosure/China Ex-Im												
Bank (China)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Services

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013
Services refer to economic output that is intangible in nature and that is generally produced and consumed at the same time.

30 Cana	arally speaking how did Ex	ı-lm Rank sunn	ort for servi	ices for medium	aterm other trai	nsactions in CV	2012 compare with that off
	major ECAs in CY 2012?	с-ии ванк зирр	ort for servi	ices for <u>integrals</u>	<u>i-term other</u> tra	isactions in Cr.	2012 Compare with that on
y other r	najor 2012.				Equal to		
	Does not		A Notch	Equal to the	Most	Don't	
	have Program	Far Below	Below	Least	Competitive	know	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	=	did your comp	any attemp	t to obtain Ex-Ir	n Bank financing	for <u>medium-te</u>	erm other services exports i
)12 ? (ch	eck all that apply)	☐ Construction					
				ulting			
		☐ Engineerin☐ Oil and Ga	_	_			
			_	gies and Telecor	nmunications		
		☐ Transporta		gies and Telecol	illiullications		
		☐ Legal and E					
		☐ Manageme					
		☐ Rentals an					
		☐ Other	u Leasing				
	ty is the degree of accessiln is the degree of eligibility	•		omer needs.			
exibility		exibility of Ex-II	m Bank finai	ncing for <u>mediu</u>	<u>m-term other</u> se	rvices compare	e with that of all other ECAs
32. How	did the availability and fl						
	did the availability and fl	Ev les D	بالمحمد مالاحما		: f:		
32. How		_		um-term other :			.,
32. How	Aspect	Less	Samo		More	Don't knov	<u>N</u>
32. How	Aspect Availability	Less O	Samo		More O	Don't knov	<u>N</u>
32. How	Aspect	Less	Samo		More	Don't knov	<u>N</u>
32. How 012?	Aspect Availability Flexibility	C C	Samo O O	e	More O O	Don't knov	
32. How 012?	Aspect Availability	C C	Samo O O	e	More O O	Don't knov	
32. How 012?	Aspect Availability Flexibility	C C	Samo O O	e	More O O	Don't knov	
32. How 012?	Aspect Availability Flexibility	C C	Samo O O	e	More O O	Don't knov	
32. How 012?	Aspect Availability Flexibility	C C	Samo O O	e	More O O	Don't knov	

FOREIGN CURRENCY GUARANTEES

OMB 3048-004 Expires: 03/31/2013

Foreign currency guarantee refers to an ECA-covered export credit that is denominated in a currency (either hard or soft) other than the ECA's domestic currency. Hard currencies refer to readily convertible currencies such as the Euro or Yen. Soft currencies refer to currencies, not readily convertible, such as the Mexican peso or South African rand.

* 134. Did your company use Ex-Im Bank's foreign currency guarantee program during CY 2012?

O Yes → continue

O No → skip to question 142

* 135. Generally speaking, how did Ex-Im Bank's foreign currency guarantee program compare with those offered by other major ECAs in CY 2012?

				Equal to	
Does not		A Notch	Equal to the	Most	Don't
have Program	Far Below	Below	Least	Competitive	know
0	0	0	0	0	0

* 136. Which of the following types of support did your company request from Ex-Im Bank in CY 2012?

O <u>Hard currency</u> → continue

O <u>Soft currency</u> → skip to question 139

O Both <u>hard</u> and <u>soft currency</u> → continue

O Don't know → skip to question 140

* 137. How did Ex-Im Bank's foreign currency guarantee program and its cover of hard currency compare with that of other ECAs in CY 2012?

	EX-IIII	Bank S Cov	ver of nara	currency was
ECA	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0
KEXIM/KEIC (South Korea)	0	0	0	0
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0
Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

{if Q136=Both, continue; otherwise skip to question 139}

* 138. How did Ex-Im Bank's foreign currency guarantee program and its cover of <u>soft currency</u> compare with that of other ECAs in CY 2012?

