

• *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS)*: You may access publicly available documents online in the NRC Library at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "*ADAMS Public Documents*" and then select "*Begin Web-based ADAMS Search*." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to PDR.Resource@nrc.gov. The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced in this notice (if that document is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that a document is referenced.

• *NRC's PDR*: You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

On April 16, 2012, the petitioner requested that the NRC take action with regard to Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 2. The petitioner requests that the NRC order the licensee of Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 2 to remove the passive autocatalytic recombiners (PARs) because the PAR system could have unintended ignitions in the event of a severe accident, which, in turn, could cause a hydrogen detonation. As the basis for this request, the petitioner references experimental data where PARs malfunction in environments containing high concentrations of combustible gases by having ignitions. The petitioner asserts that the PARs could be overwhelmed by the production of hydrogen following a severe reactor accident and a resulting ignition could lead to a detonation that challenges the structural integrity of the containment structure.

The request is being treated pursuant to Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) 2.206, "Requests for Action Under this Subpart," of the Commission's regulations. The request has been referred to the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR). As provided by 10 CFR 2.206, appropriate action will be taken on this petition within a reasonable time. The petitioner met with the NRR petition review board on June 14 (transcript at ADAMS Accession No. ML12300A412) and September 12, 2012 (transcript at ADAMS Accession No. ML12300A428), to discuss the petition. The results of that discussion were considered in the board's determination regarding the petitioner's request for action and in establishing the schedule for the review

of the petition. A copy of the petition is available for inspection under ADAMS Accession No. ML12108A052.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 16th day of November 2012.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Eric J. Leeds,

Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2012-28718 Filed 11-26-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Submission for Renewal: Information Collection 3206-0150; Fingerprint Chart Standard Form 87 (SF 87)

AGENCY: U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: 60-Day Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: Federal Investigative Services (FIS), U. S. Office of Personnel Management (OPM) offers the general public and other federal agencies the opportunity to comment on an expiring information collection request (ICR), Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Control No. 3206-0150, Fingerprint Chart Standard Form 87 (SF 87). As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35) as amended by the Clinger-Cohen Act (Pub. L. 104-106), OPM is soliciting comments for this collection. The Office of Management and Budget is particularly interested in comments that:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until January 28, 2013. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.1.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed information collection to the Federal Investigative Services, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E. Street NW., Washington, DC 20415, Attention: Donna McLeod or sent via electronic mail to FISFormsComments@opm.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by contacting the Federal Investigative Services, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E. Street NW., Washington, DC 20415, Attention: Michele DeMarion or sent via electronic mail to FISFormsComments@opm.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The SF 87 is a fingerprint card, which is utilized to conduct a national criminal history check, which is a component of the background investigation. The SF 87 is completed by applicants who are under consideration for Federal or Federal contract employment, or continued such employment, and by persons seeking long-term access to Federal facilities and systems. The SF 87 fingerprint chart is used in background investigations to establish that such persons are eligible for logical and physical access to Government facilities and systems; suitable or fit to perform work for, on behalf of, the Federal Government; suitable for employment or retention in a public trust position, suitable for employment or retention in a national security position, and/or eligible for access to classified national security information. The SF 87 form is only utilized when a hardcopy fingerprint chart must be obtained, as opposed to the electronic collection of fingerprints. Modifications to the SF 87 include the addition of three blocks, Submitting Office Number (SON), Security Office Identifier (SOI) and Intra-Government Payment and Collection Code (IPAC) and the removal of the printed ORI number, USOPMOOZ-FIPC Boyer, PA. The addition of the SON, SOI and IPAC blocks support billing and processing enhancements. The printed ORI number is no longer necessary because SF 87 forms are converted to images and transmitted to the FBI electronically.

Because OPM is eliminating the printed ORI number, a separate collection that does not have an ORI number, the SF 87A is redundant. Accordingly, OPM is eliminating the SF 87A form.

Due to the SF 87 form's small size and the fact that it may be maintained in multiple systems of records, it does not list all potentially applicable routine

uses under the Privacy Act. Accordingly 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(3)(C) requires that an agency issuing the SF 87 form must also give the subject a copy of the routine uses for the applicable system of records.

It is estimated that 210,533 SF 87 forms are provided to individuals annually. The SF 87 takes approximately 5 minutes to complete. The estimated annual burden is 17,544 hours.

The 2009 OMB Terms of Clearance required an accurate reflection of the number of people who incur a cost for submitting their fingerprints to federal agencies and the total cost per annum. Calculations derived from Federal agency survey data and OPM data estimated that, at a maximum, 52,633 forms are submitted to federal agencies annually by individuals, who may incur a financial burden to obtain fingerprints at local police departments, when security offices are unable to conduct the fingerprinting. The estimated individual financial burden is \$17.00. The estimated maximum annual financial burden is \$894,765.

