

acres in the northwestern portion of the Placer Pod. To facilitate construction, on-going maintenance and emergency access, a bridge crossing Middle Boulder Creek and two road segments would be constructed to connect Hessie Road (north of Middle Boulder Creek) to the proposed Placer Express bottom terminal site. The bridge would be gated year-round and restricted to administrative use.

2. Additional Back Side Terrain—Construct three new traditional trails (two Intermediate and one Expert ability level trail), a new gladed area (Bryan Glades II), and an addition to the Salto Glades on the back side of the resort. New terrain in this area would provide approximately 20 acres of new traditional terrain and approximately 30 acres of gladed terrain projects.

3. Trail Widening—Widen Lower Diamondback and Lower Ambush trails on the back side of Eldora to improve skier circulation.

4. Jolly Jug Lift and Trails—Install a new four or six-person chairlift and construct seven new Intermediate trails (approximately 55 acres of terrain) and approximately 20 acres of Intermediate ability level glades. A Forest Plan amendment would be required to adjust the SUP boundary to include approximately 17 acres of the southern portion of the Jolly Jug Pod.

5. Snowmaking—Expand snowmaking coverage to include all new traditional trails (not in any of the gladed areas) totaling approximately 105 acres.

6. Roads and Utilities—Build new road spurs and install utilities to construct and maintain the following proposed lifts and facilities: Placer Express Lift, Jolly Jug Express Lift, Challenge Lift, The Lookout Facility, and Challenge Mountain Facility. Construction and maintenance access for the proposed Jolly Jug Express bottom terminal would utilize an existing road. The existing snowmaking infrastructure would deliver drinking water to The Lookout and Challenge Mountain facilities, as is the current method for The Lookout Facility. On-site septic systems would accommodate sewage disposal for the proposed Lookout Facility and Challenge Mountain Facility.

7. Corona Lift—Remove the existing four-person Corona Lift and replace with an upgraded six-person chairlift.

8. Challenge and Cannonball Lifts—Remove the existing Challenge and Cannonball lifts and replace with a single, upgraded six-person chairlift in an alignment that provides direct out-of-base access to the summit of Challenge Mountain.

9. The Lookout Facility—Remodel the Lookout facility increasing from 3,000 square feet to between 7,700 and 9,700 square feet.

10. The Challenge Mountain Facility—Construct a new approximately 850 seat guest services facility, between 16,000 and 20,000 square feet in size, at the summit of Challenge Mountain.

11. Parking—Construct additional guest parking on private lands. This project component is not subject to ARP authorization.

12. Vegetation Management Projects—Eldora is currently preparing a Vegetation Management Plan in accordance with the SUP. Vegetation management projects may be incorporated into this EIS as components of the proposed action or may be incorporated into a separate, future NEPA project.

Responsible Official: The responsible official is the Forest Supervisor for the Arapaho and Roosevelt National Forests and Pawnee National Grassland.

Nature of Decision To Be Made: Based on the analysis that will be documented in the forthcoming EIS, the responsible official will decide whether or not to implement, in whole or in part, the proposed action or another alternative that may be developed by the Forest Service as a result of scoping.

Permits or Licenses Required: Based on proposed projects, a Clean Water Act Section 404 Permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers may be required prior to potential implementation of project components.

Scoping Process: This notice of intent initiates the scoping process, which guides the development of the environmental impact statement. The Forest Service is soliciting comments from Federal, State, and local agencies and other individuals or organizations that may be interested in or affected by implementation of the proposed projects. Public questions and comments regarding this proposal are an integral part of this environmental analysis process. Input provided by interested and/or affected individuals, organizations and governmental agencies will be used to identify resource issues that will be analyzed in the Draft EIS. The Forest Service will identify significant issues raised during the scoping process, and use them to formulate alternatives, prescribe mitigation measures and project design features, or analyze environmental effects.

It is important that reviewers provide their comments at such times and in such manner that they are useful to the agency's preparation of the

environmental impact statement. Therefore, comments should be provided prior to the close of the comment period and should clearly articulate the reviewer's concerns and contentions.

Comments received in response to this solicitation, including names and addresses of those who comment, will be part of the public record for this proposed action. Comments submitted anonymously will be accepted and considered.

Dated: June 27, 2012.

Sylvia Clark,
District Ranger.