Ex-Im Bank's cover of <u>soft currency</u> was

ECA	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0
KEXIM/KEIC (South Korea)	0	0	0	0
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0
Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

Crystallization requires that the debt (along with any fees incurred) be converted into a hard currency equivalent upon default of ECA financing. Crystallization is sometimes referred to as conversion.

Ex-Im Bank crystallization policy refers to a requirement on defaulted obligations for obligors to convert their foreign currency denominated debt into U.S. dollars; accordingly, the exchange rate risk is borne by the obligor.

FOREIGN CURRENCY GUARANTEES

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013

* 139. How did Ex-Im Bank's crystallization policy compare with that of the following ECAs in CY 2012?

	Ex-Im Bank's crystallization policy was				
ECA	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know	
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0	
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0	
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0	
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0	
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0	
KEXIM/KEIC (South Korea)	0	0	0	0	
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0	
Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0	
Other	0	0	0	0	

140. How did Ex-im Bank's <u>crystallization policy</u> affect any of your company's transactions in CY 2012?							
O Positively							
O Negatively							
O No effect							
O Don't know							
141. Please comment on your experience with Ex-Im Bank's foreign currency guarantee program in CY 2012.							

CO-FINANCING OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013 Co-financing (also referred to as "reinsurance" and "one-stop-shop") refers to financing arrangements that allow an exporter to market a single ECA financing package to a buyer interested in procuring goods and services from two (or more) countries.

* 142. Did your company/lender use Ex-Im Bank's co-financing program in CY 2012?

O Yes → continue

O No → skip to question 147

143. What percentage of your company's Ex-Im Bank transactions involved co-financing in CY 2012?

____%

* 144. Generally speaking, how did Ex-Im Bank's co-financing program compare with those offered by other major ECAs in CY 2012?

				e Most Don't Competitive know		
Does not		A Notch	Equal to the	Most	Don't	
have Program	Far Below	Below	Least	Competitive	know	
0	0	0	0	0	0	

Availability is the degree of accessibility.

Flexibility is the degree of eligibility of and adaptability to customer needs.

* 145. How did the availability and flexibility of Ex-Im Bank's co-financing program compare with that of the following ECAs in CY 2012?

	Ex-Im Bank's co-financing			Ex-Im Bank's co-financing				
	<u>availability</u> was				<u>flexibility</u> was			
				Don't				Don't
ECA	Worse	Same	Better	know	Worse	Same	Better	know
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KEXIM/KEIC (South Korea)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Co-financing framework agreements are financing programs that allow products and services from two (or more) countries to benefit from a single ECA financing package, providing one set or documents, one set of terms and conditions, and one set of disbursement and claims procedures for the entire transaction. The country with the largest share of the sourcing and/or location of the main contractor will generally determine which ECA "leads" and subsequently which ECA "follows" the transaction.

Ex-Im Bank one-off co-financing policy refers to Ex Im Bank's willingness and ability to enter into co-financing agreements with other ECAs on a case-by-case basis, even though a framework agreement is not in place.

EB 00-02 35

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013

* 146. How did Ex-Im Bank's framework agreement co-financing and one-off policy co-financing compare with those of the following ECAs in CY 2012?

Ex-Im Bank's **framework**

	agreement was			Ex-Im Bank's one-off policy was				
				Don't				Don't
ECA	Worse	Same	Better	know	Worse	Same	Better	know
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KEXIM/KEIC (South Korea)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

ENVIRONMENT

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013

- * 147. Did your company have experience with the following in CY 2012 for any transactions?
 - O Environmental review (under Ex-Im Bank's environmental procedures and guidelines) -> continue
 - O Environmental exports program (incentives for environmentally beneficial exports e.g., renewable energy, energy efficiency) → skip to question 127
 - O Both → continue
 - O None → skip to question 132
- * 148. Generally speaking, how did Ex-Im Bank's environmental policy compare with those offered by other major ECAs in CY 2012?

				Equal to		
Does not		A Notch	Equal to the	Most	Don't	
have Program	Far Below	Below	Least	Competitive	know	
nave Frogram	rai below	DEIOW	Least	competitive	KIIOW	

* 149. For the following aspects, how did Ex-Im Bank's environmental review compare with that of all other ECAs in CY 2012?