U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

John Berry,

Director.

[FR Doc. 2012-28735 Filed 11-26-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-53P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. R2013-2; Order No.1550]

International Mail Contract

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing concerning a Type 2 rate adjustment in conjunction with a mail contract with China Post. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: *Comments Are Due:* November 29, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Filing
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

Background. On November 15, 2012, the Postal Service filed a notice, pursuant to 39 CFR 3010.40 *et seq.*, announcing a Type 2 rate adjustment in conjunction with a new negotiated service agreement.¹ The Notice concerns the inbound portion of a Multi-Product Bilateral Agreement with China Post Group (Agreement), which the Postal Service seeks to include within the existing Inbound Market Dominant Multi-Service Agreements with Foreign Postal Operators 1 product. Notice at 1.

Contract history and scope. The Agreement is a successor to the existing China Post 2011 Agreement, which was included within Inbound Market Dominant Multi-Service Agreement with Foreign Postal Operators 1 by operation of Order No. 871. *Id.* at 2. Rates under the Agreement are intended to take effect January 1, 2013 following expiration, on December 31, 2012, of rates now in effect under the China Post 2011 Agreement. *Id.* at 3. The Agreement pertains only to inbound market dominant rates; rates paid by the Postal Service to China Post Group for outbound delivery of Postal Service products in China are not included. *Id.* at 6.

Applicable rules. Subpart D of 39 CFR 3010 addresses rate adjustments for negotiated service agreements (Type 2 adjustments). The rules in this subpart specify, among other things, the scope and nature of the data, information, and explanations the Postal Service is to provide in a notice of Type 2 rate adjustment; the action the Commission is to take upon receipt of such Notice; and the nature of Commission review. *See* 39 CFR 3010.42 through 3010.44.

II. Notice of Filing

Compliance with filing requirements. The Postal Service's filing consists of the Notice, two attachments, and a public Excel file. Attachment 1 to the Notice is an application for non-public treatment of material filed under seal with the Commission (Application).² This material consists of the unredacted text of the Agreement and unredacted supporting financial documentation. *Id.* at 2. Attachment 2 is a redacted copy of the China Post 2013 Agreement. *Id.* The

¹ Notice of United States Postal Service of Type 2 Rate Adjustment, and Notice of Filing Functionally Equivalent Agreement, November 15, 2012 (Notice).

² The Application was filed pursuant to 39 CFR 3007.21. *See* Notice at 12.

public Excel file is a redacted version of the supporting financial documentation. *Id.*

The Postal Service identifies January 1, 2013 as the effective date; asserts that the requisite 45 days' advance notice is being provided; and identifies a Postal Service official as a contact for further information. *Id.* at 3. It identifies the parties to the Agreement as the United States Postal Service and China Post Group, the postal operator for China. *Id.* at 4. It states that the Agreement includes delivery confirmation scanning for Letter Post small packets, a service also included in the China Post 2010 and China Post 2011 Agreements. *Id.*

The Postal Service states that information about expected financial improvements, costs, volumes, and revenues in financial workpapers has been filed with the Commission under seal. *Id.* It identifies two components of the Agreement that are expected to enhance operational performance: continuation of delivery confirmation service for Letter Post small packets and use of business rules for international mail settlement. *Id.* at 4-5.

The Postal Service presents several reasons why the instant Agreement will not result in unreasonable harm to the marketplace, including China Post Group's status as the only entity in a position to avail itself of an agreement of this type and the role of the Postal Service and China Post Group as their countries' designated operators for exchange of mail. *Id.* at 5-6.

Rule 3010.43—data collection plan. Rule 3010.43 requires the Postal Service to submit a detailed data collection plan. In lieu of a special data collection for the Agreement, the Postal Service states that it intends to provide information via the Annual Compliance Report and, pursuant to this alternative, to provide any necessary information about mail flows from China in the course of the annual review process. *Id.* at 7. The Postal Service further asks that the Commission except the Agreement from the separate performance reporting requirement under 39 CFR 3055.3(a)(3). *Id.* It notes that the Commission has granted such exceptions for similar agreements.³

Consistency with applicable statutory criteria. The Postal Service observes that Commission review of a negotiated service agreement addresses three statutory criteria: whether the agreement (1) improves the Postal Service's net financial position or enhances the

³ The Postal Service cites exceptions granted for the China Post 2010 Agreement, the TNT Agreement, the Hongkong Post 2011 Agreement, and the China Post 2011 Agreement. *Id.*