[FR Doc. 2012-16300 Filed 7-5-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Risk Management Agency

[Docket No. FCIC-12-0007]

Notice of Request for Approval of a New Information Collection

AGENCY: Risk Management Agency, USDA.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), this notice announces the intention of the Risk Management Agency (RMA) to request approval for a new information collection for Federal Crop Insurance Program Delivery Cost Survey and Interview.

DATES: Comments on this notice must be received by September 4, 2012 to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: FCIC prefers that comments be submitted electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal. You may submit comments, identified by Docket ID No. FCIC-12-0007, by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *Mail:* Stan Harkey, Product Analysis & Accounting Division, U.S. Department of Agriculture Risk Management Agency, Beacon Facility-Mail Stop 0811, P.O. Box 419205, Kansas City, MO 64141-6205, (816) 926-3799.

All comments received, including those received by mail, will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided, and can be accessed by the public. All comments must include the agency name and

docket number or Regulatory Information Number (RIN) for this rule. For detailed instructions on submitting comments and additional information, see <http://www.regulations.gov>. If you are submitting comments electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal and want to attach a document, we ask that it be in a text-based format. If you want to attach a document that is a scanned Adobe PDF file, it must be scanned as text and not as an image, thus allowing FCIC to search and copy certain portions of your submissions. For questions regarding attaching a document that is a scanned Adobe PDF file, please contact the RMA Web Content Team at (816) 823-4694 or by email at rmaweb.content@rma.usda.gov.

Privacy Act: Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received for any dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review the complete User Notice and Privacy Notice for Regulations.gov at <http://www.regulations.gov/#!privacyNotice>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stan Harkey, Product Analysis & Accounting Division, U.S. Department of Agriculture Risk Management Agency, Beacon Facility-Mail Stop 0811, P.O. Box 419205, Kansas City, MO 64141-6205, (816) 926-3799.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Federal Crop Insurance Program Delivery Cost Survey and Interview.

OMB Number: 0563-NEW.

Expiration Date of Approval: Three years from approval date.

Type of Request: New information collection.

Abstract: The Risk Management Agency (RMA), through the Federal Crop Insurance Corporation (FCIC), provides crop insurance to American agricultural producers through cooperative financial assistance agreements with private-sector insurance companies (known as Approved Insurance Providers, or AIPs) who sell and service the policies. The insurance companies who sell and service FCIC policies are reimbursed for their administrative and operating (A&O) expenses directly by RMA on behalf of the policyholders. The amount of the A&O expense reimbursement paid to these companies has been an issue of legislative interest by Congress, an audit target for program oversight bodies, and a primary focus of recent negotiations between the companies and RMA. Congress directed the Government Accountability Office (GAO) to conduct a review of crop insurance delivery

costs, and in April 2009, GAO released Report GAO-09-445, "Crop Insurance: Opportunities Exist to Reduce the Costs of Administering the Program." Among GAO's recommendations was that RMA conduct a "study of the costs associated with selling and servicing crop insurance policies to establish a standard method for assessing agencies' reasonable costs in selling and servicing policies." RMA agreed with this recommendation and is therefore conducting a study to determine the reasonable and necessary economic costs of selling and servicing Federal crop insurance policies. The information collection efforts (i.e., interviews and surveys) that are being announced herein will be an important part of the study. Specifically, RMA plans to conduct interviews with AIPs, insurance agents and insured farmers, and surveys to both insurance agents and insured farmers.

Interviews

The purpose of the interviews with AIPs and insurance agents is to understand the activities performed and types of costs incurred by the AIPs and insurance agents to deliver Federal crop insurance. The purpose of the interviews with insured farmers is to gain a good understanding of the interactions between the insurance agents and insured farmers and the level of agent services required by farmers to make an informed insurance choice. Information obtained from the interviews with different stakeholders (AIPs, insurance agents and insured farmers) will help RMA understand the expenses AIPs incur in delivering the Federal crop insurance and such information will be used to help design the survey instruments and determine the type of data that needs to be collected from the insurance agents and insured farmers.

Surveys

The purpose of the survey of the insurance agents is to collect relevant cost data incurred by the insurance agents in selling and servicing the Federal crop insurance policies. In order to determine the cost incurred by the insurance agents, information on the time insurance agents spend on each task required for selling and servicing the Federal crop insurance (including the insurance agents' out of pocket expenses for support staff and travel) will be gathered from the survey. General background information on the surveyed insurance agents, e.g. geographical region, types of crop insurance sold, and number of crop insurance policies sold, will also be

collected. A parallel survey of the insured farmers to whom the sampled insurance agents sell crop insurance will be conducted to determine the level of service (e.g. number of insurance agent visits, educational services, and other services) that is necessary for the farmers to make an informed decision.