	<u>E</u> :	Ex-im Bank's environmental review was					
Aspect	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know			
<u>Transparency</u>	0	0	0	0			
Carbon Policy	0	0	0	0			
Application of common approaches	0	0	0	0			
Environmental procedures and	0	0	0	0			
guidelines							

* 150. Please comment on the competiveness of Ex-Im Bank <u>environmental review</u>. (please identify any comparison ECAs)

{if Q147=Both, continue; otherwise skip to question 153}

* 151. For the following aspects, how did Ex-Im Bank's environmental exports program compare with that of all other ECAs in CY 2012?

	Ex-Im Bank's environmental exports program was						
Aspect	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know			
Renewable energy extended terms	0	0	0	0			
Renewable express program	0	0	0	0			
Premia amount	0	0	0	0			
Premia payment method	0	0	0	0			
Support of energy efficient	0	0	0	0			
exports							

* **152.** If you rated Ex-Im Bank's <u>environmental exports program</u> as "worse" or "better" than any ECA, please provide a short explanation for your rating. (please mention the ECA in your explanation)

your rating. (please mention the ECA in your explanation)									

* 153. Ex-Im Bank's carbon policy seeks to minimize carbon emissions and facilitate renewable energy exports, benefitting the environment. How did Ex-Im Bank's carbon policy compare to other ECAs' policies for reducing or offsetting carbon dioxide emissions in CY 2012?

			Don't	
Worse	Same	Better	know	
0	0	0	0	

{if Q2=Lender, continue; otherwise skip to question 157}

ENVIRONMENT

OMB 3048-004
Expires: 03/31/2013

L54. Was your financial inst	itution an Equator Principle Financial Institution in CY 2012?
	O Yes
	O No
L55. Ex-Im Bank is an Equat	tor Principle Bank. Did your company find that this helped, hindered, or had no effect on the environmental
review of your company's t	ransactions?
	O Helped → continue
	O Hindered → continue
	O Had no effect → skip to question 157
	O Don't know → skip to question 157
L56. How did Ex-Im Bank's	environmental review compare with your company's experience with the other ECAs?

FOREIGN CONTENT

OMB 3048-004 Expires: 03/31/2013

Foreign content refers to the portion of an export that originates outside the seller's country, excluding local costs incurred in the buyer's country. A product must be shipped from the United States to qualify as a U.S. export/U.S. content.

* 157. Did any of your company's transactions with Ex-Im Bank involve any foreign content in CY 2012?

O Yes → continue

O No → skip to question 164

158. How did Ex-Im Bank's foreign content definition compare with the definitions offered by the following ECAs in CY 2012?

	Ex-Im Bank's foreign content definition was							
ECA	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know				
BNDES (Brazil)	0	0	0	0				
CESCE (Spain)	0	0	0	0				
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0				
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0				
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0				
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0				
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0				
KEIC (South Korea)	0	0	0	0				
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0				
Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0				
Other	0	0	0	0				

* **159.** How did Ex-Im Bank's **percentage of foreign content cover policy** compare with those offered by the following ECAs in CY 2012?

<u>Ex-Im Bank's foreign content percentage of foreign content cover</u>

	<u>policy was</u>						
ECA	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know			
BNDES (Brazil)	0	0	0	0			
CESCE (Spain)	0	0	0	0			
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0			
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0			
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0			
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0			
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0			
KEIC (South Korea)	0	0	0	0			
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0			
Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0			
Other	0	0	0	0			

*	* 160. How did Ex-Im Bank's foreign content policy requirement regarding shipping from the United States affect you	ur company's ability	to
	compete in CY 2012?		

