ANA SERVICES SURVEY

1.	Have you accessed or utilized services of an ANA regional training and technical assistance
	(T/TA) provider? Yes No Did not know ANA offered T/TA
	a. If so, which regional training and technical assistance provider?
	Eastern Mestern Alaska Pacific
	b. If you used your regional T/TA center, generally how frequent were your interactions?
	Daily Weekly Monthly Quarterly Yearly Never / N/A
	c. Did you access or utilize T/TA (click all that apply): During project planning and design? When writing and submitting your application? During project implementation? Other:?
	d. To what extent do you agree with the following statements?
	The T/TA I received was helpful and effective.
	Strongly disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly agree N/A
	The T/TA I received was instrumental in helping to build the capacity of our tribe/organization.
	Strongly disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly agree N/A
	The T/TA I received was instrumental in helping to sustain our project.
	Strongly disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly agree N/A
	e. How would you rate your overall experience with ANA-provided T/TA? Poor Fair Average Good Excellent
	Comments:
	f. If you have any comments on a particular T/TA provider and/or a specific instance of T/TA, please include his or her name and your comments here (optional):
	7, 17, preuse melade his of her hame and your comments here (optional).
_	
2.	On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being poor and 5 being excellent, how would you rate your Program Specialist(s) in the following areas?
ſ	a. Responsiveness (e.g., responding to your phone calls/e-mails/other communication in a
	imely manner):
	Poor Average Excellent
	1 2 3 4 5

Comments:							
b. Ability to suppor	t vou in mee	ting the fe	ederal gra	nt requi	irements:		
b. Ability to suppor				nic requi			
	Poor	,	Average		Excellent		
	1	2	3	4	5		
Comments:							
c. Ability to answer to grantee (e.g., sha additional resources	aring knowled	dge and id specific is	eas, proc				Capack
	1	2	3	4	5		
Comments:							
B. How would you rate your experience with or services received from the Office of Grants Management (OGM) during your current or most recent ANA project? Poor Fair Average Good Excellent N/A Comments:							
Have you received If no, please go to	-	sit (for you	ır current	or most	t recent ANA gr	ant)? Yes	No
On a scale of 1 to 5, visit in the following		ooor and s	5 being ex	cellent,	how would you	ı rate your im	pact

П								
	a. Communication prior to impact visit (e.g., providing you with information, responding to							
	your phone calls/e-mails/other communication in a timely manner):							
			Poor	A	Average		Excellent	
			1	2	3	4	5	
	Comm	ents:						
L								
Г								
	b. Al	oility to fac	cilitate positive d	ialogue (e.g., cultu	rally se	nsitive, listene	ed well, demonstrate
	ur	nderstandi	ng of project):					
			D				Free all and	
			Poor	F	Average		Excellent	
			1	2	3	4	5	
	Comm	ents:						
5.		h of the fo k more tha		communi	cation fro	m ANA	were the mos	t useful? (you may
	Π Δι	NA website	2			Gr	antee confere	ence calls
			ail from your Pro	gram Sne	cialist	=	arterly newsl	
		•	all Holli your Pro	grain spe	Clalist		ebinars	ettei
		ther:					ebiliars	
	a.	Commer shared?	nts: What additio	nal resou	rces, info	rmation	, and/or topic	s would you like to s
	b.	What wo	ould you like to se	ee on the	ANA web	site?		
6	Was [.]	the Ohiect	ive Work Plan (O	MP) form	a useful:	tool for	guiding vour	project? Yes
υ.		-	anges would you i			1001101	guiding your	project res
		•	,					
7.	Did t	he Obiectiv	ve Progress Repo	rt (OPR) a	aid vou in	monito	ring vour proi	ect? Yes No
			anges would you i					
	vviiat,	ii diriy, ciric	inges would you i	nake to t				
8.	How	would vou	rate your overal	l exnerier	nce as an	ΔNΔ σra	intee?	
υ.			air Averag			Excelle:		
	□.,	·						

a. Comments (what did you like, what didn't you like?):

9.	Please comment if you have any suggestions on how to further improve ANA services.