Interviews With AIPs

Estimate of Burden: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 2 hours per response.

Type of Respondents: AIPs.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 15.

Estimated Number of Responses: 15.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 1.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 31 hours.

Interviews With Insurance Agents

Estimate of Burden: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1.5 hours per response.

Type of Respondents: Insurance agents.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 60.

Estimated Number of Responses: 15.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 0.25.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 26 hours.

Interviews With Insured Farmers

Estimate of Burden: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1.5 hours per response.

Type of Respondents: Insured farmers.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 60.

Estimated Number of Responses: 15.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 0.25.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 26 hours.

Survey of Insurance Agents

Estimate of Burden: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 0.5 hour per response.

Type of Respondents: Insurance agents.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 2,627.

Estimated Number of Responses: 788.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 0.3.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 600 hours.

Survey of Insured Farmers

Estimate of Burden: Public reporting burden for this collection of information

is estimated to average 0.5 hour per response.

Type of Respondents: Insured farmers.
Estimated Number of Respondents: 525.

Estimated Number of Responses: 158.
Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 0.3.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 120 hours.

Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Comments may be sent to Stan Harkey, Product Analysis & Accounting Division, U.S. Department of Agriculture Risk Management Agency, Beacon Facility-Mail Stop 0811, P.O. Box 419205, Kansas City, MO 64141-6205. All comments received will be available for public inspection during regular business hours at the same address.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record.

Signed in Washington, DC, on June 27, 2012.

William J. Murphy,
Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc. 2012-16564 Filed 7-5-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-08-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).
Title: Survey of Hawaii Resident Resource Users' Knowledge, Attitudes

and Perceptions of Coral Reefs in Two Hawaii Priority Sites.

OMB Control Number: None.
Form Number(s): NA.

Type of Request: Regular submission (request for a new information collection).

Number of Respondents: 400.
Average Hours per Response: 20 minutes.

Burden Hours: 133.

Needs and Uses: The United States (U.S.) Coral Reef Task Force (USCRTF) was established in 1998 by *Executive Order 13089* to lead and coordinate U.S. efforts to address the threats facing coral reefs. The Hawaii Coral Reef Working Group (CRWG), composed of key state and federal partners involved in coral reef management, was established through a local charter to provide guidance to the State of Hawaii's coral program and to prioritize sites to implement specific ridge-to-reef management activities. Priority sites are areas where coral reef ecosystems of high biological value are threatened but have strong potential for improvement with management intervention. The current two priority sites in Hawaii are South Kohala on the Big Island (Pelekane Bay-Puako-Anaeho'omalu Bay, Hawai'i) and West Maui (Ka'anapali-Kahekili, Maui). At both sites, multiple partners are collaborating to produce conservation action plans to conserve resources and human uses.

The Human Dimensions Research Program at NOAA Fisheries Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center is initiating a survey to support development of these conservation action plans, including management actions in watersheds and in the coral reef ecosystems in the two priority sites. The purpose of this survey is to identify resident users' knowledge, attitudes, and perceptions regarding coral reef and watershed conditions and alternative management strategies to protect resources at the two priority sites.

Information from this survey is needed to inform the conservation action planning process initiated by the State of Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources (DLNR), Division of Aquatic Resources (HDAR) and The Nature Conservancy (TNC) at the South Kohala site and to inform conservation and watershed planning being implemented by HDAR, The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and other partners at the West Maui site. Managers have indicated a more immediate need for information at the South Kohala site; therefore, we will conduct the survey there first and the survey at West Maui afterwards. The information gained from the survey will provide priority site

managers with essential information about the population of resident users who can both threaten reef health and play a key role in stewardship of reef resources. Conservation planners will gain information about the threats and status of coral reefs from the resident users who interact most with those systems, and help managers identify topics for public outreach and education. A representative study of resident users' knowledge, attitudes, and perceptions will supplement broader public input into the conservation planning processes at the sites.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Frequency: One time.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

OMB Desk Officer:

OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Jennifer Jessup, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482-0336, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at *Jjessup@doc.gov*).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to *OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov*.

Dated: July 2, 2012.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2012-16530 Filed 7-5-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-905]

Certain Polyester Staple Fiber From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("Department") is conducting the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain polyester staple fiber from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") for the period of review ("POR") June 1, 2010, through May 31, 2011. As discussed below, the Department preliminarily