- O Positively → continue
- O Negatively → continue
- O No effect → skip to question 162
- O Don't know → skip to question 162

	* 161. How did the shipping from U.	. requirement positively	//negatively affect your com	ipany's ability to com	pete in CY 2012?
--	-------------------------------------	--------------------------	------------------------------	------------------------	------------------

•		,,	 <u>, </u>	<u>, </u>	<u>, </u>	<u>, </u>	<u> </u>

* 162. Did Ex-Im Bank's foreign content policy affect your company's sourcing decisions in CY 2012?

- O Yes → continue
- O No → skip to question 164
- O Don't know → skip to question 164

FOREIGN CONTENT

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013

* 163. How did Ex-Im Bank's foreign content policy affect your company's sourcing decisions in CY 2012?

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013

Local costs are those project-related costs for goods and services originated and incurred in the buyer's country.

Ex-Im Bank local cost policy reflects the premise that some amount of local labor and raw materials are necessary to efficiently build or assemble the end-product of the U.S. export. Ex-Im Bank's policy provides support for local costs up to 30% of the value of the U.S. export contract.

* 164. Did any of your company's transactions involve Ex-Im Bank's local cost policy in CY 2012?

O Yes → continue

O No \rightarrow skip to question 170

* 165. Generally speaking, how did Ex-Im Bank's local cost policy compare with those offered by other major ECAs in CY 2012?

			Equal to			
Does not		A Notch	Equal to the	Most	Don't	
have Program	Far Below	Below	Least	Competitive	know	
0	0	0	0	0	0	

Availability is the degree of accessibility of local cost financing.

Flexibility is the degree of eligibility and coverage of types of costs.

* 166. How did the availability and flexibility of Ex-Im Bank's local cost policies compare with that of the following ECAs in CY 2012?

·	Ex-Im Bank's local cost availability				Ex-Im B	Ex-Im Bank's local cost flexibility			
		w	<u>as</u>			<u>was</u>			
				Don't				Don't	
ECA	Worse	Same	Better	know	Worse	Same	Better	know	
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
KEXIM/	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
KEIC (South Korea)	O			O	O			O	
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

167. If you rated Ex-Im Bank's <u>local cost</u> availability or flexibility as "w	orse" or "bette	er" than any ECA, please provid	de a short explanation for
your rating. (please mention the ECA in your explanation)			

- * 168. Did Ex-Im Bank's policy of not requiring local costs be explicitly included in the export contract affect your company's competitiveness in CY 2012?
 - O Positively → continue
 - O Negatively → continue
 - O Had no effect → skip to question 170

169. ⊦	low did not req ı	uiring loca	al costs be explicit	ly included in t	he export contract aff	ect your company	r's ability t	o compete in CY 2012
--------	--------------------------	-------------	----------------------	------------------	-------------------------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------

MARAD/PR-17
OMB 3048-004
Expires: 03/31/2013

MARAD is the U.S. Marine Administration.

Public Resolution 17 (PR-17) requires U.S. flag shipping of certain ocean-borne cargo supported by U.S. government agencies. PR-17 applies to Ex-Im Bank direct loans and certain guaranteed transactions, specifically, guarantee transactions that are over \$20,000,000 (excluding exposure fee) or have a greater than seven (7) years repayment period. Certain supported transactions are not subject to PR-17.

Determinations (historically referred to as waivers) are when MARAD is asked to decide on the shipping policy for Ex-Im Bank supported transactions.

O Yes → continue

O No \rightarrow skip to question 177

O Don't know → skip to question 177

171. What percentage of your company's Ex-Im Bank transactions involved MARAD/PR-17 in CY 2012?

____%

* 172. Did your company approach MARAD to seek one or more determinations in CY 2012?

O Yes → continue

O No \rightarrow skip to question 177

O Don't know → skip to question 177

173. For those transactions where your company sought a **determination** from MARAD in CY 2012, how many had each of the following outcomes?

	Number of
Outcome	transactions
U.S. shipping required	
U.S. shipping not required	
Not yet determined	

* 174. For each of the MARAD/PR-17 aspects listed below, how would your company rate the ease of working with the **determination process** in CY 2012?

			Neither			
	Very	Somewhat	easy nor	Somewhat	Very	Don't
Aspect	easy	easy	difficult	difficult	difficult	know
Seeking determination	0	0	0	0	0	0
Processing time of request						
for determination	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arranging for U.S. flag vessel	0	0	0	0	0	0
Overall experience	0	0	0	0	0	0

175. For those transactions that were affected by the **U.S. flag vessel shipping requirement** in CY 2012, how many had the following final outcomes?

	Number of
Final outcome	transactions
Transaction went forward with Ex-Im Bank financing	
Transaction went forward without Ex-Im Bank financing	
Transaction went forward with sourcing from another country with ECA support	
Transaction went forward with sourcing from another country without ECA support	
Transaction did not go forward because buyer selected another competitor with ECA support	

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013

* 176. How did Ex-Im Bank's MARAD/PR-17 policy compare with the shipping policies offered by the following ECAs in CY 2012?

Ex-Im Bank's MARAD/PR-17 policy was

ECA	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

ECONOMIC IMPACT

OMB 3048-004 Expires: 03/31/2013

Economic impact refers to the Congressional requirement that Ex-Im Bank assess whether the extension of Ex-Im Bank financing support is likely to cause substantial injury to U.S. industry or would result in the production of substantially the same product that is the subject of specified trade measures.

* 177. Were economic impact considerations relevan	it to any of your company	's transactions in CY 2012?
--	---------------------------	-----------------------------

O Yes → continue

O No → skip to question 180

* 178. How did the following aspects of Ex-Im Bank's economic impact analysis affect your company's ability to complete transactions with Ex-Im Bank in CY 2012?

Aspect	Negative	Neutral	Positive	Don't know
Processing time	0	0	0	0
Availability of Ex-Im financing	0	0	0	0
Overall experience	0	0	0	0

179. Please comment	on your experience with Ex-Im Bank's economic impact policy in CY 2012.

NON-STANDARD FINANCING

OMB 3048-004 Expires: 03/31/2013

Market Window, Untied Financing, BRIC outside the OECD, and Tied Aid Financing

Market Windows are government sponsored programs that assert to offer export credit on market terms, outside of the OECD Arrangement rules. ECAs often simultaneously manage an "Official Window" that offers OCED Arrangement terms for riskier transactions.

Untied financing is a form of credit support that is extended by a government entity to a recipient for the purpose of providing credit and is not linked to or conditioned upon the purchase of goods and services/exports from the donor government. Untied financing is characterized as market-based financing, especially regarding the interest rate, but may not require any cash down payment and/or have repayment terms in excess of what is allowed under the OECD Arrangement.

BRIC outside the OECD refers to the export credit financing offered by Brazil, Russia, India, and China because these countries are not members of the OECD.

Tied aid is concessional financing support provided by donor governments in the form of a grant or a "soft" loan for which capital goods procurement by developing countries is contractually linked or tied to procurement from firms located in the donor country.

Market Window and Untied Financing

* 180. Did your company encounter competition benefitting from Market Windows or untied financing programs in CY 2012?

Program	Yes	No	Don't know
Market Windows	0	0	0
Untied financing	0	0	0

{If Q180-Market Windows=Yes, continue; otherwise skip to question 182}

*	181. Regarding your company's transactions that faced competition benefitting from Market Windows in CY 2012, please provide the ECA(s)
	providing the financing, repayment term, number of years, interest rate, percent and/or amount of down payment, premia charged, the
	buyer/end user, country, number of transactions, and national benefit.

{If Q180-Untied financing=Yes, continue; otherwise skip to question 183}

*	182. Regarding your company's transactions that faced competition benefitting from <u>untied financing</u> in CY 2012, please provide the ECA(s)
	providing the financing, repayment term, number of years, interest rate, percent and/or amount of down payment, premia charged, the
	buyer/end user country number of transactions, and national benefit

{If Q180-Market Windows=Yes or Q180-Untied financing=Yes, continue; otherwise skip to question 184}

* 183. How did Ex-Im Bank support compare with the <u>Market Windows</u> or <u>untied financing</u> programs that benefitted your company's competition in CY 2012?

	Ex-Im Bank's support was					
ECA	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know		
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0		
EDC (Canada)	0	0	0	0		
EXIAR (Russia)	0	0	0	0		
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0		
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0		
KEXIM/KEIC (South Korea)	0	0	0	0		
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0		
Other	0	0	0	0		

NON-STANDARD FINANCING

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013

* 184. What impact did these financing programs have on your company's ability to compete in CY 2012?

Program	Lost transaction	Received financing from such programs	No known impact
Market Windows	0	0	0
Untied financing	0	0	0

BRIC outside the OECD Financing

OECD compliant financing refers to financing that conforms entirely to OECD Arrangement terms and conditions (e.g. interest rates, repayment term, down payment, etc).

Non-"OECD compliant" financing refers to financing that does not conform to OECD Arrangement terms and conditions (it can be as little as one term that differs from OECD terms and conditions to not be considered OECD compliant).

185. Did the following ECAs offer **OECD compliant financing**, **Non-"OECD compliant" financing**, or <u>concessional tied aid</u> in CY 2012? (*check all that apply for each ECA*)

Type	of	finar	ncing	offered	in	CY	2012

ECA	OECD compliant	Non-"OECD compliant"	Concessional tied aid	Don't Know
BNDES (Brazil)				
China Development Bank				
China Exim Bank				
ECGC (India)				
Exiar (Russia)				
Exim Bank of India				
SBCE (Brazil)				
Sinosure (China)				

Tied Aid

* 186. Did your company encounter foreign competition benefitting from tied aid financing programs in CY 2012?

O Yes → continue

ONo → skip to question 193

* 187. How did the tied aid financing offered by Ex-Im Bank compare to that offered by the following ECAs in CY 2012?

Ex-Im Bank's tied aid financing was

ECA	Worse	Same	Better	Don't know
COFACE (France)	0	0	0	0
ECGD (United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0
Hermes (Germany)	0	0	0	0
JBIC/NEXI (Japan)	0	0	0	0
KEXIM/KEIC (South Korea)	0	0	0	0
SACE (Italy)	0	0	0	0
Sinosure/China Ex-Im Bank (China)	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

*	88. Regarding your company's transactions that faced foreign competition benefitting from tied aid financing in CY 2012, please provide th
	rms of financing offered, countries, buyers, number of transactions, markets, as well as the ECA(s) providing such financing.

NON-STANDARD FINANCING

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013

* 189. Did your company request and use Ex-Im Bank's Tied Aid Capital Projects Fund during CY 2012?

	Yes	No	Don't know
Request	0	0	0
Use	0	0	0

{If Q189-Request=Yes or Q189-Use=Yes, continue; otherwise skip to question 193}

190. How many of your company's tied aid financing transactions had each of the following outcomes in CY 2012?

	Number of
Outcome	transactions
Lost transaction	
Received financing	
No known impact	

* 1	191.	How	did	Ex-In	n Bar	k's ti	ed aid	d fina	ncing	com	pare	with	the	tied	aid	finan	cing	from	all	other	ECA	s?

<u>Worse</u>	<u>Same</u>	<u>Better</u>	Don't know
0	0	0	0

* 192. How was Ex-Im Bank's tied aid financing worse/better than those offered by all other ECAs in CY 2012?									

GENERAL COMMENTS

OMB 3048-004 Expires: 03/31/2013

193. Please provide an	y additional comments regarding Ex-Im Bank competitiveness in CY 2012 that you may wish to share

DENIED AND DETERRED DEALS

OMB 3048-004 Expires: 03/31/2013

Denied deals are those transactions that were submitted to Ex-Im Bank but for some reason not approved.

Deterred deals are those transactions that either did not come to Ex-Im Bank because of prior knowledge of Ex-Im Bank's eligibility requirements and/or policy or the application was withdrawn by the exporter because the exporter and/or lender realized that Ex-Im Bank approval was going to be difficult to obtain.

* 194. Did Ex-Im Bank denv anv of vo	ur company's applications in CY 2012?
20 11 2 12 2 11 11 2 2 11 11 2 2 11 1 2 1 1 2	O Yes → continue
	ONo → skip to question 199
	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
195. How many of your company's	Ex-Im Bank applications were denied in CY 2012?
	
	actions that Ex-Im Bank denied in CY 2012? (<i>check all that apply</i>) □ Won without Ex-Im Bank support → skip to question 198 □ Sourced from non-U.S. supplier without ECA support → skip to question 198 □ Sourced from foreign subsidiary without ECA support → skip to question 198 □ Sourced from foreign subsidiary with ECA support → continue □ Sourced from other U.S. supplier → skip to question 198 □ Still in process/no decision yet → skip to question 198 □ Postponed → skip to question 198 □ Buyer cancelled procurement → skip to question 198 □ Don't know → skip to question 198 □ Don't know → skip to question 198
198. Please provide any general cor	nments on denied deals that you may wish to share.
• 199. Was your company deterred for	rom applying to Ex-Im Bank for any transactions in CY 2012?
	O Yes → continue
	O No → end survey

DENIED AND DETERRED DEALS

OMB 3048-004

Expires: 03/31/2013 200. Which of the following were reasons for not applying to or withdrawing an application from Ex-Im Bank in CY 2012? (check all that

apply)		
	Cover policy—Off cover in requested market and/or spe	ecific tenor	
	Risk-taking—Requirement for risk mitigation and relate	d costs (legal, finar	ncial, etc.)
	Interest rates—Lender guarantee interest rate cost pro	hibitive	
	Interest rates—Limited to no access to Ex-Im Bank direct	ct loan	
	Exposure fees—Better pricing from other ECA		
	Exposure fees—Lack of predictability		
	Services—Stand-alone services not covered		
	Services—Associated services not covered		
П	Economic impact—Product(s) subject to specific trade r	measure	
	Economic impact—Subject to detailed economic analys		
П	Foreign currency guarantee—Lack of availability from E		
П	Foreign currency guarantee—Ex-Im Bank crystallization		
	Foreign content—Did not meet Ex-Im Bank content req	-	
П	Environmental policies—Requirement to publish ex-ant		ant for competitive reasons
П	Environmental policies—Economic impact analysis proc		nt for competitive reasons
П	MARAD/PR-17—Requirement to ship on U.S. flagged ve		
П	MARAD/PR-17—Determination not made	23301	
	MARAD/PR-17—Processing time for determination		
П	Co-financing—Lack of availability and/or flexibility		
П	=	netition and/or loc	al financing not available from commercial market (MT only)
	Tied aid—Transaction did not meet or not likely to mee	-	
	Tied aid—Processing time	t LX-IIII Dailk tieu a	nd poncy
	Market Windows—Request to match financing not gran	atad/Did not moot	Ev. Im Pank requirement(s) to match
	Untied financing—Absence of untied financing program		Ex-IIII Balik requirement(s) to match
	Other	1	
	Other		
201 (Considering all transactions for which your company did	not annly to or wit	thdrew an application from Ex-Im Bank in CY 2012, please
	fy the counts of the outcomes of those transactions.	not apply to or it.	march an application from Ex fin Bank in Or 2012, picase
эрсси	y the counts of the outcomes of those transactions.	Number of	
	<u>Outcome</u>	transactions	
	Won	transactions	
	with commercial financing		
	with financing from other ECA		
	Lost		
	with commercial financing		
	with financing from other ECA		
	Postponed		
	Cancelled by buyer		
	Other		
{ <i>If Q2</i>	=Exporter and (Q200-Services-Stand Along is checked or	Q200-Services-Ass	ociated is checked, continue; otherwise skip to question 203.}
	f your company was interested in Ex-Im Bank services fin	nancing but did not	approach Ex-Im Bank due to its services policies , what
aspec	ts of the policies deterred your company?		

DENIED AND DETERRED DEALS

	Expires: 03/31/2013
203. Please provide any general comments on any and all deterred deals that you may wish to share.	-

OMB 3048-004